

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 71710 / March 13, 2014

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-15655

In the Matter of

CHINA DONGFANG HEALTHCARE GROUP, INC.,
CHINA GOLF GROUP, INC.,
OMA ENTERPRISES CORP., and
STARTEC GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

NOTICE THAT INITIAL DECISION HAS BECOME FINAL

The time for filing a petition for review of the initial decision in this proceeding has expired. No such petition has been filed by China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc., China Golf Group, Inc., OMA Enterprises Corp., and Startec Global Communications Corp., and the Commission has not chosen to review the decision on its own initiative.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given, pursuant to Rule 360(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice,¹ that the initial decision of the administrative law judge² has become the final decision of the Commission with respect to China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc., China Golf Group, Inc., OMA Enterprises Corp., and Startec Global Communications Corp. The order contained in that decision is hereby declared effective. The initial decision ordered that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrations of each class of registered securities of China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc., China Golf Group, Inc., OMA Enterprises Corp., and Startec Global Communications Corp., are revoked.

¹ 17 C.F.R. ' 201.360(d).

² *China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc., China Golf Group, Inc., OMA Enters., Corp., and Startec Global Commc'ns Corp.*, Initial Decision Rel. No. 559 (Jan. 30, 2014), 108 SEC Docket 03, 2014 WL 345341. The Central Index Key numbers are 1491496 for China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc.; 1444183 for China Golf Group, Inc.; 1438575 for OMA Enterprises; and 1043310 for Startec Global Communications Corp.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jill M. Peterson
Assistant Secretary

INITIAL DECISION RELEASE NO. 559
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15655

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

In the Matter of

CHINA DONGFANG HEALTHCARE GROUP, INC.,
CHINA GOLF GROUP, INC.,
OMA ENTERPRISES CORP., and
STARTEC GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

INITIAL DECISION OF DEFAULT
January 30, 2014

APPEARANCE: Neil J. Welch, Jr. for the Division of Enforcement, Securities and Exchange
Commission

BEFORE: Cameron Elliot, Administrative Law Judge

SUMMARY

This Initial Decision revokes the registrations of the registered securities of Respondents China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc. (China Dongfang), China Golf Group, Inc. (China Golf), OMA Enterprises Corp. (OMA Enterprises), and Startec Global Communications Corp. (Startec Global) (collectively, Respondents). The revocations are based on Respondents' failure to timely file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

INTRODUCTION

The Commission initiated this proceeding with an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), on December 19, 2013. The OIP alleges that Respondents each have a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g) and have repeatedly failed to file timely periodic reports with the Commission, in violation of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and/or 13a-13 thereunder. The Division of Enforcement (Division) provided evidence that OMA Enterprises and Startec Global were served with the OIP by December 27, 2013, in accordance with Commission Rule of Practice (Rule) 141(a)(2)(ii). OMA Enterprises' and Startec Global's Answers were due by January 9, 2014. See China Dongfang Healthcare Grp., Inc., Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 1143, 2014 SEC LEXIS 8 (Jan. 3, 2014). On January 3, 2014, OMA Enterprises and Startec Global were ordered to show cause by January 21, 2014, why the registrations of their securities should not be revoked if they failed to file their Answers by January 9, 2014, or failed to attend the January 13, 2014, telephonic prehearing conference. Id.

No Respondent appeared at the January 13, 2014, prehearing conference. As I determined at the prehearing conference, the Division provided evidence that China Dongfang and China Golf were served with the OIP by December 30, 2013, in accordance with Rule 141(a)(2)(ii). See China Dongfang Healthcare Grp., Inc., Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 1168, 2014 SEC LEXIS 127 (Jan. 14, 2014). China Dongfang's and China Golf's Answers were due by January 9, 2014. Id. China Dongfang and China Golf were found in default by Order of January 14, 2014, for failure to file Answers by January 9, 2014, and for failure to appear at the prehearing conference. Id.

FINDINGS OF FACT

All Respondents are in default for failing to file Answers, appear at the prehearing conference, or otherwise defend the proceeding. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f), .221(f). Moreover, OMA Enterprises and Startec Global failed to respond to the Order to Show Cause. Accordingly, as authorized by Rule 155(a), I find the following allegations in the OIP to be true.

China Dongfang (CIK No. 1491496) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Guangzhou City, China, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). China Dongfang is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010, which reported a net loss of \$52,057 for the prior nine months.

China Golf (CIK No. 1444183) is a void Delaware corporation located in Shanghai, China, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). China Golf is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010, which reported a net loss of \$307,313 for the prior three months.

OMA Enterprises (CIK No. 1438575) is a defaulted Nevada corporation located in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). OMA Enterprises is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010, which reported a net loss of \$2,593 for the prior three months.

