UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 000-51401

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CHICAGO

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Federally chartered corporation

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL

(Address of principal executive offices)

36-6001019

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

60601

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 565-5700

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

 Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗵

As of April 30, 2013, including mandatorily redeemable capital stock, registrant had 16,170,454 total outstanding shares of Class B Capital Stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>3</u>
ltem 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited).	<u>3</u> <u>3</u>
	Statements of Condition	<u>3</u>
	Statements of Income	4 5 6
	Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>5</u>
	Statements of Capital	<u>6</u>
	Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	<u>7</u> <u>8</u>
	Note 1 - Background and Basis of Presentation	<u>8</u>
	Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>9</u>
	Note 3 - Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards	<u>9</u>
	Note 4 - Interest Income and Interest Expense	<u>10</u>
	Note 5 - Investment Securities	<u>11</u>
	Note 6 - Advances	<u>17</u>
	Note 7 - MPF Loans	<u>18</u>
	Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses	<u>19</u>
	Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities	<u>23</u>
	Note 10 - Consolidated Obligations	<u>27</u>
	Note 11 - Subordinated Notes	<u>27</u>
	Note 12 - Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock	<u>28</u>
	Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>29</u>
	Note 14 - Fair Value Accounting	<u>30</u>
	Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies	<u>37</u>
	Note 16 - Transactions with Members and Other FHLBs	<u>37</u>
ltem 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	<u>38</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.	<u>60</u>
ltem 4.	Controls and Procedures.	<u>62</u>
	PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	<u>63</u>
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	<u>63</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	<u>63</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	64
ltem 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities.	<u>64</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	<u>64</u>
ltem 5.	Other Information.	64
Item 6.	Exhibits.	64
Glossary of	Terms	<u>65</u>
Signatures		<u>S-1</u>

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Statements of Condition (unaudited) (Dollars in millions, except capital stock par value)

		arch 31, 2013	Dec	ember 31, 2012
Assets				
Cash and due from banks	\$	442	\$	3,564
Federal Funds sold		926		_
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		5,835		6,500
Investment securities -				
Trading		1,976		1,229
Available-for-sale		23,004		23,454
Held-to-maturity, \$9,309 and \$10,482 at fair value		8,397		9,567
Total investment securities		33,377		34,250
Advances, \$9 and \$9 carried at fair value		14,403		14,530
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net of allowance for credit losses of \$(40) and \$(42)		9,653		10,432
Accrued interest receivable		106		116
Derivative assets		42		47
Software and equipment, net of accumulated amortization/depreciation of \$(157) and \$(157)		31		32
Other assets		107		113
Total assets	\$	64,922	\$	69,584
Liabilities	<u> </u>			
Deposits - Interest bearing	\$	741	\$	728
- Non-interest bearing		78		88
Total deposits		819		816
Consolidated obligations, net -				
Discount notes, \$75 and \$0 carried at fair value		24,292		31,260
Bonds, \$1,250 and \$1,251 carried at fair value		34,751		32,569
Total consolidated obligations, net		59,043		63,829
Accrued interest payable		287		156
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		4		6
Derivative liabilities		79		82
Affordable Housing Program assessment payable		83		78
Other liabilities		158		169
Subordinated notes		1,000		1,000
Total liabilities		61,473		66,136
Commitments and contingencies - Note 15		01,470		00,100
Capital				
Class B-1 Capital stock - putable \$100 par value - 1 million and 1 million shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012		116		122
Class B-2 Capital stock - putable \$100 par value - 14 million and 15 million shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012		1,433		1,528
Total Capital stock		1,549		1,650
Retained earnings - unrestricted		1,647		1,584
Retained earnings - restricted		123		107
Total retained earnings		1,770		1,691
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		130		107
Total capital		3,449		3,448
Total liabilities and capital	\$	64,922	\$	69,584
	_		_	

Statements of Income (unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)

	Three me Ma	onths rch 3	
	2013		2012
Interest income	\$ 403	\$	525
Interest expense	290		366
Net interest income before provision for credit losses	113		159
Provision for credit losses	_	•	6
Net interest income	113		153
		_	
Non-interest gain (loss) on -			
Total other-than-temporary impairment	-	•	(2)
Net non-credit portion reclassified to (from) statements of comprehensive income			1
Net other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges, credit portion			(1)
Trading securities	(6)	(14)
Derivatives and hedging activities	2		11
Instruments held under fair value option	1		6
Other, net	4		4
Total non-interest gain (loss)	1		6
Non-interest expense -			
Compensation and benefits	14		15
Other operating expenses	9		9
FHFA	1		2
Office of Finance	1		1
Other	_		3
Total non-interest expense	25		30
Income before assessments	89		129
Affordable Housing Program assessment	9		13
Net income	\$ 80	\$	116

Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)

	Three mon Marc	
	2013	2012
hrealized gain (loss) on held-to-maturity securities ^a classification to net income net unrealized gain (loss) on held-to-maturity securities tredit OTTI on available-for-sale securities t change in fair value non-credit OTTI on available-for-sale securities rredit OTTI on held-to-maturity securities n-credit portion OTTI loss transferred from net income cretion of non-credit portion to HTM asset non-credit OTTI on held-to-maturity securities nealized gain (loss) on hedging activities realized gain (loss) on hedging activities realized gains (losses) classification of net realized (gains) losses to net income net unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activities etirement plans - reclassification to net income	\$ 80	\$ 116
Other comprehensive income -		
	(85)	(32)
Total net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	(85)	(32)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on held-to-maturity securities ^a		
Reclassification to net income	1	1
Total net unrealized gain (loss) on held-to-maturity securities	1	1
Non-credit OTTI on available-for-sale securities		
Net change in fair value	6	5
Total non-credit OTTI on available-for-sale securities	6	5
Non-credit OTTI on held-to-maturity securities		
Non-credit portion OTTI loss transferred from net income	—	(1)
Accretion of non-credit portion to HTM asset	15	20
Total non-credit OTTI on held-to-maturity securities	15	19
Net unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activities		
Unrealized gains (losses)	88	52
Reclassification of net realized (gains) losses to net income	(3)	(1)
Total net unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activities	85	51
Post retirement plans - reclassification to net income	1	_
Total other comprehensive income	23	44
Total comprehensive income	\$ 103	\$ 160

^a This item relates to the amortization of fair value adjustments from a 2007 transfer at fair value of available-for-sale securities to held-tomaturity securities.

Statements of Capital (unaudited)

(Dollars and shares in millions)

	Capital Stock - Putable				-		ined ings		Accumulated Other Comprehensive			Fotal
	Shares ^a		r Value	Un	restricted	Re	estricted	Total		orehensive me (Loss)		apital
Balance, December 31, 2011	24 ^t	°\$	2,402 ^t	°\$	1,289	\$	32	\$1,321	\$	(431)	\$	3,292
Comprehensive income					93		23	116		44		160
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	_		17									17
Repurchases of capital stock	(5)		(499)									(499)
Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock	_		(12)									(12)
Cash dividends on capital stock (0.10% annualized)					(1)			(1)				(1)
Balance, March 31, 2012	19	\$	1,908	\$	1,381	\$	55	\$1,436	\$	(387)	\$	2,957
Balance, December 31, 2012	16 ^b	\$	1,650 ^b	\$	1,584	\$	107	\$1,691	\$	107	\$	3,448
Comprehensive income					64		16	80		23		103
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	_		38									38
Repurchases of capital stock	(1)		(96)									(96)
Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock	_		(43)									(43)
Cash dividends on capital stock (0.30% annualized)					(1)		_	(1)				(1)
Balance, March 31, 2013	15	\$	1,549	\$	1,647	\$	123	\$1,770	\$	130	\$	3,449

^a Excludes outstanding shares reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

^b On January 1, 2012 Capital Stock, shares and par value, were converted to B-1 and B-2 shares under our new Capital Plan.

Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

(Dollars in millions)

	Three months ended March 31,	2013		2012
Operating	Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 31	1 3	\$ 339
Investing	Net change Federal Funds sold	(92)	5)	(520
	Net change securities purchased under agreements to resell	66	5	(425
	Advances -			
	Principal collected	62,47 ⁻	1	31,206
	Issued	(62,36	3)	(30,668
	MPF Loans held in portfolio-			
	Principal collected	78	6	975
	Purchases	(1:	3)	(13
	Trading securities -			
	Proceeds from maturities, sales, and paydowns	24	1	134
	Purchases	(1,00))	_
	Held-to-maturity securities ^a -			
	Short-term held-to-maturity securities, net	70	5	278
	Proceeds from maturities	494	1	496
	Purchases	(;	3)	(11
	Available-for-sale securities -			
	Proceeds from maturities and sales	314	1	344
	Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	2	5	14
	Capital expenditures for software and equipment	(*	I)	(2
	Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,393	3	1,808
Financing	Net change deposits	:	3	83
	Maturities of securities sold under agreements to repurchase	_	-	(400
	Net proceeds from issuance of consolidated obligations -			
	Discount notes	199,06	3	102,532
	Bonds	4,45	3	10,961
	Payments for maturing and retiring consolidated obligations -			
	Discount notes	(206,03	7)	(105,514
	Bonds	(2,18))	(9,779
	Net proceeds (payments) on derivative contracts with financing element	(1)	3)	(20
	Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	3	3	17
	Repurchase or redemption of capital stock	(9)	5)	(499
	Redemptions of mandatorily redeemable capital stock	(4	5)	(2
	Cash dividends paid	(*	I)	(1
	Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(4,82	_	(2,622
	Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	(3,12)		(475
	Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	3,564		1,002
	Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 442	2 3	\$ 527
Supplementa	Capital stock reclassified to mandatorily redeemable capital stock	\$ 43	3 3	\$ 12
	Transfer of MPF Loans to real estate owned	23	3	22

^a Short-term held-to-maturity securities, net consist of investment securities that have a maturity of less than 90 days when purchased. Proceeds from maturities and purchases consist of securities with maturities of 90 days or more.

Note 1 – Background and Basis of Presentation

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago^a is a federally chartered corporation and one of 12 Federal Home Loan Banks (the FHLBs) that, with the Office of Finance, comprise the Federal Home Loan Bank System (the System). The FHLBs are government-sponsored enterprises (GSE) of the United States of America and were organized under the Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended (FHLB Act), in order to improve the availability of funds to support home ownership. We are supervised and regulated by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), an independent federal agency in the executive branch of the United States government. We provide credit to members principally in the form of secured loans called advances. We also provide liquidity for home mortgage loans to members approved as Participating Financial Institutions (PFIs) through the Mortgage Partnership Finance[®] (MPF[®]) Program ^b.

Our accounting and financial reporting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the extensive use of management's estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments have been included for a fair statement of this interim financial information. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K (2012 Form 10-K) filed with the SEC.

We do not consolidate any of our investments in variable interest entities. Our investments in variable interest entities include, but are not limited to, senior interests in private label mortgage backed securities (MBS), and Family Federal Education Loan Program (FFELP) asset backed securities (ABS). We have evaluated our investments in variable interest entities as of the periods presented to determine whether or not we are a primary beneficiary in any of them. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate a variable interest entity. The primary beneficiary is the enterprise that has both of the following characteristics:

- The power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.
- The obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity.

Based on these characteristics, we have determined that consolidation accounting is not required for our variable interest entities. Further, we have not provided financial or other support (explicitly or implicitly) during the periods presented in our financial statements to these variable interest entities that we were not previously contractually required to provide nor do we intend to provide such support in the future.

The carrying amounts and classification of the assets that relate to these variable interest entities are shown in investment securities in our statements of condition. We have no liabilities related to these variable interest entities. Our maximum loss exposure for our variable interest entities is limited to the carrying value.

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, we consider only cash and due from banks as cash and cash equivalents.

