
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003

Commission file number 1-3605

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of incorporation)

94-0928288
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5847 San Felipe, Suite 2500, Houston, Texas 77057-3268
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(713) 267-3777
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes /X/ No / /

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes / / No /X/

At July 31, 2003, the registrant had 46,171,365 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions of dollars)

	<u>June 30, 2003</u>	<u>December 31, 2002</u>
	(Unaudited)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 83.9	\$ 78.7
Receivables:		
Trade, less allowance for doubtful receivables of \$11.0	111.6	103.1
Other	43.6	51.4
Inventories	227.1	254.9
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	44.2	33.5
Total current assets	<u>510.4</u>	<u>521.6</u>
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	77.4	69.7
Property, plant, and equipment - net	983.1	1,009.9
Other assets	536.9	629.2
	<u>536.9</u>	<u>629.2</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,230.4</u>
Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Liabilities not subject to compromise -		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 143.6	\$ 129.0
Accrued interest	3.5	2.9
Accrued salaries, wages, and related expenses	44.6	46.7
Accrued postretirement medical benefit obligation - current portion	60.2	60.2
Other accrued liabilities	49.1	64.3
Payable to affiliates	41.6	28.0
Long-term debt - current portion	1.1	.9
Total current liabilities	<u>343.7</u>	<u>332.0</u>
Long-term liabilities	81.8	86.9
Long-term debt	42.4	42.7
	<u>467.9</u>	<u>461.6</u>
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,722.7	2,726.0
Minority interests	122.1	121.1
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Preference stock	.7	.7
Common stock	15.4	15.4
Additional capital	2,454.5	2,454.8
Accumulated deficit	(1,240.0)	(1,113.6)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(243.8)	(243.9)
Less: Note receivable from parent	(2,191.7)	(2,191.7)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	<u>(1,204.9)</u>	<u>(1,078.3)</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>	<u>\$ 2,230.4</u>

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME (LOSS)

(Unaudited)

(In millions of dollars)

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net sales	\$ 358.4	\$ 386.3	\$ 697.8	\$ 756.9
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of products sold	366.6	362.5	719.9	702.7
Depreciation and amortization	18.1	22.5	37.4	45.0
Selling, administrative, research and development, and general	27.3	29.3	51.9	70.5
Non-recurring operating charges (benefits), net	(.7)	7.5	.6	9.1
Total costs and expenses	411.3	421.8	809.8	827.3
Operating loss	(52.9)	(35.5)	(112.0)	(70.4)
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense (excluding unrecorded contractual interest expense of \$23.7 for both quarters and \$47.4 and \$36.5 for the six-month periods, respectively)	(2.7)	(2.5)	(5.3)	(16.0)
Reorganization items	(7.4)	(6.5)	(14.8)	(16.1)
Other - net	(4)	.4	(1.7)	2.5
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interests and discontinued operations	(63.4)	(44.1)	(133.8)	(100.0)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	.3	(6.4)	(4.4)	(14.4)
Minority interests	2.0	1.4	3.9	2.9
Loss from continuing operations	(61.1)	(49.1)	(134.3)	(111.5)
Discontinued operations:				
Loss from operations of curtailed Tacoma facility	(.2)	(1.3)	(1.6)	(3.0)
Gain from sale of Tacoma facility	-	-	9.5	-
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(.2)	(1.3)	7.9	(3.0)
Net loss	\$ (61.3)	\$ (50.4)	\$ (126.4)	\$ (114.5)

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

**STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars)

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003

	Preference Stock	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Accu- mulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Note Receivable From Parent	Total
BALANCE, December 31, 2002	\$.7	\$ 15.4	\$ 2,454.8	\$ (1,113.6)	\$ (243.9)	\$ (2,191.7)	\$ (1,078.3)
Net loss	-	-	-	(126.4)	-	-	(126.4)
Unrealized net increase in value of derivative instruments arising during the period (including net increase in value of \$1.6 for the quarter ended June 30, 2003)	-	-	-	-	.6	-	.6
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on derivative instruments included in net loss (including net realized gains of \$.2 for the quarter ended June 30, 2003)	-	-	-	-	(.5)	-	(.5)
Comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(126.3)
Restricted stock cancellations	-	-	(.6)	-	-	-	(.6)
Restricted stock accretion	-	-	.3	-	-	-	.3
BALANCE, June 30, 2003	<u>\$.7</u>	<u>\$ 15.4</u>	<u>\$ 2,454.5</u>	<u>\$ (1,240.0)</u>	<u>\$ (243.8)</u>	<u>\$ (2,191.7)</u>	<u>\$ (1,204.9)</u>

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

	Preference Stock	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Accu- mulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Note Receivable From Parent	Total
BALANCE, December 31, 2001	\$.7	\$ 15.4	\$ 2,437.6	\$ (645.2)	\$ (67.3)	\$ (2,175.2)	\$ (434.0)
Net loss	-	-	-	(114.5)	-	-	(114.5)
Unrealized net decrease in value of derivative instruments during the period prior to settlement	-	-	-	-	(12.1)	-	(12.1)
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains on derivative instruments included in net loss (including net realized gains of \$6.5 for the quarter ended June 30, 2002)	-	-	-	-	(14.9)	-	(14.9)
Comprehensive income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(141.5)
Interest on note receivable from parent	-	-	16.5	-	-	(16.5)	-
Contributions for LTIP shares and restricted stock accretion	-	-	.5	-	-	-	.5
BALANCE, June 30, 2002	<u>\$.7</u>	<u>\$ 15.4</u>	<u>\$ 2,454.6</u>	<u>\$ (759.7)</u>	<u>\$ (94.3)</u>	<u>\$ (2,191.7)</u>	<u>\$ (575.0)</u>

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(In millions of dollars)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (126.4)	\$ (114.5)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization (including deferred financing costs of \$2.5 and \$1.7, respectively)	39.9	46.7
Non-cash charges for restructuring charges in 2003; restructuring charges and reorganization items in 2002	.8	11.4
Gain on sale of Tacoma facility in 2003 and real estate in 2002	(9.5)	(4.0)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of distributions	(8.4)	(6.9)
Minority interests	(3.9)	(2.9)
(Increase) decrease in trade and other receivables	(.7)	5.9
Decrease in inventories	27.8	28.1
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(10.3)	34.2
Increase in accounts payable and accrued interest	16.9	26.2
Increase (decrease) in payable to affiliates and other accrued liabilities	22.4	(29.5)
Decrease in accrued and deferred income taxes	(35.8)	(2.0)
Net cash impact of changes in long-term assets and liabilities	31.9	13.0
Other	6.0	(4.6)
Net cash (used) provided by operating activities	(49.3)	1.1
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net proceeds from dispositions: primarily Tacoma facility and interests in office building complex in 2003; miscellaneous real estate in 2002	75.1	20.3
Capital expenditures	(19.2)	(19.9)
Net cash provided by investing activities	55.9	.4
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Incurrence of financing costs	(1.4)	(7.5)
Net cash used by financing activities	(1.4)	(7.5)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents during the period	5.2	(6.0)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	78.7	153.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 83.9	\$ 147.3
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid, net of capitalized interest of \$.7 and \$.6, respectively	\$ 2.0	\$ 2.3
Income taxes paid	40.2	15.6

The accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In millions of dollars, except prices and per share amounts)

1. Reorganization Proceedings

Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation (the “Company”), its parent company, Kaiser Aluminum Corporation (“Kaiser”), and 24 of the Company’s subsidiaries have filed separate voluntary petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”) for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Code”); the Company, Kaiser and 15 of the Company’s subsidiaries (the “Original Debtors”) filed in the first quarter of 2002 and nine additional Company subsidiaries (the “Additional Debtors”) filed in the first quarter of 2003. The Original Debtors and Additional Debtors are collectively referred to herein as the “Debtors” and the Chapter 11 proceedings of these entities are collectively referred to herein as the “Cases.” For purposes of this Report, the term “Filing Date” shall mean, with respect to any particular Debtor, the date on which such Debtor filed its Case. None of the Company’s non-U.S. joint ventures are included in the Cases. The Cases are being jointly administered. The Debtors are managing their businesses in the ordinary course as debtors-in-possession subject to the control and administration of the Court.

Original Debtors. During the first quarter of 2002, the Original Debtors filed separate voluntary petitions for reorganization. The wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company included in such filings were: Kaiser Bellwood Corporation, Kaiser Aluminium International, Inc., Kaiser Aluminum Technical Services, Inc., Kaiser Alumina Australia Corporation (and its wholly owned subsidiary, Kaiser Finance Corporation) and ten other entities with limited balances or activities.

The necessity for filing the Cases by the Original Debtors was attributable to the liquidity and cash flow problems of the Company and its subsidiaries arising in late 2001 and early 2002. The Company was facing significant near-term debt maturities at a time of unusually weak aluminum industry business conditions, depressed aluminum prices and a broad economic slowdown that was further exacerbated by the events of September 11, 2001. In addition, the Company had become increasingly burdened by asbestos litigation (see Note 7) and growing legacy obligations for retiree medical and pension costs. The confluence of these factors created the prospect of continuing operating losses and negative cash flow, resulting in lower credit ratings and an inability to access the capital markets.

The outstanding principal of, and accrued interest on, all debt of the Original Debtors became immediately due and payable upon commencement of the Cases. However, the vast majority of the claims in existence at the Filing Date (including claims for principal and accrued interest and substantially all legal proceedings) are stayed (deferred) during the pendency of the Cases. In connection with the filing of the Original Debtors’ Cases, the Court, upon motion by the Original Debtors, authorized the Original Debtors to pay or otherwise honor certain unsecured pre-Filing Date claims, including employee wages and benefits and customer claims in the ordinary course of business, subject to certain limitations. In July 2002, the Court also issued a final order authorizing the Company to fund the cash requirements of its foreign joint ventures in the ordinary course of business and to continue using the Company’s existing cash management systems. The Original Debtors also have the right to assume or reject executory contracts existing prior to the Filing Date, subject to Court approval and certain other limitations. In this context, “assumption” means that the Original Debtors agree to perform their obligations and cure certain existing defaults under an executory contract and “rejection” means that the Original Debtors are relieved from their obligations to perform further under an executory contract and are subject only to a claim for damages for the breach thereof. Any claim for damages resulting from the rejection of an executory contract is treated as a general unsecured claim in the Cases.

Generally, pre-Filing Date claims, including certain contingent or unliquidated claims, against the Original Debtors will fall into two categories: secured and unsecured. Under the Code, a creditor’s claim is treated as secured only to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such claim, with the balance of such claim being treated as unsecured. Unsecured and partially secured claims do not accrue interest after the Filing Date. A fully secured claim, however, does accrue interest after the Filing Date until the amount due and owing to the secured creditor, including interest accrued after the Filing Date, is equal to the value of the collateral securing such claim. The amount and validity of pre-Filing Date contingent or unliquidated claims, although presently unknown, ultimately may be established by the Court or by agreement of the parties. As a result of the Cases, additional pre-Filing Date claims and liabilities may be asserted, some of which may be significant.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

In October 2002, the Court set January 31, 2003 as the last date by which holders of pre-Filing Date claims against the Original Debtors (other than asbestos-related personal injury claims and certain hearing loss claims) could file their claims. Any holder of a claim that was required to file a claim by such date and did not do so may be barred from asserting such claim against any of the Original Debtors and, accordingly, may not be able to participate in any distribution in any of the Cases on account of such claim. Because the Company has not had sufficient time to analyze the proofs of claim to determine their validity, no provision has been included in the accompanying financial statements for claims that have been filed. The January 31, 2003 bar date does not apply to asbestos-related personal injury claims, for which the Original Debtors reserve the right to establish a separate bar date at a later time. A separate bar date for certain hearing loss claims, which was originally set for June 30, 2003, has been extended to September 30, 2003.

Additional Debtors. On January 14, 2003, the Additional Debtors filed separate voluntary petitions for reorganization. The wholly owned subsidiaries included in such filings were: Kaiser Bauxite Company, Kaiser Jamaica Corporation, Alpart Jamaica Inc., Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical of Canada Limited and five other entities with limited balances or activities.

The Cases filed by the Additional Debtors were commenced, among other reasons, to protect the assets held by these Debtors against possible statutory liens that may arise and be enforced by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBG") primarily as a result of the Company's failure to meet a \$17.0 accelerated funding requirement to its salaried employee retirement plan in January 2003 (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information regarding the accelerated funding requirement). From an operating perspective, the filing of the Cases by the additional Debtors had no impact on the Company's day-to-day operations.

In connection with the Additional Debtors' filings, the Court authorized the Additional Debtors to continue to make payments in the normal course of business (including payments of pre-Filing Date amounts), including payments of wages and benefits, payments for items such as materials, supplies and freight and payments of taxes. The Court also approved the continuation of the Company's existing cash management systems and routine intercompany transactions involving, among other transactions, the transfer of materials and supplies among affiliates.

In March 2003, the Court set May 15, 2003, as the last date by which holders of pre-Filing Date claims against the Additional Debtors (other than asbestos-related personal injury claims and certain hearing loss claims) could file their claims.

All Debtors. The Debtors' objective is to achieve the highest possible recoveries for all creditors and stockholders, consistent with the Debtors' abilities to pay, and to continue the operations of their businesses. However, there can be no assurance that the Debtors will be able to attain these objectives or achieve a successful reorganization. While valuation of the Debtors' assets and pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases is subject to inherent uncertainties, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that their liabilities will be found in the Cases to exceed the fair value of their assets. Therefore, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that pre-Filing Date claims will be paid at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be diluted or cancelled. Because of such possibility, the value of the Common Stock is speculative and any investment in the Common Stock would pose a high degree of risk.

Under the Code, the rights of and ultimate payments to pre-Filing Date creditors and stockholders may be substantially altered. At this time, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the Cases, in general, or the effect of the Cases on the businesses of the Debtors.

Two creditors' committees, one representing the unsecured creditors and the other representing the asbestos claimants, have been appointed as official committees in the Cases and, in accordance with the provisions of the Code, will have the right to be heard on all matters that come before the Court. In July 2003, the Debtors asked the Court to approve the appointment of a committee of salaried retirees (the "1114 Committee") with whom the Debtors can discuss necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain retiree benefits (such as medical and insurance) under Section 1114 of the Code. The Debtors expect that the appointed committees, together with the legal representative for potential future asbestos claimants that has been appointed in the Cases, will play important roles in the Cases and the negotiation of the terms of any plan or plans of reorganization. The Debtors are required to bear certain costs and

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

expenses for the committees and the legal representative for potential future asbestos claimants, including those of their counsel and other advisors.

The Debtors anticipate that substantially all liabilities of the Debtors as of the date of the Filing will be resolved under one or more plans of reorganization to be proposed and voted on in the Cases in accordance with the provisions of the Code. Although the Debtors intend to file and seek confirmation of such a plan or plans, there can be no assurance as to when the Debtors will file such a plan or plans, or that such plan or plans will be confirmed by the Court and consummated.