Startec Global (CIK No. 1043310) is a withdrawn Delaware corporation located in Potomac, Maryland, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Startec Global is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2001, which reported a net loss of over \$76 million for the prior nine months.

In addition to repeated failures to file timely periodic reports, Respondents have failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder require public corporations to file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. “Compliance with those requirements is mandatory and may not be subject to conditions from the registrant.” America’s Sports Voice, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 55511 (Mar. 22, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 879, 885, motion for reconsideration denied, Exchange Act Release No. 55867 (June 6, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 2419. Scienter, “a mental state embracing intent to deceive, manipulate, or defraud,” is not required to establish violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13. SEC v. Wills, 472 F. Supp. 1250, 1268 & n.15 (D.D.C. 1978); see SEC v. McNulty, 137 F.3d 732, 740-41 (2d Cir. 1998). There is no genuine issue of material fact that Respondents failed to timely file required periodic reports. As a result of the foregoing, Respondents have failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and/or 13a-13 thereunder.

SANCTIONS

Under Exchange Act Section 12(j), the Commission is authorized, “as it deems necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors,” to revoke the registration of a security or suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months if it finds, after notice and an opportunity for hearing, that the issuer of the security has failed to comply with any provision of the Exchange Act or rules thereunder. In proceedings pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(j) against issuers that violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder, the determination “of what sanctions will ensure that investors will be adequately protected . . . turns on the effect on the investing public, including both current and prospective investors, of the issuer’s violations, on the one hand, and the Section 12(j) sanctions, on the other hand.” Gateway Int’l Holdings, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 53907 (May 31, 2006), 88 SEC Docket 430, 438-39. The Commission “consider[s], among other things, the seriousness of the issuer’s violations, the isolated or recurrent nature of the violations, the degree of culpability involved, the extent of the issuer’s efforts to remedy its past violations and ensure future compliance, and the credibility of its assurances, if any, against further violations.” Id. at 439.

Respondents’ failure to file required periodic reports is serious because it violates a central provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of periodic reporting is to supply investors with current and accurate financial information about an issuer so that they may make sound investment decisions. Id. at 441. The reporting requirements are the primary tool that Congress fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of securities. SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp., 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977). Respondents’ violations are also recurrent in that they repeatedly failed to file periodic reports. See Nature’s Sunshine Prods., Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 59268 (Jan. 21, 2009), 95 SEC Docket 13488, 13495 (respondent failed to file seven required periodic reports due over a two-year period); Impax Labs., Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 57864 (May 23, 2008), 93 SEC Docket 6241, 6251 (respondent’s failure to make eight filings over an eighteen-month period considered recurrent). Respondents are culpable because they knew, or should have known, of their obligation to file periodic reports. Alternatively, it is unnecessary to find that Respondents were aware of, or intentionally ignored, their reporting obligations, as scienter is not necessary to establish grounds for revocation and the other factors weigh in favor of revocation. China-Biotics, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 70800, 2013 WL 5883342, at *10 & n.60 (Nov. 4, 2013). Finally, Respondents have not answered the OIP

to address whether they have made any efforts to remedy their past violations and have made no assurances against further violations.

Considering these delinquencies, it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registrations of each class of registered securities of Respondents.

ORDER

It is ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrations of each class of registered securities of China Dongfang Healthcare Group, Inc., China Golf Group, Inc., OMA Enterprises Corp., and Startec Global Communications Corp., are hereby REVOKED.

This Initial Decision shall become effective in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule 360 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.360. Pursuant to that Rule, a party may file a petition for review of this Initial Decision within twenty-one days after service of the Initial Decision. A party may also file a motion to correct a manifest error of fact within ten days of the Initial Decision, pursuant to Rule 111 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. 17 C.F.R. § 201.111. If a motion to correct a manifest error of fact is filed by a party, then that party shall have twenty-one days to file a petition for review from the date of the undersigned's order resolving such motion to correct a manifest error of fact.

This Initial Decision will not become final until the Commission enters an order of finality. The Commission will enter an order of finality unless a party files a petition for review or a motion to correct a manifest error of fact or the Commission determines on its own initiative to review the Initial Decision as to a party. If any of these events occur, the Initial Decision shall not become final as to that party.

Respondents are notified that they may move to set aside the default in this case. Rule 155(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice permits the Commission, at any time, to set aside a default for good cause, in order to prevent injustice and on such conditions as may be appropriate. 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b). A motion to set aside a default shall be made within a reasonable time, state the reasons for the failure to appear or defend, and specify the nature of the proposed defense in the proceeding. Id.

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge