

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

---

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006**

Commission File Number 1-3924

**MAXXAM INC.**

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

**1330 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 2000**  
**Houston, Texas**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**95-2078752**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**77056**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(713) 975-7600**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Number of shares of common stock outstanding at August 8, 2006: 5,259,657

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>PART I. – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>   |             |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited):  |             |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets .....  | 3           |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations .....  | 4           |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows .....  | 5           |
| Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....   | 6           |
| Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and<br>Results of Operations ..... | 21          |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk .....                               | 36          |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures .....  | 36          |
| <b>PART II. – OTHER INFORMATION</b>  |             |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings .....  | 37          |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors .....  | 37          |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Security and Use of Proceeds .....                                | 39          |
| Item 4. Submission of Matter to a Vote of Security Holders .....                                       | 39          |
| Item 6. Exhibits .....   | 39          |
| Signatures .....   | 41          |
| <b>APPENDIX A – GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS .....</b>  | <b>42</b>   |

**MAXXAM INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In millions of dollars, except share information)

|  | <u>June 30,</u><br><u>2006</u> | <u>December 31,</u><br><u>2005</u> |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| (Unaudited)  |                                |                                    |
| <b>Assets</b>  |                                |                                    |
| Current assets:  |                                |                                    |
| Cash and cash equivalents .....  | \$ 36.0                        | \$ 72.9                            |
| Marketable securities and other short-term investments .....   | 132.5                          | 134.6                              |
| Receivables:   |                                |                                    |
| Trade net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.7 and \$0.8, respectively .....  | 13.2                           | 11.1                               |
| Other .....  | 4.4                            | 5.4                                |
| Inventories:   |                                |                                    |
| Lumber .....   | 8.4                            | 7.6                                |
| Logs .....   | 8.9                            | 18.9                               |
| Real estate and other assets held for sale .....   | 17.2                           | 12.6                               |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets .....  | 16.6                           | 16.4                               |
| Restricted cash and marketable securities .....  | 19.5                           | 29.1                               |
| Total current assets .....   | 256.7                          | 308.6                              |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$221.7 and \$207.9, respectively .....  | 343.1                          | 355.0                              |
| Timber and timberlands, net of accumulated depletion of \$228.5 and \$226.3, respectively .....  | 203.6                          | 208.7                              |
| Real estate .....  | 39.3                           | 43.2                               |
| Deferred income taxes .....  | 95.1                           | 95.1                               |
| Intangible assets .....  | 2.4                            | 2.9                                |
| Long-term receivables and other assets .....   | 27.1                           | 26.9                               |
| Restricted cash and marketable securities .....  | 7.9                            | 7.9                                |
|  | <u>\$ 975.2</u>                | <u>\$ 1,048.3</u>                  |
| <b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit</b>   |                                |                                    |
| Current liabilities:   |                                |                                    |
| Accounts payable .....   | \$ 14.8                        | \$ 11.2                            |
| Accrued interest .....   | 26.0                           | 25.9                               |
| Accrued compensation and related benefits .....  | 18.4                           | 20.7                               |
| Other accrued liabilities .....  | 32.9                           | 37.0                               |
| Short-term borrowings and current maturities of long-term debt .....   | 69.2                           | 112.5                              |
| Total current liabilities .....  | 161.3                          | 207.3                              |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities .....  | 914.0                          | 889.6                              |
| Accrued pension and other postretirement benefits .....  | 33.4                           | 34.1                               |
| Losses in excess of investment in Kaiser .....   | 516.2                          | 516.2                              |
| Other noncurrent liabilities .....   | 55.2                           | 62.4                               |
| Total liabilities .....  | 1,680.1                        | 1,709.6                            |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 7)   |                                |                                    |
| Stockholders' deficit:   |                                |                                    |
| Preferred stock, \$0.50 par value; \$0.75 liquidation preference; 2,500,000 shares authorized; Class A \$0.05 Non-Cumulative Participating Convertible Preferred Stock; 668,964 shares issued and 668,119 shares outstanding ..... | 0.3                            | 0.3                                |
| Common stock, \$0.50 par value; 13,000,000 shares authorized; 10,063,359 shares issued; 5,260,657 and 5,967,942 shares outstanding .....   | 5.0                            | 5.0                                |
| Additional capital .....   | 225.3                          | 225.3                              |
| Accumulated deficit .....  | (691.8)                        | (670.4)                            |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss .....   | (96.4)                         | (96.6)                             |
| Treasury stock, at cost (shares held: preferred – 845; common – 4,802,702 and 4,095,417, respectively) .....   | (147.3)                        | (124.9)                            |
| Total stockholders' deficit .....  | (704.9)                        | (661.3)                            |
|  | <u>\$ 975.2</u>                | <u>\$ 1,048.3</u>                  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

MAXXAM INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In millions of dollars, except per share information)

|   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |                  | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                  |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
|   | 2006                           | 2005             | 2006                         | 2005             |
|   | (Unaudited)                    |                  |                              |                  |
| Net sales:  |                                |                  |                              |                  |
| Forest products .....   | \$ 33.8                        | \$ 46.9          | \$ 71.6                      | \$ 94.2          |
| Real estate .....   | 19.2                           | 30.1             | 48.2                         | 52.9             |
| Racing .....  | 10.5                           | 10.2             | 23.9                         | 23.1             |
|   | <u>63.5</u>                    | <u>87.2</u>      | <u>143.7</u>                 | <u>170.2</u>     |
| Costs and expenses:   |                                |                  |                              |                  |
| Cost of sales and operations:   |                                |                  |                              |                  |
| Forest products .....   | 28.6                           | 36.8             | 62.2                         | 78.9             |
| Real estate .....   | 8.1                            | 9.6              | 16.4                         | 16.4             |
| Racing .....  | 9.5                            | 9.0              | 20.7                         | 19.6             |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses .....  | 11.9                           | 16.5             | 24.6                         | 28.3             |
| Gain on sales of timberlands and other assets .....   | (5.2)                          | (0.1)            | (5.9)                        | (0.1)            |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization .....  | 8.6                            | 8.9              | 17.4                         | 17.8             |
|   | <u>61.5</u>                    | <u>80.7</u>      | <u>135.4</u>                 | <u>160.9</u>     |
| Operating income (loss):  |                                |                  |                              |                  |
| Forest products .....   | 0.1                            | (3.1)            | (5.4)                        | (5.9)            |
| Real estate .....   | 3.5                            | 11.0             | 16.4                         | 17.7             |
| Racing .....  | (1.6)                          | (0.9)            | (1.7)                        | (1.1)            |
| Corporate .....   | —                              | (0.5)            | (1.0)                        | (1.4)            |
|   | <u>2.0</u>                     | <u>6.5</u>       | <u>8.3</u>                   | <u>9.3</u>       |
| Other income (expense):   |                                |                  |                              |                  |
| Investment and interest income .....  | 1.8                            | 4.1              | 5.9                          | 5.3              |
| Other income .....  | 0.5                            | 0.2              | 0.8                          | 0.2              |
| Interest expense .....  | (19.1)                         | (18.4)           | (38.7)                       | (36.1)           |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs .....  | (0.6)                          | (2.0)            | (1.2)                        | (2.5)            |
| Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change .....   | (15.4)                         | (9.6)            | (24.9)                       | (23.8)           |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes .....  | 4.2                            | —                | 4.2                          | —                |
| Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change .....  | (11.2)                         | (9.6)            | (20.7)                       | (23.8)           |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax .....  | —                              | —                | (0.7)                        | —                |
| Net loss .....  | <u>\$ (11.2)</u>               | <u>\$ (9.6)</u>  | <u>\$ (21.4)</u>             | <u>\$ (23.8)</u> |
| Basic and diluted loss per common and common equivalent share before cumulative effect of accounting change ..... | <u>\$ (1.97)</u>               | <u>\$ (1.60)</u> | <u>\$ (3.56)</u>             | <u>\$ (3.98)</u> |
| Basic and diluted loss per common and common equivalent share after cumulative effect of accounting change .....  | <u>\$ (1.97)</u>               | <u>\$ (1.60)</u> | <u>\$ (3.68)</u>             | <u>\$ (3.98)</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**MAXXAM INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In millions of dollars)

|  | Six Months Ended |           |
|--|------------------|-----------|
|  | June 30,         |           |
|  | 2006             | 2005      |
|  | (Unaudited)      |           |
| <b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>   |                  |           |
| Net loss   | \$ (21.4)        | \$ (23.8) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities: |                  |           |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization   | 17.4             | 17.8      |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation benefit  | (3.4)            | (3.8)     |
| Gains on sales of timberlands and other assets   | (5.9)            | (0.1)     |
| Net losses (gains) from marketable securities  | 0.1              | (2.6)     |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and discounts on long-term debt                   | 1.2              | 2.5       |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of dividends received                     | 0.3              | 0.4       |
| Increase (decrease) in cash resulting from changes in:                                     |                  |           |
| Receivables  | (1.3)            | 3.3       |
| Inventories  | 9.2              | 12.2      |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets  | (0.3)            | 1.3       |
| Accounts payable   | 3.6              | (3.6)     |
| Accrued and deferred income taxes  | (4.2)            | (0.3)     |
| Other accrued liabilities  | (6.4)            | 13.9      |
| Accrued interest   | 0.1              | 0.4       |
| Long-term assets and long-term liabilities   | 1.6              | 3.7       |
| Other  | (0.6)            | (0.1)     |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities                                       | (10.0)           | 21.2      |
| <b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>   |                  |           |
| Net proceeds from the disposition of property and investments                              | 8.1              | 0.1       |
| Sales and maturities of marketable securities and other investments                        | 280.5            | 356.4     |
| Purchases of marketable securities and other investments                                   | (278.2)          | (356.3)   |
| Net proceeds from restricted cash  | 9.5              | 6.5       |
| Capital expenditures   | (4.2)            | (8.9)     |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities                                       | 15.7             | (2.2)     |
| <b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>   |                  |           |
| Proceeds from issuances of long-term debt  | 0.2              | 38.0      |
| Redemptions and repurchases of, and principal payments on, long-term debt                  | (15.3)           | (16.5)    |
| Borrowings (repayments) under revolving and short-term credit facilities                   | (3.8)            | 2.5       |
| Incurrence of deferred financing costs   | (1.3)            | (3.1)     |
| Treasury stock purchases   | (22.4)           | (0.2)     |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities                                       | (42.6)           | 20.7      |
| <b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>                                | (36.9)           | 39.7      |
| <b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period</b>                                | 72.9             | 18.5      |
| <b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period</b>                                      | \$ 36.0          | \$ 58.2   |
| <b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>                                   |                  |           |
| Interest paid, net of capitalized interest   | \$ 38.6          | \$ 35.7   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## MAXXAM INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Basis of Presentation

The information contained in the following notes to the consolidated financial statements is condensed from that which would appear in the annual consolidated financial statements; accordingly, the consolidated financial statements included herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto contained in the Form 10-K. Any capitalized terms used but not defined in these Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are defined in the "Glossary of Defined Terms" contained in Appendix A. All references to the "Company" include MAXXAM Inc. and its majority and wholly owned subsidiaries (but exclusive of Kaiser and its subsidiaries), unless otherwise noted or the context indicates otherwise. All references to specific entities refer to the respective companies and their subsidiaries, unless otherwise specified or the context indicates otherwise. Accounting measurements at interim dates inherently involve greater reliance on estimates than at year end. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

The consolidated financial statements included herein are unaudited; however, they include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position of the Company at June 30, 2006, the consolidated results of operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

#### *Financial Difficulties of Forest Products Entities*

##### *Status of Regulatory Matters*

Regulatory and environmental matters as well as legal actions have had and are expected to continue to have a significant adverse effect on the Company's forest products operations and liquidity. The ability to harvest ScoPac Timber depends in large part upon ScoPac's ability to obtain regulatory approval of its THPs. ScoPac has experienced difficulties and delays in the approval of its THPs as the result of regulatory and litigation challenges and expects these challenges to persist. Moreover, the Company expects to continue to experience further difficulties, limitations and delays in being able to harvest on previously-approved THPs due to, among other things, actions by the North Coast Water Board (see below). The foregoing matters have resulted in declines in actual and expected harvest levels and cash flows, significant increases in the cost of logging operations and increased costs related to timber harvest litigation, all of which have severely and negatively impacted the historical cash flows of both Palco and ScoPac. These adverse effects are expected to continue.

The North Coast Water Board is requiring Palco and ScoPac to apply various waste discharge reporting, mitigation and erosion control requirements in respect of timber harvesting activities in several watersheds, and is likely to impose additional measures in the future. The North Coast Water Board in December 2003 directed its staff to formulate WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds on the Palco Timberlands. THPs in these two watersheds represent a significant portion of the harvest planned in 2006 and for the next several years. As harvesting activities on the Palco Timberlands cannot readily be moved between watersheds due to, among other things, historic harvest patterns, adjacency restrictions, and the age classes of trees, development of WWDRs and the other matters described in the "Regulatory and Environmental Factors" section of Note 7 have in the past and are expected to continue to result in reduced harvest and less predictability in the future regarding the mix of logs available for sale by ScoPac to Palco, which negatively impacts cash flow.

As the WWDRs had not yet been formulated for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, the North Coast Water Board for some time failed to release for harvest a number of ScoPac's THPs that had already been approved by the other governmental agencies which approve ScoPac's THPs. The North Coast Water Board subsequently allowed harvesting on a portion of the approved THPs; however, the State Water Board later disallowed harvesting on a portion of the THPs that had been released by the North Coast Water Board. On May 8, 2006, the North Coast Water Board adopted WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, which has the effect of allowing harvesting in these two watersheds to begin once THPs are enrolled, up to approximately 50% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds. Additional THPs, bringing the total to approximately 75% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds, may be enrolled upon approval by the Executive Officer of the North Coast Water Board's staff of a monitoring plan, which has been submitted by Palco. While certain THPs related to these two watersheds have been enrolled, and harvesting has begun, there can be no assurance that additional THPs related to these two watersheds will ultimately be enrolled or harvested as planned in 2006 or in future years as, among other things, Palco's monitoring plan

has not been approved. The North Coast Water Board's adoption of these WWDRs has been appealed to the State Water Board, but the appeals are being held in abeyance in order to see how the implementation of the WWDRs proceeds. While ScoPac continues to project that its annual harvest level over the ten-year period beginning 2006 will be approximately 100 million board feet, this projection is significantly below historical annual harvest levels, and actual harvest levels may be even lower, depending on the ultimate outcome of various assumptions.

#### *ScoPac Liquidity Update*

In the absence of significant regulatory relief and accommodations, ScoPac's annual timber harvest levels and cash flows from operations will, for at least the next several years, be substantially below both historical levels and the minimum levels necessary to allow ScoPac to satisfy its debt service obligations in respect of the Timber Notes.

In an effort to address the expected future cash shortfalls, ScoPac initiated the ScoPac Land Sale Program whereby it is seeking to sell certain non-timberland properties such as ranchlands and recreational areas, as well as certain timberlands. During the first half of 2006, \$6.9 million of properties were sold and an additional \$4.6 million of properties were sold in July 2006 (of which \$3.3 million was received prior to the July 2006 Timber Notes payment date). There can be no assurance that the marketing efforts in respect of the remaining properties will be successful.

Due to regulatory constraints and adverse weather conditions during the first half of 2006, harvest levels were lower than planned, resulting in liquidity shortfalls at ScoPac. ScoPac and MGI consummated three timber/log purchases that provided ScoPac an aggregate of \$8.1 million of additional liquidity (\$4.4 million as of June 30, 2006 and \$3.7 million in July 2006) to pay its expenses, including interest due in respect of the Timber Notes on the Timber Notes payment dates in January 2006 and July 2006 as discussed below.

On the Timber Notes payment date in January 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, and the additional funds made available from a \$2.3 million timber/log purchase by MGI, to pay all of the \$27.7 million of interest due (\$25.8 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$19.3 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$11.9 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

As previously announced, the estimates of ScoPac indicated that its cash flows from operations, together with funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit and other available funds, would be insufficient, by a substantial amount, to pay the entire amount of interest due on the July 20, 2006, Timber Notes payment date. As the July 20, 2006, Timber Notes payment date approached, it became apparent the ScoPac's estimates would prove correct and that the remaining cash shortfall as of such Timber Notes payment date, after consideration of the funds made available from the ScoPac Land Sale Program and the MGI timber/log purchases discussed above, would be approximately \$2.1 million. Based upon review of its existing alternatives, ScoPac requested that Palco make an early payment, equal to the \$2.1 million shortfall, in respect of certain logs that had already been delivered to and purchased by Palco from ScoPac. Palco approved and delivered the early log payment.

On the Timber Notes payment date in July 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, the additional funds made available from the ScoPac Land Sale Program of \$10.2 million, a \$3.7 million timber/log purchase by MGI, and the \$2.1 million early log payment by Palco to pay all of the \$27.1 million of interest due (\$25.4 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$10.0 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$6.2 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

ScoPac also expects to incur substantial interest shortfalls over at least the next several years. The failure of ScoPac to pay all of the interest on the Timber Notes when due would constitute an event of default under the Timber Notes Indenture. There can be no assurance that ScoPac will be able to generate sufficient additional liquidity to fund the expected future cash shortfalls. To the extent that ScoPac is unable to generate sufficient liquidity from the ScoPac Land Sale Program or other sources, the Company expects that ScoPac will be forced to take extraordinary actions, which may include: laying off employees, shutting down various operations, and seeking protection by filing under the Bankruptcy Code.

### *Palco Liquidity Update*

As of December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2006, Palco and Britt were in default under the Old Palco Term Loan and the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility due to financial covenant breaches. In the first half of 2006, additional liquidity was needed at Palco and Palco borrowed an aggregate of \$20.0 million from MGI to meet its cash shortfalls. Palco's liquidity shortfalls during the first half of 2006 resulted primarily from reduced log supply from ScoPac and operational inefficiencies related to the large log processing line at Palco's new Scotia sawmill.

On July 18, 2006, Palco and Britt, as Borrowers, closed on the New Palco Term Loan, a new five-year \$85.0 million secured term loan, and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility, a new five-year \$60.0 million secured asset-based revolving credit facility. The New Palco Term Loan was fully funded at closing. The New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility required MGI to provide a \$10.0 million subordinated loan to the Borrowers, which was also funded at closing. The Borrowers used approximately (i) \$34.0 million of the New Palco Term Loan to pay off the Old Palco Term Loan; (ii) \$22.5 million of the New Palco Term Loan to pay off the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility and cash collateralize previously existing letters of credit; and (iii) \$6.0 million to pay transaction costs. The remaining funds at closing of \$32.5 million will be used for general corporate purposes. The Borrowers terminated the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility and the Old Palco Term Loan. The Borrowers have not made any borrowings under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility to date, although they currently have availability in excess of \$20.0 million.

The amount available for borrowings under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility is normally the sum of 85% of the Borrowers' eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (i) 80% of the book value of Borrowers' eligible inventory or (ii) 85% of the net orderly liquidation value of such inventory. However, during each period from October 15 through January 15, the amount available for borrowing under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility is the sum of 95% of Borrowers' eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (i) 90% of the book value of Borrowers' eligible inventory or (ii) 95% of the net orderly liquidation value of such inventory. The amount available under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility may not exceed \$60.0 million, subject to limitations such as the ability of the lender to establish reasonable reserves.

The New Palco Term Loan bears interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 8.75%. Loans under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 2.75% or prime plus 0.75%, at the Borrowers' option; however, incremental borrowings made during the period from October 15 through January 15, bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 4.50% or prime plus 2.50%, as applicable. The New Palco Revolving Credit Facility matures on July 18, 2011.

Both the New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility contain substantially identical restrictive covenants that limit the Borrowers' ability to incur debt, grant liens, make investments, pay dividends, make capital expenditures or merge or consolidate, and require the Borrowers' to maintain a minimum level of EBITDA, along with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and maximum leverage ratio throughout the life of the loans. The operating cash flow estimates used to establish the EBITDA maintenance covenant are subject to a number of assumptions about future operating cash flows and actual results could differ materially from these estimates. The New Palco Term Loan also requires the Borrowers to repay a substantial portion of the outstanding principal of the New Palco Term Loan with the net proceeds from the Palco Asset Sale Program, including the real property associated with Palco's former Fortuna and Carlotta sawmills, and Palco-owned homes to be sold after certain milestones have been met. Any remaining principal balance of the New Palco Term Loan is due on July 18, 2011. Accordingly, continued compliance with these new debt facilities is dependent on Palco's ability to meet its EBITDA projections and timely complete required asset sales. The New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility contain customary events of default and customary remedies with respect to the occurrence of an event of default.

The New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility each include prepayment premiums of 3%, 2% and 1% that will be payable in connection with any prepayment of the New Palco Term Loan or reduction or termination of the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility during the first, second and third years, respectively. Under the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility, Palco is permitted to invest up to \$5.0 million in ScoPac. No such investment had been made or committed to be made by Palco, and there can be no assurance that Palco would in the future determine or be able to make any such investment in whole or part.

### *Potential Impact on Registrant and Certain Related Entities*

The liquidity issues being experienced by ScoPac, and those recently experienced by Palco should they recur, could result in claims against and could have adverse impacts on MAXXAM Parent, MGHI and/or MGI. For example, under ERISA, were Palco to terminate its pension plan, MAXXAM Parent and its wholly owned subsidiaries would be jointly and severally liable for any unfunded pension plan obligations. The unfunded termination obligation attributable to Palco's pension plan as of December 31, 2005, is estimated to have been approximately \$31.0 million based upon

annuity placement interest rate assumptions as of December 31, 2005. In addition, it is possible that certain transactions could be completed in connection with a potential restructuring or reorganization of Palco or ScoPac, such as a sale of all or a portion of the equity ownership in Palco and/or ScoPac, a sale of a substantial portion of Palco's and/or ScoPac's assets and/or a cancellation of some or all of Palco's and/or ScoPac's indebtedness, which could require the utilization of all or a substantial portion of, or the loss of a significant portion of, the Company's net operating losses or other tax attributes for federal and state income tax purposes and could require tax payments.

Under generally accepted accounting principles, consolidation is generally required for investments of more than 50% of the outstanding voting stock of an investee, except when control is not held by the majority owner. Under these rules, legal reorganization or bankruptcy represent conditions which can preclude consolidation in instances where control rests with the bankruptcy court, rather than the majority owner. One of the actions that could be considered by ScoPac and/or Palco is seeking protection by filing for bankruptcy. Were this to occur, the financial results of the subsidiaries which file for bankruptcy would be deconsolidated on the date of such filing, and the Company would begin reporting its investment in such subsidiaries using the cost method. If Palco and/or ScoPac were among the subsidiaries which filed for bankruptcy, the resulting impact on the Company's financial statements would be significant.

The following condensed pro forma financial information reflects MGI's results on a deconsolidated basis, and the impact of reporting the Company's investment in MGI on the cost method (in millions). This information is, however, on a pro forma basis only and the actual impact of a deconsolidation at some point in the future would differ. Furthermore, this pro forma information assumes that MGI and all of its subsidiaries file for bankruptcy, rather than the impact of only one or more subsidiaries filing.

|   | <b>Three Months<br/>Ended<br/>June 30, 2006</b> | <b>Six Months<br/>Ended<br/>June 30, 2006</b> |
|---|---|---|
| Revenues .....  | \$ 29.7   | \$ 72.1                                       |
| Costs and expenses .....  | (27.8)  | (58.4)  |
| Operating income .....  | 1.9   | 13.7  |
| MAXXAM's equity in MGI's losses .....   | (14.9)  | (35.9)  |
| Other expenses, net .....   | (2.4)   | (2.7)   |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change .....  | -   | (0.7)   |
| Income tax benefit .....  | 4.2   | 4.2   |
| Net loss .....  | <u>\$ (11.2)</u>                                | <u>\$ (21.4)</u>                              |
|   |   | <b>As of<br/>June 30, 2006</b>                |
| Current assets .....  |   | \$ 221.6                                      |
| Property, plant and equipment (net) .....   |   | 236.2   |
| Other assets .....  |   | 149.5   |
| Total assets .....  |   | <u>\$ 607.3</u>                               |
| Current liabilities .....   |   | 45.9  |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities .....   |   | 218.2   |
| Other liabilities .....   |   | 56.2  |
| Losses recognized in excess of investment in MGI and certain intercompany items ..... |   | 475.7   |
| Losses recognized in excess of investment in Kaiser .....                             |   | 516.2   |
| Total liabilities .....   |   | 1,312.2                                       |
| Stockholders' deficit .....   |   | (704.9)                                       |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit .....                                     |   | <u>\$ 607.3</u>                               |

In the event that MGI and/or any of its subsidiaries file for bankruptcy, the Company believes that it is not probable that it would be obligated to fund losses related to its investment in such subsidiaries, except as it relates to certain pension funding obligations and potential future tax payments, as noted above.

### ***Kaiser Update***

On February 12, 2002, Kaiser and certain of its subsidiaries filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Kaiser's plan of reorganization, which provides for the cancellation of the Company's 50,000,000 Kaiser common shares without consideration or obligation, was confirmed by the Kaiser Bankruptcy Court in February 2006. On July 6, 2006, Kaiser's plan of reorganization became effective and Kaiser emerged from bankruptcy. These consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustment related to the deconsolidation of Kaiser other than presenting the Company's investment in Kaiser using the cost method. The Company expects to reverse the \$516.2

million of losses in excess of its investment in Kaiser, net of accumulated other comprehensive losses of \$85.3 million related to Kaiser, and expects to recognize the net amount, including the related tax effects, in the third quarter of 2006, the period in which the Company's Kaiser Shares were cancelled. As a result of the cancellation of the Company's Kaiser Shares in 2006, the Company expects it will take a worthless stock deduction on its 2006 consolidated federal income tax return. However, due to uncertainties regarding whether the Company will ultimately realize the resulting tax asset of approximately \$135.8 million, the Company expects it will be required to establish a full valuation allowance when the effects of the cancellation of the Company's Kaiser Shares are recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following condensed pro forma financial information reflects the impact of the cancellation of the Company's Kaiser shares as if the event had occurred on January 1, 2006 (in millions):

|   | <b>Six Months<br/>Ended<br/>June 30, 2006</b> |
|---|---|
| Revenues .....                                    | \$ 143.7                                      |
| Costs and expenses .....                          | (135.4)                                       |
| Operating income .....                            | 8.3   |
| MAXXAM's equity in Kaiser losses .....            | 430.9   |
| Other expenses, net .....                         | (33.2)  |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change .....      | (0.7)   |
| Income tax benefit .....                          | 4.2   |
| Net income .....                                  | <u>\$ 409.5</u>                               |
|   | <b>As of<br/>June 30, 2006</b>                |
| Current assets .....                              | \$ 256.7                                      |
| Property, plant and equipment (net) .....         | 343.1   |
| Other assets .....                                | 375.4   |
| Total assets .....                                | <u>\$ 975.2</u>                               |
| Current liabilities .....                         | 161.3   |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities .....     | 914.0   |
| Other liabilities .....                           | 88.6  |
| Total liabilities .....                           | 1,163.9                                       |
| Stockholders' deficit .....                       | (188.7)                                       |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit ..... | <u>\$ 975.2</u>                               |

### ***Reclassifications***

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' consolidated financial statements to be consistent with the current year's presentation. Cash held in brokerage accounts has been reclassified from marketable securities to cash and cash equivalents in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

### ***Use of Estimates and Assumptions***

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities (ii) the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published and (iii) the reported amount of revenues and expenses recognized during each period presented. The Company reviews all significant estimates affecting its consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis and records the effect of any necessary adjustments prior to filing the consolidated financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Adjustments made to estimates often relate to improved information not previously available. Uncertainties are inherent in such estimates and related assumptions; accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Risks and uncertainties are inherent with respect to the ultimate outcome of the matters discussed in Note 7. The results of a resolution of such uncertainties could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. In addition, uncertainties related to the projection of future taxable income could affect the realization of the Company's deferred tax assets. Estimates of future benefit payments used to measure the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit obligations are subject to a number of assumptions about future

experience, as are the estimated future cash flows projected in the evaluation of long-lived assets for possible impairment. To the extent there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, the Company's financial statements or liquidity could be affected.

## 2. New Accounting Standards

### *Accounting for Stock Options*

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(r) effective January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123(r) requires compensation costs related to share-based payments to be determined by the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued on the grant date. Compensation cost is required to be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award and these awards are required to be remeasured each reporting period. The adoption of this standard resulted in an expense of \$0.7 million in the first quarter of 2006 representing the cumulative impact of awards exercisable on January 1, 2006.

### *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets*

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153. SFAS No. 153 is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged. The adoption of SFAS No. 153 on January 1, 2006, did not have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

### *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, which changes the requirements applicable to accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application of a change in accounting principle to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 on January 1, 2006, did not have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

### *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48, which defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of uncertain tax return positions in the financial statements. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is in the process of evaluating the effects of FIN No. 48 on its financial statements.

## 3. Segment Information and Other Items

Net sales and operating income (loss) for each reportable segment are presented in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Operating loss for "Corporate" represents general and administrative expenses not directly attributable to the reportable segments. The amounts reflected in the "Corporate" column also serve to reconcile the total of the reportable segments' amounts to totals in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents certain other unaudited financial information by reportable segment (in millions).

|  | Reportable Segments |             |         |           | Consolidated Total |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------------------|
|  | Forest Products     | Real Estate | Racing  | Corporate |                    |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization for the three months ended: |                     |             |         |           |                    |
| June 30, 2006  | \$ 4.6              | \$ 3.6      | \$ 0.4  | \$ —      | \$ 8.6             |
| June 30, 2005  | 4.9                 | 3.5         | 0.4     | 0.1       | 8.9                |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization for the six months ended:   |                     |             |         |           |                    |
| June 30, 2006  | \$ 9.4              | \$ 7.2      | \$ 0.7  | \$ 0.1    | \$ 17.4            |
| June 30, 2005  | 9.8                 | 7.1         | 0.8     | 0.1       | 17.8               |
| Total assets as of:  |                     |             |         |           |                    |
| June 30, 2006  | \$ 395.0            | \$ 353.8    | \$ 37.5 | \$ 188.9  | \$ 975.2           |
| December 31, 2005  | 421.4               | 345.3       | 36.4    | 245.2     | 1,048.3            |

#### 4. Debt

##### *Palco Credit Agreements*

At December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2006, Palco and Britt were in default under the Old Palco Term Loan and the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility due to financial covenant breaches. The existence of the defaults required Palco to pay interest on amounts borrowed under the Old Palco Term Loan at a per annum rate 2% higher than the rate at which interest would be owed if no default existed. As of June 30, 2006, \$33.4 million was outstanding under the Old Palco Term Loan and \$19.5 million, consisting of borrowings of \$9.3 million and outstanding letters of credit of \$10.2 million, was outstanding under the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility.

On July 18, 2006, Palco and Britt, as Borrowers, closed on the New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility. See Note 1, "*Financial Difficulties of Forest Products Entities - Palco Liquidity Update*", for a description of these two new facilities.

##### *ScoPac Line of Credit*

The ScoPac Line of Credit allows ScoPac to borrow up to one year's interest on the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the Timber Notes. On May 18, 2006, the ScoPac Line of Credit was extended to July 6, 2007. At or near the completion of such extension, ScoPac intends to request that the ScoPac Line of Credit be extended for an additional period of not less than 364 days. If not extended, ScoPac may draw upon the full amount available. The amount drawn would, to the extent of available funds, be repayable in 12 semiannual installments on each Timber Notes payment date (after the payment of certain other items, including the Aggregate Minimum Principal Amortization Amount, as defined, then due), commencing approximately two and one-half years following the date of the draw. At June 30, 2006, the maximum availability under the ScoPac Line of Credit was \$54.1 million, and outstanding borrowings were \$42.2 million.

##### *ScoPac Timber Notes*

On the Timber Notes payment date in January 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, and the additional funds made available from a \$2.3 million timber/log purchase by MGI, to pay all of the \$27.7 million of interest due (\$25.8 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$19.3 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$11.9 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

On the Timber Notes payment date in July 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, the additional funds made available from the ScoPac Land Sale Program of \$10.2 million, a \$3.7 million timber/log purchase by MGI, and a \$2.1 million early log payment by Palco to pay all of the \$27.1 million of interest due (\$25.4 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$10.0 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$6.2 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

As discussed further in Note 1, ScoPac is experiencing financial difficulties due to regulatory restrictions on harvesting and other factors. As a result, ScoPac expects to incur substantial interest shortfalls over at least the next several years. Such an event would constitute an event of default under the Timber Notes Indenture. In the event of a failure to pay interest or principal on the Timber Notes in full when due, the Trustee or the holders of at least 25% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Timber Notes may cause all principal, interest and other amounts related to the Timber Notes to become immediately due and payable. Also, in the event of a failure by Palco or ScoPac to perform its respective covenants or agreements under the Master Purchase Agreement or by Palco to perform its covenants or agreements under the Services Agreement, which failure in the case of certain covenants or agreements continues for 30 days after notice from the Trustee or the holders of 25% or more of the outstanding principal amount of the Timber Notes, the holders of a majority of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Timber Notes may cause all principal, interest and other amounts related to the Timber Notes to become immediately due and payable. In the event of any such acceleration, the Agent under the ScoPac Line of Credit may also accelerate the advances then outstanding. If such accelerations of Timber Notes and/or advances under the ScoPac Line of Credit occur, the Trustee may exercise all rights under the Indenture and related security documents, including applying funds to pay accelerated amounts, and selling the ScoPac Timberlands and ScoPac Timber Rights and other assets and using the proceeds thereof to pay accelerated amounts. In the event that ScoPac were to seek protection by filing under the Bankruptcy Code, all amounts related to the Timber Notes would become immediately due and payable under the Indenture and all advances under the ScoPac Line of Credit could be accelerated. The foregoing rights of the Trustee and holders of Timber Notes would be subject to the rights of ScoPac under the Bankruptcy Code if it sought protection by filing under the Bankruptcy Code.

U.S. Bank, the Trustee under the Timber Notes Indenture, resigned effective May 1, 2006. ScoPac appointed Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as successor Trustee under the Indenture, which appointment was accepted and became effective May 1, 2006.

At June 30, 2006, the SAR Account balance was \$58.9 million (consisting of \$45.8 million of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account and \$13.1 million in cash and marketable securities), all of which is restricted for future principal payments on the Timber Notes. Such cash and marketable securities will not be sufficient to cover the Scheduled Amortization on the January 20, 2007, Timber Notes payment date and beyond. Accordingly, ScoPac's ability to make Scheduled Amortization payments on the Timber Notes in 2007 and beyond from the SAR Account is dependent upon ScoPac's ability to sell all or a portion of the Timber Notes held in the SAR Account. No assurance can be given that ScoPac will be successful in its efforts to sell the Timber Notes held in the SAR Account before the January 20, 2007, Timber Notes payment date or as to the proceeds that might result from any such sale.

#### *Letters of Credit*

As a result of S&P credit rating actions related to Palco in 2005, Palco was required to post a \$9.9 million letter of credit with the State of California to secure its workers compensation liabilities. On July 18, 2006, following the termination of the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility, the letters of credit outstanding under the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility were collateralized using proceeds from the New Palco Term Loan.

The Company's real estate segment has letters of credit outstanding in the amount of \$9.0 million to satisfy certain liability insurance policy requirements.

## **5. Income Taxes**

The Company generated a loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change of \$15.4 million and \$24.9 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively; however, the Company has not recorded any tax provision or benefit related to current period income or loss as the Company anticipates an effective tax rate of zero for the year ended December 31, 2006. Each period, the Company evaluates appropriate factors in determining the realizability of the deferred tax assets attributable to losses and credits generated in that period and those being carried forward. Based on this evaluation, the Company provided valuation allowances with respect to the deferred tax assets attributable to the losses and credits generated during the six months ended June 30, 2006. These valuation allowances were in addition to the valuation allowances which were provided in prior years.

Texas House Bill 3, signed into law in May 2006, eliminates the taxable capital and earned surplus components of the existing Texas franchise tax and replaces these components with a margin-based franchise tax. There will be no impact on the Company's 2006 Texas current state income taxes as the new law is effective for reports due on or after January 1, 2008 (based on business activity during 2007). The Company is required to include the impact of the tax law change on its deferred state income taxes in income for the period that includes the date of enactment. This tax law change resulted in a reduction in the Company's deferred state income taxes in the amount of \$4.1 million, net of federal benefit, and the net tax benefit was recognized in the second quarter of 2006.

## 6. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of pension and other postretirement benefits expense are as follows (in millions):

|   | <u>Pension Benefits</u>            |               | <u>Medical/Life Benefits</u> |               | <u>Pension Benefits</u>          |               | <u>Medical/Life Benefits</u> |               |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
|   | <u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u> |               |                              |               | <u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u> |               |                              |               |
|   | <u>2006</u>                        | <u>2005</u>   | <u>2006</u>                  | <u>2005</u>   | <u>2006</u>                      | <u>2005</u>   | <u>2006</u>                  | <u>2005</u>   |
| Components of net periodic benefit costs: |                                    |               |                              |               |                                  |               |                              |               |
| Service cost                              | \$ –                               | \$ 1.0        | \$ 0.1                       | \$ 0.1        | \$ –                             | \$ 1.9        | \$ 0.2                       | \$ 0.2        |
| Interest cost                             | 1.4                                | 1.5           | 0.1                          | 0.2           | 2.7                              | 2.9           | 0.2                          | 0.4           |
| Expected return on assets                 | (1.4)                              | (1.3)         | –                            | –             | (2.8)                            | (2.6)         | –                            | –             |
| Amortization of prior service costs       | –                                  | –             | –                            | (0.1)         | –                                | –             | –                            | (0.2)         |
| Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss      | <u>0.1</u>                         | <u>0.2</u>    | <u>–</u>                     | <u>–</u>      | <u>0.2</u>                       | <u>0.4</u>    | <u>–</u>                     | <u>–</u>      |
| Net periodic benefit costs                | <u>\$ 0.1</u>                      | <u>\$ 1.4</u> | <u>\$ 0.2</u>                | <u>\$ 0.2</u> | <u>\$ 0.1</u>                    | <u>\$ 2.6</u> | <u>\$ 0.4</u>                | <u>\$ 0.4</u> |

## 7. Regulatory and Environmental Factors and Contingencies

### *Regulatory and Environmental Factors*

Regulatory and environmental matters and litigation have had a significant adverse effect on the Company's forest products segment, which is subject to a variety of California and federal laws and regulations, as well as the HCP, dealing with timber harvesting practices, threatened and endangered species and habitat for such species, air and water quality and other matters. Compliance with such laws and regulations also plays a significant role in the Company's Forest Products business.

#### *Environmental Plans*

From March 1999 until October 2002, ScoPac prepared THPs in accordance with the SYP. The SYP was intended to comply with regulations of the CDF requiring timber companies to demonstrate sustained yield, i.e. that their projected average annual harvest for any decade within a 100-year planning period would not exceed the average annual growth level at the end of the 100-year planning period. These regulations allow companies which do not have a sustained yield plan to follow alternative procedures to document compliance with the sustained yield requirements. As discussed below (see "–Contingencies–Timber Harvest Litigation"), on October 31, 2003, the California trial court hearing the *EPIC-SYP/Permits lawsuit* entered a judgment invalidating the SYP and the California Permits, although an appellate court reversed that decision in December 2005. The plaintiffs appealed the appellate court's decision to the California Supreme Court, which has indicated it will review the matter. As a result of an earlier stay order and the trial court's judgment, ScoPac from October 2002 until March 2005 obtained review and approval of its THPs under an alternative procedure in the California forest practice rules known as Option C. Option C is available to landowners who have submitted an "Option A" plan to the CDF for review (as was done by Palco). An approved Option A plan is an alternative to obtaining approval of a sustained yield plan. Palco's Option A plan was approved by the CDF in March 2005. ScoPac is currently relying upon the Option A Plan to obtain THP approvals, and will likely continue to do so in the future.

The Federal Permits allow incidental "take" of certain federally listed species located on the Palco Timberlands so long as there is no "jeopardy" to the continued existence of such species. The HCP identifies the measures to be instituted in order to minimize and mitigate the anticipated level of take to the greatest extent practicable. The HCP and Federal Permits have terms of 50 years. Since the consummation of the Headwaters Agreement in March 1999, there has been a significant amount of work and additional costs required in connection with the implementation of the Environmental Plans, and this work and the additional costs are expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

#### *Water Quality*

Laws and regulations dealing with water quality are impacting the Palco Companies primarily in four areas: efforts by the EPA and the North Coast Water Board to establish TMDLs in watercourses that have been declared to be water quality impaired; actions by the North Coast Water Board to impose waste discharge reporting requirements in respect of watersheds on the Palco Timberlands and in some cases, clean-up or preventive measures; actions by the North Coast Water Board during the THP approval process which impose certain operational requirements on individual THPs; and the development of WWDRs by the North Coast Water Board and its staff for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds.

Under the CWA, the EPA is required to establish TMDLs in watercourses that have been declared to be “water quality impaired.” The EPA and the North Coast Water Board are in the process of establishing TMDLs for many northern California rivers and certain of their tributaries, including nine watercourses that flow within the Palco Timberlands. On the Palco Timberlands, the relevant contaminant is simple sediment – dust, dirt and gravel – that is abundant in watercourses largely as a function of the area’s normally heavy rainfall and soil that erodes easily. The Company expects the process of establishing TMDLs to continue until at least 2010. The EPA has issued reports dealing with TMDLs on three of the nine watercourses. The agency indicated that the requirements under the HCP would significantly address the sediment issues that resulted in TMDL requirements for these watercourses. Presently, the North Coast Water Board is in the process of establishing the TMDL requirements applicable to two other watercourses, Freshwater and Elk River, on the Palco Timberlands, with a targeted completion of 2007 for these two watercourses. ScoPac’s scientists are actively working with North Coast Water Board staff to ensure that these TMDLs recognize and incorporate the environmental protection measures of the HCP. The final TMDL requirements applicable to the Palco Timberlands may require aquatic protection measures that are different from or in addition to those in the HCP or that result from the prescriptions to be developed pursuant to the watershed analysis process provided for in the HCP and could further restrict harvesting on the Palco Timberlands.

For each of the winter periods since 2002, Palco and ScoPac have been required to submit reports on sediment discharges and erosion control practices to the North Coast Water Board in order to conduct winter harvesting operations in the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds. After consideration of these reports, the North Coast Water Board imposed requirements on the Palco Companies to implement additional mitigation and erosion control practices in these watersheds for each of these winter operating periods. The North Coast Water Board has also extended the requirements for certain mitigation and erosion control practices to three additional watersheds (Bear, Jordan and Stitz Creek). The Palco Companies and the North Coast Water Board are currently in discussions to determine what these measures will be. The requirements imposed to date by the North Coast Water Board have significantly increased operating costs; additional requirements imposed in the future could further increase costs and cause additional delays in THP approvals.

The North Coast Water Board has also issued the Elk River Orders, which are aimed at addressing existing sediment production sites through clean up actions. The North Coast Water Board has also initiated the process that could result in similar orders for the Freshwater and Bear Creek watersheds, and is contemplating similar actions for the Jordan and Stitz Creek watersheds. The Elk River Orders have resulted in increased costs to Palco that could extend over a number of years. Additional orders for other watersheds (should they be issued) may also result in further cost increases.

The North Coast Water Board in December 2003 directed its staff to formulate WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds on the Palco Timberlands. As harvesting activities on the Palco Timberlands cannot readily be moved between watersheds due to, among other things, historic harvest patterns, adjacency restrictions, and the age classes of trees, development of WWDRs and the various other matters described herein are expected to result in reduced harvest levels in 2006 and beyond.

As WWDRs had not yet been formulated for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, the North Coast Water Board for some time failed to release for harvest a number of ScoPac’s THPs that had already been approved by the other governmental agencies which approve ScoPac’s THPs. In January and February 2005, the Executive Officer of the staff of the North Coast Water Board enrolled sufficient THPs to allow the harvest of up to 50% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds. On March 16, 2005, the North Coast Water Board ordered the enrollment of additional THPs that would allow the harvest of up to 75% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds. Following third party appeal of this decision, the State Water Board issued the State Water Board Order, which had the effect of disallowing further harvesting on the additional 25% of the CDF Harvest Limit approved by the North Coast Water Board on March 16, 2005. The State Water Board’s decision also had the effect of disallowing further harvesting in the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds until WWDRs for these two watersheds were adopted by the North Coast Water Board. On July 14, 2005, Palco and ScoPac filed the *State Water Board action* requesting both a stay of the State Water Board Order and a writ of mandate reversing the State Water Board Order. The Court subsequently denied the request for a stay, but granted a writ of mandate, thus requiring the State Water Board to set aside its June 16, 2005 order. The Court also remanded the matter to the State Water Board to reconsider whether the North Coast Water Board’s enrollment of additional THPs was proper. Further proceedings before the State Board have yet to be scheduled.

While the Court ordered that the State Water Board must take further action before August 1, 2006, it has not done so. In the meantime, on May 8, 2006, the North Coast Water Board adopted WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, which has the effect of allowing harvesting in these two watersheds to begin once THPs are enrolled, up to approximately 50% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds. Additional THPs, bringing the total to approximately 75% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds, may be enrolled upon approval by the Executive

Officer of the North Coast Water Board's staff of a monitoring plan, which has been submitted by Palco. While certain THPs related to these two watersheds have been enrolled, and harvesting has begun, there can be no assurance that additional THPs related to these two watersheds will ultimately be enrolled or harvested as planned in 2006 or in future years as, among other things, Palco's monitoring plan has not been approved. The North Coast Water Board's adoption of these WWDRs has been appealed to the State Water Board, but the appeals are being held in abeyance in order to see how the implementation of the WWDRs proceeds. While ScoPac continues to project that its annual harvest level over the ten-year period beginning 2006 will be approximately 100 million board feet, this projection is significantly below historical annual harvest levels, and actual harvest levels may be even lower, depending on the ultimate outcome of various assumptions.

Effective January 1, 2004, California Senate Bill 810 provides regional water quality control boards with additional authority related to the approval of THPs on land within impaired watersheds. The Company is uncertain of the operational and financial effects which will ultimately result from Senate Bill 810; however, because substantially all rivers and waterbodies on the Palco Timberlands are classified as sediment-impaired, implementation of this law could result in additional delays in obtaining approvals of THPs, lower harvest levels and increased costs and additional protection measures beyond those contained in the HCP.

### *Contingencies*

Certain present and former directors and officers of the Company are defendants in certain of the actions described below. The Company's bylaws provide for indemnification of its officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. The Company is obligated to advance defense costs to its officers and directors, subject to the individual's obligation to repay such amount if it is ultimately determined that the individual was not entitled to indemnification. In addition, the Company's indemnity obligation can, under certain circumstances, include amounts other than defense costs, including judgments and settlements.

#### *Timber Harvest Litigation*

Various pending judicial and administrative proceedings, as described below, could affect Palco's and ScoPac's ability to implement the HCP, implement certain approved THPs, or carry out other operations.

In March 1999, the *EPIC-SYP/Permits lawsuit* was filed. This action alleged, among other things, various violations of the California Endangered Species Act and the California Environmental Quality Act, and challenged, among other things, the validity and legality of the SYP and the California Permits and sought, among other things, to prevent implementation of THPs approved in reliance upon these documents. A similar action, the *USWA lawsuit*, was filed on the same day, and the two actions were consolidated for trial.

Following the trial, the Court in October 2003 entered a judgment invalidating the SYP and the California Permits, and in September 2004 granted the plaintiffs' request for reimbursement of an aggregate of \$5.8 million in attorneys' fees and other expenses. The Palco Companies and the State of California appealed both decisions. On December 12, 2005, an appellate court reversed the trial court's decision invalidating the SYP and the California Permits. The plaintiffs have appealed the appellate court's decision to the California Supreme Court, which has indicated it will review the matter. The defendants' appeal of the trial court's award of attorneys' fees and expenses is still pending at the appellate court. There can be no assurance that this appeal will be successful.

In July 2001, the *Bear Creek lawsuit* was filed and later amended to add the EPA as a defendant. The lawsuit alleges that harvesting and other forestry activities under certain of ScoPac's THPs will result in discharges of pollutants in violation of the CWA. The plaintiff asserts that the CWA requires the defendants to obtain a permit from the North Coast Water Board before beginning timber harvesting and road construction activities and is seeking to enjoin these activities until such permit has been obtained. The plaintiff also seeks civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for the alleged continued violation of the CWA. In October 2003, the Court upheld the validity of an EPA regulation that exempts harvesting and other forestry activities from certain discharge requirements. Both state and federal agencies, along with Palco and other timber companies, have relied upon this regulation for more than 25 years. However, the Court interpreted the regulation in such a way as to narrow the forestry operations that are exempted, thereby limiting the regulation's applicability and subjecting culverts and ditches to permit requirements. This ruling has widespread implications for the timber industry in the United States. The case is not yet final as the trial has not yet been held, and there are many unresolved issues involving interpretation of the Court's decision and its application to actual operations. The Company has filed a motion for summary judgment on the grounds that it has met the requirements for a storm water pollution prevention permit under a general permit, issued by the State of California. The plaintiff has also filed a motion for summary judgment seeking to establish Palco's liability for discharging storm water without a permit. A hearing on the two summary judgment motions was held on March 6, 2006. On April 28, 2006, the Court denied both motions.

Discovery was completed in May 2006. On June 30, 2006, EPIC filed a motion for partial summary judgment seeking to establish Palco's liability, and Palco filed a motion for summary judgment asserting that EPIC lacks standing to maintain the lawsuit. A hearing on the parties' motions is scheduled for August 21, 2006.

Should the Court's October 2003 decision ultimately become final and be held to apply to all of the timber operations of Palco and ScoPac, it may have some or all of the following effects: imposing additional permitting requirements, delaying approvals of THPs, increasing harvesting costs, and adding water protection measures beyond those contained in the HCP. The Company believes that civil penalties should not be awarded for operations that occurred prior to the Court's decision due to the historical reliance by timber companies on the regulation and Palco's belief that the requirements under the HCP are adequate to ensure that sediment and pollutants from harvesting activities on the Palco Timberlands will not reach levels harmful to the environment. While the impact of a conclusion to this case that upholds the October 2003 ruling may be adverse, the Company does not believe that such an outcome should have a material adverse impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Nevertheless, due to the numerous ways in which the Court's interpretation of the regulation could be applied to actual operations, there can be no assurance that this will be the case.

On November 20, 2002, the *Cook action* and the *Cave action* were filed, which name Palco and certain affiliates as defendants. The *Cook action* alleges, among other things, that defendants' logging practices have contributed to an increase in flooding along Freshwater Creek (which runs through the Palco Timberlands), resulting in personal injury and damage to the plaintiffs' properties. Plaintiffs further allege that in order to have THPs approved in the affected areas, the defendants engaged in certain unfair business practices. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, compensatory and exemplary damages, injunctive relief, and appointment of a receiver to ensure that the watershed is restored. The *Cave action* contains similar allegations and requests similar relief with respect to the Elk River watershed (a portion of which is contained on the Palco Timberlands). On October 13, 2005, the *Johnson action* was filed and contains allegations similar to the *Cave* and *Cook actions*. The Company does not believe the resolution of these actions should result in a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

On February 25, 2003, the District Attorney of Humboldt County filed the *Humboldt DA action*. The suit was filed under California's unfair competition law and alleges that the Palco Companies used certain unfair business practices in connection with completion of the Headwaters Agreement, and that this resulted in the harvest of significantly more trees than would have otherwise been the case. The suit sought a variety of remedies including a civil penalty of \$2,500 for each additional tree that has been or will be harvested due to this alleged increase in harvest, as well as restitution and an injunction in respect of the additional timber harvesting allegedly being conducted. On June 14, 2005, the Court dismissed this matter in its entirety. On September 19, 2005, the District Attorney appealed this decision, however, the Company believes that the dismissal and prior rulings of the Court substantially diminished the exposure of the Palco Companies with respect to this matter.

In December 2005, Palco and ScoPac filed the *California Headwaters action*. The *California Headwaters action* alleges that the defendants have substantially impaired the contractual and legal rights of Palco and ScoPac under the Headwaters Agreement and the related permits, authorizations and approvals. The *California Headwaters action* also alleges that the actions of the defendants have caused the companies substantial damages, but does not specify an amount. While the Claims Board has indicated that it is investigating the matter, it failed to approve or deny the claim by the statutory deadline. As a result, the *California Headwaters action* is by operation of law treated as having been denied, and Palco and ScoPac may now file a claim for damages in California state court. Palco and ScoPac are considering how best to proceed with respect to this matter.

#### *OTS Contingency and Related Matters*

On December 26, 1995, the OTS initiated the *OTS action* against the Company and others alleging, among other things, misconduct by the Respondents and others with respect to the failure of USAT. The OTS sought damages ranging from \$326.6 million to \$821.3 million under various theories. Following 110 days of proceedings before an administrative law judge during 1997-1999, and over two years of post-trial briefing, on September 12, 2001, the administrative law judge issued a recommended decision in favor of the Respondents on each claim made by the OTS. On October 17, 2002, the *OTS action* was settled for \$0.2 million with no admission of wrongdoing on the part of the Respondents.

As a result of the dismissal of the *OTS action*, a related civil action, the *FDIC action*, alleging damages in excess of \$250 million, was subsequently dismissed. The *FDIC action* was originally filed by the FDIC in August 1995 against Mr. Charles E. Hurwitz (Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company).

On May 31, 2000, the Respondents filed a counterclaim to the *FDIC action*. On November 8, 2002, the Respondents filed the Sanctions Motion. The Sanctions Motion states that the FDIC illegally paid the OTS to bring the *OTS action* against the Respondents and that the FDIC illegally sued for an improper purpose (i.e. in order to acquire timberlands held by a subsidiary of the Company). The Respondents are seeking a sanction to be made whole for the attorneys' fees they have paid (plus interest) in connection with the *OTS* and *FDIC actions*. As of December 31, 2005, such fees were in excess of \$40.6 million. On August 23, 2005, a U.S. District Court ruled on the Sanctions Motion, ordering the FDIC to pay the Respondents \$72.3 million. The FDIC has appealed the District Court decision to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. The U.S. District Court award has not been accrued as of December 31, 2005 or June 30, 2006. There can be no assurance that the Company will ultimately collect this award.

On January 16, 2001, the *Kahn lawsuit* was filed. The plaintiff purports to bring this action as a stockholder of the Company derivatively on behalf of the Company. The lawsuit concerns the *OTS* and *FDIC actions*, and the Company's advancement of fees and expenses on behalf of Federated and certain of the Company's directors in connection with these actions. It alleges that the defendants have breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, and have wasted corporate assets, by allowing the Company to bear all of the costs and expenses of Federated and certain of the Company's directors related to the *OTS* and *FDIC actions*. The plaintiff seeks to require Federated and certain of the Company's directors to reimburse the Company for all costs and expenses incurred by the Company in connection with the *OTS* and *FDIC actions*, and to enjoin the Company from advancing to Federated or certain of the Company's directors any further funds for costs or expenses associated with these actions. The parties to the *Kahn lawsuit* have agreed to an indefinite extension of the defendants' obligations to respond to the plaintiffs' claims. Although it is impossible to assess the ultimate outcome of the *Kahn lawsuit*, the Company believes that the resolution of this matter should not result in a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

#### *Other Matters*

On September 2, 2004, the Company was advised that the NJDEP alleged that one of its former subsidiaries is a successor to a company that manufactured munitions for the U.S. Navy during World War II. The owner of the underlying property, which is located in Cranbury, New Jersey, was seeking the Company's participation in efforts to address contamination of the site which resulted from such operations. In January 2005, MGI and the owner of the property entered into an Administrative Consent Order with the NJDEP providing for, among other things, cleanup of the facility. In April 2005, MGI filed a Complaint against the United States of America, the U.S. Navy, and the U.S. Army for cost recovery and contribution; the defendants subsequently denied all of the claims. In early 2006, the property was sold to a new owner and MGI entered into an amendment to the Administrative Consent Order substituting the new owner for the original property owner. MGI also reached an agreement with several potentially responsible parties regarding cleanup at the site, the terms of which the Company believes will not result in a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity and under which MGI retained its cause of action against the government parties noted above.

The Company is involved in other claims, lawsuits and proceedings. While uncertainties are inherent in the final outcome of such matters and it is presently impossible to determine the actual costs that ultimately may be incurred or their effect on the Company, management believes that the resolution of such uncertainties and the incurrence of such costs should not result in a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

## **8. Stock-Based Compensation Plans**

Under the Company's share-based compensation plans, stock options and similar instruments may be granted to employees and outside directors at no less than the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. Grants generally vest ratably over a five-year period for grants to employees and over a four-year period for grants to outside directors and expire ten years after the grant date. Grants have generally been settled in cash upon exercise.

Grants issued to employees and outside directors were previously accounted for under the intrinsic value method of accounting as defined by APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company prospectively adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation as prescribed by SFAS No. 123(r) and recognized a \$0.7 million charge in January 2006, representing the cumulative effect of the accounting change.

The fair value of grants is determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following assumptions apply to the options granted through the periods presented.

|                                | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |       |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
|                                | 2006                         | 2005  |
| Expected volatility .....      | 34%                          | 40%   |
| Expected dividends .....       | —                            | —     |
| Expected term (in years) ..... | 6.34                         | 6.63  |
| Risk-free rate .....           | 5.10%                        | 3.72% |

Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's Common Stock. The dividend yield on the Company's Common Stock is assumed to be zero since the Company has not paid dividends in the past five years and has no current plans to do so in the future. The Company uses historical experience regarding exercises of grants to determine the grants' expected term. The expected term represents the period of time that the options granted are expected to remain outstanding. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect for the expected term of the option at the reporting date.

A summary of activity under the Company's plans as of June 30, 2006 is presented below:

|                                    | Options          | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Term (in years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2006 .....   | 1,114,306        | \$ 25.06                                 |  |                                 |
| Granted .....                      | 22,400           | 32.50                                    |  |                                 |
| Exercised .....                    | —                | —  |  |                                 |
| Forfeited or expired .....         | (49,693)         | 23.79                                    |  |                                 |
| Balance at June 30, 2006 .....     | <u>1,087,013</u> | <u>\$ 25.28</u>                          | <u>5.81</u>  | <u>\$ 8.2</u>                   |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2006 ..... | <u>699,054</u>   | <u>\$ 26.01</u>                          | <u>4.69</u>  | <u>\$ 5.7</u>                   |

Total compensation cost for share-based payment arrangements for the six months ended June 30, 2006, was a benefit of \$3.4 million due primarily to a reduction in the per share market price of the Company's Common Stock. As of June 30, 2006, total estimated compensation related to non-vested grants not yet recognized is \$5.0 million and the weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is 1.8 years, although the Company may not ultimately be required to pay such amount.

The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on net loss and loss per share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, had the Company accounted for its grants under the fair value method of accounting (in millions, except per share information).

|  | Three months Ended<br>June 30, 2005 | Six months Ended<br>June 30, 2005 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Net loss, as reported .....  | \$ (9.6)                            | \$ (23.8)                         |
| Add: Non-cash stock-based employee compensation benefit included<br>in reported net loss, net of related tax effects .....                         | (2.2)                               | (3.8)                             |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation benefit determined<br>under the fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects ..... | 2.4                                 | 4.1                               |
| Pro forma net loss .....   | <u>\$ (9.4)</u>                     | <u>\$ (23.5)</u>                  |
| Basic and diluted loss per share:  |                                     |                                   |
| As reported .....  | \$ (1.60)                           | \$ (3.98)                         |
| Pro forma .....  | (1.59)                              | (3.94)                            |

## 9. Per Share Information

The weighted average number of shares used to determine basic and diluted earnings per share was:

|  | <u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u> |                  | <u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u> |                  |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
|  | <u>2006</u>                        | <u>2005</u>      | <u>2006</u>                      | <u>2005</u>      |
| Weighted average shares outstanding:   |                                    |                  |                                  |                  |
| Common Stock .....   | 5,665,846                          | 5,976,447        | 5,816,059                        | 5,976,488        |
| Effect of dilution:  |                                    |                  |                                  |                  |
| Class A Preferred Stock <sup>(1)</sup> .....                                   | —                                  | —                | —                                | —                |
| Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares - Basic .....   | 5,665,846                          | 5,976,447        | 5,816,059                        | 5,976,488        |
| Effect of dilution:  |                                    |                  |                                  |                  |
| Stock options <sup>(1)</sup> .....   | —                                  | —                | —                                | —                |
| Weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares - Diluted ..... | <u>5,665,846</u>                   | <u>5,976,447</u> | <u>5,816,059</u>                 | <u>5,976,488</u> |

<sup>(1)</sup> The Class A Preferred Stock and options were not included in the computation of basic or diluted earnings per share because the Company had a loss for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## 10. Comprehensive Loss

The following table sets forth comprehensive loss (in millions).

|   | <u>Three Months Ended</u><br><u>June 30,</u> |                 | <u>Six Months Ended</u><br><u>June 30,</u> |                  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|------------------|
|   | <u>2006</u>                                  | <u>2005</u>     | <u>2006</u>                                | <u>2005</u>      |
| Net loss: .....   | \$ (11.2)                                    | \$ (9.6)        | \$ (21.4)                                  | \$ (23.8)        |
| Other comprehensive loss:                                 |  |                 |  |                  |
| Unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments ..... | —  | —               | 0.2  | (0.1)            |
| Total comprehensive loss .....                            | <u>\$ (11.2)</u>                             | <u>\$ (9.6)</u> | <u>\$ (21.2)</u>                           | <u>\$ (23.9)</u> |

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following should be read in conjunction with the financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report and Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of the Form 10-K. Any capitalized terms used but not defined in this Item are defined in the "Glossary of Defined Terms" contained in Appendix A. Except as otherwise noted, all references to Notes represent the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains statements which constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the PSLRA. These statements appear in a number of places in this section and in Part II, Item 1 "Legal Proceedings." Such statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "estimates," "will," "should," "could," "plans," "intends," "projects," "seeks," or "anticipates" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. Readers are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve significant risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may vary materially from the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. These factors include the effectiveness of management's strategies and decisions, general economic and business conditions, developments in technology, new or modified statutory, environmental or regulatory requirements, litigation developments, and changing prices and market conditions. This Form 10-Q and the Form 10-K identify other factors which could cause differences between such forward-looking statements and actual results. No assurance can be given that these are all of the factors that could cause actual results to vary materially from the forward-looking statements.*

### Results of Operations

*This section contains statements which constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the PSLRA. See the second paragraph of Item 2. for cautionary information with respect to such forward-looking statements.*

The Company operates in three industries: forest products, through MGI and its wholly owned subsidiaries, principally Palco, ScoPac and Britt; real estate investment and development, through various subsidiaries and joint ventures; and racing operations through SHRP, Ltd. MGHI owns 100% of MGI and is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. In addition, the Company previously owned 63% of the common stock of Kaiser, a producer of fabricated aluminum products undergoing reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. See Note 1 for information regarding the deconsolidation of Kaiser's financial results, the status of Kaiser's Chapter 11 proceedings, and the accounting treatment of the Company's investment in Kaiser. Any reference herein to a company includes the subsidiaries of that company unless otherwise noted or the context indicates otherwise.

### Consolidated Operations

#### Selected Operational Data

The following table presents selected financial information for the periods indicated for the Company's consolidated operations.

|   | Three Months Ended |                 | Six Months Ended |                  |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
|   | June 30,           |                 | June 30,         |                  |
|   | 2006               | 2005            | 2006             | 2005             |
| Net sales   | \$ 63.5            | \$ 87.2         | \$ 143.7         | \$ 170.2         |
| Costs and expenses  | (66.7)             | (80.8)          | (141.3)          | (161.0)          |
| Gains on sales of timberlands and other assets                      | 5.2                | 0.1             | 5.9              | 0.1              |
| Operating income  | 2.0                | 6.5             | 8.3              | 9.3              |
| Other income, net   | 2.3                | 4.3             | 6.7              | 5.5              |
| Interest expense, including amortization of deferred loan costs     | (19.7)             | (20.4)          | (39.9)           | (38.6)           |
| Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change | (15.4)             | (9.6)           | (24.9)           | (23.8)           |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes                                | 4.2                | —               | 4.2              | —                |
| Loss before cumulative effect of accounting change                  | (11.2)             | (9.6)           | (20.7)           | (23.8)           |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax                  | —                  | —               | (0.7)            | —                |
| Net Loss  | <u>\$ (11.2)</u>   | <u>\$ (9.6)</u> | <u>\$ (21.4)</u> | <u>\$ (23.8)</u> |

## ***Overview of Consolidated Results of Operations***

### *Net Sales*

Consolidated net sales for the three months ended June 30, 2006, declined \$23.7 million, as compared to the prior year period. Real estate sales declined \$10.9 million during the quarter due to a reduction in the number of lots sold at Fountain Hills, partially offset by increased lot sales at Mirada. Sales at the Company's forest products segment declined \$13.1 million due to a 26.6% decline in lumber shipments as a result of a lower log supply from ScoPac and an increase in the volume of lumber placed into Palco's redwood lumber drying program.

Consolidated net sales for the six months ended June 30, 2006, declined \$26.5 million, as compared to the prior year period. Real estate sales declined \$4.7 million due to a reduction in the number of lots sold at Fountain Hills, partially offset by increased lot sales at Mirada and deferred profit recognized at Palmas. Sales for the Company's forest products segment declined \$22.6 million due to the factors discussed above.

### *Operating Income*

Consolidated operating income declined \$4.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the prior year period. Operating income for the real estate segment declined \$7.5 million, primarily as a result of a decline in real estate sales, as discussed above. Operating income for the forest products segment increased \$3.2 million due to a \$5.2 million gain from the sale of certain properties and a significant reduction in legal and other professional fees. The second quarter of 2005 included substantial legal and other professional fees relating to ScoPac's efforts to pursue a negotiated restructuring of the Timber Notes. Absent the gain from asset sales in 2006 and the effect of the impact of the legal and other professional fees incurred in 2005, operating results for the forest products segment declined significantly, as compared to the prior year, due to a decline in lumber shipments as a result of lower log supply from ScoPac and an increase in the volume of lumber placed into Palco's redwood drying program.

Consolidated operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2006, was relatively flat as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2005, due to the \$5.9 million gain from the sale of certain properties in 2006. The six months ended June 30, 2005 included substantial legal and other professional fees relating to ScoPac's efforts to pursue a negotiated restructuring of the Timber Notes and a one time benefit of \$3.1 million relating to an insurance settlement. Absent the gain from asset sales in 2006 and the effect of the impact of the legal and other professional fees incurred in 2005, operating results for the forest products segment declined significantly as compared to the prior year due the factors discussed above.

### *Other Income, net*

Consolidated other income, net decreased to \$2.3 million for the second quarter of 2006, as compared to \$4.3 million for the second quarter of 2005, due to lower investment levels and a decrease in equity income from investments. Consolidated other income, net for the six months of 2006 was impacted favorably by higher returns on marketable securities and other short-term investments.

### *Net Loss*

A tax benefit of \$4.1 million was recognized during the second quarter of 2006 due to the reversal of deferred tax liabilities established based on the current Texas franchise tax. During the second quarter of 2006, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 3 changing, among other things, the Texas franchise tax base from a tax on the greater of capital or net income to a tax on gross margin. As a result of this tax law change, the deferred state tax liabilities referred to above are no longer required.

## ***Forest Products Operations***

### *Industry Overview and Selected Financial and Operating Data*

The Company's forest products operations are conducted through MGI and its wholly owned subsidiaries, principally Palco, ScoPac and Britt. The segment's operations have become increasingly unpredictable due to continued regulatory constraints, ongoing litigation challenges and other factors. Additionally, the segment's operations are somewhat seasonal, with its net sales having historically been higher in the months of April through November than in the months of December through March. Management expects that the segment's revenues and cash flows will continue to be unpredictable and somewhat seasonal. Accordingly, the segment's results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Regulatory and environmental matters as well as legal actions have had and are expected to continue to adversely affect the Company's forest products operations. See Item 1. "Business-Forest Products Operations-Regulatory and Environmental Factors" and Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of the Form 10-K and in this Form 10-Q and Note 7 for information regarding these matters. Regulatory compliance and related litigation have caused and are expected to continue to cause delays in approval of THPs and delays in harvesting on THPs once they are approved. This has resulted and is expected to continue to result in a significant decline in harvest and increased costs.

The cash flows of Palco and ScoPac have both been adversely impacted by the failure of the North Coast Water Board to release for harvest a number of already approved THPs. ScoPac's management has concluded that, in the absence of significant regulatory relief and accommodations, ScoPac's annual timber harvest levels and cash flows from operations will for at least several years be substantially below both historical levels and the minimum levels necessary to allow ScoPac to satisfy its debt service obligations in respect of the Timber Notes. To the extent that ScoPac is unable to generate sufficient liquidity from the ScoPac Land Sale Program (see "-Financial Condition and Investing and Financing Activities-Forest Products Operations") or other sources, the Company expects that ScoPac will be forced to take extraordinary actions, which may include: laying off employees, shutting down various operations, and seeking protection by filing under the Bankruptcy Code.

On July 18, 2006, Palco and Britt closed on the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility and terminated the Old Palco Term Loan and Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility. For further information, see "-Financial Condition and Investing and Financing Activities-Forest Products Operations."

In addition to the matters described above, there can be no assurance that certain other pending legal, regulatory and environmental matters or future governmental regulations, additional litigation, legislation, judicial or administrative decisions, adverse weather conditions, or low lumber or log prices, will not also have material adverse effects on the financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company's forest products operations. See Item 1. "Business-Forest Products Operations-Regulatory and Environmental Factors," Item 1A. "Risk Factors," and Item 3. "Legal Proceedings-Forest Products Operations" of the Form 10-K, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-Q and Note 7 (under "Regulatory and Environmental Factors" and "Contingencies-Timber Harvest Litigation") for further information regarding regulatory and legislative matters and legal proceedings relating to the Company's forest products operations.

Since 2001, comprehensive external and internal reviews have been conducted of Palco's business operations. Those reviews were conducted in an effort to identify ways in which Palco could operate on a more efficient and cost-effective basis. Palco has implemented a number of changes, including: consolidating its sawmill operations; eliminating certain of its operating activities, including its company-staffed logging operations (now relying exclusively on contract loggers), its soil amendment and concrete block activities, and its Scotia finishing and remanufacturing plant; and adopting various cost saving measures. Palco continues to examine ways to achieve cost savings. In April 2004, Palco commenced a mill improvement project, including a new sawmill located in Scotia, California. The new sawmill was constructed in two phases. The first phase of the project, the processing of smaller diameter second growth logs (up to 24" in diameter) is a high-speed processing line that includes advanced scanning and optimization technology intended to maximize lumber recovery. The second phase, the relocation of the large log equipment from Palco's former Carlotta mill, came on line in October 2005. This phase allows for processing of larger logs up to 60" in diameter. Although there were more difficulties than Palco expected, since commencing production, Palco has made substantial progress in refining the production process in the new mill, particularly the high-speed small log processing line. There have been delays in the completion of the large log processing line, however, and it is not yet operating at planned production rates. Palco also completed a new planer project in Scotia in January 2004. This high speed system processes rough sawn boards into finished lumber at rates up to four times faster than older planers at Palco's former Carlotta and Fortuna mills. Palco has spent \$28.6 million through June 30, 2006, on the new sawmill and planer project in Scotia and estimates additional expenditures of \$3.6 million related to the project in 2006.

The following table presents selected operational and financial information for the periods indicated, for the Company's forest products operations.

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |           | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |           |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
|  | 2006                           | 2005      | 2006                         | 2005      |
| (In millions of dollars, except shipments and prices)                        |                                |           |                              |           |
| Timber harvest <sup>(1)</sup> .....  | 21.1                           | 32.4      | 35.3                         | 65.5      |
| Shipments:   |                                |           |                              |           |
| Lumber: <sup>(2)</sup>   |                                |           |                              |           |
| Redwood upper grades .....   | 1.5                            | 2.2       | 2.1                          | 4.6       |
| Redwood common grades .....  | 27.0                           | 43.0      | 64.0                         | 86.1      |
| Douglas-fir upper grades .....   | –                              | 0.1       | –                            | 0.4       |
| Douglas-fir common grades .....  | 22.3                           | 24.7      | 45.4                         | 57.7      |
| Other .....  | 1.2                            | 0.8       | 1.6                          | 1.6       |
| Total lumber .....   | 52.0                           | 70.8      | 113.1                        | 150.4     |
| Cogeneration power <sup>(3)</sup> .....                                      | 25.6                           | 43.3      | 53.5                         | 84.0      |
| Average sales price:   |                                |           |                              |           |
| Lumber: <sup>(4)</sup>   |                                |           |                              |           |
| Redwood upper grades .....   | \$ 1,875                       | \$ 1,365  | \$ 1,737                     | \$ 1,269  |
| Redwood common grades .....  | 711                            | 639       | 666                          | 625       |
| Douglas-fir upper grades .....   | –                              | 1,919     | –                            | 1,131     |
| Douglas-fir common grades .....  | 365                            | 381       | 365                          | 373       |
| Cogeneration power <sup>(5)</sup> .....                                      | 76                             | 66        | 70                           | 64        |
| Net sales:   |                                |           |                              |           |
| Lumber, net of discount .....  | \$ 30.6                        | \$ 39.8   | \$ 63.1                      | \$ 81.2   |
| Logs .....   | (0.4)                          | 2.4       | 1.6                          | 3.9       |
| Cogeneration power .....   | 2.0                            | 2.9       | 3.9                          | 5.5       |
| Wood chips .....   | 0.8                            | 1.0       | 1.5                          | 2.1       |
| Other <sup>(6)</sup> .....   | 0.8                            | 0.8       | 1.5                          | 1.5       |
| Total net sales .....  | \$ 33.8                        | \$ 46.9   | \$ 71.6                      | \$ 94.2   |
| Operating income (loss) .....  | \$ 0.1                         | \$ (3.1)  | \$ (5.4)                     | \$ (5.9)  |
| Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of<br>accounting change ..... | \$ (14.9)                      | \$ (18.1) | \$ (35.9)                    | \$ (34.4) |

<sup>(1)</sup> Timber harvest is expressed in millions of board feet, net Scribner scale.

<sup>(2)</sup> Lumber shipments are expressed in millions of board feet.

<sup>(3)</sup> Power deliveries are expressed in thousands of megawatt hours.

<sup>(4)</sup> Dollars per thousand board feet.

<sup>(5)</sup> Dollars per megawatt hour.

<sup>(6)</sup> Includes a gain of \$5.2 million and \$5.9 million on the sale of certain properties in the second quarter and six months of 2006, respectively.

#### *Net Sales*

Total net sales for forest products operations declined \$13.1 million for the second quarter of 2006 and \$22.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the prior year periods. The decrease in net sales was due to a decline in lumber shipments resulting from a lower log supply from ScoPac and an increase in the volume of lumber placed into Palco's redwood lumber drying program during the second quarter of 2006. The lower log supply from ScoPac was due to adverse weather conditions in early 2006 and harvest restrictions.

#### *Operating Income (loss)*

The forest products segment generated operating income of \$0.1 million for the second quarter of 2006 and an operating loss of \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. These results include a gain of \$5.2 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, from the sale of certain properties. The forest products segment has incurred substantial operating losses in 2006 resulting from harvest restrictions at ScoPac, adverse weather conditions and operational inefficiencies related to the new sawmill in Scotia. The forest products segment's operating results for the first half of 2005 include substantial legal and other professional fees relating to ScoPac's efforts to pursue a negotiated restructuring of the Timber Notes and the first quarter of 2005 includes a one time benefit of \$3.1 million relating to an insurance settlement.

*Loss Before Income Taxes and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

Forest products operations' loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change improved by \$3.2 million for the second quarter of 2006 and was \$1.5 million higher for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the prior year period, due to the factors discussed above. Additionally, interest expense was higher during the first half of 2006 due to higher debt levels and higher interest rates at Palco. However, this increase in interest expense in the second quarter of 2006 is largely offset by the write-off of deferred financing costs during the second quarter of 2005. The forest products segment also had excess cash in 2005 invested that generated earnings of approximately \$0.8 million in the second quarter of 2005 and \$1.2 million in the first half of 2005.

**Real Estate Operations**

*Industry Overview and Selected Operational Data*

The Company, principally through its wholly owned subsidiaries and joint ventures, invests in and develops residential and commercial real estate primarily in Puerto Rico, Arizona, California, and Texas. Results of operations between quarterly periods for the Company's real estate operations are generally not comparable due to the timing of individual real estate sales transactions and cash collections. Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year. The following table presents selected operational and financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, for the Company's real estate operations.

|   | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |                | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
|   | 2006                           | 2005           | 2006                         | 2005           |
|   | (In millions of dollars)       |                |                              |                |
| Net sales:  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Real estate:  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Fountain Hills  | \$ 1.1                         | \$ 16.2        | \$ 3.2                       | \$ 22.9        |
| Mirada  | 6.8                            | 1.7            | 15.9                         | 4.3            |
| Palmas  | 1.9                            | 2.5            | 10.5                         | 7.1            |
| Other   | —                              | 0.1            | —                            | 0.1            |
| Total   | <u>9.8</u>                     | <u>20.5</u>    | <u>29.6</u>                  | <u>34.4</u>    |
| Resort, commercial and other:   |                                |                |                              |                |
| Fountain Hills  | 1.1                            | 1.5            | 2.1                          | 3.0            |
| Palmas  | 3.7                            | 3.5            | 7.1                          | 6.4            |
| Commercial lease properties   | 4.6                            | 4.6            | 9.2                          | 9.1            |
| Other   | —                              | —              | 0.2                          | —              |
| Total   | <u>9.4</u>                     | <u>9.6</u>     | <u>18.6</u>                  | <u>18.5</u>    |
| Total net sales   | <u>\$ 19.2</u>                 | <u>\$ 30.1</u> | <u>\$ 48.2</u>               | <u>\$ 52.9</u> |
| Operating income (loss):  |                                |                |                              |                |
| Fountain Hills  | \$ (0.3)                       | \$ 9.4         | \$ 0.1                       | \$ 12.0        |
| Mirada  | 3.3                            | 0.6            | 8.1                          | 1.4            |
| Palmas  | (1.2)                          | (0.9)          | 4.7                          | 0.9            |
| Commercial lease properties   | 2.1                            | 1.9            | 4.2                          | 3.4            |
| Other   | (0.4)                          | —              | (0.7)                        | —              |
| Total operating income  | <u>\$ 3.5</u>                  | <u>\$ 11.0</u> | <u>\$ 16.4</u>               | <u>\$ 17.7</u> |
| Investment, interest and other income (expense), net:                 |                                |                |                              |                |
| Equity in earnings from real estate joint ventures                    | \$ (0.1)                       | \$ (0.2)       | \$ (0.3)                     | \$ (0.4)       |
| Other   | 1.6                            | 0.9            | 2.8                          | 1.5            |
|   | <u>\$ 1.5</u>                  | <u>\$ 0.7</u>  | <u>\$ 2.5</u>                | <u>\$ 1.1</u>  |
| Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change | <u>\$ 0.7</u>                  | <u>\$ 7.4</u>  | <u>\$ 10.2</u>               | <u>\$ 10.1</u> |

### *Net Sales*

Total net sales for the real estate operations for the second quarter of 2006 decreased by \$10.9 million, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to a reduction in the number of lots sold at Fountain Hills, partially offset by increased lot sales at Mirada. Total net sales for the real estate operations for the six months of 2006 decreased by \$4.7 million, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to a substantial reduction in the number of lots sold at Fountain Hills, partially offset by increased lot sales at Mirada and deferred profits recognized at Palmas.

### *Operating Income and Income Before Income Taxes and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

Operating income decreased by \$7.5 million and \$1.3 million for the second quarter and six months of 2006, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods, primarily as a result of the decreased sales noted. The segment's income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change decreased by \$6.7 million for the second quarter of 2006, as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to the decline in operating results noted above. The segment's income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change increased \$0.1 million for the six months of 2006 primarily due to returns on marketable securities and other short-term investments offset by the decline in net sales as noted above.

### ***Racing Operations***

#### *Industry Overview and Selected Operational Data*

The Company owns SHRP, Ltd., which owns and operates Sam Houston Race Park, a Class 1 horse racing facility in Houston, Texas, and Valley Race Park, a greyhound racing facility located in Harlingen, Texas. Results of operations between quarterly periods are generally not comparable for these facilities due to the timing, varying lengths and types of racing meets held. Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year. Historically, Sam Houston Race Park and Valley Race Park have derived a significant amount of their annual pari-mutuel commissions from live racing and simulcasting. Pari-mutuel commissions have typically been highest during the first and fourth quarters of the year, the time during which Sam Houston Race Park and Valley Race Park have historically conducted live thoroughbred and greyhound racing, respectively.

The following table presents selected operational and financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, for the Company's racing operations.

|  | Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |                 | Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                 |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
|  | 2006                           | 2005            | 2006                         | 2005            |
| (In millions of dollars)   |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Number of live race days:  |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Sam Houston Race Park .....  | 11                             | 11              | 53                           | 53              |
| Valley Race Park .....   | 10                             | 5               | 72                           | 68              |
| Handle:  |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Sam Houston Race Park:   |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| On-track handle .....  | \$ 34.0                        | \$ 32.0         | \$ 67.1                      | \$ 63.8         |
| Off-track handle .....   | 12.2                           | 13.3            | 89.3                         | 86.4            |
| Total .....  | <u>\$ 46.2</u>                 | <u>\$ 45.3</u>  | <u>\$ 156.4</u>              | <u>\$ 150.2</u> |
| Valley Race Park:  |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| On-track handle .....  | \$ 4.4                         | \$ 4.8          | \$ 10.3                      | \$ 10.4         |
| Off-track handle .....   | 0.4                            | 0.2             | 2.8                          | 1.7             |
| Total .....  | <u>\$ 4.8</u>                  | <u>\$ 5.0</u>   | <u>\$ 13.1</u>               | <u>\$ 12.1</u>  |
| Net sales:   |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Sam Houston Race Park:   |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Gross pari-mutuel commissions .....                                    | \$ 7.8                         | \$ 7.4          | \$ 17.3                      | \$ 16.6         |
| Other revenues .....   | 1.6                            | 1.6             | 3.6                          | 3.5             |
| Total .....  | <u>9.4</u>                     | <u>9.0</u>      | <u>20.9</u>                  | <u>20.1</u>     |
| Valley Race Park:  |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Gross pari-mutuel commissions .....                                    | 1.0                            | 1.1             | 2.5                          | 2.5             |
| Other revenues .....   | 0.1                            | 0.1             | 0.5                          | 0.5             |
| Total .....  | <u>1.1</u>                     | <u>1.2</u>      | <u>3.0</u>                   | <u>3.0</u>      |
| Total net sales .....  | <u>\$ 10.5</u>                 | <u>\$ 10.2</u>  | <u>\$ 23.9</u>               | <u>\$ 23.1</u>  |
| Operating loss:  |                                |                 |                              |                 |
| Sam Houston Race Park .....  | \$ (1.4)                       | \$ (0.9)        | \$ (1.4)                     | \$ (0.9)        |
| Valley Race Park .....   | (0.2)                          | —               | (0.3)                        | (0.2)           |
| Total operating loss .....   | <u>\$ (1.6)</u>                | <u>\$ (0.9)</u> | <u>\$ (1.7)</u>              | <u>\$ (1.1)</u> |
| Loss before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change .. | <u>\$ (1.5)</u>                | <u>\$ (0.9)</u> | <u>\$ (1.6)</u>              | <u>\$ (1.1)</u> |

#### *Net Sales*

Total net sales for racing operations increased slightly in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the prior year period, primarily due to higher simulcast wagering at Sam Houston Race Park.

Total net sales for racing increased slightly in the first six months of 2006 compared to the prior year period, primarily due to higher simulcast wagering and increased average daily attendance at both Sam Houston Race Park and Valley Race Park.

#### *Operating Loss and Loss Before Taxes and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

Racing operations' operating loss and loss before taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 increased from the comparable periods in 2005, principally due to expenditures related to the Company's efforts to obtain an additional racing license in Laredo, Texas.

### *Other Items Not Directly Related to Industry Segments*

|   | <u>Three Months Ended</u> |             | <u>Six Months Ended</u> |             |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|   | <u>June 30,</u>           |             | <u>June 30,</u>         |             |
|   | <u>2006</u>               | <u>2005</u> | <u>2006</u>             | <u>2005</u> |
|   | (In millions of dollars)  |             |                         |             |
| Operating loss . . . . .  | \$ -                      | \$ (0.5)    | \$ (1.0)                | \$ (1.4)    |
| Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change . . . . . | 0.3                       | 2.0         | 2.4                     | 1.6         |

#### *Operating Loss*

Corporate operating losses represent general and administrative expenses that are not attributable to the Company's industry segments, including stock-based compensation expense. The Corporate segment's operating losses improved \$0.5 million for the second quarter of 2006 as compared to the prior year period, primarily due to cost cutting initiatives.

The Corporate segment's operating losses improved \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the prior year period. The six months ended June 30, 2005 included a one-time severance charge of \$0.5 million.

#### *Income Before Income Taxes and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change*

Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change decreased \$1.7 million for the second quarter of 2006, as compared the prior year period, due to lower levels of investments and a decrease in equity income from investments.

Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of accounting change improved by \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared the prior year period, due to higher returns on short term investments.

### **Financial Condition and Investing and Financing Activities**

*This section contains statements which constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the PSLRA. See the second paragraph of Item 2. for cautionary information with respect to such forward-looking statements.*

#### *Overview*

The Company conducts its operations primarily through its subsidiaries. Accordingly, creditors of subsidiaries of the Company have priority with respect to the assets and earnings of such subsidiaries over the claims of the creditors of the Company. Certain of the Company's subsidiaries, principally Palco and ScoPac, are restricted by their various debt instruments as to the amount of funds that can be paid in the form of dividends or loaned to affiliates. ScoPac is highly leveraged and has significant debt service requirements. Palco is also highly leveraged, and has significant debt service obligations.

## Cash Flow

The following table summarizes certain data related to financial condition and to investing and financing activities of the Company and its subsidiaries.

|  | Forest Products              |                     |                    | Real<br>Estate | Racing        | MGHI        | MAXXAM<br>Parent | Total           |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
|  | Scotia<br>LLC                | Palco<br>and Other  | MGI                |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| (In millions of dollars)   |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| <u>Indebtedness (excluding intercompany notes)</u>   |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| Short-term borrowings and current maturities of long-term debt:  |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| June 30, 2006  | \$ 64.9 <sup>(1)</sup>       | \$ - <sup>(2)</sup> | \$ -               | \$ 4.2         | \$ 0.1        | \$ -        | \$ -             | \$ 69.2         |
| December 31, 2005  | 49.4                         | 58.9                | -                  | 4.1            | 0.1           | -           | -                | 112.5           |
| Long-term debt, excluding current maturities:  |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| June 30, 2006  | \$ 653.1                     | 42.7 <sup>(2)</sup> | -                  | \$ 218.0       | \$ 0.2        | \$ -        | \$ -             | \$ 914.0        |
| December 31, 2005  | 669.6                        | -                   | -                  | 219.7          | 0.3           | -           | -                | 889.6           |
| <u>Cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and other investments and availability of lender credit</u> |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| June 30, 2006:   |                              |                     |                    |                |               |             |                  |                 |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$ 1.4                       | \$ 1.3              | \$ 0.1             | \$ 24.0        | \$ 5.2        | \$ -        | \$ 4.0           | \$ 36.0         |
| Marketable securities and other investments  | -                            | -                   | -                  | 42.4           | -             | -           | 90.1             | 132.5           |
| Current restricted cash and marketable securities  | 13.1                         | -                   | 2.0 <sup>(3)</sup> | 2.2            | 2.2           | -           | -                | 19.5            |
| Long-term restricted amounts   | 2.7                          | 2.4                 | -                  | 2.8            | -             | -           | -                | 7.9             |
|  | <u>\$ 17.2</u>               | <u>\$ 3.7</u>       | <u>\$ 2.1</u>      | <u>\$ 71.4</u> | <u>\$ 7.4</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 94.1</u>   | <u>\$ 195.9</u> |
| Unused and available credit  | 11.9                         | 3.4                 | -                  | 0.1            | -             | -           | -                | 15.4            |
|  | <u>\$ 29.1<sup>(4)</sup></u> | <u>\$ 7.1</u>       | <u>\$ 2.1</u>      | <u>\$ 71.5</u> | <u>\$ 7.4</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 94.1</u>   | <u>\$ 211.3</u> |

Table and Notes continued on next page

|   | <u>Forest Products</u>   |                        |                       | <u>Real Estate</u> | <u>Racing</u> | <u>MGHI</u> | <u>MAXXAM Parent</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|
|   | <u>Scotia LLC</u>        | <u>Palco and Other</u> | <u>MGI</u>            |                    |               |             |                      |              |
|   | (In millions of dollars) |                        |                       |                    |               |             |                      |              |
| Capital expenditures:   |                          |                        |                       |                    |               |             |                      |              |
| June 30, 2006   | \$ 2.2                   | \$ 1.1                 | \$ -                  | \$ 0.7             | \$ 0.2        | \$ -        | \$ -                 | \$ 4.2       |
| June 30, 2005   | 3.1                      | 3.7                    | -                     | 0.7                | 1.0           | -           | 0.4                  | 8.9          |
| Net proceeds from dispositions of property and investments:                           |                          |                        |                       |                    |               |             |                      |              |
| June 30, 2006   | \$ 6.9                   | \$ 1.2                 | \$ -                  | \$ -               | \$ -          | \$ -        | \$ -                 | \$ 8.1       |
| June 30, 2005   | -                        | 0.1                    | -                     | -                  | -             | -           | -                    | 0.1          |
| Borrowings (repayments) of debt and credit facilities, net of financing costs:        |                          |                        |                       |                    |               |             |                      |              |
| June 30, 2006   | \$ (1.1)                 | \$ (17.2)              | \$ -                  | \$ (1.8)           | \$ (0.1)      | \$ -        | \$ -                 | \$ (20.2)    |
| June 30, 2005   | 5.0                      | 18.7                   | -                     | (2.7)              | (0.1)         | -           | -                    | 20.9         |
| Dividends, advances including interest paid and tax sharing payments received (paid): |                          |                        |                       |                    |               |             |                      |              |
| June 30, 2006   | \$ -                     | \$ 20.0 <sup>(5)</sup> | \$ 7.6 <sup>(6)</sup> | \$ (1.6)           | \$ 1.0        | \$ -        | \$ (27.0)            | \$ -         |
| June 30, 2005   | -                        | -                      | 2.6                   | (13.9)             | 4.5           | -           | 6.8                  | -            |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes borrowings outstanding under the ScoPac Line of Credit of \$42.2 million and the current portion of Timber Notes Scheduled Amortization of \$22.7 million.

<sup>(2)</sup> At December 31, 2005, Palco's credit facilities were classified as short-term borrowings due to financial covenant breaches. At June 30, 2006, as a result of Palco's subsequent refinancing to long-term debt facilities, Palco's credit facilities were classified as long-term borrowings.

<sup>(3)</sup> MGI's collateral was released in July 2006 in connection with Palco's refinancing.

<sup>(4)</sup> Excludes Timber Notes held in the SAR Account that ScoPac intends to sell before the January 20, 2007, Timber Notes payment date.

<sup>(5)</sup> Reflects advances to Palco that Palco used to fund its liquidity shortfalls during the first half of 2006.

<sup>(6)</sup> Advances of \$4.6 million were used by MGI to fund timber/log purchases from ScoPac during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

### *Operating Activities*

Net cash used for operating activities of \$10.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, resulted primarily from operating liquidity shortfalls at the Company's forest products segment. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$21.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was primarily the result of a high volume of lot sales by the Company's real estate segment.

### *Investing Activities*

Net cash provided by investing activities of \$15.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, primarily reflects net proceeds from restricted cash and net proceeds from property sales. Net cash used for investing activities of \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, primarily reflects net proceeds from restricted cash, offset by capital expenditures.

### *Financing Activities*

The \$42.6 million of net cash used for financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006, principally reflects treasury stock purchases of \$22.4 million and repayments on short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Net cash provided by financing activities of \$20.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005, principally reflects the net proceeds from the Palco refinancing that occurred in April 2005.

### **MAXXAM Parent**

MAXXAM Parent has in the past provided, and may from time to time in the future, under appropriate circumstances provide, various forms of financial assistance to its subsidiaries, or may enter into financing or other transactions with its subsidiaries, including secured or unsecured loans, or asset purchases. The New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility required MGI to provide a \$10.0 million subordinated loan to the Borrowers, which was funded at closing. There can be no assurance that MAXXAM Parent's subsidiaries will have sufficient liquidity in the future to repay intercompany loans. MAXXAM Parent's pension funding was \$0.8 million for the first six months of 2006 and is expected to be \$2.9 million for the remainder of 2006. During the first six months of 2006, MAXXAM Parent purchased 707,285 shares of its Common Stock for an aggregate cost of \$22.4 million.

Although there are no restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends on its capital stock, the Company has not paid any dividends for a number of years and has no present intention to do so. Additionally, the Company may from time to time purchase additional shares of its Common Stock on national exchanges or in privately negotiated transactions.

At June 30, 2006, MAXXAM Parent had unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and other investments of \$94.1 million and MAXXAM Parent did not have any external debt. MAXXAM Parent believes that its existing resources will be sufficient to fund its working capital requirements for the next year. With respect to long-term liquidity, MAXXAM Parent believes that its existing cash and cash resources, together with future distributions from the real estate segment, will be sufficient to meet its long-term working capital requirements. See Note 1, "—Potential Impact on Registrant and Certain Related Entities" regarding potential adverse impacts upon MAXXAM Parent as a result of the liquidity issues being experienced by ScoPac and those recently experienced by Palco.

### *Forest Products Operations*

Substantially all of MGI's consolidated assets are owned by Palco, and a substantial portion of Palco's consolidated assets are owned by ScoPac. The holders of the Timber Notes have priority over the claims of creditors of Palco with respect to the assets and cash flows of ScoPac. Palco's debt facilities contain certain restrictive covenants which effectively preclude the distribution of funds from Palco to MGI.

Regulatory and environmental matters as well as legal actions have had and are expected to continue to have a significant adverse effect on the Company's forest products operations and liquidity. The ability to harvest ScoPac Timber depends in large part upon ScoPac's ability to obtain regulatory approval of its THPs. ScoPac has experienced difficulties and delays in the approval of its THPs as the result of regulatory and litigation challenges and expects these challenges to persist. Moreover, the Company expects to continue to experience further difficulties, limitations and delays in being able to harvest on previously-approved THPs due to, among other things, actions by the North Coast Water Board (see below). The foregoing matters have resulted in declines in actual and expected harvest levels and cash flows, significant increases in the cost of logging operations and increased costs related to timber harvest litigation, all of which have severely and negatively impacted the historical cash flows of both Palco and ScoPac. These adverse effects are expected to continue.

The North Coast Water Board is requiring Palco and ScoPac to apply various waste discharge reporting, mitigation and erosion control requirements in respect of timber harvesting activities in several watersheds, and is likely to impose additional measures in the future. The North Coast Water Board in December 2003 directed its staff to formulate WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds on the Palco Timberlands. THPs in these two watersheds represent a significant portion of the harvest planned in 2006 and for the next several years. As harvesting activities on the Palco Timberlands cannot readily be moved between watersheds due to, among other things, historic harvest patterns, adjacency restrictions, and the age classes of trees, development of WWDRs and the other matters described in the "Regulatory and Environmental Factors" section of Note 7 have in the past and are expected to continue to result in reduced harvest and less predictability in the future regarding the mix of logs available for sale by ScoPac to Palco, which negatively impacts cash flow.

As the WWDRs had not yet been formulated for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, the North Coast Water Board for some time failed to release for harvest a number of ScoPac's THPs that had already been approved by the other governmental agencies which approve ScoPac's THPs. The North Coast Water Board subsequently allowed harvesting on a portion of the approved THPs; however, the State Water Board later disallowed harvesting on a portion of the THPs that had been released by the North Coast Water Board. On May 8, 2006, the North Coast Water Board adopted WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, which has the effect of allowing harvesting in these two watersheds to begin once THPs are enrolled, up to approximately 50% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds. Additional THPs, bringing the total to approximately 75% of the CDF Harvest Limit for these two watersheds, may be enrolled upon approval by the Executive Officer of the North Coast Water Board's staff of a monitoring plan, which has been submitted by Palco. While certain THPs related to these two watersheds have been enrolled, and harvesting has begun, there can be no assurance that additional THPs related to these two watersheds will ultimately be enrolled or harvested as planned in 2006 or in future years as, among other things, Palco's monitoring plan has not been approved. The North Coast Water Board's adoption of these WWDRs has been appealed to the State Water Board, but the appeals are being held in abeyance in order to see how the implementation of the WWDRs proceeds. While ScoPac continues to project that its annual harvest level over the ten-year period beginning 2006 will be approximately 100 million board feet, this projection is significantly below historical annual harvest levels, and actual harvest levels may be even lower, depending on the ultimate outcome of various assumptions.

### *ScoPac Liquidity Update*

Due to its highly leveraged condition, ScoPac is more sensitive than less leveraged companies to factors affecting its operations, including low log prices, governmental regulation and litigation affecting timber harvesting operations on the ScoPac Timber (see Item 1A. "Risk Factors," of the Form 10-K and Note 7), and general economic conditions. ScoPac's cash flows from operations are significantly impacted by harvest volumes and log prices. The Master Purchase Agreement between ScoPac and Palco (see Item 1. "Business-Forest Products Operations-Relationships among the Palco Companies" of the Form 10-K) contemplates that all sales of logs by ScoPac to Palco will be at fair market value (based on stumpage prices) for each species and category of timber. The Master Purchase Agreement provides that if the purchase price equals or exceeds the SBE Price and a structuring price set forth in a schedule to the Indenture, the purchase price is deemed to be at fair market value. If the purchase price equals or exceeds the SBE Price, but is less than the structuring price, then ScoPac is required to engage an independent forestry consultant to confirm that the purchase price reflects fair market value. In January 2006, the State Board of Equalization adopted the new Harvest Value Schedule for the first half of 2006. The prices published in that schedule reflected a 5.3% increase in the SBE Price for small redwood logs and a 5.6% decrease for small Douglas-fir logs from the prices published for the second half of 2005. In June 2006, the State Board of Equalization issued a draft version of the Harvest Value Schedule for the second half of 2006. The prices published in that schedule reflected a 4% increase in the SBE Price for small redwood logs and a 6% decrease for small Douglas-fir logs from the prices published for the second half of 2005.

In the absence of significant regulatory relief and accommodations, ScoPac's annual timber harvest levels and cash flows from operations will, for at least the next several years, be substantially below both historical levels and the minimum levels necessary to allow ScoPac to satisfy its debt service obligations in respect of the Timber Notes.