As provided by the Code, the Original Debtors had the exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization for 120 days following the initial Filing Date. The Court has subsequently approved several extensions of the exclusivity period for all Debtors, the most recent of which was set to expire July 31, 2003. A motion to extend the exclusivity period through October 31, 2003, was filed by the Debtors in late July 2003. By filing the motion to extend the exclusivity period, the period is automatically extended until the September 22, 2003 Court hearing date. As the Debtors' motion to extend the exclusivity period through October 31, 2003 was agreed with by the creditors' committees in advance of the filing, the Debtors expect the motion to be approved by the Court. Additional extensions are likely to be sought. However, no assurance can be given that such future extension requests will be granted by the Court. If the Debtors fail to file a plan of reorganization during the exclusivity period, or if such plan is not accepted by the requisite numbers of creditors and equity holders entitled to vote on the plan, other parties in interest in the Cases may be permitted to propose their own plan(s) of reorganization for the Debtors.

The Company expects that, when the Debtors ultimately file a plan of reorganization, it will reflect the Company's strategic vision for emergence from Chapter 11: (a) a standalone going concern with manageable leverage, improved cost structure and competitive strength; (b) a company positioned to execute its long-standing vision of market leadership and growth in fabricated products specifically with a financial structure that provides financial flexibility, including access to capital markets, for accretive acquisitions; (c) a company that delivers a broad product offering and leadership in service and quality for its customers and distributors; and (d) a company with continued presence in those commodities markets that have the potential to generate significant cash at steady-state metal prices. The Company's advisors have developed a preliminary timeline that, assuming the current pace of the Cases continues, could allow the Company to emerge from Chapter 11 in 2004. While no assurances can be given in this regard, the Company's management continues to push for an aggressive pace in advancing the Cases. Continued sales of non-core assets and facilities that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity are likely. In light of the Company's stated strategy of market leadership and growth in fabricated products and to further the Company's ultimate planned emergence from Chapter 11, the Company has determined that it is appropriate to explore the possible disposition of one or more of its commodity assets. The Company, through its financial advisor, has been in contact with a number of parties with possible interest in the commodity assets and has provided a number of parties certain information pursuant to confidentiality agreements. While no commodity asset sales are currently imminent, it is possible that one or more sales may occur in late 2003 or the first half of 2004. Any sale of assets would be subject to various prior approvals including, but not limited to, approvals by the Company's Board of Directors, the Court and the DIP Facility lenders and no assurances can be given that acceptable offers will be received for any assets or that any assets will ultimately be sold. The Company's strategic vision is subject to continuing review in consultation with the Company's stakeholders and may also be modified from time to time as the Cases proceed due to changes in such items as changes in the global markets, changes in the economics of the Company's facilities or changing financial circumstances.

Financial Statement Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 90-7 ("SOP 90-7"), *Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code*, and on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. However, as a result of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties.

Financial Information. Condensed consolidating financial statements of the Debtors and non-Debtors are set forth below:

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets
June 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Current assets	\$ 370.4	\$ 28.1	\$ 111.9	\$ -	\$ 510.4
Investments in subsidiaries and affiliates	1,428.0	205.0	.1	(1,555.7)	77.4
Intercompany receivables (payables), net	(990.4)	897.6	92.8	-	-
Property and equipment, net	583.6	18.3	381.2	-	983.1
Deferred income taxes	(81.9)	81.9	-	-	-
Other assets	528.7	.4	7.8	-	536.9
	<u>\$ 1,838.4</u>	<u>\$ 1,231.3</u>	<u>\$ 593.8</u>	<u>\$ (1,555.7)</u>	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>
Liabilities not subject to compromise -					
Current liabilities	\$ 243.2	\$ 23.5	\$ 90.5	\$ (13.5)	\$ 343.7
Long-term liabilities	77.4	16.4	30.4	-	124.2
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,722.7	-	-	-	2,722.7
Minority interests	-	-	104.3	17.8	122.1
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,204.9)	1,191.4	368.6	(1,560.0)	(1,204.9)
	<u>\$ 1,838.4</u>	<u>\$ 1,231.3</u>	<u>\$ 593.8</u>	<u>\$ (1,555.7)</u>	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>

For condensed consolidating balance sheets of the Debtors and non-Debtors as of December 31, 2002, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income (Loss)
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 324.7	\$ 12.1	\$ 25.4	\$ (3.8)	\$ 358.4
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	371.7	4.9	39.2	(3.8)	412.0
Non-recurring operating charges (benefits), net	(.7)	-	-	-	(.7)
	<u>371.0</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>39.2</u>	<u>(3.8)</u>	<u>411.3</u>
Operating income (loss)	(46.3)	7.2	(13.8)	-	(52.9)
Interest expense	(2.6)	-	(.1)	-	(2.7)
All other income (expense), net	(7.3)	(3.6)	.3	2.8	(7.8)
Benefit (provision) for income tax and minority interests	(.8)	(2.0)	5.1	-	2.3
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(4.1)	-	-	4.1	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(61.1)	1.6	(8.5)	6.9	(61.1)
Discontinued operations	(.2)	-	-	-	(.2)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (61.3)</u>	<u>\$ 1.6</u>	<u>\$ (8.5)</u>	<u>\$ 6.9</u>	<u>\$ (61.3)</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income (Loss)
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 350.9	\$ 11.1	\$ 50.0	\$ (25.7)	\$ 386.3
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	378.2	7.9	53.9	(25.7)	414.3
Non-recurring operating charges	7.5	-	-	-	7.5
	<u>385.7</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>53.9</u>	<u>(25.7)</u>	<u>421.8</u>
Operating income (loss)	(34.8)	3.2	(3.9)	-	(35.5)
Interest expense	(2.1)	-	(.4)	-	(2.5)
All other income (expense), net	(5.7)	(2.8)	(.1)	2.5	(6.1)
Benefit (provision) for income tax and minority interests	(.9)	(4.5)	.4	-	(5.0)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(5.6)	-	-	5.6	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(49.1)	(4.1)	(4.0)	8.1	(49.1)
Discontinued operations	(1.3)	-	-	-	(1.3)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (50.4)</u>	<u>\$ (4.1)</u>	<u>\$ (4.0)</u>	<u>\$ 8.1</u>	<u>\$ (50.4)</u>

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income (Loss)
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 627.6	\$ 24.5	\$ 59.1	\$ (13.4)	\$ 697.8
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	726.1	12.3	84.2	(13.4)	809.2
Non-recurring operating charges (benefit), net	.6	-	-	-	.6
	<u>726.7</u>	<u>12.3</u>	<u>84.2</u>	<u>(13.4)</u>	<u>809.8</u>
Operating income (loss)	(99.1)	12.2	(25.1)	-	(112.0)
Interest expense	(4.9)	-	(.4)	-	(5.3)
All other income (expense), net	(18.3)	(4.4)	.7	5.5	(16.5)
Benefit (provision) for income tax and minority interests	(2.6)	(7.2)	9.3	-	(5)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(9.4)	-	-	9.4	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(134.3)	.6	(15.5)	14.9	(134.3)
Discontinued operations	7.9	-	-	-	7.9
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (126.4)</u>	<u>\$.6</u>	<u>\$ (15.5)</u>	<u>\$ 14.9</u>	<u>\$ (126.4)</u>

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income (Loss)
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 686.7	\$ 23.0	\$ 104.3	\$ (57.1)	\$ 756.9
Costs and expenses -					
Operating costs and expenses	753.4	7.7	114.2	(57.1)	818.2
Non-recurring operating charges	9.1	-	-	-	9.1
	<u>762.5</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>114.2</u>	<u>(57.1)</u>	<u>827.3</u>
Operating income (loss)	(75.8)	15.3	(9.9)	-	(70.4)
Interest expense	(15.2)	-	(.8)	-	(16.0)
All other income (expense), net	(13.1)	(5.6)	-	5.1	(13.6)
Benefit (provision) for income tax and minority interests	(3.7)	(10.4)	2.6	-	(11.5)
Equity in income of subsidiaries	(3.7)	-	-	3.7	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(111.5)	(.7)	(8.1)	8.8	(111.5)
Discontinued operations	(3.0)	-	-	-	(3.0)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (114.5)</u>	<u>\$ (.7)</u>	<u>\$ (8.1)</u>	<u>\$ 8.8</u>	<u>\$ (114.5)</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (62.7)	\$ (.8)	\$ 14.2	\$ –	\$ (49.3)
Investing activities	70.3	(.1)	(14.3)	–	55.9
Financing activities	(1.4)	–	–	–	(1.4)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	6.2	(.9)	(.1)	–	5.2
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	75.5	2.1	1.1	–	78.7
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 81.7</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ 1.0</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 83.9</u>

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

	Original Debtors	Additional Debtors	Non-Debtors	Consolidation/ Elimination Entries	Consolidated
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (19.1)	\$.3	\$ 19.9	\$ –	\$ 1.1
Investing activities	15.8	(.3)	(15.1)	–	.4
Financing activities	(7.5)	–	–	–	(7.5)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(10.8)	–	4.8	–	(6.0)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	151.6	1.4	.3	–	153.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 140.8</u>	<u>\$ 1.4</u>	<u>\$ 5.1</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 147.3</u>

Classification of Liabilities as “Liabilities Not Subject to Compromise” Versus “Liabilities Subject to Compromise.” Liabilities not subject to compromise include: (1) liabilities incurred after the Filing Date of the Cases; (2) pre-Filing Date liabilities that the Debtors expect to pay in full, including priority tax and employee claims and certain environmental liabilities, even though certain of these amounts may not be paid until a plan of reorganization is approved; and (3) pre-Filing Date liabilities that have been approved for payment by the Court and that the Debtors expect to pay (in advance of a plan of reorganization) over the next twelve-month period in the ordinary course of business, including certain employee related items (salaries, vacation and medical benefits), claims subject to a currently existing collective bargaining agreement, and postretirement medical and other costs associated with retirees (however, see note (2) to the table below).

Liabilities subject to compromise refer to all other pre-Filing Date liabilities of the Debtors. The amounts of the various categories of liabilities that are subject to compromise are set forth below. These amounts represent the Company’s estimates of known or probable pre-Filing Date claims that are likely to be resolved in connection with the Cases. Such claims remain subject to future adjustments. Further, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that pre-Filing Date claims will be paid at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company’s stockholders will be diluted or cancelled.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

The amounts subject to compromise at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 consisted of the following items:

	June 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Items, absent the Cases, that would have been considered current:		
Accounts payable	\$ 48.5	\$ 47.6
Accrued interest	44.0	44.0
Accrued salaries, wages and related expenses ⁽¹⁾	159.0	59.0
Other accrued liabilities (including asbestos liability of \$130.0 - Note 7)	143.7	150.6
Items, absent the Cases, that would have been considered long-term:		
Accrued pension benefits	287.7	362.7
Accrued postretirement medical obligation ⁽²⁾	665.0	672.4
Long-term liabilities ⁽³⁾	544.6	559.5
Debt (Note 5)	830.2	830.2
	\$ 2,722.7	\$ 2,726.0

- (1) Accrued salaries, wages and related expenses represent estimated minimum pension contributions that, absent the Cases, would have otherwise been payable. Amounts for the period ended June 30, 2003 include approximately \$100.0 associated with estimated special liquidity and other payments that were not made. As previously disclosed, the Company does not currently expect to make any pension contributions in respect of its domestic pension plans. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information about non-payment of pension contributions.
- (2) In July 2003, the Debtors asked the Court to approve the appointment of the 1114 Committee with whom the Debtors can discuss necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain retiree benefits (such as medical and insurance) under Section 1114 of the Code. Separately, the Debtors have begun discussions with the appropriate union representatives to discuss modifications or termination of hourly retiree benefits pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. The Company has continued to report the current portion of accrued postretirement medical obligations as liabilities not subject to compromise, but this treatment is subject to change depending on the actions of the aforementioned discussions and specific actions by the Company.
- (3) Long-term liabilities include environmental liabilities of \$22.7 at June 30, 2003 and \$21.7 at December 31, 2002 (Note 7) and asbestos liabilities of \$480.1 at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (Note 7).

The classification of liabilities "not subject to compromise" versus liabilities "subject to compromise" is based on currently available information and analysis. As the Cases proceed and additional information and analysis is completed or, as the Court rules on relevant matters, the classification of amounts between these two categories may change. The amount of any such changes could be significant. Additionally, as the Company evaluates the proofs of claim filed in the Cases, adjustments will be made for those claims that the Company believes will probably be allowed by the Court. The amount of such claims could be significant.

Reorganization Items. Reorganization items under the Cases are expense or income items that are incurred or realized by the Company because it is in reorganization. These items include, but are not limited to, professional fees and similar types of expenses incurred directly related to the Cases, loss accruals or gains or losses resulting from activities of the reorganization process, and interest earned on cash accumulated by the Debtors because they are not paying their pre-Filing Date liabilities. For the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, reorganization items were as follows:

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Professional fees	\$ 7.6	\$ 7.2	\$ 15.2	\$ 10.9
Accelerated amortization of certain deferred financing costs	-	-	-	4.5
Interest income	(.3)	(.7)	(.5)	(1.1)
Other	.1	-	.1	1.8
	<u>\$ 7.4</u>	<u>\$ 6.5</u>	<u>\$ 14.8</u>	<u>\$ 16.1</u>

As required by SOP 90-7, in the first quarter of 2002, the Company recorded the Debtors' pre-Filing Date debt that is subject to compromise at the allowed amount. Accordingly, the Company accelerated the amortization of debt-related premium, discount and costs attributable to this debt and recorded a net expense of approximately \$4.5 in Reorganization items during the first quarter of 2002.

Trust Fund. During the first quarter of 2002, the Company paid \$5.8 into a trust fund in respect of potential liability obligations of directors and officers.

2. General

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Going Concern. The interim consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared on a "going concern" basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business; however, as a result of the commencement of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. Specifically, the interim consolidated financial statements do not present: (a) the realizable value of assets on a liquidation basis or the availability of such assets to satisfy liabilities, (b) the amount which will ultimately be paid to settle liabilities and contingencies which may be allowed in the Cases, or (c) the effect of any changes which may occur in connection with the Debtors' capitalizations or operations of the Debtors as a result of a plan of reorganization. Because of the ongoing nature of the Cases, the discussions and consolidated financial statements contained herein are subject to material uncertainties.