Subsequent Events:

As previously disclosed in **Note 12 - Assessments** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K, in December 2011, our Board of Directors approved a plan to supplement our current affordable housing and community investment programs with \$50 million in additional funds to promote affordable housing and economic development. Based on the underlying facts and circumstances known to us when we filed our 2011 Form 10-K, we recognized a one time charge of \$50 million net of affordable housing assessments in the fourth quarter of 2011 related to our plan to fund affordable housing and economic development projects. This charge was recognized as "Non-interest expense - Other community investment" in our 2011 Statement of Income.

Subsequent to the filing of our 2011 Form 10-K, we developed the Community First ^b Fund, which is a framework for using these funds as part of a revolving loan fund, and submitted it to the FHFA for approval. In April of 2013, we received a letter from the FHFA approving the Community First Fund subject to certain supervisory conditions and further approval by our Board of Directors. In April of 2013, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of the Community First Fund, which resulted in the reversal of the \$50 million charge into earnings in the second quarter of 2013. The \$50 million reversal will be recognized through "Non-interest expense - Other community investment" in our second quarter 2013 Statement of Income. The reversal will not be included in our AHP assessment for 2013 since we added back the \$50 million charge to income before assessments when we accrued our AHP assessment in 2011 - that is, we already have paid our AHP assessment attributable to the \$50 million charge.

^a Unless otherwise specified, references to we, us, our, and the Bank are to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

^b "Mortgage Partnership Finance", "MPF", and "MPF Xtra" are registered trademarks of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago. "Community First" is a trademark of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago.

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Our **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** can be found in our 2012 Form 10-K starting on page F-11. There have been no significant changes during the first quarter of 2013.

Note 3 – Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards

Joint and Several Liability Arrangements

In February of 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements, except for obligations otherwise accounted for elsewhere within GAAP, such as guarantees. The new guidance requires us to measure obligations from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date. Further, any additional estimated amount we expect to pay on behalf of other FHLBs also would be recognized at the reporting date. The accounting for our guarantee to other FHLBs is scoped out of the new guidance, and accordingly, our existing accounting of our guarantee as a related party guarantee will not change. The new guidance becomes effective January 1, 2014 and is required to be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for our obligations that exist as of January 1, 2014. Earlier adoption is permitted. The new guidance is not expected to have an effect on our operating activities or financial statements. For further discussion of our joint and several liability see **Note 11 - Consolidated Obligations** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Asset Classification and Charge-offs

On April 9, 2012, the FHFA issued Advisory Bulletin 2012-02, Framework for Adversely Classifying Loans, Other Real Estate Owned, and Other Assets and Listing Assets for Special Mention (AB 2012-02). The guidance in AB 2012-02 is generally consistent with the Uniform Retail Credit Classification and Account Management Policy issued by the federal banking regulators in June 2000. On December 3, 2012, the FHFA issued questions and answers regarding AB 2012-02 that clarified various implementation issues including the date by which the guidance must be fully implemented, which is January 1, 2014. Our transition to AB 2012-02 will be on a prospective basis. AB 2012-02 establishes a standard and uniform methodology for classifying assets, prescribes the timing of asset charge-offs (excluding investment securities), provides measurement guidance with respect to determining our allowance for credit losses, and fair value measurement guidance for real estate owned or "REO" (e.g., use of appraisals). We are in the process of determining the financial statement effects of implementing AB 2012-02.

Note 4 – Interest Income and Interest Expense

The following table presents interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated:

	T		onths ended arch 31,			
	2	013	2	2012		
Interest income -						
Federal Funds sold	\$	1	\$	1		
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		2		1		
Interest bearing deposits		1		_		
Investment securities -						
Trading		6		17		
Available-for-sale		149		165		
Held-to-maturity		90		114		
Total investment securities		245		296		
Advances		36		48		
Advance prepayment fees, net of fair value hedge adjustments of \$0 and \$(22)		5		26		
Total Advances		41		74		
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net		113		153		
Total interest income		403		525		
Interest expense -						
Consolidated obligations -						
Discount notes		77		76		
Bonds		199		276		
Total consolidated obligations		276		352		
Subordinated notes		14		14		
Total interest expense		290		366		
		290		300		
Net interest income before provision for credit losses		113		159		
Provision for credit losses		_		6		
Net interest income	\$	113	\$	153		

Note 5 – Investment Securities

Our major security types presented in the tables below are defined as follows:

- U.S. Government & other government related consists of the sovereign debt of the United States; debt issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Farm Credit Banks Funding Corporation; and non mortgage-backed securities of the Small Business Administration, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and Tennessee Valley Authority.
- Federal Family Education Loan Program asset backed securities (FFELP ABS)
- Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE) residential consists of mortgage-backed securities (MBS) issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.
- Government-guaranteed residential consists of MBS issued by Ginnie Mae.
- Private-label residential MBS

Gains and losses on sales of securities are determined using the specific identification method and are included in non-interest gain (loss) on the statements of income.

Pledged Collateral

We enter into bilateral collateral agreements and execute derivatives and other transactions with major banks and brokerdealers. We may pledge investment securities as collateral under these agreements, and in such cases, the amount pledged will be noted on the face of the Statements of Condition. We did not pledge any investment securities as collateral as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

Trading Securities

The following table presents the fair value of our trading securities:

As of	March 31, 2013	Dec	cember 31, 2012
U.S. Government & other government related	\$ 1,868	\$	1,106
MBS:			
GSE residential	106		120
Government-guaranteed residential	2		3
Total MBS	108		123
Total trading securities	\$ 1,976	\$	1,229

At March 31, 2013, and 2012, we had net year-to-date unrealized gains (losses) of \$(6) million and \$(14) million on trading securities still held at period end.

Amortized Cost Basis and Fair Value – Available-for-Sale Securities (AFS)

	Amortized Cost Basis		Non-Credit OTTI Recognized in AOCI (Loss)			Gross Unrealized Gains in AOCI	-	Gross nrealized osses in AOCI	Carrying and Fair Value		
As of March 31, 2013											
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	648	\$	—	\$	58	\$	_	\$	706	
FFELP ABS		6,794		—		509		(13)		7,290	
MBS:											
GSE residential		11,265		—		800		(46)		12,019	
Government-guaranteed residential		2,732		_		184		_		2,916	
Private-label residential		76		(2)		_		(1)		73	
Total MBS		14,073		(2)		984		(47)		15,008	
Total	\$	21,515	\$	(2)	\$	1,551	\$	(60)	\$	23,004	
					_						
As of December 31, 2012											
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	690	\$	—	\$	64	\$	_	\$	754	
FFELP ABS		6,958		_		508		(13)		7,453	
MBS:											
GSE residential		11,402		_		880		(54)		12,228	
Government-guaranteed residential		2,758		_		192		_		2,950	
Private-label residential		78		(8)		_		(1)		69	
Total MBS		14,238		(8)		1,072		(55)		15,247	
Total	\$	21,886	\$	(8)	\$	1,644	\$	(68)	\$	23,454	

Amortized Cost, Carrying Value, and Fair Value - Held-to-Maturity Securities (HTM)

		ortized t Basis	Re	on-credit OTTI ecognized in AOCI (Loss)	zed Cl Carrying		Gross Unrecognized Holding Gains		Gross Unrecogniz Holding Losses	zed	Fair /alue
As of March 31, 2013	_										
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	1,739	\$	_	\$	1,739	\$	134	\$	_	\$ 1,873
State or local housing agency		24		—		24		—		—	24
MBS:											
GSE residential		3,936		—		3,936		330		—	4,266
Government-guaranteed residential		1,321		_		1,321		56		_	1,377
Private-label residential		1,743		(366)		1,377		394		(2)	1,769
Total MBS		7,000		(366)		6,634		780		(2)	 7,412
Total	\$	8,763	\$	(366)	\$	8,397	\$	914	\$	(2)	\$ 9,309
As of December 31, 2012											
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	2,487	\$	_	\$	2,487	\$	139	\$	_	\$ 2,626
State or local housing agency		24		—		24		—		—	24
MBS:											
GSE residential		4,282				4,282		377			4,659
Government-guaranteed residential		1,340		_		1,340		57		_	1,397
Private-label residential		1,815		(381)		1,434		348		(6)	1,776
Total MBS		7,437		(381)		7,056		782		(6)	7,832
Total	\$	9,948	\$	(381)	\$	9,567	\$	921	\$	(6)	\$ 10,482

Aging of Unrealized Temporary Losses

The following tables present unrealized temporary losses on our AFS and HTM portfolio for periods less than 12 months and for 12 months or more. We recognized no OTTI charges on these unrealized loss positions because we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, we do not intend to sell these securities, and we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell them prior to recovering their amortized cost basis. In the tables below, in cases where the fair value or gross unrealized losses for an investment category is insignificant, we don't report a balance.

Available-for-Sale Securities

Les	s thar	n 12 M	onths	12 Months or More					Total				
Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Losses			Fair Value		Bross realized osses		
\$	—	\$	—	\$	1,055	\$	(13)	\$	1,055	\$	(13)		
	—		—		3,504		(46)		3,504		(46)		
	—		—		68		(3) ^a		68		(3) ^a		
	_				3,572		(49)		3,572		(49)		
\$	_	\$	_	\$	4,627	\$	(62)	\$	4,627	\$	(62)		
\$	—	\$		\$	1,079	\$	(13)	\$	1,079	\$	(13)		
	40		_		3,540		(54)		3,580		(54)		
	_		_		69		(9) ^a		69		(9) ^a		
	40				3,609		(63)	_	3,649		(63)		
\$	40	\$	_	\$	4,688	\$	(76)	\$	4,728	\$	(76)		
	\$	Fair Value \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 40 40	Fair Value Gi Unre Lo \$ — 40 — 40 —	Fair Value Unrealized Losses \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 40 40 40	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value \$ - \$ 1,055 $-$ \$ 1,055 $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ $ +$ <td>Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value G Unrealized Losses \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,055 \$ - - \$\$ 3,504 - - - 68 - - - 68 - - - \$\$ 4,627 \$ \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,079 \$ 40 - \$,540 - - - 69 - 40 - 3,609 -</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses \$ \$ \$ 1,055 \$ (13) \$ \$ 3,504 (46) \$ 68 (3) \$ 68 (3) \$ \$ 4,627 \$ (62) \$ \$ \$ \$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$ \$ \$ \$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,540 (54) \$ 69 (9) \$ 40 3,609 (63) \$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Unrealized Unrealized Losses \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,055 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,055 \$ 3,504 (46) 3,504 68 (3) \$ 68 68 (3) \$ 68 3,572 (49) 3,572 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 4,627 \$ (62) \$\$ 4,627 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$40 69 (9) \$ 69 - - 69 - - \$40 3,609 (63) 3,649 - - - - - - - - - - <</td>	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value G Unrealized Losses \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,055 \$ - - \$\$ 3,504 - - - 68 - - - 68 - - - \$\$ 4,627 \$ \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$\$ - \$\$ - \$\$ 1,079 \$ 40 - \$,540 - - - 69 - 40 - 3,609 -	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses \$ \$ \$ 1,055 \$ (13) \$ \$ 3,504 (46) \$ 68 (3) \$ 68 (3) \$ \$ 4,627 \$ (62) \$ \$ \$ \$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$ \$ \$ \$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$ \$ \$ \$ 3,540 (54) \$ 69 (9) \$ 40 3,609 (63) \$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses Fair Value Unrealized Unrealized Losses \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,055 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,055 \$ 3,504 (46) 3,504 68 (3) \$ 68 68 (3) \$ 68 3,572 (49) 3,572 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 4,627 \$ (62) \$\$ 4,627 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1,079 \$ (13) \$\$ 1,079 \$ \$40 69 (9) \$ 69 - - 69 - - \$40 3,609 (63) 3,649 - - - - - - - - - - <		

^a Includes \$52 million and \$45 million of gross unrealized/unrecognized recoveries in fair value at March 31, 2013, and at December 31, 2012.

Held-to-Maturity Securities

	Le	ess than	12	Months	12 Months or More					Total					
		Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		iross realized osses			
As of March 31, 2013															
Private-label residential MBS Held-to-Maturity	\$		\$	_	\$	1,626	\$	(368)	\$	1,626	\$	(368)			
As of December 31, 2012															
Private-label residential MBS Held-to-maturity	\$	_	\$	—	\$	1,640	\$	(387)	\$	1,640	\$	(387)			

Contractual Maturity Terms

The table below presents the amortized cost basis and fair value of AFS and HTM securities by contractual maturity, excluding ABS and MBS securities. These securities are excluded because their expected maturities may differ from their contractual maturities if borrowers of the underlying loans elect to prepay their loans.

		Available	e-foi	-Sale	Held-to-Maturity				
As of March 31, 2013	-	Amortized Cost		Fair Value	(Carrying Value	Fair Value		
Year of Maturity -									
Due in one year or less	\$	_	\$	_	\$	62	\$	63	
Due after one year through five years		87		92		52		53	
Due after five years through ten years		99		107		474		504	
Due after ten years		462		507		1,175		1,277	
Total non-ABS/MBS		648		706		1,763		1,897	
ABS and MBS		20,867		22,298		6,634		7,412	
Total securities	\$	21,515	\$	23,004	\$	8,397	\$	9,309	
	<u> </u>	21,010		20,004	—	0,001	-	0,0	

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

We recognize credit losses into earnings on securities in an unrealized loss position for which we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis. Non-credit losses are recognized in AOCI since we do not intend to sell these securities and we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell any investment security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. The non-credit loss in AOCI on HTM securities are accreted back into the HTM securities over their remaining lives as an increase to the carrying value, since we ultimately expect to collect these amounts. See **Statements of Comprehensive Income** on page 5. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we had no OTTI charges.

Significant Inputs Used to Determine OTTI

Our analysis for OTTI on our private-label MBS includes key modeling assumptions, significant inputs, and methodologies provided by an FHLB System OTTI Committee. We use the information provided to generate cash flow projections used in analyzing credit losses and determining OTTI for private-label MBS. The OTTI Committee was formed by the FHLBs to achieve consistency among the FHLBs in their analyses of the OTTI of private-label MBS. We are responsible for making our own determination of impairment, which includes determining the reasonableness of assumptions, significant inputs, and methodologies used, and performing the required present value calculations using appropriate historical cost bases and yields.

In cases where the fair value of a private-label MBS is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date, we assess whether its entire amortized cost basis will be recovered. Specifically, we perform a cash flow analysis for substantially all of these securities that utilizes two models provided by independent third parties.

The first model considers borrower characteristics and the particular attributes of the loans underlying the securities, in conjunction with assumptions about future changes in home prices and interest rates, prepayment rates, default rates, and loss severities. A significant input to the first model is the forecast of future housing price changes for the relevant states and core based statistical areas (CBSAs), which are based upon an assessment of the individual housing markets. CBSA refers collectively to metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas as defined by the United States Office of Management and Budget; as currently defined, a CBSA must contain at least one urban area with a population of 10,000 or more people.

The second model uses the month-by-month projections of future loan performance derived from the first model and allocates the projected loan level cash flows and losses to the various security classes in the securitization structure in accordance with its prescribed cash flow and loss allocation rules.

As of March 31, 2013, we developed a housing price forecast with seven short-term projections with changes ranging from -4.0% to +4.0% over the twelve month period beginning January 1, 2013. For the vast majority of markets, the short-term forecast has changes from -1.0% to +1.0%. Thereafter, home prices were projected to recover using one of five different recovery paths.

The following table presents the projected home price recovery by future month.

As of March 31, 2013	Recovery Rang	e Annualized %
Months	Low	High
1-6	0.0%	3.0%
7-12	1.0%	4.0%
13-18	2.0%	4.0%
19-30	2.0%	5.0%
31-42	2.0%	6.0%
43-54	2.0%	6.0%
Thereafter	2.3%	5.6%

Based on these inputs and assumptions for the three months ended March 31, 2013, we had no OTTI charges.

The following table presents the current outstanding balances on private-label MBS that were other-than-temporarily impaired at some point during the life of the securities. This table does not include HTM and AFS securities that are in an unrealized loss position, which have not had an OTTI charge during the life of the security. In this table, we classify our private-label MBS as prime, subprime, or Alt-A based upon the nature of the majority of underlying mortgages collateralizing each security based on the issuer's classification, or as published by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO), at the time of issuance of the MBS. On October 15, 2010, we instituted litigation relating to sixty-four private label MBS bonds purchased by us in an aggregate original principal amount of approximately \$4.29 billion. Our complaints assert claims for untrue or misleading statements in the sale of securities, and it is possible that the classifications of private-label MBS, as well as other statements made about the securities by the issuer, are inaccurate.

As of March 31, 2013	id Principal alance	rtized Cost Basis	Carry	ing Value	Fair Value		
Private-label residential MBS:							
Alt-A	\$ 114	\$ 74	\$	72	\$	72	
Total OTTI AFS securities	\$ 114	\$ 74	\$	72	\$	72	
Private-label residential MBS:							
Prime	\$ 1,305	\$ 1,014	\$	755	\$	997	
Subprime	798	513		406		555	
Total OTTI HTM securities	\$ 2,103	\$ 1,527	\$	1,161	\$	1,552	

Note 6 – Advances

We offer a wide range of fixed- and variable-rate advance products with different maturities, interest rates, payment characteristics and optionality. The following table presents our advances by callable/putable features:

As of	Marc	h 31, 2013	Decem	nber 31, 2012
Noncallable/nonputable	\$	11,369	\$	11,616
Callable		933		776
Putable		1,935		1,948
Total par value		14,237		14,340
Hedging adjustments		141		166
Other adjustments		25		24
Total advances	\$	14,403	\$	14,530

The following table presents our advances by redemption terms:

As of March 31, 2013	А	mount	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Next Maturity or Call Date	Next Maturity or Put Date
Due in one year or less	\$	5,177	0.55%	\$ 6,104	\$ 7,100
One to two years		1,165	2.06%	1,070	1,163
Two to three years		1,167	2.50%	967	727
Three to four years		1,579	3.41%	1,379	1,058
Four to five years		4,132	1.19%	3,755	3,362
More than five years		1,017	2.94%	962	827
Total par value	\$	14,237	1.51%	\$ 14,237	\$ 14,237

Credit Risk Concentration and Collateral Pledged

The following advance borrowers exceeded 10% of our total advances outstanding:

As of March 31, 2013	Par Val	ue Outstanding	% of Total	
BMO Harris Bank N.A.	\$	2,375		17%
State Farm Bank, F.S.B		1,500		11%

We lend to members within our district according to Federal statutes, including the FHLB Act. The FHLB Act requires us to hold, or have access to, collateral to secure our advances, and we do not expect to incur any credit losses on advances. We have policies and procedures in place that are designed to manage our credit risk, including requirements for physical possession or control of pledged collateral, restrictions on borrowing, verifications of collateral and continuous monitoring of borrowings and the member's financial condition. We continue to monitor the collateral and creditworthiness of our borrowers. Based on the collateral pledged as security for advances and our credit analyses of our members' financial condition and our credit extension and collateral policies, we expect to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of our advances. See **Note 8** - **Allowance for Credit Losses** for information related to our credit risk on advances and allowance methodology for credit losses.

Note 7 – MPF Loans

We developed the MPF Program to allow us to invest in mortgages to help fulfill our housing mission and provide an additional source of liquidity to our members. The MPF Program is a secondary mortgage market structure under which we acquired and funded eligible mortgage loans from or through PFIs, and in some cases we purchased participations in pools of eligible mortgage loans from other FHLBs (MPF Banks). MPF Loans are defined as conforming conventional and Government fixed-rate mortgage loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties with maturities ranging from 5 years to 30 years or participations in such mortgage loans.

The following table presents information on MPF Loans held in our portfolio by contractual maturity at time of purchase. All are fixed-rate. Government is comprised of loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and loans guaranteed by the Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) or Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service (RHS). MPF Loans outstanding continue to decrease as a result of our ongoing strategy to not add MPF Loans to our balance sheet, except for loans being acquired under our affordable housing programs.

As of	М	larch 31, 2013	Decen	nber 31, 2012
Medium term (15 years or less)	\$	2,301	\$	2,557
Long term (greater than 15 years)		7,271		7,783
Total unpaid principal balance		9,572		10,340
Net premiums, credit enhancement and deferred loan fees		33		37
Hedging adjustments		88		97
Total before allowance for credit losses		9,693		10,474
Allowance for credit losses		(40)		(42)
Total MPF Loans held in portfolio, net	\$	9,653	\$	10,432
Conventional mortgage loans	\$	7,612	\$	8,260
Government insured mortgage loans		1,960		2,080
Total unpaid principal balance	\$	9,572	\$	10,340

See Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses for information related to our credit risk on MPF Loans and allowance for credit losses methodology.

Note 8 – Allowance for Credit Losses

We have established an allowance methodology for each of our portfolio segments:

- credit products (advances, letters of credit and other extensions of credit to borrowers);
- · conventional MPF Loans held for portfolio;
- government MPF Loans held for portfolio; and
- term Federal Funds sold and term securities purchased under agreements to resell.

For detailed information on these methodologies and our accounting policies please see **Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Credit Products

For the periods presented, we had no credit products that were past due, on nonaccrual status, or considered impaired. In addition, there have been no troubled debt restructurings related to our credit products during the periods then ended. Based upon the collateral we held as security, our credit extension and collateral policies, our credit analysis and the repayment history on credit products, we do not believe that any credit losses have been incurred on our credit products; accordingly, we have not recorded any allowance for credit losses for our credit products. Additionally, no liability was recorded to reflect credit losses for our credit products with off-balance sheet credit exposures.

Conventional MPF Loans

MPF Risk Sharing Structure

For a definition of **MPF Risk Sharing Structure** see page F-36 in our 2012 Form 10-K. We share the risk of credit losses on conventional MPF Loan products with our PFIs (excluding the MPF Xtra product) by structuring potential losses on conventional MPF Loans into layers with respect to each master commitment (MC). We require that conventional MPF Loans held in our portfolio be credit enhanced at inception so that our risk of loss is limited to be equivalent to the losses of an investor in an AA rated mortgage backed security. As a part of our methodology to determine the amount of credit enhancement necessary, we analyze the risk characteristics of each MPF Loan using a model licensed from an NRSRO. We use the model to evaluate loan data provided by the PFI as well as other relevant information.

The table below presents the impact of the MPF Risk Sharing Structure and severity rates on our allowance for credit losses. For detailed definitions of how Total Severity Rate and Credit Loss Severity Rate are calculated, see **Loss Severity** on page F-38 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

As of	March 31, 2013	December 31	, 2012
Total Severity Rate	37.0%		38.0%
Credit Loss Severity Rate	21.0%		22.0%
Total estimated losses outstanding	\$ 83	\$	88
Less: losses expected to be absorbed by MPF Risk Sharing Structure ^a	(21)		(23)
Our share of total losses	62		65
Less: non-credit losses	(27)		(27)
Credit losses	35		38
Plus: other estimated credit losses in the remaining portfolio	5		4
Allowance for credit losses on conventional MPF Loans	\$ 40	\$	42

Represents aggregate of credit enhancements across all master commitments expected to be recovered. Credit enhancement from one master commitment may not be used to offset credit losses incurred by another master commitment.

The following table presents the changes in the allowance for credit losses on conventional MPF Loans and the recorded investment by impairment methodology.

	Ма	arch 31, 2013	Ма	rch 31, 2012
For the three months ended				
Allowance for credit losses on conventional MPF Loans-	-			
Balance, beginning of period	\$	42	\$	45
Losses charged to the allowance		(2)		(2)
Provision for credit losses		—		6
Balance, end of period	\$	40	\$	49
As of	Ма	arch 31, 2013	Dece	ember 31, 2012
Specifically identified and individually evaluated for impairment	\$	30	\$	30
Homogeneous pools of loans and collectively evaluated for impairment		10		12
Allowance assigned to conventional MPF Loan	\$	40	\$	42
Individually evaluated for impairment - with an allowance	\$	222	\$	230
Collectively evaluated for impairment		7,534		8,190

Government MPF Loans Held for Portfolio

We invest in fixed-rate government MPF Loans which are insured or guaranteed by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA), the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture (RHS), and/or by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The PFI provides and maintains insurance or a guaranty from these agencies. The PFI is responsible for compliance with all government agency requirements and for obtaining the benefit of the applicable insurance or guaranty with respect to defaulted government MPF Loans. Any losses incurred on such loans that are not recovered from the issuer or guarantor, are absorbed by the servicing PFIs. Therefore, we only have credit risk for these loans if the servicing PFI fails to pay for losses not covered by FHA or HUD insurance, or VA or RHS guarantees. In this regard, based on our assessment of our servicing PFIs, we did not establish an allowance for credit losses for our government MPF Loans are not placed on nonaccrual status or disclosed as troubled debt restructurings.

Credit Quality Indicators - MPF Loans

		N	larch	n 31, 2013			Dec	ceml	per 31, 2012	
As of	Con	ventional	Government		Total	Conventiona		Government		Total
Past due 30-59 days	\$	191	\$	114	\$ 305	\$	180	\$	116	\$ 296
Past due 60-89 days		56		31	87		59		42	101
Past due 90 days or more		248		197	445		254		208	462
Total past due		495		342	837		493		366	859
Total current		7,261		1,644	8,905		7,927		1,741	9,668
Total recorded investment	\$	7,756	\$	1,986	\$ 9,742	\$	8,420	\$	2,107	\$ 10,527
In process of foreclosure	\$	141	\$	74	\$ 215	\$	149	\$	76	\$ 225
Serious delinquency rate ^a		3.21%		9.95%	4.58%		3.03%		9.86%	4.40%
Past due 90 days or more still accruing interest ^b	\$	69	\$	198	\$ 267	\$	67	\$	208	\$ 275
On nonaccrual status	\$	226	\$	_	\$ 226	\$	234	\$	_	\$ 234

The table below summarizes our recorded investment in MPF Loans by our key credit quality indicators.

MPF Loans that are 90 days or more past due or in the process of foreclosure as a percentage of the total recorded investment.
 Consists of MPF Loans that are either government mortgage loans or conventional mortgage loans that are well secured (by collateral that have a realizable value sufficient to discharge the debt or by the guarantee or insurance, such as PMI, of a financially responsible party) and in the process of collection.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

Effective December 31, 2012, we began characterizing MPF Loans as troubled debt restructurings in cases where they were discharged under Chapter 7 bankruptcy and they were not reaffirmed by the borrower, except for cases in which we expect to collect the recorded investment in full because of credit enhancements or government insurance or guarantees. We did not previously report these MPF Loans as troubled debt restructurings unless they were modified under our troubled debt restructuring modification program. In prior reporting periods, we reported these MPF Loans on nonaccrual status within 60 days of receipt of the notification of filing from the bankruptcy court. Further, we continue to include the recorded investment of these MPF Loans in our nonaccrual status reported amount, which means these MPF Loans are included in both categories for footnote disclosure purposes.

In the event a borrower qualifies for a troubled debt restructuring under our program, we modify the borrower's monthly payment for a period of up to 36 months to try to achieve a target housing expense ratio of not more than 31% of their monthly qualifying income. Any and all delinquent interest on the loan may be capitalized as long as the resulting principal balance does not exceed the original principal balance, otherwise all delinquent interest is written off. Next, we re-amortize the new outstanding balance to reflect a principal and interest payment for a term not to exceed 40 years and attempt to achieve the target housing expense ratio. This results in a balloon payment at the original maturity date of the loan as the maturity date and number of remaining monthly payments are not adjusted. If the target housing expense ratio is still not met, we reduce the interest rate in 0.125% increments below the original note rate, to a floor rate of 3.00% for up to 36 months, in an effort to further reduce principal and interest payments again, until the target housing expense ratio is met.

The table below presents our recorded investment balance in troubled debt restructured loans as of the dates presented. The recorded investment balances for modified loans still in their trial period were immaterial. Performing includes modified loans that are accruing interest. Nonperforming includes modified loans that are on nonaccrual status.

	March 31, 2013						December 31, 2012					
As of	Performin	g	Nonperforming		Total		Performing		Nonperforming		Total	
Recorded investment in conventional MPF Loan TDRs	\$	16	\$	17	\$	33	\$	14	\$	3	\$	17

Our pre- and post-modification recorded investment in troubled debt restructurings during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, was \$7 million and during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, was \$1 million. These amounts represent both the pre- and post-modification amounts recorded in our statement of condition as of the date the troubled debt restructurings were consummated. Specifically, the modified terms do not fully take effect until after a three month trial period in which the borrower demonstrates

an ability to pay under the modified terms during the trial period; however, we consider the conventional MPF Loan a troubled debt restructuring at the inception of the trial period. If the borrower fails to pay during the trial period, the payment terms revert back to the original agreement with the borrower. The pre- and post- modification amounts are the same as we did not record any write-offs either due to the forgiveness of principal or a direct write-off due to a confirmed loss.

Troubled debt restructurings from the previous 12 months that subsequently defaulted during the three months ending March 31, 2013, and 2012 were \$8 million and \$1 million. A borrower is considered to have defaulted on a troubled debt restructuring if contractually due principal or interest payment is sixty days past due at any time during the past 12 months. The amount of nonperforming troubled debt restructurings are less than the amount that have subsequently defaulted either because a defaulted troubled debt restructuring subsequently became current or was transferred to REO.

Individually Evaluated Impaired Loans

The following table summarizes the recorded investment, unpaid principal balance, and related allowance of impaired MPF Loans individually assessed for impairment, which includes impaired collateral dependent MPF Loans and troubled debt restructurings. We had no impaired MPF Loans without an allowance for either date.

	March 31, 2013							December 31, 2012							
As of		orded tment	Unpaid Principal Balance		Principal Related			corded stment	Unpaid Principal Balance		Related Allowance				
Impaired conventional MPF Loans with an allowance	\$	222	\$	217	\$	30	\$	230	\$	224	\$	30			

The following table summarizes the average recorded investment of impaired MPF Loans and related interest recognized.

	 March 3	31, 2013	March 31, 2012						
For the periods ended	e Recorded estment	Interest Inc Recogniz		e Recorded estment	I	nterest Income Recognized			
Three months	\$ 224	\$	 \$	202	\$	2			

Term Federal Funds Sold and Term Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

These financing receivables are short-term and the recorded balance approximates fair value. All federal funds sold were repaid according to the contractual terms. Federal Funds are only evaluated for purposes of an allowance for credit losses if the investment is not paid when due.

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are considered collateralized financing arrangements and effectively represent short-term loans with highly rated counterparties. The terms of these loans are structured such that if the market values of the underlying securities decrease below the market value required as collateral, the counterparty must place an equivalent amount of additional securities as collateral or remit an equivalent amount of cash, or the dollar value of the resale agreement will be decreased accordingly. If an agreement to resell is deemed to be impaired, the difference between the fair value of the collateral and the amortized cost of the agreement is charged to earnings. Based upon the collateral held as security, we have determined that no allowance for credit losses was needed for our securities purchased under agreements to resell. All securities purchased under agreements to resell were repaid according to the contractual terms.

Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Refer to **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Polices** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K for our accounting policies for derivatives.

In December of 2011, and as amended in January 2013, the FASB issued new disclosure requirements pertaining to offsetting (netting) of assets and liabilities. We adopted these new disclosure requirements retrospectively for all comparative periods presented effective January 1, 2013. The requirements require disclosure of both gross information and net information related to only derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing regardless of whether we offset these transactions in our statement of condition. A description of the rights of setoff related to these financial instruments, including the nature of those rights, also are required to be disclosed. We do not have repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements or securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are subject to offset in our statement of condition. As a result, we are only providing the required disclosures related to derivative instruments, which can be found below.

Managing Credit Risk on Derivative Agreements

We are subject to credit risk due to the risk of nonperformance by counterparties to our derivative agreements. The degree of counterparty risk depends on the extent to which master netting arrangements are included in such contracts to mitigate the risk. We manage counterparty credit risk through credit analysis, collateral requirements and adherence to the requirements set forth in our policies and FHFA regulations. We require collateral agreements on all derivatives that establish collateral delivery thresholds. Additionally, collateral related to derivatives with member institutions includes collateral assigned to us, as evidenced by a written security agreement, and held by the member institution for our benefit. Based on credit analyses and collateral requirements, we do not anticipate any credit losses on our derivative agreements. See **Note 18 - Fair Value Accounting** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K for discussion regarding our fair value methodology for derivative assets and liabilities, including an evaluation of the potential for the fair value of these instruments to be affected by counterparty credit risk.

Our derivative agreements contain provisions that require us to post additional collateral with our counterparties if there is deterioration in our credit rating, except for those derivative agreements with a zero unsecured collateral threshold for both parties, in which case positions are required to be fully collateralized regardless of credit rating. If our credit rating is lowered by a major credit rating agency, such as Standard and Poor's or Moody's, we would be required to deliver additional collateral on derivatives in net liability positions. If our credit rating had been lowered from its current rating to the next lower rating, we would have been required to deliver up to an additional \$69 million of collateral at fair value to our derivatives counterparties at March 31, 2013.

We transact most of our derivatives with large banks and major broker-dealers. Some of these banks and broker-dealers or their affiliates buy, sell, and distribute consolidated obligations. We are not a derivative dealer and do not trade derivatives for speculative purposes.

The following table summarizes our derivatives, including cash collateral and related interest where we had the right to reclaim the collateral on derivative assets. As of March 31, 2013, we delivered \$1 million excess collateral on derivative liabilities. Netting adjustments represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow us to settle positive and negative positions.

	March 31, 2013							cem	oer 31, 20)12	
As of	 otional mount		rivative Assets		rivative bilities	-	lotional Amount		rivative ssets		erivative abilities
Derivatives in hedge accounting relationships-											
Interest rate swaps	\$ 27,746	\$	86	\$	1,985	\$	24,678	\$	103	\$	2,107
Total	27,746		86		1,985		24,678		103		2,107
Derivatives not in hedge accounting relationships-											
Interest rate swaps	15,004		650		572		13,352		691		656
Interest rate swaptions	5,510		139		_		8,690		160		_
Interest rate caps or floors	1,913		203		_		1,913		223		_
Mortgage delivery commitments	757		10		10		992		15		15
Total	23,184		1,002		582		24,947		1,089		671
Total before adjustments	\$ 50,930		1,088		2,567	\$	49,625		1,192		2,778
Netting adjustments			(1,030)		(1,030)				(1,120)		(1,120)
Exposure at fair value			58	1	1,537				72 "	1	1,658
Cash collateral and related accrued interest			(16)		(1,458)				(25)		(1,576)
Derivative assets and liabilities		\$	42	\$	79			\$	47	\$	82

^a Includes net accrued interest receivable of \$8 million as of March 31, 2013, and \$1 million as of December 31, 2012.

The following table shows the offsetting of derivative assets and liabilities for derivative instruments with legal right of offset as well as derivative instruments without the legal right of offset (i.e., mortgage delivery commitments):

	March 3	1, 20	13	D	ecembe	er 31, 2012		
As of	 Derivative Assets		ivative pilities		rivative ssets		rivative abilities	
Gross recognized amount	\$ 1,078	\$	2,557	\$	1,177	\$	2,763	
Gross amounts of netting adjustments and cash collateral	(1,046)		(2,488)		(1,145)		(2,696)	
Net amounts after offsetting adjustments	 32		69		32		67	
Derivatives without legal right of offset	10		10		15		15	
Total derivatives recorded in Statements of Condition	42		79		47		82	
Noncash collateral received or pledged and not offset-								
Cannot be sold or repledged	30		—		30		_	
Net unsecured amount	\$ 12	\$	79	\$	17	\$	82	

The following tables present the components of derivatives and hedging activities as presented in the statements of income.

	Thr	ree mor Marc		ded
For the periods ending	20)13	20	12
Fair value hedges -				
Interest rate swaps	\$	2	\$	4
Other		—		1
Fair value hedges - ineffectiveness net gain (loss)		2		5
Cash flow hedges - ineffectiveness net gain (loss)		1		2
Economic hedges -				
Interest rate swaps		11		(2)
Interest rate swaptions		(6)		—
Interest rate caps/floors		(19)		(13)
Net interest settlements		13		19
Economic hedges - net gain (loss)		(1)		4
Net gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities	\$	2	\$	11

Fair Value Hedges

The following table presents, by type of hedged item, the gains (losses) on derivatives and the related hedged items in fair value hedging relationships and the effect of those derivatives on our net interest income.

	Gain (Loss) on Derivative		Gain (Loss) on H		Gain (Loss) (I Gain (Loss) on Hedged in		(Loss in De	Total ctiveness Gain) Recognized rivatives and ing Activities	So Ef	et Interest ettlements fect on Net Interest Income ^a	Am Ne	Hedge justments ortized into et Interest ncome ^b
Three months ended March 31, 2013												
Hedged item type -												
Available-for-sale securities	\$	56	\$	(55)	\$	1	\$	(34)	\$	_		
Advances		26		(23)		3		(17)		(1)		
MPF Loans held for portfolio		_		_		_		_		(10)		
Consolidated obligation bonds		(87)		85		(2)		47		(7)		
Total	\$	(5)	\$	7	\$	2	\$	(4)	\$	(18)		
Three months ended March 31, 2012												
Hedged item type -												
Available-for-sale securities	\$	36	\$	(34)	\$	2	\$	(32)	\$	—		
Advances		8		(5)		3		(24)		(23)		
MPF Loans held for portfolio		(1)		2		1		(1)		(14)		
Consolidated obligation bonds		(24)		23		(1)		38		(9)		
Total	\$	19	\$	(14)	\$	5	\$	(19)	\$	(46)		

^a Represents the effect of net interest settlements attributable to existing derivative hedging instruments on net interest income. The effect of derivatives on net interest income is included in the interest income/expense line item of the respective hedged item type.

^b Amortization of hedge adjustments is included in the interest income/expense line item of the respective hedged item type.

Cash Flow Hedges

The following table presents our gains (losses) on our cash-flow hedging relationships recorded in income and other comprehensive income (loss). In the tables below, in cases where amounts are insignificant in the aggregate, we don't report a balance.

	of Ef Po Recla From	tization fective rtion ssified AOCI to erest	Po Recla Deri and I	fective ortion ssified to vatives Hedging tivities	Reci State	Fotal assified Into ments of come	P	fective ortion orded in AOCI	Chan	ige in OCI	Sett Effec In	Interest lements ct on Net terest come ^a
Three months ended March 31, 2013												
Advances - interest rate floors	\$	3	\$	_	\$	3	\$	_	\$	(3)	\$	_
Discount notes - interest rate swaps		(1)		1		_		88		88		(67)
Total	\$	2	\$	1	\$	3	\$	88	\$	85	\$	(67)
Three months ended March 31, 2012												
Advances - interest rate floors	\$	4	\$	_	\$	4	\$	_	\$	(4)	\$	_
Discount notes - interest rate caps		(2)		_		(2)		_		2		_
Discount notes - interest rate swaps		(1)		2		1		52		51		(67)
Bonds - interest rate swaps		(2)		_		(2)		_		2		_
Total	\$	(1)	\$	2	\$	1	\$	52	\$	51	\$	(67)

Represents the effect of net interest settlements attributable to open derivative hedging instruments on net interest income. The effect of derivatives on net interest income is included in the interest income/expense line item of the respective hedged item type.

There were no amounts reclassified from AOCI into earnings for the periods presented as a result of the discontinuance of cashflow hedges because the original forecasted transactions failed to occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within a two-month period thereafter. The deferred net gains on derivative instruments in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months were \$10 million as of March 31, 2013. The maximum length of time over which we are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions, excluding those forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on existing financial instruments, is 7 years.

Note 10 – Consolidated Obligations

The following table presents our consolidated obligation bonds, for which we are the primary obligor, including callable bonds that are redeemable in whole, or in part, at our discretion on predetermined call dates.

As of March 31, 2013	 Contractual Maturity	Weighted Average Interest Rate		lext Maturity or Call Date
Due in one year or less	\$ 7,096	2.83%	\$	22,306
One to two years	4,491	4.24%		4,941
Two to three years	2,621	2.72%		1,896
Three to four years	2,663	4.16%		2,143
Four to five years	4,706	2.56%		2,276
Thereafter	13,342	2.19%		1,357
Total par value	\$ 34,919	2.82%	\$	34,919

The following table presents our consolidated obligation discount notes for which we are the primary obligor. All are due in one year or less.

	Carryi	ng Value	Par Value	Weighted Average Interest Rate
As of March 31, 2013	\$	24,292	\$ 24,298	0.13%
As of December 31, 2012		31,260	31,269	0.13%

The following table presents consolidated obligation bonds outstanding by call feature:

As of	March 31, 2013	Decembe	r 31, 2012
Noncallable	\$ 18,669	\$	19,179
Callable	16,250		13,480
Total par value	34,919		32,659
Bond premiums (discounts), net	14		13
Hedging adjustments	(182)		(104)
Fair value option adjustments	_		1
Total consolidated obligation bonds	\$ 34,751	\$	32,569

Note 11 – Subordinated Notes

Our subordinated notes outstanding mature on June 13, 2016. The subordinated notes are not obligations of, and are not guaranteed by, the United States government or any FHLBs other than us. The subordinated notes are unsecured obligations and rank junior in priority of payment to our senior liabilities. Senior liabilities include all of our existing and future liabilities, such as deposits, consolidated obligations for which we are the primary obligor and consolidated obligations of the other FHLBs for which we are jointly and severally liable. For further description of our subordinated notes see **Note 13 - Subordinated Notes** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Note 12 – Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock

Capital Rules

Under our Capital Plan our stock consists of two sub-classes of stock, Class B-1 stock and Class B-2 stock (together, Class B stock), both with a par value of \$100 and redeemable on five years' written notice, subject to certain conditions.

Under the Capital Plan, each member is required to own capital stock in an amount equal to the greater of a membership stock requirement or an activity stock requirement, as further discussed in **Capital Rules after Conversion to New Capital Structure** on page F-54 in our 2012 Form 10-K. Membership stock requirements will continue to be recalculated annually, whereas the activity stock requirement will apply on a continuing basis. We may only redeem or repurchase capital stock from a member if, following the redemption or repurchase, the member will continue to meet its minimum investment requirement and we remain in compliance with our regulatory capital requirements.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we repurchased excess capital stock totaling \$100 million. Our plan for repurchasing the excess capital stock of current members over a period of time (Repurchase Plan) which we implemented in connection with our capital plan, terminated by its terms in May 2012. However, pursuant to a resolution adopted by our Board of Directors as discussed in **Note 14 - Regulatory Actions** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K, we continue to repurchase excess capital stock held by members on a quarterly basis if we maintain compliance with the financial and capital thresholds set forth in the Repurchase Plan both prior to and following the repurchase.

On April 15, 2013, following our assessment that we met the thresholds for repurchase based on the financial results for the first quarter of 2013, we notified members of another repurchase opportunity and the process for requesting repurchase. In accordance with that process, we announced our plan to repurchase excess capital stock of up to \$200 million on May 15, 2013, which represents approximately all of the excess capital stock outstanding.

Capital Concentration

As of March 31, 2013, BMO Harris Bank N.A. held \$210 million, or 14%, of our total capital stock outstanding. No other members had capital stock exceeding 10%.

Minimum Capital Requirements

For details on our minimum capital requirements, see **Minimum Capital Requirements after Conversion to New Capital Structure** on page F-55 of our 2012 Form 10-K. We complied with our minimum regulatory capital requirements as shown below:

		March 3	31, 20	13		December 31, 2012				
	Rec	Requirement		Actual	ctual Re			Actual		
Risk-based capital	\$	1,413	\$	3,323	\$	1,545	\$	3,347		
Total regulatory capital	\$	2,597	\$	3,323	\$	2,783	\$	3,347		
Total regulatory capital ratio		4.00%		5.12%	6 4.00%			4.81%		
Leverage capital	\$	3,246	\$	4,984	\$	3,479	\$	5,021		
Leverage capital ratio		5.00%		7.68%		5.00%		7.22%		

Total regulatory capital and leverage capital do not include accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Under the FHFA regulation on capital classifications and critical capital levels for the FHLBs, we are adequately capitalized.

Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock

We reclassify capital stock from equity to mandatorily redeemable capital stock (MRCS) in our Statements of Condition and Statements of Cash Flows under conditions as further described in **Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock** on page F-56 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

AOCI Reclassification Disclosures

In February of 2012, the FASB amended existing accounting guidance to enhance the transparency of reporting amounts reclassified out of AOCI into net income. We adopted this amended guidance on a prospective basis effective January 1, 2013. The effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of AOCI in their entirety within the same reporting are presented below. Additionally, cross-references to other disclosures currently required for other reclassification items that are not required to be reclassified directly to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period also are presented below. The amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. As a result, the amendments did not have any effect on our operating activities or financial statements at the time of adoption.

The following table summarizes the income (loss) in AOCI for the periods indicated:

	 Net realized n AFS	(Non- credit TTI on AFS	 Net irealized in HTM	(Non- credit OTTI on HTM	0	Net nrealized n Cash Flow ledges	R	Post- etirement Plans	٦	「otal
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 1,113	\$	(26)	\$ (5)	\$	(466)	\$	(1,049)	\$	2	\$	(431)
Net change in the period	(32)		5	1		19		51				44
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$ 1,081	\$	(21)	\$ (4)	\$	(447)	\$	(998)	\$	2	\$	(387)
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 1,576	\$	(8)	\$ (3)	\$	(381)	\$	(1,078)	\$	1	\$	107
Change in the period before reclassifications to net income	(85)		6	_		15		88		_		24
Period amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) to net income	_		_	1		_		(3)		1		(1)
Net change in the period	 (85)		6	 1		15		85		1		23
Balance, March 31, 2013	\$ 1,491	\$	(2)	\$ (2)	\$	(366)	\$	(993)	\$	2	\$	130

The following table shows the amounts reclassified from AOCI to net income for the periods presented:

Three months ended March 31,	2013		Income Statement Location
Net unrealized gain (loss) on held-to-maturity securities ^a			
Reclassification to net income	\$	1	Interest income - HTM securities
Net unrealized gain (loss) on hedging activities			
Reclassification of net realized (gains) losses to net income		(2)	Net interest income
Reclassification of net realized (gains) losses to net income		(1)	Non-interest gain (loss) - Derivatives and hedging activities
Post retirement plans - reclassification to net income		1	Non-interest expense - Compensation and benefits
Total other comprehensive income	\$	(1)	

¹ This item relates to the amortization of fair value adjustments from a 2007 transfer at fair value of available-for-sale securities to held-tomaturity securities.

Note 14- Fair Value Accounting

Fair Value Measurement

For our accounting policies regarding fair values see **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The fair value hierarchy is used to prioritize the valuation techniques as well as the inputs used to measure fair value for assets and liabilities carried at fair value on the statements of condition. The fair value hierarchy requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The inputs are evaluated and an overall level for the fair value measurement is determined. This overall level is an indication of market observability of the fair value measurement for the asset or liability.

Outlined below is the application of the fair value hierarchy to our financial assets and financial liabilities that are carried at fair value or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we can access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include the following:

- a. Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets
- b. Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities, in markets that are not active
- c. Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, for example:
 - 1. Interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals
 - 2. Implied volatilities
 - 3. Credit spreads
- d. Market-corroborated inputs.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value to the extent that relevant observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. However, the fair value measurement objective remains the same, that is, an exit price at the measurement date from the perspective of a market participant that holds the asset or owes the liability. Therefore, unobservable inputs shall reflect the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk.

For instruments carried at fair value, we review the fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in a reclassification of financial assets or liabilities from one level to another. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in/out at fair value as of the beginning of the quarter in which the changes occur. We had no such transfers for the periods presented.

Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs

A description of the valuation techniques and significant inputs is disclosed in **Note 18 - Fair Value Accounting** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes in our valuation techniques since then.

Financial instruments carried at fair value in our statements of condition using Level 3 significant inputs were immaterial at March 31, 2013.

Fair Value Estimates for Financial Instruments

The following tables are a summary of fair value estimates, and for the current period table the related level in the fair value hierarchy for financial instruments. It excludes non-financial items, for example, real estate owned. The carrying amounts in the following tables are recorded in the statements of condition under the indicated captions. These tables do not represent an estimate of the overall market value of us as a going concern; as they do not take into account future business opportunities and future net profitability of assets and liabilities.

	March 31, 2013												
								Fair \	/alu	e			
		Carrying Value				Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		A Cas	Netting djustment/ h Collateral ^a
Financial Assets													
Cash and due from banks	\$	442	\$	442	\$	442	\$	—	\$	—	\$	—	
Federal Funds sold		926		926		_		926		—		—	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		5,835	!	5,835		—		5,835		—		—	
Trading securities		1,976		1,976		_		1,976		—		—	
Available-for-sale securities		23,004	2	3,004		—	2	2,931		73		—	
Held-to-maturity securities		8,397	9	9,309		—		7,540		1,769		_	
Advances		14,403	14	4,663		—	1	4,663		—		—	
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net		9,653	1	0,395		—	1	0,190		205		_	
Accrued interest receivable		106		106		—		106		—		—	
Derivative assets		42		42		—		1,061	b	27 ⁱ)	(1,046)	
Financial Liabilities													
Deposits	\$	819	\$	819	\$	_	\$	819	\$	—	\$	_	
Consolidated obligations -													
Discount notes		24,292	2	4,292		—	2	4,292		—		_	
Bonds		34,751	3	6,320		—	3	6,244		76 [°]	•	_	
Accrued interest payable		287		287		—		287		—		_	
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		4		4		4		_		_		_	
Derivative liabilities		79		79		—		2,567		—		(2,488)	
Subordinated notes		1,000		1,155		_		1,155		_		_	

^a Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements and futures contracts margin accounts that allow us to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

^b Our derivative assets are, in part, secured with cash collateral as described in Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities. We also provide cash collateral to our counterparties in order to secure our derivative liabilities. We do not reclassify the amount of cash collateral as Level 1, even though on a standalone basis it would be considered Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. This is because we elected the portfolio exception for purposes of measuring the credit risk adjustment component of fair value for our derivative assets and liabilities. Specifically, we view our net derivative assets or liabilities as a single unit of account for purposes of classifying the total balance within the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly, from a single unit of account perspective, we classify our derivative assets and liabilities as either Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

						Dece	embe	er 31, 2	012			
								Fair \	/alue)		
		Carrying Value			Level 1		Level 2		Le	Level 3		Netting ljustment/ Cash Collateral ^a
Financial Assets												
Cash and due from banks	\$	3,564	\$ 3,56	64	\$ 3,	564	\$		\$	—	\$	—
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	(6,500	6,50	00		—		6,500		—		_
Trading securities		1,229	1,22	29		—		1,229		—		—
Available-for-sale securities	2	3,454	23,45	54		—	2	3,385		69		_
Held-to-maturity securities	9	9,567	10,48	32		—		8,706		1,776		_
Advances	14	4,530	14,80)2		—	1	4,802		—		_
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net	1	0,432	11,22	27		—	1	1,015		212		_
Accrued interest receivable		116	11	6		—		116		_		_
Derivative assets		47	4	17		—		1,160	b	32	b	(1,145)
Financial Liabilities												
Deposits	\$	816	\$ 8	6	\$	—	\$	816	\$	_	\$	_
Consolidated obligations -												
Discount notes	3	1,260	31,26	62		—	3	1,262		—		_
Bonds	3	2,569	34,32	23			3	4,241		82	С	_
Accrued interest payable		156	15	56		_		156		_		_
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		6		6		6		—		—		_
Derivative liabilities		82	8	32		—		2,778		_		(2,696)
Subordinated notes		1,000	1,16	62		—		1,162		—		_

^a Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements and futures contracts margin accounts that allow us to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

^b Our derivative assets are, in part, secured with cash collateral as described in Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities. We also provide cash collateral to our counterparties in order to secure our derivative liabilities. We do not reclassify the amount of cash collateral as Level 1, even though on a standalone basis it would be considered Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. This is because we elected the portfolio exception for purposes of measuring the credit risk adjustment component of fair value for our derivative assets and liabilities. Specifically, we view our net derivative assets or liabilities as a single unit of account for purposes of classifying the total balance within the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly, from a single unit of account perspective, we classify our derivative assets and liabilities as either Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents, for each hierarchy level, our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on the statements of condition:

As of March 31, 2013	Lev	el 1	L	evel 2	Level 3		Netting Adjustment ^a		Total
Assets -									
Trading securities:									
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	—	\$	1,868	\$	—	\$	—	\$ 1,868
GSE residential MBS		—		106		—		_	106
Governmental-guaranteed residential MBS		—		2		—			2
Total Trading Securities		_		1,976		_		_	 1,976
AFS securities:									
U.S. Government & other government related		—		706		—		_	706
FFELP ABS		—		7,290		—		_	7,290
GSE residential MBS		—		12,019		—		_	12,019
Government-guaranteed residential MBS		—		2,916		—		_	2,916
Private-label residential MBS		—		_		73		_	73
Total AFS Securities		_		22,931		73		_	 23,004
Advances		_		9		_		_	 9
Derivative assets		—		1,061 ^b		27	b	(1,046)	42
Total assets at fair value	\$	_	\$	25,977	\$	100	\$	(1,046)	\$ 25,031
Level 3 as a percent of total assets at fair value			_			0.4%			
Liabilities -									
Consolidated obligation discount notes	\$	—	\$	(75)	\$	—	\$	_	\$ (75)
Consolidated obligation bonds		—		(1,250)		(76)	С	_	(1,326)
Derivative liabilities		—		(2,567) ^b		—		2,488	(79)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	_	\$	(3,892)	\$	(76)	\$	2,488	\$ (1,480)
Level 3 as a percent of total liabilities at fair value						5.1%			

^a Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements and futures contracts margin accounts that allow us to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

^b Our derivative assets are, in part secured with cash collateral as described in Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities. We also provide cash collateral to our counterparties in order to secure our derivative liabilities. We do not reclassify the amount of cash collateral as Level 1, even though on a standalone basis it would be considered Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. This is because we elected the portfolio exception for purposes of measuring the credit risk adjustment component of fair value for our derivative assets and liabilities. Specifically, we view our net derivative assets or liabilities as a single unit of account for purposes of classifying the total balance within the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly, from a single unit of account perspective, we classify our derivative assets and liabilities as either Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2012	Lev	el 1	L	evel 2	Le	Level 3		letting ustment ^a	Total
Assets -									
Trading securities:									
U.S. Government & other government related	\$	—	\$	1,106	\$	—	\$		\$ 1,106
GSE residential MBS		—		120		—		_	120
Governmental-guaranteed residential MBS		_		3		_		_	3
Total Trading Securities		_		1,229				_	1,229
AFS securities:									
U.S. Government & other government related		_		754		_		_	754
FFELP ABS		_		7,453		_		_	7,453
GSE residential MBS		_		12,228		—		—	12,228
Government-guaranteed residential MBS		_		2,950		—		_	2,950
Private-label residential MBS		—		—		69		_	69
Total AFS Securities		_		23,385		69			23,454
Advances				9					9
Derivative assets		_		1,160 ^b		32	b	(1,145)	47
Total assets at fair value	\$		\$	25,783	\$	101	\$	(1,145)	\$ 24,739
Level 3 as a percent of total assets at fair value			_			0.4%			
Liabilities -									
Consolidated obligation bonds		—		(1,251)		(82)	с		(1,333)
Derivative liabilities		_		(2,778) ^b		_		2,696	(82)
Total liabilities at fair value	\$		\$	(4,029)	\$	(82)	\$	2,696	\$ (1,415)
Level 3 as a percent of total liabilities at fair value						5.8%			

^a Amounts represent the effect of legally enforceable master netting agreements and futures contracts margin accounts that allow us to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** for further details.

^b Our derivative assets are, in part, secured with cash collateral as described in Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities. We also provide cash collateral to our counterparties in order to secure our derivative liabilities. We do not reclassify the amount of cash collateral as Level 1, even though on a standalone basis it would be considered Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. This is because we elected the portfolio exception for purposes of measuring the credit risk adjustment component of fair value for our derivative assets and liabilities. Specifically, we view our net derivative assets or liabilities as a single unit of account for purposes of classifying the total balance within the fair value hierarchy. Accordingly, from a single unit of account perspective, we classify our derivative assets and liabilities as either Level 2 or Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

Level 3 Disclosures for all Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on the statements of condition using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

		l	Level 3 Asse	ts/Liabilities		
	Available- Private-La		Derivative Interest-Ra		-	onsolidated gation Bonds
For the three months ended March 31, 2013						
Balance at beginning of period	\$	69	\$	32	\$	(82)
Gains (losses) realized and unrealized:						
Change in fair value recorded in earnings - Instruments held under fair value option		_		_		6
Recorded in earnings in interest income		1		—		—
Change in fair value recorded in OCI - Non-credit OTTI on AFS securities		6		_		_
Change in fair value recorded in earnings - Derivatives and hedging activities		_		(5)		_
Paydowns and settlements		(3)		_		_
Balance at end of period	\$	73	\$	27	\$	(76)
Total unrealized gains (losses) recorded in earnings (derivatives and hedging activities) and attributable to instruments still held at period end	\$		\$		\$	5
For the three months ended March 31, 2012						
Balance at beginning of period	\$	63	\$	37	\$	(87)
Gains (losses) realized and unrealized:						
Change in fair value recorded in earnings - Derivatives and hedging activities		_		(5)		5
Change in fair value recorded in OCI - Non-credit OTTI on AFS securities		5		_		_
Paydowns and settlements		(2)		—		—
Balance at end of period	\$	66	\$	32	\$	(82)
Total unrealized gains (losses) recorded in earnings (derivatives and hedging activities) and attributable to instruments still held at period end	\$		\$	(5)	\$	5

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The table below presents assets that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of the dates shown. These assets are subject to being measured at fair value as a result of becoming impaired during the reporting period or in the case of REO when fair value declines during the reporting period. Held-to-maturity, private-label residential MBS are measured at fair value using the same methodology and significant assumptions utilized for available-for-sale private-label residential MBS. If available, broker price opinions are used to measure impaired MPF Loans or REO. If a current broker price opinion is not available, we estimate fair value based on current actual loss severity rates we have incurred on sales, excluding any estimated selling costs. See **Note 8 - Allowance for Credit Losses** for further details. Significant increases (decreases) in the loss severity rate input in isolation may result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

		Ν	larch	31, 201	3		December 31, 2012						
	Le	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		evel 1	Level 2		Le	evel 3	
Impaired MPF Loans	\$	—	\$	—	\$	205	\$	—	\$		\$	212	
Real estate owned		—		—		13		—		_		18	
Total non-recurring assets	\$	_	\$	_	\$	218	\$	_	\$	_	\$	230	

Fair Value Option

We elected the fair value option for advances, discount notes, and short-term consolidated obligation bonds for which hedge accounting treatment may not be achieved. Specifically, hedge accounting may not be achieved in cases where it may be difficult to pass prospective or retrospective effectiveness testing under derivative hedge accounting guidance even though the interest rate swaps used to hedge these financial instruments have matching terms. Accordingly, electing the fair value option allows us to better match the change in fair value of the advance, discount note, and short-term consolidated obligation bonds with the interest rate swap economically hedging it.