In an effort to address the expected future cash shortfalls, ScoPac initiated the ScoPac Land Sale Program whereby it is seeking to sell certain non-timberland properties such as ranchlands and recreational areas, as well as certain timberlands. During the first half of 2006, \$6.9 million of properties were sold and an additional \$4.6 million of properties were sold in July 2006 (of which \$3.3 million was received prior to the July 2006 Timber Notes payment date). There can be no assurance that the marketing efforts in respect of the remaining properties will be successful.

Due to regulatory constraints and adverse weather conditions during the first half of 2006 harvest levels were lower than planned, resulting in liquidity shortfalls at ScoPac. ScoPac and MGI consummated three timber/log purchases that provided ScoPac an aggregate of \$8.1 million of additional liquidity (\$4.4 million as of June 30, 2006 and \$3.7 million in July 2006) to pay its expenses, including interest due in respect of the Timber Notes on the Timber Notes payment dates in January 2006 and July 2006, as discussed below.

On the Timber Notes payment date in January 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, and the additional funds made available from a \$2.3 million timber/log purchase by MGI, to pay all of the \$27.7 million of interest due (\$25.8 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$19.3 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$11.9 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

As previously announced, the estimates of ScoPac indicated that its cash flows from operations, together with funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit and other available funds, would be insufficient, by a substantial amount, to pay the entire amount of interest due on the July 20, 2006, Timber Notes payment date. As the July 20, 2006, Timber Notes payment date approached, it became apparent the ScoPac's estimates would prove correct and that the remaining cash shortfall as of such Timber Notes payment date, after consideration of the funds made available from the ScoPac Land Sale Program and the MGI timber/log purchases discussed above, would be approximately \$2.1 million. Based upon review of its existing alternatives, ScoPac requested that Palco make an early payment, equal to the \$2.1 million shortfall, in respect of certain logs that had already been delivered to and purchased by Palco from ScoPac. Palco approved and delivered the early log payment.

On the Timber Notes payment date in July 2006, ScoPac used its existing cash resources, all of the remaining funds available under the ScoPac Line of Credit, the additional funds made available from the ScoPac Land Sale Program of \$10.2 million, a \$3.7 million timber/log purchase by MGI, and the \$2.1 million early log payment by Palco to pay all of the \$27.1 million of interest due (\$25.4 million net of interest due in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account). ScoPac also repaid \$10.0 million of principal on the Timber Notes (\$6.2 million net of principal in respect of Timber Notes held in the SAR Account), an amount equal to Scheduled Amortization, using funds held in the SAR Account.

ScoPac also expects to incur substantial interest shortfalls over at least the next several years. The failure of ScoPac to pay all of the interest on the Timber Notes when due would constitute an event of default under the Timber Notes Indenture. There can be no assurance that ScoPac will be able to generate sufficient additional liquidity to fund the expected future cash shortfalls. To the extent that ScoPac is unable to generate sufficient liquidity from the ScoPac Land Sale Program or other sources, the Company expects that ScoPac will be forced to take extraordinary actions, which may include: laying off employees, shutting down various operations, and seeking protection by filing under the Bankruptcy Code.

#### *Palco Liquidity Update*

As of December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2006, Palco and Britt were in default under the Old Palco Term Loan and the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility due to financial covenant breaches. In the first half of 2006, additional liquidity was needed at Palco and Palco borrowed an aggregate of \$20.0 million from MGI to meet its cash shortfalls. Palco's liquidity shortfalls during the first half of 2006 resulted primarily from reduced log supply from ScoPac and operational inefficiencies related to the large log processing line at Palco's new Scotia sawmill.

On July 18, 2006, Palco and Britt, as Borrowers, closed on the New Palco Term Loan, a new five-year \$85.0 million secured term loan, and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility, a new five-year \$60.0 million secured asset-based revolving credit facility. The New Palco Term Loan was fully funded at closing. The New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility required MGI to provide a \$10.0 million subordinated loan to the Borrowers, which was also funded at closing. The Borrowers used approximately (i) \$34.0 million of the New Palco Term Loan to pay off the Old Palco Term Loan; (ii) \$22.5 million of the New Palco Term Loan to pay off the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility and cash collateralize previously existing letters of credit; and (iii) \$6.0 million to pay transaction costs. The remaining funds at closing of \$32.5 million will be used for general corporate purposes. The Borrowers terminated the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility and the Old Palco Term Loan. The Borrowers have not made any borrowings under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility to date, although they currently have availability in excess of \$20.0 million.

The amount available for borrowings under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility is normally the sum of 85% of the Borrowers' eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (i) 80% of the book value of Borrowers' eligible inventory or (ii) 85% of the net orderly liquidation value of such inventory. However, during each period from October 15 through January 15, the amount available for borrowing under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility is the sum of 95% of Borrowers' eligible accounts receivable plus the lesser of (i) 90% of the book value of Borrowers' eligible inventory or (ii) 95% of the net orderly liquidation value of such inventory. The amount available under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility may not exceed \$60.0 million, subject to limitations such as the ability of the lender to establish reasonable reserves.

The New Palco Term Loan bears interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 8.75%. Loans under the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 2.75% or prime plus 0.75%, at the Borrowers' option; however, incremental borrowings made during the period from October 15 through January 15, bear interest at the rate of LIBOR plus 4.50% or prime plus 2.50%, as applicable. The New Palco Revolving Credit Facility matures on July 18, 2011.

Both the New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility contain substantially identical restrictive covenants that limit the Borrowers' ability to incur debt, grant liens, make investments, pay dividends, make capital expenditures or merge or consolidate, and require the Borrowers' to maintain a minimum level of EBITDA, along with a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and maximum leverage ratio throughout the life of the loans. The operating cash flow estimates used to establish the EBITDA maintenance covenant are subject to a number of assumptions about future operating cash flows and actual results could differ materially from these estimates. The New Palco Term Loan also requires the Borrowers to repay a substantial portion of the outstanding principal of the New Palco Term Loan with the net proceeds from the Palco Asset Sale Program, including the real property associated with Palco's former Fortuna and Carlotta sawmills, and Palco-owned homes to be sold after certain milestones have been met. Any remaining principal balance of the New Palco Term Loan is due on July 18, 2011. Accordingly, continued compliance with these new debt facilities is dependent on Palco's ability to meet its EBITDA projections and timely complete required asset sales. The New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility contain customary events of default and customary remedies with respect to the occurrence of an event of default.

The New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility each include prepayment premiums of 3%, 2% and 1% that will be payable in connection with any prepayment of the New Palco Term Loan or reduction or termination of the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility during the first, second and third years, respectively. Under the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility, Palco is permitted to invest up to \$5.0 million in ScoPac. No such investment had been made or committed to be made by Palco, and there can be no assurance that Palco would in the future determine or be able to make any such investment in whole or part.

In addition to the material adverse effects being experienced by Palco and ScoPac due to continuing regulatory, environmental and litigation difficulties, there can be no assurance that certain other pending legal, regulatory and environmental matters or future governmental regulations, additional litigation, legislation, judicial or administrative decisions, adverse weather conditions, or low lumber or log prices, will not also have material adverse effects on the financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company's forest products operations. See Note 7 for further discussion of the regulatory and environmental matters and legal proceedings affecting the Company's forest products operations.

Capital expenditures for Palco were \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and are expected to be between \$3.6 million and \$4.1 million for the remainder of 2006. Capital expenditures for ScoPac were \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and are expected to be between \$5.4 million and \$6.0 million for the remainder of 2006. Palco's pension funding was \$2.1 million for the first six months of 2006 and is expected to be \$7.2 million for the remainder of 2006.

### ***Real Estate Operations***

Capital expenditures and real estate improvements and development costs were \$5.5 million for the first six months of 2006 and are expected to be between approximately \$10.0 million to \$15.0 million for the remainder of 2006, primarily for infrastructure construction at Fountain Hills. The Company expects that these expenditures will be funded by cash flows from operations, existing cash and available credit facilities.

Subject to available resources, the Company's real estate segment may purchase additional properties and/or seek other investment ventures from time to time as appropriate opportunities arise.

Real estate management believes that the existing cash and credit facilities are sufficient to fund the segment's working capital and capital expenditure requirements for 2006. With respect to the segment's long-term liquidity, real estate management believes that the ability to generate cash from the sale of existing assets, together with the ability to obtain financing and joint venture partners, should provide sufficient funds to meet the working capital and capital expenditure requirements.

### ***Racing Operations***

Capital expenditures were \$0.2 million for the first six months of 2006 and an additional \$0.1 million is expected for the remainder of 2006.

Subject to available resources, the Company's racing segment may purchase additional properties and/or seek to expand its operations as appropriate opportunities arise.

During the first quarter of 2006, SHRP, Ltd. borrowed \$1.0 million from MAXXAM Parent to improve its working capital position. SHRP, Ltd.'s management expects that SHRP, Ltd. will require additional advances from MAXXAM Parent to fund its operations and capital expenditures in the future. SHRP, Ltd. is experiencing strong competition from Internet wagering and "racinos" in surrounding states. The Company expects that these factors will also have an adverse impact on the long-term liquidity of SHRP, Ltd.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet financing, other than operating leases entered into in the normal course of business, or unconsolidated special purpose entities. The Company does not use derivatives for any of its treasury or risk management activities.

## **Trends**

### ***Forest Products Operations***

Harvest levels at the Company forest products operations are expected to decline significantly, as compared to historical harvest levels, in 2006 and beyond. Consequently, cash flows from ScoPac's operations will not be sufficient for at least the next several years to allow ScoPac to satisfy its debt service obligations in respect of its Timber Notes. In an effort to address expected future cash shortfalls, ScoPac is seeking to sell certain non-timberlands properties such as ranchlands and recreational areas, as well as certain timberlands. Although certain sales have been completed, there can be no assurance that the marketing efforts in respect of the remaining properties will be successful.

Palco has commenced the Palco Asset Sale Program pursuant to which it is marketing certain assets. The New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility also require the Borrowers to timely complete transactions under the Palco Asset Sale Program. There can be no assurance that these marketing efforts will be successful or that regulatory approvals will be obtained to enable Palco to timely complete required asset sales.

### ***Real Estate Operations***

The Company is engaged in marketing and sales programs of varying magnitudes at its real estate developments. The Company intends to continue selling undeveloped acreage, semi-developed parcels and fully developed lots.

In 2005, the Company's real estate operations realized substantial revenues related to sales at the Company's Fountain Hills, Mirada and Palmas developments. As the proceeds from these asset sales have not been redeployed on other real estate assets, this level of sales activity is not expected to recur for the foreseeable future.

### ***Racing Operations***

The next regular session of the Texas Legislature will begin in January of 2007. The Company has in the past and intends to continue to vigorously pursue gaming legislation favorable to it. As some legislation may require the approval of two-thirds of each legislative house and a majority of the state's voters, no assurance can be given that any such legislation will be enacted or become effective. Moreover, it is impossible to determine what the provisions of any such legislation would be or its effect on the Company.

In January 2004, a subsidiary of the Company applied to the Racing Commission for an additional license to construct and operate a Class 2 horse racing facility in Laredo, Texas. There can be no assurance that the Company will obtain this additional license as, among other things, there is a competing applicant. A hearing before a State administrative law judge to review both applications concluded in March 2006 and the Company is awaiting a decision.

## Contractual Obligations

The following table presents information with respect to the Company's contractual obligations as of June 30, 2006 (in millions).

| Contractual Obligations   | Total             | Payments Due by Period |                 |                 |                |                 | Thereafter        |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   |                   | 2006                   | 2007            | 2008            | 2009           | 2010            |                   |
| Debt obligations  | \$ 983.2          | \$ 50.7                | \$ 31.4         | \$ 30.2         | \$ 25.5        | \$ 71.5         | \$ 773.9          |
| Interest due on long-term debt obligations <sup>(1)</sup>                                       | 704.2             | 73.5                   | 107.9           | 104.6           | 67.1           | 65.2            | 285.9             |
| Operating lease obligations   | 10.0              | 1.6                    | 2.9             | 2.0             | 0.8            | 0.8             | 1.9               |
| Purchase obligations <sup>(2)</sup>   | —                 | —                      | —               | —               | —              | —               | —                 |
| Pension funding obligations <sup>(3)</sup>  | 20.4              | 10.1                   | 6.5             | 3.2             | 0.6            | —               | —                 |
| Other long-term liabilities reflected on the<br>Company's balance sheet <sup>(4)(5)(6)(7)</sup> | 6.0               | 1.7                    | 0.8             | 3.5             | —              | —               | —                 |
| Total   | <u>\$ 1,723.8</u> | <u>\$ 137.6</u>        | <u>\$ 149.5</u> | <u>\$ 143.5</u> | <u>\$ 94.0</u> | <u>\$ 137.5</u> | <u>\$ 1,061.7</u> |

(1) Interest due on debt obligations is net of additional interest due in respect of Timber Notes held by ScoPac in the SAR Account.

(2) Excludes ordinary course of business purchase orders.

(3) Represents expected funding for pension benefits for 2006 and subsequent years.

(4) Excludes reserves for litigation, environmental remediation, self-insurance claims, and other contingent liabilities due to uncertainty as to when cash payments will be required.

(5) Includes \$0.1 million in 2006 and \$1.8 million in 2008 under the terms of various executive compensation agreements.

(6) Includes \$0.4 million in 2007 and \$1.7 million in 2008 for PDMPI's cost sharing agreement with the Puerto Rico Power Authority for the construction of an electrical substation that will provide capacity to new projects within PDMPI.

(7) Includes \$1.6 million in 2006 and 0.4 million in 2007 for contractual amounts owed under agreements with various professional firms (principally audit and tax compliance fees).

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" of the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies. There have been no material changes to the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates provided in the Form 10-K.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements and their impact on the Company's financial statements.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to changes in interest rates primarily under the ScoPac Line of Credit and the Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility and Old Palco Term Loan, as well as certain other debt facilities used to finance real estate development activities. As of June 30, 2006, there were \$84.9 million in borrowings outstanding under all variable rate facilities. Based on the amount of borrowings outstanding under these facilities during the six months ended June 30, 2006, a 1.0% change in interest rates effective from the beginning of the year would have resulted in an increase or decrease in interest expense for the period of \$0.8 million.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, the Company has investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As the Company does not control or manage these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those it maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2006.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls subsequent to the date the Company completed its evaluation.

## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The information set forth in Note 7 is incorporated herein by reference.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Form 10-K contains important risk factors that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statement. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known or that are currently deemed immaterial may materially adversely impact our business, financial condition or operating results.

The information presented below updates, and should be read in conjunction with, the risk factor information disclosed in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The Company has amended and restated the first item under "Risk Factors: Risks related to Forest Products Regulatory Matters" to read as follows:

*Regulatory and legislative actions have the power to limit ScoPac's harvest levels and require ScoPac and Palco to incur additional costs and have other adverse consequences.*

Regulatory and legislative actions, among others, are now having, or have the potential to have material adverse impacts on ScoPac and Palco:

- The North Coast Water Board has in the past failed to release for harvest a number of ScoPac's previously-approved THPs, reducing current and projected harvest levels significantly. Continued failure of the North Coast Water Board to release THPs for harvest would worsen the cash flow difficulties of Palco and ScoPac. The North Coast Water Board has adopted WWDRs for the Freshwater and Elk River watersheds, which action has the effect of allowing harvesting in these two watersheds to begin once THPs are enrolled by the Executive Officer of the North Coast Water Board. There can be no assurance that THPs for these two watersheds will ultimately be enrolled or harvested as planned in 2006 or in future years. If there are delays in the enrollment of these THPs, there could be a further significant adverse impact on current and future harvest levels and the cash flows of both Palco and ScoPac.
- The final TMDL requirements applicable to the Palco Timberlands may require aquatic protection measures that are different from or in addition to those in the HCP or that result from the watershed analysis process provided for in the HCP. These requirements may further reduce the harvesting on the Palco Timberlands and cash flows of ScoPac and Palco.
- The North Coast Water Board has issued the Elk River Order, which is aimed at addressing existing sediment production sites through clean up actions in the Elk River watershed, and has initiated the process which could result in similar orders for other watersheds. The Elk River Order has resulted in increased costs that could extend over a number of years, and additional orders for other watersheds could have similar effects.

- The North Coast Water Board has imposed requirements for certain mitigation and erosion control practices in several watersheds within the Palco Timberlands. The requirements imposed to date have significantly increased operating costs. Additional requirements imposed in the future could further increase costs and cause delays in THP approvals.
- The Company is uncertain of the operational and financial effects that will ultimately result from Senate Bill 810. Implementation of this law could, however, result in delays in obtaining approvals of THPs, lower harvest levels and increased costs and additional protection measures beyond those contained in the HCP.
- The designation of a species as endangered or threatened under the ESA or the CESA can significantly reduce harvest levels if that species inhabits the Palco Timberlands or if the habitat of the Palco Timberlands is deemed favorable to the species. While the HCP covers 17 different species, it is possible that additional species could be designated as endangered or threatened under both the ESA and the CESA
- Laws, regulations and related judicial decisions and administrative interpretations dealing with forest products operations are subject to change and new laws and regulations are frequently introduced concerning the California timber industry. From time to time, bills are introduced or ballot initiatives commenced relating to the Company's forest products operations.

The Company has removed, amended and/or has added several new items under "Risk Factors—Risks related to Liquidity and Capital Resources of Our Forest Products Subsidiaries", as follows:

The risk, *Palco is currently in default under its debt facilities*, has been removed as a risk due to the closing on the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility on July 18, 2006. The risk, *Palco may be adversely affected by an inability to obtain additional liquidity*, has been removed as a risk due to the closing on the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility on July 18, 2006.

The risk, *Palco is highly leveraged and debt covenant restrictions increase the difficulty of operating its business*, has been added and amended as follows:

Palco's high level of debt and covenant restrictions under the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Facility could have a variety of important negative consequences, including:

- limiting its ability to borrow additional amounts for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, execution of its operating strategies or other purposes;
- increasing its vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limiting its ability to capitalize on business opportunities, such as purchasing additional log inventories from third parties, and to react to competitive pressures and adverse government regulation and litigation developments; and
- limiting its ability, or increasing the costs, to refinance its indebtedness.

Palco may not be able to timely complete required asset sales.

- Palco may not be able to complete the Palco Asset Sale Program in a timely manner. Regulatory approvals required to complete the program could delay receipt of liquidity.

The risk, *ScoPac's and Palco's liquidity issues could result in claims and potential liabilities for certain affiliates*, has been amended as follows:

The liquidity issues being experienced by ScoPac, and those recently experienced by Palco should they recur, could result in claims against and could have adverse impacts on MAXXAM Parent, MGHI and/or MGI. For example, were Palco to terminate its pension plan, MAXXAM Parent and its wholly owned subsidiaries would be jointly and severally liable for any unfunded pension plan obligations (estimated to be approximately \$31.0 million at December 31, 2005). In addition, it is possible that transactions which could be completed in connection with a potential restructuring or reorganization of Palco or ScoPac, could require the utilization of all or a substantial portion of, or the loss of a significant portion of, the Company's net operating losses or other tax attributes for federal and state income tax purposes and could require tax payments.

These risk factors and those set forth in the Form 10-K do not represent a comprehensive list of factors that could cause our results to differ from those that are currently anticipated and should be read together with the risk factors and other information set forth in the Form 10-K and in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

In June 2006, the Company acquired 704,100 shares of its Common Stock at \$31.70 per share, for a total of \$22.3 million, through a privately negotiated transaction. Additionally, in June 2006 the Company acquired 3,185 shares of its Common Stock at an average price of \$28.02 per share, for a total of \$0.1 million, on national exchanges. The Company may from time to time purchase additional shares of its Common Stock on national exchanges or in privately negotiated transactions.

## **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTER TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The annual meeting of stockholders of the Company was held on May 24, 2006, at which meeting the stockholders reelected Messrs. Cruikshank, Rosenberg, Rosenthal, Friedman and Levin as directors of the Company. The results of the matters voted upon at the meeting are shown below.

### **Nominees for Director**

The nominees for election as directors of the Company are listed below, together with voting information for each nominee. Messrs. Charles Hurwitz and Shawn Hurwitz continued as directors of the Company.

#### *Nominees for Election by Holders of Common Stock*

Robert J. Cruikshank - 4,681,897 votes for, 761,410 votes withheld and -0- broker non-votes.  
Stanley D. Rosenberg - 4,681,940 votes for, 761,367 votes withheld and -0- broker non-votes.  
Michael J. Rosenthal - 4,654,644 votes for, 788,663 votes withheld and -0- broker non-votes.

#### *Nominees for Election by Holders of Common Stock and Class A Preferred Stock*

J. Kent Friedman - 11,332,894 votes for, 787,783 votes withheld and -0- broker non-votes.  
Ezra G. Levin - 11,319,499 votes for, 801,178 votes withheld and -0- broker non-votes.

## **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

### **a. Exhibits:**

- 10.1 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated May 18, 2006, among Registrant, Bank of America, N.A., The Bank of Nova Scotia, Keybank National Association, and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 23, 2006)
- 10.2 Stock Purchase Agreement, dated May 25, 2006, among the Registrant, Scion Qualified Value Fund and Scion Value Fund (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 26, 2006)
- 10.3 Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of July 18, 2006, among The Pacific Lumber Company, Britt Lumber Co., Inc., the lenders from time to time party thereto and Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)
- 10.4 Term Loan Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2006, among The Pacific Lumber Company, Britt Lumber Co., Inc., the lenders from time to time party thereto and Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)

- 10.5 Guarantee and Collateral Agreement dated as of July 18, 2006, made by The Pacific Lumber Company, Britt Lumber Co., Inc., MAXXAM Group Inc., Salmon Creek LLC and Scotia Inn Inc. in favor of Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent for the Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)
  - 10.6 Guarantee and Collateral Agreement dated as of July 18, 2006, made by The Pacific Lumber Company, Britt Lumber Co., Inc., MAXXAM Group Inc., Salmon Creek LLC and Scotia Inn Inc. in favor of Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent for the Term Loan Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)
  - 10.7 Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Assignment of Rents And Leases And Fixture Filing, dated as of July 18, 2006, by and from The Pacific Lumber Company to Fidelity National Title Company, for the benefit of Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent for the Revolving Credit Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)
  - 10.8 Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Assignment of Rents And Leases And Fixture Filing, dated as of July 18, 2006, by and from The Pacific Lumber Company to Fidelity National Title Company, for the benefit of Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as administrative agent for the Term Loan Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2006)
  - \* 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
  - \* 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
  - \* 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
  - \* 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- \* Included with this filing

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, who has signed this report on behalf of the Registrant and as the principal financial and accounting officer of the Registrant.

MAXXAM INC.

Date: August 9, 2006

By:           /S/          M. EMILY MADISON            
M. Emily Madison  
Vice President, Finance and  
Interim Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer and  
Interim Principal Financial Officer)

### Glossary of Defined Terms

Set forth below is a list of all terms used in this Report

*APB Opinion No. 25:* Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees”

*APB Opinion No. 29:* Accounting Principles Board Opinion 29, “Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions”

*Bankruptcy Code:* The United States Bankruptcy Code

*Bear Creek lawsuit:* An action entitled *Environmental Protection Information Association v. Pacific Lumber, Scotia Pacific Company LLC* (No. C01-2821) filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California

*Borrowers:* Palco and Britt, as Borrowers under the New Palco Term Loan and the New Palco Revolving Credit Facility

*Britt:* Britt Lumber Co., Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Palco

*California Permits:* The Permits issued by the State of California pursuant to the HCP

*California Headwaters action:* The claim filed by Palco and ScoPac with the Claims Board against the North Coast Water Board, the State Water Board and the State of California (Claim No. G558159) alleging that the defendants have substantially impaired their contractual and legal rights under the Headwaters Agreement

*California Senate Bill 810:* Bill which became effective January 1, 2004, providing regional water quality control boards with additional authority related to the approval of THPs on land within impaired watersheds

*Cases:* The Chapter 11 proceedings of the Debtors

*Cave action:* An action entitled *Steve Cave, et al. v. Gary Clark, et al.* (No. DR020719) filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California

*CDF:* California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

*CDF Harvest Limit:* Annual harvest limit established by the CDF

*Claims Board:* The California Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board

*Class A Preferred Stock:* The Company’s Class A \$.05 Non-Cumulative Participating Convertible Preferred Stock

*Common Stock:* The Company’s \$0.50 par value common stock

*Company:* MAXXAM Inc., including its subsidiaries

*Cook action:* An action entitled *Alan Cook, et al. v. Gary Clark, et al.* (No. DR020718) filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California

*CWA:* Federal Clean Water Act

*Debtors:* Kaiser, KACC and the subsidiaries of KACC which have filed petitions for reorganization

*EBITDA:* As defined in Section 1.01 of the New Palco Term Loan and New Palco Revolving Credit Agreement which, among other things, excludes the results of ScoPac

*Elk River Order:* Clean up and abatement order issued to Palco by the North Coast Water Board for the Elk River watershed

*Environmental Plans:* The HCP and the SYP

*EPA:* Federal Environmental Protection Agency

*EPIC-SYP/Permits lawsuit:* An action entitled *Environmental Protection Information Association, Sierra Club v. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, California Department of Fish and Game, The Pacific Lumber Company, Scotia Pacific Company LLC, Salmon Creek Corporation, et al.* filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California (No. CV990445)

*ERISA:* The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended from time to time

*FASB:* Financial Accounting Standards Board

*FDIC:* Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

*FDIC action:* An action entitled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as manager of the FSLIC Resolution Fund v. Charles E. Hurwitz* (No. H-95-3956) filed by the FDIC on August 2, 1995 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas

*Federal Permits:* The Permits issued by the federal government pursuant to the HCP

*Federated:* Federated Development Company, a principal stockholder of the Company now known as Gideon Holdings, Inc.

*FIN:* Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation

*FIN No. 48:* FASB FIN No. 48 , “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109”

*Form 10-K:* Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

*Fountain Hills:* Fountain Hills, a master-planned residential community located in Fountain Hills, Arizona

*Harvest Value Schedule:* A schedule setting forth SBE Prices, which is published biannually by the California State Board of Equalization for purposes of computing yield taxes on timber sales

*HCP:* The habitat conservation plan covering multiple species approved in March 1999 in connection with the consummation of the Headwaters Agreement

*Headwaters Agreement:* The agreement among Palco, ScoPac, Salmon Creek, the United States and California pursuant to which the Palco Companies transferred to the United States government 5,600 acres of timberlands in exchange for \$300 million, approximately 7,700 acres of timberlands, and federal and state government-approved habitat conservation and sustained yield plans

*Humboldt DA action:* A civil suit entitled *The People of the State of California v. Pacific Lumber, Scotia Pacific Holding Company and Salmon Creek Corporation* (No. DR030070) filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California, by the District Attorney of Humboldt County

*Johnson action:* An action entitled *Edyth Johnson, et al. v. Charles E. Hurwitz, an individual, MAXXAM Inc., et al.* (No. DR040720) filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California

*KACC:* Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation, Kaiser’s principal operating subsidiary

*Kahn lawsuit:* An action entitled *Alan Russell Kahn v. Federated Development Co., MAXXAM Inc., et al.* (Civil Action 18623NC) filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery

*Kaiser:* Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, a former subsidiary of the Company engaged in aluminum operations

*Kaiser Bankruptcy Court:* The United States District Court for the District of Delaware supervising the Cases

*Kaiser Shares:* 50,000,000 shares of the common stock of Kaiser, formerly owned by the Company and MGHI

*Master Purchase Agreement:* The agreement between Palco and ScoPac that governs all purchases of logs by Palco from ScoPac

*MAXXAM:* MAXXAM Inc., including its subsidiaries

*MAXXAM Parent:* MAXXAM Inc., excluding its subsidiaries

*MGHI:* MAXXAM Group Holdings Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company

*MGI:* MAXXAM Group Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of MGHI

*Mirada:* The Company's luxury resort-residential project located in Rancho Mirage, California

*New Palco Revolving Credit Facility:* The new five-year \$60.0 million secured asset-based revolving credit facility evidenced by the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of July 18, 2006, among Palco and Britt, as borrowers, and Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as Administrative Agent

*New Palco Term Loan:* The new five-year \$85.0 million secured term loan evidenced by the Term Loan Agreement dated as of July 18, 2006, among Palco and Britt, as borrowers, and Marathon Structured Finance Fund L.P., as Administrative Agent

*NJDEP:* New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

*North Coast Water Board:* California North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board

*Old Palco Revolving Credit Facility:* Revolving credit facility evidenced by the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of April 19, 2005 among Palco and Britt, as borrowers, and Credit Suisse First Boston

*Old Palco Term Loan:* \$35.0 million term loan evidenced by the Term Loan Agreement dated as of April 19, 2005 among Palco and Britt, as borrowers, and The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc.

*Option A Plan:* Plan for complying with California's sustained yield requirements, which has been approved by the CDF, and is being used by the Palco Companies

*OTS:* The United States Department of Treasury's Office of Thrift Supervision

*OTS action:* A formal administrative proceeding initiated by the OTS against the Company and others on December 26, 1995

*Palco:* The Pacific Lumber Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of MGI

*Palco Asset Sale Program:* Palco's process for marketing certain of its assets

*Palco Companies:* Palco, ScoPac and Salmon Creek, collectively

*Palco Timberlands:* The ScoPac Timber Property and the timberlands owned by Palco and Salmon Creek

*Palmas:* Palmas del Mar, a master-planned residential community and resort located on the southeastern coast of Puerto Rico near Humacao

*Permits:* The incidental take permits issued by the United States and California pursuant to the HCP

*PSLRA:* Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

*Racing Commission:* Texas Racing Commission

*Respondents:* The Company, Federated, Mr. Charles Hurwitz and the other respondents in the *OTS action*

*S&P:* Standard & Poor's Rating Service

*Salmon Creek:* Salmon Creek LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Palco

*Sam Houston Race Park:* Texas Class 1 horse racing facility in Houston, Texas and operated by SHRP, Ltd.

*Sanctions Motion:* An amended counterclaim and motion for sanctions filed by the Respondents on November 8, 2002, in connection with the *FDIC action*

*SAR Account:* Funds held in a reserve account titled the Scheduled Amortization Reserve Account and used to support principal payments on the Timber Notes

*SBE Price:* The applicable stumpage price for a particular species and size of log, as set forth in the most recent Harvest Value Schedule

*Scheduled Amortization:* The amount of principal which ScoPac must pay through each Timber Note payment date in order to avoid prepayment or deficiency premiums

*ScoPac:* Scotia Pacific Company LLC, a limited liability company wholly owned by Palco

*ScoPac Land Sale Program:* ScoPac's program pursuant to which it is seeking to sell certain timberland and non-timberland properties

*ScoPac Line of Credit:* The agreement between a group of lenders and ScoPac pursuant to which ScoPac may borrow in order to pay up to one year's interest on the Timber Notes

*ScoPac Timber:* The timber in respect of the ScoPac Timber Property and the ScoPac Timber Rights

*ScoPac Timber Property:* Approximately 204,000 acres of timberlands owned by ScoPac

*ScoPac Timber Rights:* ScoPac's exclusive right to harvest on approximately 12,200 acres of timberlands owned by Palco and Salmon Creek

*SEC:* The Securities and Exchange Commission

*second growth:* Trees that have been growing for less than 200 years

*Services Agreement:* Agreement between ScoPac and Palco under which Palco provides certain operational, management and related services to ScoPac with respect to the ScoPac Timber

*SFAS:* Statement of Financial Accounting Standards

*SFAS No. 123(r):* SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payments"

*SFAS No. 153:* SFAS No. 153, "Exchange of Nonmonetary Assets," an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29

*SFAS No. 154:* SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Correction"

*SHRP, Ltd.:* Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company

*State Water Board:* California State Water Resources Control Board

*State Water Board action:* An action entitled *The Pacific Lumber Company and Scotia Pacific Company LLC v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.* (No. CV050516) in Humboldt County Superior Court appealing the State Water Board Order

*State Water Board Order:* Order issued by the State Water Board on June 16, 2005

*SYP*: The sustained yield plan approved in March 1999 as part of the Headwaters Agreement, and later invalidated by a California state court

*take*: Adverse impacts on species which have been designated as endangered or threatened

*THP*: Timber harvesting plan required to be filed with and approved by the CDF prior to the harvesting of timber

*Timber Notes*: ScoPac's 6.55% Series B Class A-1 Timber Collateralized Notes, 7.11% Series B Class A-2 Timber Collateralized Notes and 7.71% Series B Class A-3 Timber Collateralized Notes due July 20, 2028

*Timber Notes Indenture*: The indenture governing the Timber Notes

*Trustee*: The trustee under the Indenture

*TMDLs*: Total maximum daily load limits

*USAT*: United Savings Association of Texas

*USWA lawsuit*: An action entitled *United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO, CLC, and Donald Kegley v. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, The Pacific Lumber Company, Scotia Pacific Company LLC and Salmon Creek Corporation* (No. CV990452) filed in the Superior Court of Humboldt County, California

*Valley Race Park*: The Company's greyhound racing facility located in Harlingen, Texas

*WWDRs*: Watershed-wide discharge requirements