Principles of Consolidation. The Company is the principal subsidiary of Kaiser. Kaiser is a subsidiary of MAXXAM Inc. ("MAXXAM"). MAXXAM and one of its wholly owned subsidiaries together own approximately 62% of the Company's Common Stock, with the remaining approximately 38% publicly held.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements furnished herein include all adjustments, all of which are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise noted, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements; accordingly, it is possible that the actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material effect on the reported amounts of the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Operating results for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2003.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Derivative Financial Instruments. Hedging transactions using derivative financial instruments are primarily designed to mitigate the Company's exposure to changes in prices for certain of the products which the Company sells and consumes and, to a lesser extent, to mitigate the Company's exposure to change in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company does not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. The Company's derivative activities are initiated within guidelines established by management and approved by the Company's board of directors. Hedging transactions are executed centrally on behalf of all of the Company's business segments to minimize transaction costs, monitor consolidated net exposure and allow for increased responsiveness to changes in market factors.

See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and Note 8 for additional information regarding derivative financial instruments.

3. Inventories

The classification of inventories is as follows:

	June 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Finished fabricated aluminum products	\$ 22.7	\$ 28.1
Primary aluminum and work in process	72.3	71.2
Bauxite and alumina	53.1	72.9
Operating supplies and repair and maintenance parts	79.0	82.7
Total	<u>\$ 227.1</u>	<u>\$ 254.9</u>

Substantially all product inventories are stated at last-in, first-out ("LIFO") cost, not in excess of market. Replacement cost is not in excess of LIFO cost.

4. Pacific Northwest Smelter Curtailments and Related Power Matters

Future Power Supply and its Impact on Future Operating Rate. During October 2000, the Company signed a new power contract with the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") under which the BPA, starting October 1, 2001, was to provide the Company's operations in the State of Washington with approximately 290 megawatts of power through September 2006. The contract provided the Company with sufficient power to fully operate the Company's Trentwood facility (which requires up to an approximate 40 megawatts), as well as approximately 40% of the combined capacity of the Company's Mead and Tacoma aluminum smelting operations which have been curtailed since the last half of 2000.

As a part of the reorganization process, the Company concluded that it was in its best interest to reject the BPA contract as permitted by the Code. As such, with the authorization of the Court, the Company rejected the BPA contract on September 30, 2002. The contract rejection gives rise to a pre-petition claim (see Note 1). The BPA has filed a proof of claim for approximately \$75.0 in connection with the Cases in respect of the contract rejection. The claim is expected to be settled in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. Accordingly, any payments that may be required as a result of the rejection of the BPA contract are expected to only be made pursuant to a plan of reorganization and upon the Company's emergence from the Cases. The amount of the BPA claim will be determined either through a negotiated settlement, litigation or a computation of prevailing power prices over the contract period. As the amount of the BPA's claim in respect of the contract rejection has not been determined, no provision has been made for the claim in the accompanying financial statements. The Company has entered into a rolling short-term contract with an alternate supplier to provide the power necessary to operate its Trentwood facility.

In January 2003, the Company announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead facility. The curtailment of the Mead facility was due to the continuing unfavorable market dynamics, specifically unattractive long-term power prices and weak primary aluminum prices - both of which are significant impediments for an older smelter with higher-than-average operating costs. The Mead facility is expected to remain completely curtailed unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs. The restart of a portion of the Company's Mead facility would require the purchase of additional power from available sources. For the

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Company to make such a decision, it would have to be able to purchase such power at a reasonable price in relation to current and expected market conditions for a sufficient term to justify its restart costs, which could be significant depending on the number of lines restarted and the length of time between the shutdown and restart. Given recent primary aluminum prices and the forward price of power in the Northwest, it is unlikely that the Company will operate the Mead facility in the near future. If the Company were to restart all or a portion of its Mead facility, it would take at least three to six months to reach the full operating rate for such operations, depending upon the number of lines restarted. Even after achieving the full operating rate, operating only a portion of the Mead facility would result in production/cost inefficiencies such that operating results would, at best, be breakeven to modestly negative at long-term primary aluminum prices. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for a discussion of the Northwest smelters 2002 impairment charge.

In January 2003, the Court approved the sale of the Tacoma facility to the Port of Tacoma. The sale closed in February 2003. See Note 9 for additional discussion on the sale of the Tacoma facility.

5. Long-Term Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Secured:		
Post-Petition Credit Agreement	\$ —	\$ —
8 ¼% Alpart CARIFA Loans due 2007	22.0	22.0
7.6% Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds due 2027	19.0	19.0
Other borrowings (fixed rate)	2.5	2.6
Unsecured (reflected as Liabilities Subject to Compromise):		
9 7/8% Senior Notes due 2002, net	172.8	172.8
10 7/8% Senior Notes due 2006, net	225.0	225.0
12 ¾% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2003	400.0	400.0
Other borrowings (fixed and variable rates)	32.4	32.4
Total	873.7	873.8
Less - Current portion	1.1	.9
Pre-Filing Date claims included in liabilities subject to compromise (Note 1)	830.2	830.2
Long-term debt	\$ 42.4	\$ 42.7

Post-Petition Credit Agreement. On February 12, 2002, the Company and Kaiser entered into a post-petition credit agreement with a group of lenders for debtor-in-possession financing (the "DIP Facility"). In March 2003, certain of the Additional Debtors were added as co-guarantors and the DIP Facility lenders received super-priority status with respect to certain of the Additional Debtors' assets. The DIP Facility provides for a secured, revolving line of credit through the earlier of February 12, 2004 (extended to February 13, 2005 in August 2003 as discussed below), the effective date of a plan of reorganization or voluntary termination by the Company. Under the DIP Facility, the Company is able to borrow amounts by means of revolving credit advances and to have issued for its benefit letters of credit (up to \$125.0) in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of \$300.0 (reduced to \$285.0 in August 2003 as discussed below) or a borrowing base relating to eligible accounts receivable, eligible inventory and an amortizing fixed asset component, reduced by certain reserves, as defined in the DIP Facility agreement. The DIP Facility is guaranteed by Kaiser and certain significant subsidiaries of the Company. Interest on any outstanding borrowings will bear a spread over either a base rate or LIBOR, at the Company's option. As of June 30, 2003, \$120.3 was available to the Company under the DIP Facility (of which \$78.8 could be used for additional letters of credit) and no borrowings were outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The DIP Facility requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants and places restrictions on the Company's ability to, among other things, incur debt and liens, make investments, pay dividends, undertake transactions with affiliates, make capital expenditures, and enter into unrelated lines of business. During March 2003, the Company

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

obtained a waiver from the lenders in respect of its compliance with a financial covenant covering the four-quarter period ending March 31, 2003. The waiver was of limited duration and would have lapsed on June 29, 2003. In May 2003, the Company obtained an extension and modification of the March 2003 limited waiver for the financial covenant through the four-quarter period ending June 30, 2003 until September 30, 2003 by when it was contemplated that a formal amendment would be completed. Subsequently, during June 2003 and August 2003, the Company and the DIP Facility lenders completed two amendments. The first of the two amendments (the fifth amendment to the DIP Facility) was necessary in order to permit the Company to take certain actions necessary to facilitate access by Queensland Alumina Limited ("QAL"), the Company's 20% owned affiliate, to amounts available to QAL under its existing financing arrangements, thereby reducing the Company's and the other owners' funding requirements for QAL. The Company's share of such additional financings at QAL is \$43.0. The fifth amendment to the DIP Facility was approved by the Court in June 2003. The major provisions of the second of the two amendments (the sixth amendment to the DIP Facility) included: (a) an extension of the maturity of the DIP Facility to February 2005, (b) an increase in the eligible borrowing base amount under the DIP Facility by, among other things, restoring the amortizing fixed assets subcomponent back to the original \$100.0 amount as of August 2003, (c) the incorporation of the May 2003 limited waiver and also a modification of the financial covenant for periods beginning June 30, 2003, and (d) a reduction of the commitment amount of the DIP Facility to \$285.0. The sixth amendment was approved on an interim basis by the Court on August 13, 2003. Absent objections, the interim order will automatically become final on August 19, 2003. As the motion to approve the sixth amendment was agreed with the creditors' committees and the asbestos futures representative in advance of the filing, the Company does not expect any objections and believes that the sixth amendment will become fully effective. However, absolute assurances cannot be given in this regard.

6. Income Taxes

The benefit (provision) for income taxes of \$.3 and \$(6.4) for the quarters ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$(4.4) and \$(14.4) for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, relate primarily to foreign income taxes. For the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, as a result of the Cases, the Company did not recognize any U.S. income tax benefit for the losses incurred from its domestic operations (including temporary differences) or any U.S. income tax benefit for foreign income taxes. Instead, the increases in federal and state deferred tax assets as a result of additional net operating losses and foreign tax credits generated in 2003 and 2002 were fully offset by increases in valuation allowances. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional information regarding the Deferred Tax Assets and Valuation Allowances.

In March 2003, the Company paid approximately \$22.0 in settlement of certain foreign tax matters in respect of a number of prior periods.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

Impact of Reorganization Proceedings. During the pendency of the Cases, substantially all pending litigation, except certain environmental claims and litigation, against the Debtors is stayed. Generally, claims against a Debtor arising from actions or omissions prior to its Filing Date will be settled in connection with the plan of reorganization.

Commitments. The Company has a variety of financial commitments, including purchase agreements, tolling arrangements, forward foreign exchange and forward sales contracts (see Note 8), letters of credit, and guarantees. Such purchase agreements and tolling arrangements include long-term agreements for the purchase and tolling of bauxite into alumina in Australia by QAL. These obligations are scheduled to expire in 2008. Under the agreements, the Company is unconditionally obligated to pay its proportional share (20%) of debt, operating costs, and certain other costs of QAL. The Company's share of the aggregate minimum amount of required future principal payments as of June 30, 2003, was \$52.0, which matured or will mature as follows: \$32.0 in July 2003 and \$20.0 in 2006. The Company's share of QAL's debt principal payment in July 2003 was funded with additional QAL borrowings. During July 2002, the Company made payments of approximately \$29.5 to QAL to fund the Company's share of QAL's scheduled debt maturities. The Company's share of payments, including operating costs and certain other expenses under the agreements, has ranged between \$95.0 - \$103.0 per year over the past three years. The Company also has agreements to supply alumina to and to purchase aluminum from Anglesey Aluminium Limited.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Minimum rental commitments under operating leases at December 31, 2002, were as follows: years ending December 31, 2003 – \$15.2; 2004 – \$6.2; 2005 – \$5.4; 2006 – \$3.1; 2007 – \$2.4; thereafter – \$3.7. Pursuant to the Code, the Debtors may elect to reject or assume unexpired pre-petition leases. At this time, no decisions have been made as to which significant leases will be accepted or rejected (see Note 1).

Rental expenses were \$38.3, \$41.0 and \$42.5 for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The Company had a long-term liability, net of estimated subleases income, on the Kaiser Center office complex in Oakland, California, in which the Company had not maintained offices for a number of years, but for which it was responsible for lease payments as master tenant through 2008 under a sale-and-leaseback agreement. The Company also held an investment in certain notes issued by the owners of the building (which were included in Other Assets). In October 2002, the Company entered into a contract to sell its interests in the office complex. As the contract amount was less than the asset's net carrying value, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge in 2002 of approximately \$20.0. The sale was approved by the Court in February 2003 and closed in March 2003. Net cash proceeds were approximately \$61.1.

Environmental Contingencies. The Company is subject to a number of environmental laws, to fines or penalties assessed for alleged breaches of the environmental laws, and to claims and litigation based upon such laws. The Company currently is subject to a number of claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), and, along with certain other entities, has been named as a potentially responsible party for remedial costs at certain third-party sites listed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA.

Based on the Company's evaluation of these and other environmental matters, the Company has established environmental accruals, primarily related to potential solid waste disposal and soil and groundwater remediation matters. At June 30, 2003, the balance of such accruals was \$59.3 (of which \$22.7 was included in Liabilities subject to compromise - see Note 1). These environmental accruals represent the Company's estimate of costs reasonably expected to be incurred in the ordinary course of business based on presently enacted laws and regulations, currently available facts, existing technology, and the Company's assessment of the likely remediation action to be taken. In the ordinary course, the Company expects that these remediation actions would be taken over the next several years and estimates that annual expenditures to be charged to these environmental accruals will be approximately \$8.0 to \$12.0 in 2003 and 2004, \$1.0 to \$4.0 in 2005 through 2007 and an aggregate of approximately \$33.0 thereafter.

However, in furtherance of its reorganization, the Company has been negotiating a possible multi-site resolution of the Company's environmental exposure at a number of non-owned sites with various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities. An agreement in principle has been reached with these parties under which, among other things, the Company would agree to claims at such sites totaling \$25.5 (\$18.2 greater than existing amounts accrued at June 30, 2003 for these sites) and, in return, the governmental regulatory authorities would agree that such claims would be treated as pre-Filing Date unsecured claims (i.e. liabilities subject to compromise). While the Company believes it is likely that the agreement with the various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities will be signed during the third quarter of 2003, the agreement will give the regulatory authorities the unilateral right to withdraw their approval until after the conclusion of a public notice and comment period. Any agreement would also be subject to Court approval. Because it is possible that objections raised during the public comment process or objections made to the Court could result in a significant modification or termination of the expected agreement, the Company has not currently recorded any charge for any amounts above existing accruals as such incremental liability was not believed to be "probable" (which is the criteria for recognition under GAAP). However, it is possible that the additional \$18.2 (or a different amount) of charges may be required to be recorded during the second half of 2003.

As additional facts are developed and definitive remediation plans and necessary regulatory approvals for implementation of remediation are established or alternative technologies are developed, changes in these and other factors may result in actual costs exceeding the current environmental accruals. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that costs associated with these environmental matters may exceed current accruals by amounts that could range, in the aggregate, up to an estimated \$33.0 (including the net impact of the possible multi-site settlement discussed in the preceding paragraph). As the resolution of these matters is subject to further regulatory review and approval, no specific

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

assurance can be given as to when the factors upon which a substantial portion of this estimate is based can be expected to be resolved. However, the Company is currently working to resolve certain of these matters.

The Company believes that it has insurance coverage available to recover certain incurred and future environmental costs and may pursue claims in this regard. However, no amounts have been accrued in the financial statements with respect to such potential recoveries.

Asbestos Contingencies. The Company has been one of many defendants in a number of lawsuits, some of which involve claims of multiple persons, in which the plaintiffs allege that certain of their injuries were caused by, among other things, exposure to asbestos during, and as a result of, their employment or association with the Company or exposure to products containing asbestos produced or sold by the Company. The lawsuits generally relate to products the Company has not sold for more than 20 years. As of the initial Filing Date, approximately 112,000 claims were pending. The lawsuits are currently stayed by the Cases.

Due to the Cases, holders of asbestos claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. However, during the pendency of the Cases, the Company expects additional asbestos claims will be filed as part of the claims process. A separate creditors' committee representing the interests of the asbestos claimants has been appointed. The Debtors' obligations with respect to present and future asbestos claims will be resolved pursuant to a plan of reorganization.

The Company has accrued a liability for estimated asbestos-related costs for claims filed to date and an estimate of claims to be filed through 2011. At June 30, 2003, the balance of such accrual was \$610.1, all of which was included in Liabilities subject to compromise (see Note 1). The Company's estimate is based on the Company's view, at June 30, 2003, of the current and anticipated number of asbestos-related claims, the timing and amounts of asbestos-related payments, the status of ongoing litigation and settlement initiatives, and the advice of Wharton Levin Ehrmantraut & Klein, P.A., with respect to the current state of the law related to asbestos claims. However, there are inherent uncertainties involved in estimating asbestos-related costs and the Company's actual costs could exceed the Company's estimates due to changes in facts and circumstances after the date of each estimate. Further, while the Company does not presently believe there is a reasonable basis for estimating asbestos-related costs beyond 2011 and, accordingly, no accrual has been recorded for any costs which may be incurred beyond 2011, the Company expects that the plan of reorganization process may require an estimation of the Company's entire asbestos-related liability, which may go beyond 2011, and that such costs could be substantial.

The Company believes that it has insurance coverage available to recover a substantial portion of its asbestos-related costs. Although the Company has settled asbestos-related coverage matters with certain of its insurance carriers, other carriers have not yet agreed to settlements and disputes with carriers exist. The timing and amount of future recoveries from these insurance carriers will depend on the pendency of the Cases and on the resolution of any disputes regarding coverage under the applicable insurance policies. The Company believes that substantial recoveries from the insurance carriers are probable and additional amounts may be recoverable in the future if additional claims are added. The Company reached this conclusion after considering its prior insurance-related recoveries in respect of asbestos-related claims, existing insurance policies, and the advice of Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe LLP with respect to applicable insurance coverage law relating to the terms and conditions of those policies. During 2000, the Company filed suit in San Francisco Superior Court against a group of its insurers, which suit was thereafter split into two related actions. Additional insurers were added to the litigation in 2000 and 2002. During October 2001 and June 2003, the court ruled favorably on a number of policy interpretation issues, one of which was affirmed in February 2002 by an intermediate appellate court in response to a petition from the insurers. The rulings did not result in any changes to the Company's estimates of its current or future asbestos-related insurance recoveries. The trial court may hear additional issues from time to time. Given the expected significance of probable future asbestos-related payments, the receipt of timely and appropriate payments from its insurers is critical to a successful plan of reorganization and the Company's long-term liquidity.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

The following tables present historical information regarding the Company's asbestos-related balances and cash flows:

	June 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Liability	\$ 610.1	\$ 610.1
Receivable (included in Other assets) ⁽¹⁾	468.9	484.0
	\$ 141.2	\$ 126.1

⁽¹⁾ The asbestos-related receivable was determined on the same basis as the asbestos-related cost accrual. However, no assurances can be given that the Company will be able to project similar recovery percentages for future asbestos-related claims or that the amounts related to future asbestos-related claims will not exceed the Company's aggregate insurance coverage. As of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, \$9.6 and \$24.7, respectively, of the receivable amounts relate to costs paid. The remaining receivable amounts relate to costs that are expected to be paid by the Company in the future.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2003	Inception To Date
Payments made, including related legal costs	\$ —	\$ 355.7
Insurance recoveries	15.1	260.2
	\$ (15.1)	\$ 95.5

During the pendency of the Cases, all asbestos litigation is stayed. As a result, the Company does not expect to make any asbestos payments in the near term. Despite the Cases, the Company continues to pursue insurance collections in respect of asbestos-related amounts paid prior to its Filing Date.

Management continues to monitor claims activity, the status of lawsuits (including settlement initiatives), legislative developments, and costs incurred in order to ascertain whether an adjustment to the existing accruals should be made to the extent that historical experience may differ significantly from the Company's underlying assumptions. Additional asbestos-related claims are likely to be asserted as a part of the Chapter 11 process. Management cannot reasonably predict the ultimate number of such claims or the amount of the associated liability. However, it is likely that such amounts could exceed, perhaps significantly, the liability amounts reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements, which (as previously stated) is only reflective of an estimate of claims through 2011. The Company's obligations in respect of the currently pending and future asbestos-related claims will ultimately be determined (and resolved) as a part of the overall Chapter 11 proceedings. It is anticipated that resolution of these matters will be a lengthy process. Management will continue to periodically reassess its asbestos-related liabilities and estimated insurance recoveries as the Cases proceed. However, absent unanticipated developments such as asbestos-related legislation, material developments in other asbestos-related proceedings or in the Company's Chapter 11 proceedings, it is not anticipated that the Company will have sufficient information to reevaluate its asbestos-related obligations and estimated insurance recoveries until much later in the Cases. Any adjustments ultimately deemed to be required as a result of the reevaluation of the Company's asbestos-related liabilities or estimated insurance recoveries could have a material impact on the Company's future financial statements.

Labor Matters. In connection with the United Steelworkers of America ("USWA") strike and subsequent lock-out by the Company, which was settled in September 2000, certain allegations of unfair labor practices ("ULPs") were filed with the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") by the USWA. As previously disclosed, the Company has responded to all such allegations and believes that they were without merit. Twenty-two of twenty-four allegations of ULPs previously brought against the Company by the USWA have been dismissed. A trial before an administrative law judge for the two remaining allegations concluded in September 2001. In May 2002, the administrative law judge ruled against the Company in respect of the two remaining ULP allegations and recommended that the NLRB award back wages, plus interest, less any earnings of the workers during the period of the lockout. The administrative law judge's ruling did not contain any specific amount of proposed award and is not self-executing. The USWA has filed a proof of claim for \$240.0 in the Cases in respect of this matter. The NLRB also filed a proof of claim in respect of this matter. The NLRB claim was for \$117.0, including interest of approximately \$18.0. Depending on the ultimate amount of any

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

interest due and amount of offsetting employee earnings and other factors, if the USWA ultimately were to prevail it is possible that the amount of the award could exceed \$100.0. It is also possible that the Company may ultimately prevail on appeal and that no loss will occur.

The Company continues to believe that the allegations are without merit and will vigorously defend its position. The Company has appealed the ruling of the administrative law judge to the full NLRB. The general counsel of the NLRB and the USWA have cross-appealed. Any outcome from the NLRB appeal would be subject to additional appeals in a United States Circuit Court of Appeals by the general counsel of the NLRB, the USWA or the Company. This process could take several years. Because the Company believes that it may prevail in the appeals process, the Company has not recognized a charge in response to the adverse ruling. However, it is possible that, if the Company's appeal(s) are not ultimately successful, a charge in respect of this matter may be required in one or more future periods and the amount of such charge(s) could be significant.

This matter is not currently stayed by the Cases. However, as previously stated, seeing this matter to its ultimate outcome could take several years. Further, any amounts ultimately determined by a court to be payable in this matter will be dealt with in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization and will be subject to compromise. Accordingly, any payments that may ultimately be required in respect of this matter would only be paid upon or after the Company's emergence from the Cases.

Other Contingencies. The Company is involved in various other claims, lawsuits, and other proceedings relating to a wide variety of matters related to past or present operations. While uncertainties are inherent in the final outcome of such matters, and it is presently impossible to determine the actual costs that ultimately may be incurred, management currently believes that the resolution of such uncertainties and the incurrence of such costs should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

8. Derivative Financial Instruments and Related Hedging Programs

In conducting its business, the Company uses various instruments, including forward contracts and options, to manage the risks arising from fluctuations in aluminum prices, energy prices and exchange rates. The Company enters into hedging transactions from time to time to limit its exposure resulting from (1) its anticipated sales of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, net of expected purchase costs for items that fluctuate with aluminum prices, (2) the energy price risk from fluctuating prices for natural gas, fuel oil and diesel oil used in its production process, and (3) foreign currency requirements with respect to its cash commitments with foreign subsidiaries and affiliates. As the Company's hedging activities are generally designed to lock-in a specified price or range of prices, gains or losses on the derivative contracts utilized in the hedging activities generally offset at least a portion of any losses or gains, respectively, on the transactions being hedged.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

2003. The following table summarizes the Company's material derivative positions at June 30, 2003.

Commodity	Period	Notional Amount of Contracts	Estimated % of Periods Sales/Purchases Hedged	Carrying/ Market Value
Aluminum (in tons*) - Option contracts	7/03 to 9/03	54,000	96%	\$ 3.1
Energy - Fuel Oil (in barrels per month): Option contracts	7/03 to 12/03	215,000	93%	.7
Natural gas (in mmbtu per day): Option contracts	8/03 to 9/03	35	(a)	.2

^{a)} When the hedges in place as of June 30, 2003 and those placed in July 2003 (see below) are combined with price limits in the Company's physical supply agreement, the Company's exposure to increases in natural gas prices has been substantially limited for August 2003 and September 2003 and approximately 60% of its exposure in October 2003 has been limited.

In July 2003, the Company purchased additional option contracts which cap the price that the Company would have to pay for a portion of its natural gas requirements for August, September and October 2003. During July 2003, the Company also purchased option contracts that established a floor for approximately one-third of its product sales that are linked to October 2003 primary aluminum prices.

The Company anticipates that, subject to prevailing economic conditions, it may enter into additional hedging transactions with respect to primary aluminum prices, natural gas and fuel oil prices and foreign currency values to protect the interests of its constituents. However, no assurance can be given as to when or if the Company will enter into such additional hedging activities.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company had sold forward substantially all of the alumina available to it in excess of its projected internal smelting requirements for the balance of 2003 and a vast majority of such alumina in 2004 and 2005 at prices indexed to future prices of primary aluminum.

2002. Because the agreements underlying the Company's hedging positions provided that the counterparties to the hedging contracts could liquidate the Company's hedging positions if the Company filed for reorganization, the Company chose to liquidate these positions in advance of the Filing Date. Proceeds from the liquidation totaled approximately \$42.2. A net gain of \$23.3 associated with these liquidated positions was deferred and is being recognized over the period during which the underlying transactions to which the hedges related are expected to occur. The net gain upon liquidation consisted of: gains of \$30.2 for aluminum contracts and losses of \$5.0 for Australian dollars and \$1.9 for energy contracts. As of June 30, 2003, the remaining unamortized amount was approximately a net loss of \$1.8.

9. Discontinued Operations

The Company has previously disclosed that, in connection with the development of a plan of reorganization, it conducted a study of the long-term competitive position of the Mead and Tacoma facilities and potential options for these facilities. When the Company received the preliminary results of the study, it analyzed the findings and met with the USWA and other parties prior to making its determination as to the appropriate action(s). The outcome of the study and the Company's ongoing work on developing a plan of reorganization led the Company to conclude that the Tacoma facility,

* All references to tons in this report refer to metric tons of 2,204.6 pounds.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

whose aluminum smelting operations had been curtailed since the last half of 2000, could not compete with the much larger, newer and more efficient smelters, generally located outside the United States. As a result, the Company entered into an agreement, which was approved by the Court in January 2003, to sell the Tacoma facility to the Port of Tacoma (the "Port"). Gross proceeds from the sale, before considering approximately \$4.0 of proceeds being held in escrow pending the resolution of certain environmental and other issues, were approximately \$12.1. The Port also agreed to assume the on-site environmental remediation obligations. The sale closed in February 2003. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$9.5. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* ("SFAS No. 144"), the operating results of the Tacoma facility for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 and the gain from the sale of the Tacoma facility have been reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying Statements of Consolidated Income (Loss). The balances and operating results associated with the Tacoma facility were previously included in the Primary Aluminum business segment.

10. Other Income (Expense) and Non-Recurring Items

Non-Recurring Operating (Charges) Benefits, Net. The income (loss) impact associated with non-recurring operating (charges) benefits, net for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, was as follows (the business segment to which the item is applicable is indicated):

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Restructuring charges -				
Primary Aluminum	\$ -	\$ (1.7)	\$ (1.3)	\$ (1.7)
Bauxite & Alumina	(1)	(3)	(1)	(1.9)
Fabricated Products		(3.9)		(3.9)
Product lines exit charge - Fabricated Products	-	(1.6)	-	(1.6)
Other	.8	-	.8	-
	<u>\$.7</u>	<u>\$ (7.5)</u>	<u>\$ (.6)</u>	<u>\$ (9.1)</u>

Restructuring charges in 2003 consist of employee benefit costs associated with approximately 20 job eliminations during the first and second quarters of 2003 resulting primarily from the Primary aluminum business segment's Mead facility's indefinite curtailment (see Note 4). Restructuring charges in 2002 resulted from initiatives designed to increase operating cash flow, generate cash from inventory reduction and improve the Company's financial flexibility. These initiatives resulted in restructuring charges totaling \$5.6 for employee benefits and related costs for approximately 60 positions being eliminated in the Primary aluminum and Fabricated products business segments during the second quarter of 2002. All of the positions had been eliminated by the end of 2002. Restructuring charges for the Bauxite & alumina business segment in 2002 consisted of third party costs associated with cost reduction efforts.

The product line exit charge in 2002 relates to a \$1.6 LIFO inventory charge which resulted from the Fabricated products segment's exit from the lid and tab stock and brazing sheet product lines.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense), other than interest expense, for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 included approximately \$1.7 of adverse foreign currency exchange impacts associated with a foreign tax settlement in the first quarter of 2003. Other income (expense), other than interest expense, in 2002 included a gain of \$4.0 for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002 from the sale, in the ordinary course of business, of certain non-operating property. Proceeds from the sale totaled \$4.5.

11. Valco Related Matters

The amount of power made available to the Company's 90%-owned Volta Aluminium Company ("Valco") by the Volta River Authority ("VRA") depends in large part on the level of the lake that is the primary source for generating the hydroelectric power used to supply the smelter. The level of the lake is primarily a function of the level of annual rainfall and the alternative (non-Valco) uses of the power generated, as directed by the VRA. The Company has previously

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

disclosed that Valco's power allocation was reduced in January 2003 resulting in the curtailment of two of its three operating potlines.

As previously disclosed, the lake level has been at or near a record low level. Based on the level of the lake and the rate at which it had been declining, the Company believed that curtailment of Valco's last remaining operating potline was likely. Accordingly, in May 2003, the Company voluntarily curtailed the last operating potline. Voluntary curtailment of the last operating potline: (1) may provide Valco with an opportunity to run a greater number of potlines late in 2003 once the annual rainy season has replenished the lake level and Valco's 2004 power allocation is known (although no assurances can be provided in this regard) and (2) offers the VRA and the Government of Ghana ("GoG") a contribution toward conservation of the water supply to improve their ability to meet Valco's power needs later in the year as well as meet the near-term needs of the rest of Ghana.

In connection with such curtailments, \$12.8 of employee end-of-service benefits were paid (\$5.9 in the second quarter) resulting in \$8.1 of charges in the first six months of 2003 (\$3.8 in the second quarter). All charges are included in Cost of products sold.

Valco has met with the GoG and the VRA and anticipates such discussions will continue in respect of the current and future power situation and other matters. Valco has objected to the power curtailments and expects to seek appropriate compensation from the GoG. In addition, Valco and the Company have filed for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris against both the GoG and the VRA. However, no assurances can be given as to the ultimate success of any such actions. Valco and the Company do not expect the voluntary curtailment of the last operating potline to have any adverse impact on the pending arbitrations or negotiations with the VRA and GoG.