Under the fair value option, fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated assets and liabilities, with the changes in fair value recognized in non-interest gain (loss). Interest income and interest expense carried on other financial assets or liabilities carried at fair value is recognized under the interest method based solely on the contractual amount of interest due or unpaid. Any transaction fees or costs are immediately recognized into other non-interest gain (loss) or other non-interest expense.

The following tables summarize the activity related to financial assets and liabilities for which we elected the fair value option:

		Ма	irch	n 31, 2013	6	March 31, 2012						
	Consolidated Obligation								Consoli Obliga			
	Advances		Bonds		Discount Notes	Advances		Bonds		Discount Notes		
For the three months ended												
Balance beginning of period	\$	9	\$	(1,251)	\$ —	\$	9	\$	(2,631)	\$ (11,466)		
New transactions elected for fair value option		_		(250)	(75)		—		(2,325)	_		
Maturities and extinguishments		—		250	—				1,820	2,200		
Net gain (loss) on instruments held at fair value		—		1	_				4	2		
Change in accrued interest and other		—		_	_		—		—	(4)		
Balance end of period	\$	9	\$	(1,250)	\$ (75)	\$	9	\$	(3,132)	\$ (9,268)		

For items recorded under the fair value option, the related contractual interest income and contractual interest expense is recorded as part of net interest income on the statements of income. The remaining change in fair value for instruments in which the fair value option has been elected is recorded in non-interest gain (loss) on instruments held under fair value option in the statements of income. We determined that no adjustments to the fair values of our instruments recorded under the fair value option for instrument-specific credit risk were necessary as of the dates presented.

The following table reflects the difference between the aggregate unpaid principal balance (UPB) outstanding and the aggregate fair value for advances and consolidated obligation bonds for which the fair value option has been elected. None of the advances were 90 days or more past due and none were on nonaccrual status.

		March 31, 2013								December 31, 2012						
As of	Prin	paid icipal ance	,	Fair Value		Fair Value Over (Under) UPB		Unpaid Principal Balance		Fair Value			Fair Value ver (Under) UPB			
Advances	\$	9	\$	9	\$	-	_	\$	9	\$	9	\$				
Consolidated obligation bonds		1,250		1,250		-	-		1,250		1,251		1			
Consolidated obligation discount notes		75		75		-	_		—		_		_			

Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago Notes to Financial Statements - (Unaudited) (Dollars in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Note 15 – Commitments and Contingencies

The table below shows our commitments outstanding but not yet incurred or recorded in our statements of condition. Except for an immaterial amount of MPF Loans being acquired for our portfolio under our affordable housing program, the mortgage purchase commitments in the below table will be concurrently resold to Fannie Mae under our MPF Xtra product.

As of	March 31, 2013							December 31, 2012					
	Expire Expire within after one year one year			Total	Expire within one year		Expire after one year		Total				
Unsettled consolidated obligation bonds	\$	2,398	\$		\$	2,398	\$	175	\$		\$	175	
Member standby letters of credit		857		757		1,614		689		725		1,414	
Housing authority standby bond purchase agreements		16		350		366		50		382		432	
MPF Program mortgage purchase commitments		379		_		379		497				497	
Repurchasable loans and indemnifications to Fannie Mae for MPF Xtra loans ^a		45		_		45		39		_		39	
Advance commitments		4		319		323		—		285		285	
Total	\$	3,699	\$	1,426	\$	5,125	\$	1,450	\$	1,392	\$	2,842	

^a These contingent repurchase requests and indemnifications are classified in the expire within one year category since such repurchases and indemnifications are made upon request by Fannie Mae. However, these contingent repurchase requests and indemnifications do not have an expiration date, and accordingly, our repurchases and indemnifications to Fannie Mae may occur after one year from the reporting date.

Note 16 – Transactions with Members and Other FHLBs

We are a member-owned cooperative. We define related parties as members that own 10% or more of our capital stock or members whose officers or directors also serve on our Board of Directors. Capital stock ownership is a prerequisite to transacting any member business with us. Members and former members own all of our capital stock.

We conduct our advances business and the MPF Program almost exclusively with members. Therefore, in the normal course of business, we extend credit to members whose officers and directors may serve as our directors. We extend credit to them on market terms that are no more favorable than the terms of comparable transactions with other members who are not considered related parties (as defined above). In addition, we may purchase short-term investments, sell Federal Funds to, and purchase MBS from members (or affiliates of members) whose officers or directors serve as our directors. All such investments are market rate transactions and all such MBS are purchased through securities brokers or dealers. As an additional service to our members, including those whose officers or directors serve as our directors, we may enter into interest rate derivatives with members and offset these derivatives with non-member counterparties. These transactions are executed at market rates.

Members

The table below summarizes balances we had with our members as defined above as related parties (including their affiliates) as reported in the statements of condition as of the dates indicated. Members represented in these tables may change between periods presented, to the extent that our related parties change, based on changes in the composition of our Board membership.

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets - Advances	\$ 2,513	\$ 2,500
Liabilities - Deposits	117	106
Equity - Capital Stock	226	239

Other FHLBs

Material amounts of transactions with other FHLBs, if any, are parenthetically identified on the face of our **Financial Statements**.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Selected Financial Data

As of or for the three months ended	N	/larch 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012	Se	ptember 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	Ν	March 31, 2012
Selected statements of condition data	_								
Total investments ^a	\$	40,138	\$	40,750	\$	41,301	\$ 40,336	\$	40,182
Advances		14,403		14,530		13,531	15,797		14,739
MPF Loans held in portfolio		9,693		10,474		11,310	12,210		13,181
Allowance for credit losses		(40)		(42)		(44)	(48)		(49)
Total assets		64,922		69,584		66,444	68,641		68,908
Consolidated obligations -									
Discount notes		24,292		31,260		27,231	23,439		22,424
Bonds		34,751		32,569		33,366	 39,872		41,048
Total consolidated obligations, net		59,043		63,829		60,597	 63,311		63,472
Mandatorily redeemable capital stock		4		6		10	10		14
Capital stock		1,549		1,650		1,702	1,850		1,908
Total retained earnings		1,770		1,691		1,593	1,504		1,436
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		130		107		37	(221)		(387)
Total capital		3,449		3,448		3,332	3,133		2,957
Other selected data at period end	-								
MPF Xtra loan volume funded - total system	\$	1,691	\$	2,410	\$	2,027	\$ 1,334	\$	1,170
MPF Xtra loan volume funded - Chicago PFIs		834		1,200		1,044	709		683
MPF Xtra loans outstanding		12,301		11,348		9,861	8,630		7,863
Regulatory capital to assets ratio ^c		5.12%		4.81%		4.97%	4.90%		4.87%
Market value of equity to book value of equity		106%		102%		103%	97%		94%
All FHLBs consolidated obligations outstanding (par)	\$	665,975	\$	687,902	\$	674,487	\$ 685,195	\$	658,015
Number of members		758		762		764	767		767
Total employees (full and part time)		336		329		318	312		301
Total investments as a percent of total assets		62%		59%		62%	59%		58%
Advances as a percent of total assets		22%		21%		20%	23%		21%
MPF Loans as a percent of total assets		15%		15%		17%	18%		19%
Selected statements of income data	-								
Net interest income before provision for credit losses	\$	113	\$	135	\$	140	\$ 138	\$	159
Provision for credit losses		—		1		—	2		6
OTTI (loss), credit portion		-		—		-	(14)		(1)
Other non-interest gain (loss) excluding OTTI		1		(2)		(12)	(13)		7
Non-interest expense		25		21		28	32		30
Net income		80		100		90	69		116
Cash dividends		1		2		1	1		1
Selected annualized ratios and data	-								
Return on average assets - Quarter		0.46%		0.59%		0.53%	0.39%		0.66%
Return on average assets - Year to date		0.46%		0.54%		0.52%	0.52%		0.66%
Return on average equity - Quarter		9.36%		12.43%		14.25%	9.28%		15.93%
Return on average equity - Year to date		9.36%		12.90%		13.08%	12.57%		15.93%
Average equity to average assets		4.96%		4.74%		3.71%	4.21%		4.11%
Non-interest expense to average assets		0.15%		0.12%		0.16%	0.18%		0.17%
Net yield on interest-earning assets		0.67%		0.81%		0.84%	0.80%		0.91%
Return on average Regulatory Capital spread to three month LIBOR index		9.50%		11.67%		10.34%	7.76%		12.63%
Dividend payout ratio		1.57%		1.56%		1.59%	1.92%		0.52%

^a Total investments includes investment securities, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell.

MPF Xtra outstanding loans are not held on our statements of condition. MPF Xtra loans purchased from PFIs are concurrently sold to Fannie Mae. See MPF Program Design beginning on page 8 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

^c Effective January 1, 2012, we implemented a new Capital Plan that resulted in a change to the calculation of our regulatory capital to assets ratio. See **Note 12 - Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock** to the financial statements in this Form 10-Q.

Forward-Looking Information

Statements contained in this report, including statements describing the objectives, projections, estimates, or future predictions of management, may be "forward-looking statements." These statements may use forward-looking terminology, such as "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "could," "estimates," "may," "should," "will," their negatives, or other variations of these terms. We caution that, by their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties related to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements and could affect the extent to which a particular objective, projection, estimate, or prediction is realized. As a result, undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, the following:

- our ability to meet required conditions to repurchase and redeem capital stock from our members (including maintaining compliance with our minimum regulatory capital requirements and determining that our financial condition is sound enough to support such repurchases), and the amount and timing of such repurchases or redemptions;
- the effect of the resolution by our Board of Directors impacting the level of our dividends and retained earnings;
- changes in the demand by our members for advances, including the impact of the availability of other sources of funding for our members, such as deposits;
- · limits on our investments in long-term assets;
- the impact of new business strategies, including our ability to develop and implement business strategies focused on maintaining net interest income; the impact of our efforts to simplify our balance sheet on our market risk profile and future hedging costs; our ability to successfully transition to a new business model, implement business process improvements and scale our size to our members' borrowing needs; the extent to which our members use our advances as part of their core financing rather than just as a back-up source of liquidity;
- general economic and market conditions, including the timing and volume of market activity, inflation/deflation, unemployment rates, housing prices, the condition of the mortgage and housing markets, increased delinquencies and/ or loss rates on mortgages, prolonged or delayed foreclosure processes, and the effects on, among other things, mortgage-backed securities; volatility resulting from the effects of, and changes in, various monetary or fiscal policies and regulations, such as those determined by the Federal Reserve Board and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; impacts from various measures to stimulate the economy and help borrowers refinance home mortgages and student loans; disruptions in the credit and debt markets and the effect on future funding costs, sources, and availability;
- volatility of market prices, rates, and indices, or other factors, such as natural disasters, that could affect the value of our investments or collateral; changes in the value or liquidity of collateral securing advances to our members;
- changes in the value of and risks associated with our investments in mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and FFELP ABS and the related credit enhancement protections;
- · changes in our ability or intent to hold mortgage-backed securities to maturity;
- · changes in mortgage interest rates and prepayment speeds on mortgage assets;
- membership changes, including the withdrawal of members due to restrictions on our dividends and redemptions or repurchase of our capital stock or the loss of members through mergers and consolidations; changes in the financial health of our members, including the resolution of some members; risks related to expanding our membership to include more institutions with regulators and resolution processes with which we have less experience;

- changes in investor demand for consolidated obligations and/or the terms of interest rate derivatives and similar agreements, including changes in the relative attractiveness of consolidated obligations as compared to other investment opportunities; changes in our cost of funds due to Congressional deliberations on the overall U.S. debt burden, including concerns over U.S. fiscal policy and the statutory debt limit, and any related rating agency actions impacting FHLB consolidated obligations;
- political events, including legislative, regulatory, judicial, or other developments that affect us, our members, our counterparties and/or investors in consolidated obligations, including, among other things, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) and related regulations and proposals and legislation related to housing finance and GSE reform; changes by our regulator and changes in the FHLB Act or applicable regulations as a result of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (Housing Act) or as may otherwise be issued by our regulator; the potential designation of us as a nonbank financial company for supervision by the Federal Reserve;
- the ability of each of the other FHLBs to repay the principal and interest on consolidated obligations for which it is the primary obligor and with respect to which we have joint and several liability;
- the pace of technological change and our ability to develop and support technology and information systems; our ability to attract and retain skilled employees;
- the impact of new accounting standards and the application of accounting rules, including the impact of regulatory guidance on our application of such standards and rules;
- the volatility of reported results due to changes in the fair value of certain assets and liabilities; and
- our ability to identify, manage, mitigate, and/or remedy internal control weaknesses and other operational risks.