12. Operating Segment Information

The Company uses a portion of its bauxite, alumina and primary aluminum production for additional processing at its downstream facilities. Transfers between business units are made at estimated market prices. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. Business unit results are evaluated internally by management before any allocation of corporate overhead and without any charge for income taxes or interest expense. See Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2003, the Company elected to change its business segment reporting. Two of the Company's previously reported operating segments, Flat-rolled products and Engineered products, have been designated as one business segment, Fabricated products. The previously reported segments were combined primarily due to a significant integration in the organization and management of the two segments, as well as the similarity of their economic characteristics, products, customers and production and distribution processes. The change in segment reporting is also an outgrowth of the Company's strategic vision as part of its planning for its ultimate emergence from Chapter 11. Financial data for prior periods has been restated to conform to the revised segment reporting.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Financial information by operating segment for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net Sales:				
Bauxite and Alumina:				
Net sales to unaffiliated customers	\$ 139.9	\$ 114.9	\$ 275.5	\$ 228.5
Intersegment sales	—	9.0	10.3	32.2
	<u>139.9</u>	<u>123.9</u>	<u>285.8</u>	<u>260.7</u>
Primary Aluminum:				
Net sales to unaffiliated customers	44.1	64.3	74.9	135.3
Intersegment sales	—	.7	—	2.4
	<u>44.1</u>	<u>65.0</u>	<u>74.9</u>	<u>137.7</u>
Fabricated Products	151.0	172.3	298.0	324.4
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.8	10.5	3.8	21.5
Minority Interests	21.6	24.3	45.6	47.2
Eliminations	—	(9.7)	(10.3)	(34.6)
	<u>\$ 358.4</u>	<u>\$ 386.3</u>	<u>\$ 697.8</u>	<u>\$ 756.9</u>
Operating income (loss):				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ (17.9)	\$ (12.0)	\$ (42.1)	\$ (15.2)
Primary Aluminum (Note 9)	(13.8)	(5.6)	(27.4)	(7.0)
Fabricated Products	(1.8)	.7	(6.9)	(5.9)
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.7	8.4	2.9	19.1
Eliminations	(1.5)	2.4	1.0	2.9
Corporate and Other	(20.3)	(21.9)	(38.9)	(55.2)
Non-Recurring Operating (Charges) Benefits, Net (Note 10)	.7	(7.5)	(.6)	(9.1)
	<u>\$ (52.9)</u>	<u>\$ (35.5)</u>	<u>\$ (112.0)</u>	<u>\$ (70.4)</u>
Depreciation and amortization:				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.8	\$ 19.8	\$ 19.6
Primary Aluminum	2.2	5.4	4.4	10.7
Fabricated Products	5.8	7.0	11.8	14.1
Corporate and Other	.2	.3	1.4	.6
	<u>\$ 18.1</u>	<u>\$ 22.5</u>	<u>\$ 37.4</u>	<u>\$ 45.0</u>

13. Supplemental Guarantor Information

Certain domestic, wholly-owned (direct or indirect) subsidiaries of the Company (hereinafter collectively referred to as the Subsidiary Guarantors) have provided, joint and several, guarantees of the 9 7/8% Senior Notes, the 10 7/8% Senior Notes, due 2006 and the 12 3/4% Senior Subordinated Notes (the "Notes"). Such guarantees are full and unconditional. See Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for a more complete discussion regarding the Subsidiary Guarantors and their operations.

The accompanying financial information presents consolidating balance sheets, statements of income (loss) and statements of cash flows showing separately the Company, Subsidiary Guarantors, other subsidiaries and eliminating entries.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS
June 30, 2003

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
ASSETS					
Current assets	\$ 306.2	\$ 65.0	\$ 139.2	\$ –	\$ 510.4
Investments in subsidiaries	2,645.5	171.7	–	(2,817.2)	–
Intercompany advances receivable (payable), net	(2,235.8)	543.4	1,692.4	–	–
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	15.8	37.6	24.0	–	77.4
Property and equipment, net	559.7	22.5	400.9	–	983.1
Deferred income taxes	(54.9)	16.7	38.2	–	–
Other assets	528.5	.1	8.3	–	536.9
	<u>\$ 1,765.0</u>	<u>\$ 857.0</u>	<u>\$ 2,303.0</u>	<u>\$ (2,817.2)</u>	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)					
Current liabilities	\$ 194.5	\$ 38.8	\$ 110.4	\$ –	\$ 343.7
Other long-term liabilities	60.4	12.3	9.1	–	81.8
Long-term debt	20.4	–	22.0	–	42.4
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,694.6	14.5	13.6	–	2,722.7
Minority interests	–	–	17.7	104.4	122.1
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,204.9)	791.4	2,130.2	(2,921.6)	(1,204.9)
	<u>\$ 1,765.0</u>	<u>\$ 857.0</u>	<u>\$ 2,303.0</u>	<u>\$ (2,817.2)</u>	<u>\$ 2,107.8</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS
December 31, 2002

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
ASSETS					
Current assets	\$ 284.1	\$ 82.1	\$ 155.4	\$ –	\$ 521.6
Investments in subsidiaries	2,707.5	167.9	–	(2,875.4)	–
Intercompany advances receivable (payable), net	(2,267.9)	588.0	1,679.9	–	–
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	15.3	30.4	24.0	–	69.7
Property and equipment, net	578.6	23.2	408.1	–	1,009.9
Deferred income taxes	(54.9)	16.7	38.2	–	–
Other assets	610.4	.2	18.6	–	629.2
	<u>\$ 1,873.1</u>	<u>\$ 908.5</u>	<u>\$ 2,324.2</u>	<u>\$ (2,875.4)</u>	<u>\$ 2,230.4</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)					
Current liabilities	\$ 171.7	\$ 45.8	\$ 114.5	\$ –	\$ 332.0
Other long-term liabilities	56.8	12.1	18.0	–	86.9
Long-term debt	20.7	–	22.0	–	42.7
Liabilities subject to compromise	2,702.2	13.6	10.2	–	2,726.0
Minority interests	–	–	18.8	102.3	121.1
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,078.3)	837.0	2,140.7	(2,977.7)	(1,078.3)
	<u>\$ 1,873.1</u>	<u>\$ 908.5</u>	<u>\$ 2,324.2</u>	<u>\$ (2,875.4)</u>	<u>\$ 2,230.4</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2003

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net sales	\$ 255.0	\$ 109.5	\$ 177.1	\$ (183.2)	\$ 358.4
Costs and expenses:					
Operating costs and expenses	303.7	107.4	184.1	(183.2)	412.0
Non-recurring operating items	(.7)	-	-	-	(.7)
Operating income (loss)	(48.0)	2.1	(7.0)	-	(52.9)
Interest expense	(2.6)	-	(.1)	-	(2.7)
Reorganization items	(7.4)	-	-	-	(7.4)
Other income (expense), net	25.7	(26.2)	.1	-	(.4)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	(.1)	(.7)	1.1	-	.3
Minority interests	-	1.4	.6	-	2.0
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(28.7)	-	-	28.7	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(61.1)	(23.4)	(5.3)	28.7	(61.1)
Discontinued operations	(.2)	-	-	-	(.2)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (61.3)</u>	<u>\$ (23.4)</u>	<u>\$ (5.3)</u>	<u>\$ 28.7</u>	<u>\$ (61.3)</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2002

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net sales	\$ 280.8	\$ 109.6	\$ 212.2	\$ (216.3)	\$ 386.3
Costs and expenses:					
Operating costs and expenses	318.3	114.2	198.1	(216.3)	414.3
Non-recurring operating items	7.5	-	-	-	7.5
Operating income (loss)	(45.0)	(4.6)	14.1	-	(35.5)
Interest expense	(2.2)	-	(.3)	-	(2.5)
Other income (expense), net	17.0	(17.1)	.5	-	.4
Reorganization items	(6.5)	-	-	-	(6.5)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	(.4)	(1.4)	(4.6)	-	(6.4)
Minority interests	-	1.4	-	-	1.4
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(12.0)	-	-	12.0	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(49.1)	(21.7)	9.7	12.0	(49.1)
Discontinued operations	(1.3)	-	-	-	(1.3)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (50.4)</u>	<u>\$ (21.7)</u>	<u>\$ 9.7</u>	<u>\$ 12.0</u>	<u>\$ (50.4)</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net sales	\$ 487.4	\$ 227.9	\$ 352.7	\$ (370.2)	\$ 697.8
Costs and expenses:					
Operating costs and expenses	591.7	231.6	356.1	(370.2)	809.2
Non-recurring operating items	.6	-	-	-	.6
Operating income (loss)	(104.9)	(3.7)	(3.4)	-	(112.0)
Interest expense	(5.0)	-	(.3)	-	(5.3)
Reorganization items	(14.8)	-	-	-	(14.8)
Other income (expense), net	52.6	(42.7)	(11.6)	-	(1.7)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	(.5)	(2.1)	(1.8)	-	(4.4)
Minority interests	-	2.9	1.0	-	3.9
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(61.7)	-	-	61.7	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(134.3)	(45.6)	(16.1)	61.7	(134.3)
Discontinued operations	7.9	-	-	-	7.9
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (126.4)</u>	<u>\$ (45.6)</u>	<u>\$ (16.1)</u>	<u>\$ 61.7</u>	<u>\$ (126.4)</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net sales	\$ 571.1	\$ 214.1	\$ 455.1	\$ (483.4)	\$ 756.9
Costs and expenses:					
Operating costs and expenses	652.2	221.1	428.3	(483.4)	818.2
Non-recurring operating items	9.1	-	-	-	9.1
Operating income (loss)	(90.2)	(7.0)	26.8	-	(70.4)
Interest expense	(15.5)	-	(.5)	-	(16.0)
Other income (expense), net	11.2	(10.1)	1.4	-	2.5
Reorganization items	(16.1)	-	-	-	(16.1)
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	.4	(5.0)	(9.8)	-	(14.4)
Minority interests	-	2.8	.1	-	2.9
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(1.3)	-	-	1.3	-
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(111.5)	(19.3)	18.0	1.3	(111.5)
Discontinued operations	(3.0)	-	-	-	(3.0)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (114.5)</u>	<u>\$ (19.3)</u>	<u>\$ 18.0</u>	<u>\$ 1.3</u>	<u>\$ (114.5)</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (63.2)	\$ 21.5	\$ (7.6)	\$ –	\$ (49.3)
Investing activities	66.1	(.1)	(10.1)	–	55.9
Financing activities	(1.4)	–	–	–	(1.4)
Intercompany activity	<u>7.2</u>	<u>(21.3)</u>	<u>14.1</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>–</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents during the period	8.7	.1	(3.6)	–	5.2
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>72.8</u>	<u>.5</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>78.7</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 81.5</u>	<u>\$.6</u>	<u>\$ 1.8</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 83.9</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

	<u>Company</u>	<u>Subsidiary Guarantors</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminating Entries</u>	<u>Consolidated</u>
Net cash provided (used) by:					
Operating activities	\$ (26.0)	\$ 18.3	\$ 8.8	\$ –	\$ 1.1
Investing activities	15.9	(.1)	(15.4)	–	.4
Financing activities	(7.5)	–	–	–	(7.5)
Intercompany activity	<u>6.7</u>	<u>(17.6)</u>	<u>10.9</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>–</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents during the period	(10.9)	.6	4.3	–	(6.0)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>151.5</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>153.3</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 140.6</u>	<u>\$.6</u>	<u>\$ 6.1</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 147.3</u>

Notes to Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Income Taxes - The benefit (provision) for income taxes for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, relate primarily to foreign income taxes. As a result of the Cases, the Company did not recognize any income tax benefit for the losses incurred from domestic operations (including temporary differences) or any U.S. income tax benefit for foreign income taxes. Instead, the increases in federal and state deferred tax assets as a result of additional net operating losses and foreign tax credits generated were offset by equal increases in valuation allowances.

Foreign Currency - The functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries is the United States Dollar, and accordingly, pre-tax translation gains (losses) are included in the Company's and Subsidiary Guarantors' operating income (loss) and other income (expense), net balances. Such amounts for the Company totaled \$23.8 and \$11.8 for the quarters ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively and \$38.0 and \$15.7 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Such amounts for the Subsidiary Guarantors totaled \$(25.1) and \$(12.1) for the quarters ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively and \$(39.4) and \$(16.2) for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Debt Covenants and Restrictions - The Indentures contain restrictions on the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to transfer funds to the Company in the form of dividends, loans or advances.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section should be read in conjunction with the response to Part I, Item 1, of this Report.

This section contains statements which constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements appear in a number of places in this section (see, for example, “Recent Events and Developments,” “Results of Operations,” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources”). Such statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “estimates,”

“will,” “should,” “plans” or “anticipates” or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. Readers are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve significant risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may vary materially from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. These factors include the effectiveness of management’s strategies and decisions, general economic and business conditions, developments in technology, new or modified statutory or regulatory requirements, and changing prices and market conditions. This section and Part I, Item 1. “*Business – Factors Affecting Future Performance*” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, each identify other factors that could cause actual results to vary. No assurance can be given that these are all of the factors that could cause actual results to vary materially from the forward-looking statements.

Reorganization Proceedings

The Company, its parent company, Kaiser Aluminum Corporation (“Kaiser”), and 24 of the Company’s subsidiaries have filed separate voluntary petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”) for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Code”); the Company, Kaiser and 15 of the Company’s subsidiaries (the “Original Debtors”) filed in the first quarter of 2002 and nine additional Company subsidiaries (the “Additional Debtors”) filed in the first quarter of 2003. The Original Debtors and Additional Debtors are collectively referred to herein as the “Debtors” and the Chapter 11 proceedings of these entities are collectively referred to herein as the “Cases.” For purposes of this Report, the term “Filing Date” shall mean, with respect to any particular Debtor, the date on which such Debtor filed its Case. None of the Company’s non-U.S. joint ventures are included in the Cases. The Cases are being jointly administered. The Debtors are managing their businesses in the ordinary course as debtors-in-possession subject to the control and administration of the Court.

Original Debtors. The necessity for filing the Cases by the Original Debtors was attributable to the liquidity and cash flow problems of the Company and its subsidiaries arising in late 2001 and early 2002. The Company was facing significant near-term debt maturities at a time of unusually weak aluminum industry business conditions, depressed aluminum prices and a broad economic slowdown that was further exacerbated by the events of September 11, 2001. In addition, the Company had become increasingly burdened by asbestos litigation and growing legacy obligations for retiree medical and pension costs. The confluence of these factors created the prospect of continuing operating losses and negative cash flow, resulting in lower credit ratings and an inability to access the capital markets. In connection with the filing of the Original Debtors’ Cases, the Original Debtors are prohibited from paying pre-Filing Date obligations other than those related to certain joint ventures and in certain other limited circumstances approved by the Court.

Additional Debtors. The Cases filed by the Additional Debtors were commenced, among other reasons, to protect the assets held by these Debtors against possible statutory liens that might arise and be enforced by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (“PBGC”) primarily as a result of the Company’s failure to meet a \$17.0 million accelerated funding requirement to its salaried employee retirement plan in January 2003. From an operating perspective, the filing of the Cases by the Additional Debtors had no impact on the Company’s day-to-day operations. In contrast to the circumstances of the Original Debtors, the Court authorized the Additional Debtors to continue to make all payments in the normal course of business (including payments of pre-Filing Date amounts) to creditors.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

All Debtors. The Debtors' objective in the Cases is to achieve the highest possible recoveries for all creditors and stockholders and to continue the operation of their businesses. However, there can be no assurance that the Debtors will be able to attain these objectives or to achieve a successful reorganization. While valuation of the Debtors' assets and pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases is subject to inherent uncertainties, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that their liabilities will be found in the Cases to exceed the fair value of their assets. Therefore, the Debtors currently believe that it is likely that pre-Filing Date claims will be paid at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be diluted or cancelled. Because of such possibility, the value of the Common Stock is speculative and any investment in the Common Stock would pose a high degree of risk.

As provided by the Code, the Original Debtors had the exclusive right to propose a plan of reorganization for 120 days following the initial Filing Date. The Court has subsequently approved several extensions of the exclusivity period for all Debtors, the most recent of which was set to expire July 31, 2003. A motion to extend the exclusivity period through October 31, 2003, was filed by the Debtors in late July 2003. By filing the motion to extend the exclusivity period, the period is automatically extended until the September 22, 2003 Court hearing date. As the Debtors' motion to extend the exclusivity period through October 31, 2003 was agreed with by the creditors' committees in advance of the filing, the Debtors expect the motion to be approved by the Court. Additional extensions are likely to be sought. However, no assurance can be given that such future requests will be granted by the Court. If the Debtors fail to file a plan of reorganization during the exclusivity period, or if such plan is not accepted by the requisite numbers of creditors and equity holders entitled to vote on the plan, other parties in interest in the Cases may be permitted to propose their own plan(s) of reorganization for the Debtors.

The Company expects that, when the Debtors ultimately file a plan of reorganization, it will reflect the Company's strategic vision for emergence from Chapter 11: (a) a standalone going concern with manageable leverage, improved cost structure and competitive strength, (b) a company positioned to execute its long-standing vision of market leadership and growth in fabricated products specifically with a financial structure that provides financial flexibility, including access to capital markets, for accretive acquisitions, (c) a company that delivers a broad product offering and leadership in service and quality for its customers and distributors, and (d) a company with continued presence in those commodities markets that have the potential to generate significant cash at steady-state metal prices. The Company's advisors have developed a preliminary timeline that, assuming the current pace of the Cases continues, could allow the Company to emerge from Chapter 11 in 2004. While no assurances can be given in this regard, the Company's management continues to push for an aggressive pace in advancing the Cases. Continued sales of non-core assets and facilities that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity are likely. In light of the Company's stated strategy of market leadership and growth in fabricated products and to further the Company's ultimate planned emergence from Chapter 11, the Company has determined that it is appropriate to explore the possible disposition of one or more of its commodity assets. The Company, through its financial advisor, has been in contact with a number of parties with possible interest in the commodity assets and has provided a number of parties certain information pursuant to confidentiality agreements. While no commodity asset sales are currently imminent, it is possible that one or more sales may occur in late 2003 or the first half of 2004. Any sale of assets would be subject to various prior approvals including, but not limited to, approvals by the Company's Board of Directors, the Court and the DIP Facility lenders and no assurances can be given that acceptable offers will be received for any assets or that any assets will ultimately be sold. The Company's strategic vision is subject to continuing review in consultation with the Company's stakeholders and may also be modified from time to time as the Cases proceed due to changes in such items as changes in the global markets, changes in the economics of the Company's facilities or changing financial circumstances.

Impact of the Cases on Financial Information. In light of the Cases, the accompanying financial information of the Company and related discussions of financial condition and results of operations are based on the assumption that the Company will continue as a "going concern," which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the ordinary course of business; however, as a result of the commencement of the Cases, such realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. Specifically, the financial information for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, contained herein does not present: (a) the realizable value of assets on a liquidation basis or the availability of such assets to satisfy liabilities, (b) the amount which will ultimately be paid to settle liabilities and contingencies that may be allowed in the Cases, or (c) the effect of any changes that may occur in connection with the Debtors' capitalizations or operations resulting from a plan of reorganization. Because of the ongoing nature of the Cases, the discussions and consolidated financial statements contained herein are subject to material uncertainties.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Recent Events and Developments

Liquidity/Negative Cash Flow. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$5.2 million during the first six months of 2003. The net increase resulted from cash generated from investing activities of \$55.9 million (see Notes 7 and 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements) offset by cash used in operating activities (\$49.3 million) and financing activities (\$1.4 million). The \$49.3 million of cash used by operating activities included receipts and payments that are not typical and/or are non-recurring including: (a) asbestos-related insurance receipts of \$15.1 million, (b) a foreign income tax payment related to prior periods of \$22.0 million and (c) end of service benefit payments totaling approximately \$12.8 million in connection with the Company's 90%-owned Volta Aluminium Company Limited ("Valco") potline curtailments (see below).

The balance of the cash used in operating activities (approximately \$29.6 million during the first six months of 2003) resulted from a combination of adverse market factors in the business segments in which the Company operates including (a) primary aluminum prices that were below long-term averages, (b) weak demand for fabricated metal products in general, but particularly for engineered products, and (c) higher than average power, fuel oil and natural gas prices.

Cash used in operations during 2002 of \$49.6 million also had a number of non-recurring receipts and payments, and was affected by similar operating conditions and market factors as those experienced in 2003 (see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Significant Items, *Liquidity/Negative Cash* in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).

Despite the foregoing, the Company's liquidity (cash and cash equivalents plus unused credit availability under the DIP Facility) has remained strong, averaging approximately \$200.0 million during the first six months of 2003. Also, during August 2003, the Company and the lenders for the debtor-in-possession financing (the "DIP Facility") completed an amendment to the DIP Facility which, among other things, extended the maturity of the DIP Facility to February 2005 and increased the amount of credit available under the DIP Facility by, among other things, reinstating the amortizing fixed assets subcomponent back to \$100.0 as of August 2003 (see Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of the amendment to the DIP Facility). However, no assurances can be given that recent improvements in primary aluminum price and fabricated product demand will be sustained or that the Company's liquidity will not erode for other reasons.

Valco Operating Level. The amount of power made available to Valco by the Volta River Authority ("VRA") depends in large part on the level of the lake that is the primary source for generating the hydroelectric power used to supply the smelter. The level of the lake is primarily a function of the level of annual rainfall and the alternative (non-Valco) uses of the power generated, as directed by the VRA.

During late 2000, Valco, the Government of Ghana ("GoG") and the VRA reached an agreement, subject to Parliamentary approval, that would provide sufficient power for Valco to operate at least three and one-half of its five potlines through 2017. However, Parliamentary approval was not received and, in March 2002, the GoG reduced Valco's power allocation forcing Valco to curtail one of its four operating potlines. Valco's power allocation was further reduced in January 2003 resulting in the curtailment of two additional operating potlines.

As previously disclosed, the lake level has been at or near a record low level. Based on the level of the lake and the rate at which it had been declining, the Company believed that curtailment of Valco's last remaining operating potline was likely. Accordingly, in May 2003, the Company voluntarily curtailed the last operating potline. Voluntary curtailment of the last operating potline: (1) may provide Valco with an opportunity to run a greater number of potlines late in 2003 once the annual rainy season has replenished the lake level and Valco's 2004 power allocation is known (although no assurances can be provided in this regard) and (2) offers the VRA and GoG a contribution toward conservation of the water supply to improve their ability to meet Valco's power needs later in the year as well as meet the near-term needs of the rest of Ghana.

In connection with such curtailments, \$12.8 million of employee end-of-service benefits were paid (\$5.9 million in the second quarter) resulting in \$8.1 million of charges in the first six months of 2003 (\$3.8 million in the second quarter). All charges are included in Cost of products sold.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Valco has met with the GoG and the VRA and anticipates such discussions will continue in respect of the current and future power situation and other matters. Valco has objected to the power curtailments and expects to seek appropriate compensation from the GoG. In addition, Valco and the Company have filed for arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris against both the GoG and the VRA. However, no assurances can be given as to the ultimate success of any such actions. Valco and the Company do not expect the voluntary curtailment of the last operating potline to have any adverse impact on the pending arbitrations or negotiations with the VRA and GoG.

Benefit (Legacy) Cost Matters. The Company has previously disclosed (since the Filing Date) that pension and retiree medical obligations were significant factors that would have to be addressed during the reorganization process.

As previously disclosed, the Company does not currently expect to make any pension contributions in respect to its domestic pension plans during the pendency of the Cases as it believes that virtually all amounts are pre-Filing Date obligations. The Company did not make required accelerated funding payments to its salaried employee retirement plan of \$17.0 million in January 2003, \$83.0 million in April 2003 or \$60.5 million in July 2003 (such amounts are separate standalone requirements and not additive). As previously disclosed, the Company has met on several occasions with the PBGC, the government agency that guarantees annuity payments from defined pension plans, to discuss alternative solutions to the pension funding issue that would help the Company's emergence from bankruptcy. These options could include extended amortization periods for payments of unfunded liabilities or the potential termination of the plans.

Even though the Company is not making contributions to its domestic pension plans, the Company's 2003 operating results are expected to be adversely impacted by substantially higher pension-related expenses than those experienced in 2002 (see Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for further information regarding higher pension-related expenses in 2003). Before considering any special pension-related charges that may occur in 2003, pension-related expenses for 2003 are expected to be approximately \$48.0 million, more than \$20.0 million higher than comparable 2002 pension-related expenses. Higher pension-related expenses during the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 adversely impacted the operating results of all business units.

In July 2003, the Debtors asked the Court to approve the appointment of a committee of salaried retirees (the "1114 Committee") with whom the Debtors can discuss necessary changes, including the modification or termination, of certain salaried retiree medical and insurance benefits under Section 1114 of the Code.

Environmental Matters. In furtherance of its reorganization, the Company has been negotiating a possible multi-site resolution of the Company's environmental exposure at a number of non-owned sites with various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities. An agreement in principle has been reached with these parties under which, among other things, the Company would agree to claims at such sites totaling \$25.2 million (\$18.2 million greater than existing amounts accrued at June 30, 2003 for these sites) and, in return, the governmental regulatory authorities would agree that such claims would be treated as pre-Filing Date unsecured claims (i.e. liabilities subject to compromise). While the Company believes it is likely that the agreement with the various federal and state governmental regulatory authorities will be signed during the third quarter of 2003, the agreement will give the regulatory authorities the unilateral right to withdraw their approval until after the conclusion of a public notice and comment period. Any agreement would also be subject to Court approval. Because it is possible that objections raised during the public comment process or objections made to the Court could result in a significant modification or termination of the expected agreement, the Company has not currently recorded any charge for any amounts above existing accruals as such incremental liability was not believed to be "probable" (which is the criteria for recognition under generally accepted accounting principles). However, it is possible that the additional \$18.2 million (or a different amount) of charges may be required to be recorded during the second half of 2003.

Indefinite Curtailment of Mead Facility. In January 2003, the Company announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead facility. The curtailment of the facility was due to the continuing unfavorable market dynamics, specifically unattractive long-term power prices and weak primary aluminum prices, both of which are significant impediments for an older smelter with higher-than-average operating costs. The Mead facility is expected to remain completely curtailed unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs. See Note 4 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for additional discussion of the Mead curtailment.

Results of Operations

As an integrated aluminum producer, the Company uses a portion of its bauxite, alumina, and primary aluminum production for additional processing at certain of its downstream facilities. Intersegment transfers are valued at estimated market prices. The following table provides selected operational and financial information on a consolidated basis with respect to the Company for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 and 2002. The following data should be read in conjunction with the Company's interim consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere herein. See Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for further information regarding segments.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of those for a full year. Average realized prices for the Company's Fabricated products segment are not presented in the following table as such prices are subject to fluctuations due to changes in product mix.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

SELECTED OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(Unaudited)

(In millions of dollars, except shipments and prices)

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Shipments: (000 tons)				
Alumina				
Third Party	772.7	648.4	1,523.4	1,273.6
Intersegment	—	51.4	62.5	186.3
Total Alumina	<u>772.7</u>	<u>699.8</u>	<u>1,585.9</u>	<u>1,459.9</u>
Primary Aluminum				
Third Party	32.0	46.7	53.6	98.0
Intersegment	—	.5	—	1.6
Total Primary Aluminum	<u>32.0</u>	<u>47.2</u>	<u>53.6</u>	<u>99.6</u>
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	<u>42.8</u>	<u>48.3</u>	<u>83.6</u>	<u>90.1</u>
Average Realized Third Party Sales Price:				
Alumina (per ton)	\$ 171	\$ 167	\$ 171	\$ 168
Primary Aluminum (per pound)	\$.63	\$.65	\$.63	\$.64
Net Sales:				
Bauxite and Alumina				
Third Party (includes net sales of bauxite)	\$ 139.9	\$ 114.9	\$ 275.5	\$ 228.5
Intersegment	—	9.0	10.3	32.2
Total Bauxite and Alumina	<u>139.9</u>	<u>123.9</u>	<u>285.8</u>	<u>260.7</u>
Primary Aluminum				
Third Party	44.1	64.3	74.9	135.3
Intersegment	—	.7	—	2.4
Total Primary Aluminum	<u>44.1</u>	<u>65.0</u>	<u>74.9</u>	<u>137.7</u>
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	151.0	172.3	298.0	324.4
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.8	10.5	3.8	21.5
Minority Interests	21.6	24.3	45.6	47.2
Eliminations	—	(9.7)	(10.3)	(34.6)
Total Net Sales	<u>\$ 358.4</u>	<u>\$ 386.3</u>	<u>\$ 697.8</u>	<u>\$ 756.9</u>
Operating Income (Loss):				
Bauxite and Alumina	\$ (17.9)	\$ (12.0)	\$ (42.1)	\$ (15.2)
Primary Aluminum (Note 9)	(13.8)	(5.6)	(27.4)	(7.0)
Fabricated Products (Note 12)	(1.8)	.7	(6.9)	(5.9)
Commodities Marketing (Note 8)	1.7	8.4	2.9	19.1
Eliminations	(1.5)	2.4	1.0	2.9
Corporate and Other	(20.3)	(21.9)	(38.9)	(55.2)
Non-Recurring Operating (Charges) Benefits, Net (Note 10)	.7	(7.5)	(.6)	(9.1)
Total Operating Income (Loss)	<u>\$ (52.9)</u>	<u>\$ (35.5)</u>	<u>\$ (112.0)</u>	<u>\$ (70.4)</u>
Net Loss	<u>\$ (61.3)</u>	<u>\$ (50.4)</u>	<u>\$ (126.4)</u>	<u>\$ (114.5)</u>
Capital Expenditures	<u>\$ 10.2</u>	<u>\$ 10.4</u>	<u>\$ 19.2</u>	<u>\$ 19.9</u>

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Overview

The Company's operating results are sensitive to changes in prices of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, and also depend to a significant degree on the volume and mix of all products sold and on the Company's hedging strategies. Primary aluminum prices have historically been subject to significant cyclical price fluctuations. See Notes 2 and 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the Company's hedging activities.

Changes in global, regional, or country-specific economic conditions can have a significant impact on overall demand for aluminum-intensive fabricated products in the transportation, distribution, packaging, and other markets. Such changes in demand can directly affect the Company's earnings by impacting the overall volume and mix of such products sold. To the extent that these end-use markets weaken, demand can also diminish for what the Company sometimes refers to as the "upstream" products: alumina and primary aluminum.

During the six months ended June 30, 2002, the average London Metal Exchange transaction price ("LME price") per pound of primary aluminum was \$.62 per pound. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the average LME price was \$.63 per pound. The average LME price for primary aluminum for the week ended July 25, 2003 was \$.65 per pound.

Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2003, Compared to Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2002

Summary

The Company reported a net loss of \$61.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, compared to a net loss of \$50.4 million for the second quarter of 2002. For the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company reported a net loss of \$126.4 million compared to a net loss of \$114.5 million for the same period in 2002.

Net sales in the second quarter of 2003 totaled \$358.4 million compared to \$386.3 million in the second quarter of 2002. Net sales for the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, totaled \$697.8 million compared to \$756.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2002.

Bauxite and Alumina. Third party net sales of alumina for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, increased 22% as compared to the same period in 2002, due to a 19% increase in third party shipments and a 2% increase in third party average realized prices. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, third party net sales of alumina were 22% higher than the comparable period in 2002 as the result of a 20% increase in third party shipments and a 2% increase in third party average realized prices. Third party shipments for the quarter and six-month period were up primarily due to reduced intersegment requirements resulting from Valco's 2003 potline curtailments (see "Recent Events and Developments — Valco Operating Level" above). The increases in average realized prices during both periods were due to increases in primary aluminum market prices to which the Company's third-party alumina sales contracts are linked.

As a result of Valco's potline curtailments discussed above, there were no intersegment net sales of alumina to the Primary aluminum business unit for the quarter ended June 30, 2003. Intersegment net sales for the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, decreased 68% as compared to the same period in 2002 primarily as the result of a 66% decrease in the intersegment shipments due to the 2003 Valco potline curtailments. In the near-term, absent a restart at Valco, the only intersegment shipments expected are to the Company's 49%-owned affiliate, Anglesey Aluminium Limited ("Anglesey"), which shipments typically occur in the first and fourth quarters of each year.

Segment operating losses (before non-recurring items) for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003 were worse than the comparable periods in 2002. The primary reason for the period-to-period decreases in operating income were higher energy costs (\$10.0 million and \$30.0 million during the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively), increases in foreign exchange rates, and increased pension related expenses. These impacts were only partially offset by the net increase in shipments and increase in average realized prices discussed above and improved cost performance. Segment operating loss for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002, discussed above, excluded non-recurring costs of \$.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Primary Aluminum. Third party net sales of primary aluminum decreased 31% for the second quarter of 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002 primarily due to a 31% decrease in third party shipments. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, third party sales of primary aluminum decreased approximately 45% from the comparable period in 2002 primarily due to a 45% decrease in third party shipments. The decreases in third party shipments were primarily due to the 2003 Valco potline curtailment discussed above.

Segment operating losses (before non-recurring items) for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, were worse than the comparable periods in 2002. The primary reasons for the decreases were the decreases in net shipments discussed above, increased pension related expenses and charges for end-of-service benefits associated with the 2003 Valco potline curtailments discussed above (\$3.8 million for the quarter and \$8.1 million for the six-month period). The foregoing were only partially offset by lower depreciation expense, resulting from the 2002 year-end impairment of the Mead smelter assets (\$3.2 million for the quarter and \$6.3 million for the six-month period), and reductions in overhead costs primarily due to the Mead and Valco curtailments. Segment operating loss for the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, discussed above, excludes non-recurring restructuring charges of \$1.3 million resulting from the Mead facility indefinite curtailment (see Note 10 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements). Segment operating loss for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002, discussed above, excluded non-recurring costs of \$1.7 million incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives.

Fabricated Products. Net sales of fabricated products decreased by 12% during the second quarter 2003 as compared to 2002 primarily as a result of an 11% decrease in shipments. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2003, net sales of fabricated products decreased by approximately 8% as compared to the same period in 2002 primarily as the result of a 7% decrease in shipments. Current period shipments were lower than the comparable 2002 periods' shipments as a result of the exit of the can lid and tab stock and brazing sheet products in the second quarter of 2002 and weaker demand for engineered products offset, in part, by a modest improvement in demand for general engineering and aerospace heat-treat products.

Segment operating results for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, were modestly lower than the comparable period in 2002 primarily due to the volume factors discussed above, increased energy costs (approximately \$3.0 million in the quarter and \$6.0 million in the six-month period) and increased pension related expenses. The foregoing were offset, in part, by reductions in overhead and other operating costs as a result of cost-cutting initiatives. Segment operating results for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002, excluded a \$1.6 million non-cash LIFO inventory charge and non-recurring costs of \$3.9 million incurred in connection with cost reduction initiatives both in the second quarter of 2002.

Commodities Marketing. In 2003, net sales for this segment represents net settlements with third-party brokers for maturing derivative positions. In 2002, net sales for this segment primarily represented recognition of deferred gains from hedges closed prior to the commencement of the Cases. Gains or losses associated with these liquidated positions were deferred in Other comprehensive income and are being recognized as income and costs over the original hedging periods as the underlying purchases/sales occur.

Segment operating income for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, decreased compared to the comparable periods in 2002 due to the prevailing market prices during 2003 versus the higher prices implicit in the liquidation of the positions in January 2002.

Eliminations. Eliminations of intersegment profit vary from period to period depending on fluctuations in market prices as well as the amount and timing of the affected segments' production and sales.

Corporate and Other. Corporate operating expenses represent corporate general and administrative expenses which are not allocated to the Company's business segments. Corporate operating expenses in the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2003, as compared to the same periods in 2002, were lower primarily because corporate expenses in 2002 included special pension settlement charges of approximately \$2.9 million and \$13.5 million, respectively. Corporate expenses in 2003 also included an increase in pension-related expenses which was partially offset by a decrease in payroll-related expenses resulting from 2002 salaried job eliminations. See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for a discussion of the special pension settlement charges in 2002.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As a result of the filing of the Cases, claims against the Debtors for principal and accrued interest on secured and unsecured indebtedness existing on the Filing Date are stayed while the Debtors continue business operations as debtors-in-possession, subject to the control and supervision of the Court. See Note 1 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of the Cases. At this time, it is not possible to predict the effect of the Cases on the businesses of the Debtors.

Operating Activities. Operating activities used \$49.3 million of cash during the six months ended June 30, 2003. However, cash used in operations for the six months ended June 30, 2003 included several receipt and payments that are not typical and/or are non-recurring including: (a) asbestos-related insurance receipts of \$15.1 million, (b) a foreign income tax payment related to prior periods of \$22.0 million and (c) end of service benefits payments totaling approximately \$12.8 million in connection with the Valco potline curtailments. The balance of the cash used in operations (\$29.6 million) resulted from a combination of adverse market factors in the business segments in which the Company operates including: (a) primary aluminum prices that are below long-term averages, (b) weak demand for fabricated metal products in general, but particularly for engineered products, and (c) higher than average power, fuel oil and natural gas prices. For the six months ended June 30, 2002, operating activities provided cash of \$1.1 million. However, such amount included the non-recurring benefit of pre-Filing Date obligations that, absent the Cases, would have been paid during the period.

Investing Activities. Capital expenditures during the six months ended June 30, 2003 were \$19.2 million. The 2003 capital expenditures were incurred to improve production efficiency and reduce operating costs at the Company's facilities. Total consolidated capital expenditures are currently expected to be between \$35.0 and \$80.0 million per annum in each of 2003 and 2004 (of which approximately 20% is expected to be funded by the Company's minority partners in certain foreign joint ventures). The level of capital expenditures may be adjusted from time to time depending on the Company's price outlook for primary aluminum and other products, the Company's ability to assure future cash flows through hedging or other means, the Company's financial position and other factors.

Financing Activities and Liquidity. On February 12, 2002, the Company and Kaiser entered into the DIP Facility which provides for a secured, revolving line of credit through the earlier of February 12, 2004 (extended to February 13, 2005 in August 2003 as discussed below), the effective date of a plan of reorganization or voluntary termination by the Company. In March 2003, certain of the Additional Debtors were added as co-guarantors and the DIP Facility lenders received super priority status with respect to certain of the Additional Debtors' assets. The Company is able to borrow under the DIP Facility by means of revolving credit advances and to issue letters of credit (up to \$125.0 million) in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of \$300.0 million (reduced to \$285.0 million in August 2003 as discussed below) or a borrowing base relating to eligible accounts receivable, eligible inventory and eligible fixed assets reduced by certain reserves, as defined in the DIP Facility agreement. The DIP Facility is guaranteed by Kaiser and certain significant subsidiaries of the Company. Interest on any outstanding borrowings will bear a spread over either a base rate or LIBOR, at the Company's option. In accordance with the Code and the DIP Facility, the Company is not permitted to pay any dividends or purchase any of its common or preference stock.

During June 2003 and August 2003, the Company and the DIP Facility lenders completed two amendments. The first of the two amendments (the fifth amendment to the DIP Facility) was necessary in order to permit the Company to take certain actions necessary to facilitate access by Queensland Alumina Limited ("QAL"), the Company's 20% owned affiliate, to amounts available to QAL under its existing financing arrangements, thereby reducing the Company's and the other owners' funding requirements for QAL. The Company's share of such additional financings at QAL is \$43.0 million. The fifth amendment to the DIP Facility was approved by the Court in June 2003. The major provisions of the second of the two amendments (the sixth amendment to the DIP Facility) included: (a) an extension of the maturity of the DIP Facility to February 2005, (b) an increase in the eligible borrowing base amount under the DIP Facility by, among other things, restoring the amortizing fixed assets subcomponent back to the original \$100.0 million amount as of August 2003, (c) the incorporation of the May 2003 limited waiver and also a modification of the financial covenant for periods beginning June 30, 2003, and (d) a reduction of the commitment amount of the DIP Facility to \$285.0 million. The sixth amendment was approved on an interim basis by the Court on August 13, 2003. Absent objections, the interim order will automatically become final on August 19, 2003. As the motion to approve the sixth amendment was agreed with the creditors' committees and the asbestos futures representative in advance of the filing, the Company does not

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

expect any objections and believes that the sixth amendment will become fully effective. However, absolute assurances cannot be given in this regard.

The Company currently believes that the cash and cash equivalents of \$85.1 million at July 31, 2003, cash flows from operations, cash proceeds from the sale of assets that are ultimately determined not to be an important part of the reorganized entity and cash available from the DIP Facility will provide sufficient working capital to allow the Company to meet its obligations during the pendency of the Cases. At July 31, 2003, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and there were outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$46.2 million. As of July 31, 2003, \$120.4 million (of which \$78.8 million could be used for additional letters of credit) was available to the Company under the DIP Facility and cash and cash equivalents were approximately \$85.1 million.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both very important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective, and/or complex judgments. Typically, the circumstances that make these judgments difficult, subjective and/or complex have to do with the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. While the Company believes that all aspect of its financial statements should be studied and understood in assessing its current (and expected future) financial condition and results, the Company believes that the accounting policies that warrant additional attention include:

1. The fact that the consolidated financial statements as of (and for the quarter and six-month periods ending) June 30, 2003 have been prepared on a "going concern" basis in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 90-7, *Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code*, and do not include possible impacts arising in respect of the Cases. The consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities or the effect on existing stockholders' equity that may result from any plans, arrangements or other actions arising from the Cases, or the possible inability of the Company to continue in existence. Adjustments necessitated by such plans, arrangements or other actions could materially change the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Report. For example,
 - a. If the Company were to decide to sell certain assets not deemed a critical part of a reorganized Kaiser, such asset sales could result in gains or losses (depending on the asset sold) and such gains or losses could be significant. This is because, under generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), assets to be held and used are evaluated for recoverability differently than assets to be sold or disposed of. Assets to be held and used are evaluated based on their expected undiscounted future net revenues. So long as the Company reasonably expects that such undiscounted future net revenues for each asset will exceed the recorded value of the asset being evaluated, no impairment is required. However, if possible or probable plans to sell or dispose of an asset or group of assets meet a number of specific criteria, then, under GAAP, such assets should be considered held for sale/disposition and their recoverability should be evaluated, for each asset, based on expected consideration to be received upon disposition. Sales or dispositions at a particular time will be affected by, among other things, the existing industry and general economic circumstances as well as the Company's own circumstances, including whether or not assets will (or must) be sold on an accelerated or more extended timetable. Such circumstances may cause the expected value in a sale or disposition scenario to differ materially from the realizable value over the normal operating life of assets, which would likely be evaluated on long-term industry trends.
 - b. Additional pre-Filing Date claims may be identified through the proof of claim reconciliation process and may arise in connection with actions taken by the Debtors in the Cases. For example, while the Debtors consider rejection of the Bonneville Power Administration ("BPA") contract to be in the Company's best long-term interests, such rejection may increase the amount of pre-Filing Date claims by approximately \$75.0 million based on the BPA's proof of claim filed in connection with the Cases in respect of the contract rejection.
 - c. As more fully discussed below, the amount of pre-Filing Date claims ultimately allowed by the Court in respect of contingent claims and benefit obligations may be materially different from the amounts reflected in the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

While valuation of the Company's assets and pre-Filing Date claims at this stage of the Cases is subject to inherent uncertainties, the Company currently believes that it is likely that its liabilities will be found in the Cases to exceed the fair value of its assets. Therefore, the Company currently believes that it is likely that pre-Filing Date claims will be paid at less than 100% of their face value and the equity of the Company's stockholders will be diluted or cancelled. Because of such possibility, the value of the Common Stock is speculative and any investment in the Common Stock would pose a high degree of risk.

2. The Company's judgments and estimates with respect to commitments and contingencies; in particular: (a) future asbestos related costs and obligations as well as estimated insurance recoveries, and (b) possible liability in respect of claims of unfair labor practices ("ULPs") which were not resolved as a part of the Company's September 2000 labor settlement.

Valuation of legal and other contingent claims is subject to a great deal of judgment and substantial uncertainty. Under GAAP, companies are required to accrue for contingent matters in their financial statements only if the amount of any potential loss is both "probable" and the amount (or a range) of possible loss is "estimatable." In reaching a determination of the probability of an adverse ruling in respect of a matter, the Company typically consults outside experts. However, any such judgments reached regarding probability are subject to significant uncertainty. The Company may, in fact, obtain an adverse ruling in a matter that it did not consider a "probable" loss and which, therefore, was not accrued for in its financial statements. Further, in estimating the amount of any loss, in many instances a single estimation of the loss may not be possible. Rather, the Company may only be able to estimate a range for possible losses. In such event, GAAP requires that a liability be established for at least the minimum end of the range.

The Company has two potentially material contingent obligations that are subject to significant uncertainty and variability in their outcome: (a) the United Steelworkers of America's ("USWA") ULP claim, and (b) the net obligation in respect of asbestos-related matters. Both of these matters are discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and it is important that you read this note.

As more fully discussed in Note 7, we have not accrued any amount in our June 30, 2003 financial statements in respect of the USWA ULP matter as we do not consider the contingent loss to be "probable." The possible range of loss in this matter is in the \$100.0 million to \$250.0 million range based on the proof of claims filed by the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") and USWA in connection with the Company's reorganization proceedings. This matter is not currently stayed by the Cases. However, as previously stated, seeing this matter to its ultimate outcome could take several years. Further, any amounts ultimately determined by a court to be payable in this matter will be dealt with in the overall context of the Debtors' plan of reorganization and will be subject to compromise. Accordingly, any payments that may ultimately be required in respect of this matter would only be paid upon or after the Company's emergence from the Cases.

Also, as more fully discussed in Note 7, the Company is one of many defendants in personal injury claims by large number of persons who assert that their injuries were caused by, among other things, exposure to asbestos during their employment or association with the Company or by exposure to products containing asbestos last produced or sold by the Company more than 20 years ago. It is difficult to predict the number of claims that will ultimately be made against the Company or the settlement value of such claims. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had recorded an obligation for approximately \$610.1 million in respect of pending and an estimate of possible future asbestos claims through 2011. The Company did not accrue for amounts past 2011 because the Company believed that significant uncertainty existed in trying to estimate any such amounts. However, it is possible that a different number of claims will be made through 2011 and that the settlement amounts during this period may differ and that this will cause the actual amounts to differ materially from the Company's estimate. Further, the Company expects that, during its reorganization process, an estimate will have to be made in respect of its exposure to asbestos-related claims after 2011 and that such amounts could be substantial. Due to the Cases, holders of asbestos claims are stayed from continuing to prosecute pending litigation and from commencing new lawsuits against the Debtors. However, during the pendency of the Cases, the Company expects additional asbestos claims will be asserted as part of the claims process. A separate creditors' committee representing the interests of the asbestos claimants has been appointed. The Debtors' obligations with respect to present and future asbestos claims will be resolved pursuant to a plan of reorganization.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

The Company believes that it has insurance coverage in respect of its asbestos-related exposures and that substantial recoveries in this regard are probable. At June 30, 2003, the Company had recorded a receivable for approximately \$468.9 million in respect of expected insurance recoveries related to existing claims and the estimate future claims through 2011. However, the actual amount of insurance recoveries may differ from the amount recorded and the amount of such differences could be material. Further, depending on the amount of asbestos-related claims ultimately determined to exist (including those in the periods after 2011), it is possible that the amount of such claims could exceed the amount of additional insurance recoveries available.

See Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a more complete discussion of these matters.

3. The Company's judgments and estimates in respect of its employee benefit plans.

Pension and post-retirement medical obligations included in the consolidated balance sheet are based on assumptions that are subject to variation from year-to-year. Such variations can cause the Company's estimate of such obligations to vary significantly. Restructuring actions (such as the indefinite curtailment of the Mead smelter) can also have a significant impact on such amounts.

For pension obligations, the most significant assumptions used in determining the estimated year-end obligation are the assumed discount rate and long-term rate of return ("LTRR") on pension assets. Since recorded pension obligations represent the present value of expected pension payments over the life of the plans, decreases in the discount rate (used to compute the present value of the payments) will cause the estimated obligations to increase. Conversely, an increase in the discount rate will cause the estimated present value of the obligations to decline. The LTRR on pension assets reflects the Company's assumption regarding what the amount of earnings will be on existing plan assets (before considering any future contributions to the plans). Increases in the assumed LTRR will cause the projected value of plan assets available to satisfy pension obligations to increase, yielding a reduced net pension obligation. A reduction in the LTRR reduces the amount of projected net assets available to satisfy pension obligations and, thus, causes the net pension obligation to increase.

For post-retirement obligations, the key assumptions used to estimate the year-end obligations are the discount rate and the assumptions regarding future medical costs increases. The discount rate affects the post-retirement obligations in a similar fashion to that described above for pension obligations. As the assumed rate of increase in medical costs goes up, so does the net projected obligation. Conversely, if the rate of increase is assumed to be smaller, the projected obligation will decline.

See Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for information regarding the Company's pension and post-retirement obligations. Actual results may differ from the assumptions made in computing the estimated June 30, 2003 obligations and such differences may be material.

4. The Company's judgment and estimates in respect to environmental commitments and contingencies.

The Company is subject to a number of environmental laws and regulations ("environmental laws"), to fines or penalties assessed for alleged breaches of the environmental laws, and to claims and litigation based upon such laws. The Company currently is subject to a number of claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), and, along with certain other entities, has been named as a potentially responsible party for remedial costs at certain third-party sites listed on the National Priorities List under CERCLA.

Based on the Company's evaluation of these and other environmental matters, the Company has established environmental accruals, primarily related to potential solid waste disposal and soil and groundwater remediation matters. These environmental accruals represent the Company's estimate of costs reasonably expected to be incurred on a going concern basis in the ordinary course of business based on presently enacted laws and regulations, currently available facts, existing technology, and the Company's assessment of the likely remediation action to be taken. However, making estimates of possible environmental remediation costs is subject to inherent uncertainties. As additional facts are developed and definitive remediation plans and necessary regulatory

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

approvals for implementation of remediation are established or alternative technologies are developed, changes in these and other factors may result in actual costs exceeding the current environmental accruals.

An example of how environmental accruals could change is the current situation of the Company's Mead smelter. The Company announced the indefinite curtailment of the Mead smelter in January 2003. The Mead smelter is expected to remain curtailed indefinitely unless and until an appropriate combination of reduced power prices, higher primary aluminum prices and other factors occurs to make a restart commercially feasible. However, at some point in the future, the Company may decide, due to economic conditions, foreign competition or other factors, to dispose of the facility. If, in connection with such hypothetical disposition the Company were required to dismantle, demolish or otherwise permanently close the Mead facility, the demolition and environmental remediation costs could be significant. While proceeds of a disposition might offset such costs, no assurances can be provided that receipts would fully or substantially offset the total costs of the environmental remediation costs.

Another example of how environmental accruals could change is provided by the possible multi-site agreement discussed in Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements. As a means of expediting the reorganization process and to assure treatment of the claims under a plan of reorganization that is favorable to the Debtors and their stakeholders, it may be in the best interests of the stakeholders for the Company to agree to claim amounts in excess of previous accruals, which were based on an ordinary course, going concern basis.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

In a new regulation issued in January 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted amendments to existing rules, which require the Company to provide explanations of its known contractual obligations in a tabular format and its off-balance sheet arrangements in a separately captioned subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") section of the Company's Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Although such items are already fully disclosed in the Company's Commitments and Contingencies notes (see Note 7 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002), the principle of the amendments is that the Company should disclose, in a single section, information regarding: (1) its obligations and commitments to make future payments, such as debt and lease agreements, and (2) material off-balance sheet arrangements and their material effects on the Company's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, etc. in a tabular format.

The following summarizes the Company's significant contractual obligations at June 30, 2003 (dollars in millions):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments due in			
		Less than 1 Year	2 - 3 Years	4 - 5 Years	More than 5 years
Long-term debt, including capital lease of \$2.6 ^(a)	\$ 43.5	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.0	\$ 22.4	\$ 19.0
Operating leases	31.3	9.2	9.6	6.6	5.9
Total cash contractual obligations	\$ 74.8	\$ 10.3	\$ 10.6	\$ 29.0	\$ 24.9

^(a) See Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for information in respect of long-term debt. Long-term debt obligations exclude debt subject to compromise of approximately \$830.2 million which amounts will be dealt with in connection with a plan of reorganization. See Notes 1 and 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about debt subject to compromise.

The following paragraphs summarize the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements.

The Company owns a 20% interest in QAL, which owns one of the largest and most competitive alumina refineries in the world, located in Queensland, Australia. QAL refines bauxite into alumina, essentially on a cost basis, for the account of its shareholders under long-term tolling contracts. The Company currently sells its share of QAL's production to third parties. The shareholders, including the Company, purchase bauxite from another QAL shareholder under long-term purchase contracts. These tolling and purchase contracts are scheduled to expire in 2008. Under the agreements, the Company is unconditionally obligated to pay its proportional share of debt, operating costs and certain

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

other costs of QAL. The Company's share of the aggregate minimum amount of future principal payments as of June 30, 2003 is \$52.0 million, which matured or will mature as follows: \$32.0 million in July 2003 and \$20.0 million in 2006. The Company's share of QAL's debt principal payment in July 2003 was funded with additional QAL borrowings. The Company's share of payments, including operating costs and certain other expenses under the agreements, has ranged between \$95.0 million and \$103.0 million per year over the past three years.

The Company has agreements to supply alumina to and to purchase aluminum from Anglesey, a 49.0% owned aluminum smelter in Holyhead, Wales.

As of June 30, 2003, outstanding letters of credit under the DIP Facility were approximately \$46.2 million, all which expire within the next twelve months. The letters of credit relate to environmental, insurance, trade credit and other activities. Approximately \$15.3 million of the letters of credit are in respect of the Company's 65% share of the \$22.0 million Alpart CARIFA financing (see Note 5 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements) which are reflected in the debt maturities table above. As such, that portion of the letters of credit is duplicative of the obligation reflected in the table above.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's operating results are sensitive to changes in the prices of alumina, primary aluminum, and fabricated aluminum products, and also depend to a significant degree upon the volume and mix of all products sold. As discussed more fully in Notes 2 and 8 of Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company historically has utilized hedging transactions to lock-in a specified price or range of prices for certain products which it sells or consumes in its production process and to mitigate the Company's exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. However, because the agreements underlying the Company's hedging positions provided that the counterparties to the hedging contracts could liquidate the Company's hedging positions if the Company filed for reorganization, the Company chose to liquidate these positions in advance of the initial Filing Date. The Company has only completed limited hedging activities since the Filing Date (see below). The Company anticipates that, subject to prevailing economic conditions, it may enter into additional hedging transactions with respect to primary aluminum prices, natural gas and fuel oil prices and foreign currency values to protect the interests of its constituents. However, no assurance can be given as to when or if the Company will enter into such additional hedging activities.

Sensitivity

Alumina and Primary Aluminum. Alumina and primary aluminum production in excess of internal requirements is sold in domestic and international markets, exposing the Company to commodity price opportunities and risks. The Company's hedging transactions are intended to provide price risk management in respect of the net exposure of earnings resulting from (i) anticipated sales of alumina, primary aluminum and fabricated aluminum products, less (ii) expected purchases of certain items, such as aluminum scrap, rolling ingot, and bauxite, whose prices fluctuate with the price of primary aluminum. On average, before consideration of hedging activities, variations in production and shipment levels, and timing issues related to price changes, the Company estimates that during 2003 each \$.01 increase (decrease) in the market price per price-equivalent pound of primary aluminum increases (decreases) the Company's annual pre-tax earnings by approximately \$5.0 million, based on recent operating levels. The impact on pre-tax earnings linked to primary aluminum prices is due to the Valco potline curtailments.

As of July 31, 2003, the Company has option contracts covering substantially all of the Company's net hedgable volume for the third quarter of 2003 (at a strike price of approximately \$.645 per pound) and approximately one-third of its October 2003 sales linked to primary aluminum prices (at a strike price of approximately \$.66 per pound).

Foreign Currency. The Company from time to time in the ordinary course of business enters into forward exchange contracts to hedge material cash commitments for foreign currencies. The Company's primary foreign exchange exposure is related to the Company's Australian Dollar (A\$) commitments in respect of activities associated with its 20.0%-owned affiliate, QAL. The Company estimates that, before consideration of any hedging activities, a US \$0.01 increase (decrease) in the value of the A\$ results in an approximate \$1.5 million (decrease) increase in the Company's annual pre-tax operating income.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

Energy. The Company is exposed to energy price risk from fluctuating prices for natural gas, fuel oil and diesel oil consumed in the production process. The Company estimates that each \$1.00 change in natural gas prices (per mmbtu) impacts the Company's annual pre-tax operating results by approximately \$20.0 million. Further, the Company estimates that each \$1.00 change in fuel oil prices (per barrel) impacts the Company's pre-tax operating results by approximately \$3.0 million.

The Company from time to time in the ordinary course of business enters into hedging transactions with major suppliers of energy and energy related financial instruments. As of June 30, 2003, the Company held option contracts which capped the Company's price for fuel oil to \$25.00 per barrel for substantially all of its fuel oil needs in the last half of 2003.

As of July 31, 2003, the Company had option contracts which cap the average price the Company would pay for natural gas to approximately \$5.50 per mcf so that, when combined with price limits in the physical gas supply agreement, substantially all of the Company's exposure to increases in natural gas prices during August 2003 and September 2003 was limited and approximately half of the Company's exposure for October 2003 was limited.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures was performed as of the end of the period covered by this Report under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. Additionally, no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting have occurred during the Company's most recently completed quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Reference is made to Part I, Item 3. "LEGAL PROCEEDINGS" in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 for information concerning material legal proceedings with respect to the Company.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

- *4.1 Extension and Modification of Waiver Letter with Respect to Post-Petition Credit Agreement, dated May 5, 2003, among Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation ("KACC"), Kaiser Aluminum Corporation ("KAC"), the financial institutions party to the Post-Petition Credit Agreement, dated as of February 12, 2002, as amended, and Bank of America, N.A., as Agent.
- *4.2 Fifth Amendment to Post-Petition Credit Agreement, dated June 6, 2003, amending the Post-Petition Credit Agreement dated February 12, 2002, among KACC, KAC, certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A., as Agent.
- *31.1 Certification of Jack A. Hockema pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *31.2 Certification of John T. La Duc pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.1 Certification of Jack A. Hockema pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.2 Certification of John T. La Duc pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
(Debtor-in-Possession)

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

No Reports on Form 8-K were filed by the Company during the quarter ended June 30, 2003.

* Filed herewith.