For a more detailed discussion of the risk factors applicable to us, see Risk Factors in our 2012 Form 10-K on page 22.

These forward-looking statements are representative only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances, or any other reason.

Executive Summary

First Quarter 2013 Financial Highlights

- We recorded net income of \$80 million for the first quarter of 2013, lower than the \$116 million earned in the first quarter of 2012, but still a financially strong quarter and consistent with our plan to build a consistently profitable member-focused Bank while managing our level of risk.
- Advance prepayment fees (net of fair value hedge adjustments) were down dramatically from the same quarter one year ago: \$5 million in 2013 compared to \$26 million during the first quarter of 2012.
- We realized gains of \$2 million on our derivatives and hedging activities, compared to gains of \$11 million during the first quarter of 2012. Our overall hedge costs remain low given the extended low rate environment.
- Due primarily to decreased losses on Real Estate Owned (REO) sales, which were affected by improving housing prices in many areas across the country, non-interest expenses decreased in the first quarter of 2013 to \$25 million from \$30 million in the first quarter of 2012.
- Advances outstanding at March 31, 2013, were \$14.4 billion, almost equal to the \$14.5 billion at year-end 2012 and \$14.7 billion on March 31, 2012. Until the economy fully recovers, we expect member deposits to remain high and demand for advances to remain low. However, some members are positioning their balance sheets for the likely rise in interest rates that is expected to occur when the current Federal Reserve policies end. Forward-starting advances have been utilized by members as a funding option to accomplish this, as they provide interest rate protection without resulting in a current inflow of cash.
- MPF Loans held in portfolio declined slightly to \$9.7 billion at March 31, 2013, from \$10.4 billion at year-end. The steady reduction over the past few years is a direct result of our 2008 decision not to add MPF Loans to our balance sheet. However, the MPF Xtra product, where home loans are aggregated and sold to Fannie Mae, and which lets smaller lenders provide the same competitively priced loans as larger lenders while keeping the local touch their customers appreciate, continues to gain popularity. In the first quarter of 2013, MPF Xtra loan volume for the program overall was \$1.7 billion compared to \$1.2 billion in the first quarter of 2012. MPF Xtra loan volume for our PFIs alone was \$834 million during the quarter compared to \$683 million a year earlier. We expect overall growth to continue as the FHLB of Dallas recently joined the MPF Program to offer the MPF Xtra product.
- Total investment securities decreased 3% to \$33.4 billion this quarter, reflecting our current investment restriction which limits our ability to acquire longer-term investments.
- Total assets fell \$4.7 billion (7%) to \$64.9 billion from \$69.6 billion at year end. At the end of 2012, we increased our liquidity
 position in an effort to protect the cooperative against potential short-term future funding challenges posed by the political
 and economic climate; and as those concerns eased this quarter, we decreased our cash position to \$442 million at March
 31, 2013, from \$3.6 billion at year end 2012.
- As a result of our strong net income, our retained earnings grew \$79 million (net income of \$80 million less \$1 million of dividends) to \$1.8 billion.
- · We remain in compliance with all of our regulatory capital requirements.

Summary and Outlook

With the major effort of remediating conditions of the past behind us, today we are applying the same financial acumen and single-mindedness of purpose to build a member-focused Bank that delivers value in the form of customized advances, letters of credit, access to the secondary mortgage market, and support for affordable housing and economic development.

We have focused on building member awareness of the Bank and our capacity to be a strategic partner for our members who can use our scale, resources, and access to markets to leverage their capabilities and enhance their competitiveness. Over the course of 2013, we plan to continue our efforts in building the member-focused Bank by integrating our services, enhancing our automation, focusing our talent required to enhance the collateral capacity of our members, and innovating products and services that are designed to address needs identified by our members.

Among our key member-focused initiatives in 2013:

- Our Members and Markets team has renewed its efforts to consult with members on how they can use the Bank for primary funding needs as well as for liquidity purposes.
- Completion of our plan to repurchase up to \$200 million in excess capital stock on May 15, 2013, which represents
 approximately all of the excess capital stock outstanding, positions us to begin differentiating the dividends as permitted
 under our capital plan with a goal of encouraging members to increase advance borrowings.
- Implementation of the Community First Fund, a \$50 million revolving loan fund designed to support affordable housing and economic development in Illinois and Wisconsin.
- Realization that the Bank must become more nimble in light of an increasingly dynamic economic environment, an
 important part of which is making it easier for members to access and utilize our products and services. We are working
 on several initiatives to help achieve this goal.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income that we receive on our interest earning assets and the interest expense we pay on interest bearing liabilities after accounting for the following in accordance with GAAP:

- Net interest paid or received on interest rate swaps that are accounted for as fair value or cash flow hedges;
- Amortization of premiums;
- Accretion of discounts;
- Amortization of hedge adjustments;
- Advance prepayment fees; and
- MPF credit enhancement fees.

The tables below present the increase or decrease in interest income and expense before the provision for credit losses due to volume or rate variances. Any change due to the combined volume/rate variance has been allocated ratably to volume and rate. The calculation of these components includes the following considerations:

- Average daily balances are computed using historical amortized cost balances except for items carried under the fair value option which include changes in fair value.
- MPF Loans held in portfolio that are on nonaccrual status are included in average daily balances used to determine the effective yield/rate. Interest income on MPF Loans includes amortization as detailed in **MPF Loans Held in Portfolio**, **net** on page 48.
- Interest and effective yield/rate includes all components of net interest income as discussed above. Yields/rates are calculated on an annualized basis.

	Ma	March 31, 2013			rch 31, 2012	2	Increase (decrease) due to				
For the three months ended	Average Balance	Total Interest	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Total Interest	Yield/ Rate	Volume	Rate	Net Change		
Federal Funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and deposit income	\$ 11,315	\$ 4	0.14%	\$ 4,559	\$ 2	0.18%	\$ 3	\$ (1)	\$ 2		
Investment securities	32,439	245	3.02%	36,934	296	3.21%	(36)	(15)	(51)		
Advances	13,883	41	1.19%	14,963	74	1.98%	(5)	(28)	(33)		
MPF Loans held in portfolio	9,853	113	4.59%	13,350	153	4.58%	(40)	—	(40)		
Total Interest Income on Assets	67,490	403	2.39%	69,806	525	3.01%	(78)	(44)	(122)		
Consolidated obligation discount notes	29,325		1.05%	26,581	76	1.14%	8	(7)	1		
Consolidated obligation bonds	33,891	199	2.35%	38,769	276	2.85%	(35)	(42)	(77)		
Subordinated notes	1,000	14	5.60%	1,000	14	5.60%	_	_	_		
Total Interest Expense on Liabilities	64,216	290	1.81%	66,350	366	2.21%	(27)	(49)	(76)		
Net yield on interest- earning assets	\$ 67,490	\$ 113	0.67%	\$ 69,806	\$ 159	0.91%	\$ (51)	\$5	\$ (46)		

Net interest income changed mainly due to the following:

- Investment income declined primarily due to the decline in average investment balances as securities matured or paid down with proceeds primarily being used to pay down our consolidated obligations as well as to redeem our capital stock rather than being reinvested in investment securities. Yields on securities also declined as a result of purchasing shorter term lower yielding trading securities. In connection with the approval of our Capital Plan the FHFA now requires, and our Board has passed, a resolution requiring us to obtain FHFA approval for any new investments that have a term to maturity in excess of 270 days until such time as our MBS portfolio is less than three times our total regulatory capital and our advances represent more than 50% of our total assets. For further discussion, see **Investments** on page 12 in our 2012 Form 10-K. We expect our investment portfolio to continue to decline over time as a result of this limitation and as we continue to make repurchases and redemptions of excess capital stock.
- Interest income from advances decreased compared to the prior year primarily due to the decline in average yield on
 advances as advance prepayment fees, a component of advance interest income, declined to \$5 million from \$26
 million a year ago. We recognized increased prepayment fee income in 2012, primarily attributable to members
 electing to restructure their outstanding advances to take advantage of the extended low interest rate environment.
 Specifically, the majority of these restructurings were treated as new advances for accounting purposes and the related
 prepayment fees were immediately recognized as prepayment fee income in the period of termination.
- Interest income from MPF Loans continued to decline as expected relative to the decreased level of MPF Loans outstanding as a result of our ongoing strategy to not add MPF Loans to our balance sheet, except for immaterial amounts of government MPF Loans that primarily support affordable housing programs. We expect these trends to continue.
- The decline in interest income was partially offset by the reduction in interest expense on our consolidated obligations. This reduction resulted in part from a significant amount of 10 year debt that matured that had higher interest rates than current market rates. We were able to fund more of our balance sheet with shorter term consolidated obligation discount notes at lower interest rates.

Net interest income is also impacted by fair value and cash flow hedging activity. Specifically, the low interest rate environment continued to result in negative net interest settlements attributable to open derivative hedging activity and the amortization of hedge adjustments of closed derivative hedging activity into net interest income also reduced net interest income. For further details see the tables of **Trading Securities**, **Derivatives and Hedging Activities**, **and Instruments Held at Fair Value Option** in the following section.

Non-Interest Gain (Loss)

	٦	Three r	nonths		
For the periods ended March 31,	20)13	20)12	
OTTI impairment charges, credit portion	\$	_	\$	(1)	
Trading securities		(6)		(14)	
Derivatives and hedging activities		2		11	
Instruments held at fair value option		1		6	
Other, net		4		4	
Total non-interest gain (loss)	\$	1	\$	6	

OTTI impairment charges, credit portion

The amount of additional impairment charges has declined as the economic conditions, and thus the significant inputs used to value our securities, have been relatively stable from the fourth quarter of 2011 through the first quarter of 2013. Although we actively monitor the credit quality of our MBS, it is not possible to predict whether we will have additional OTTI charges in the future. Future changes will depend on many factors including economic, financial market, and housing market conditions; and the actual and projected performance of the loan collateral underlying our MBS. If delinquency and/or loss rates on mortgages loans continue to increase, and/or there is a further decline in residential real estate values, we could experience reduced yields or additional losses on these investment securities. Further, increases in the time period for processing foreclosures and foreclosure delays by several major mortgage servicers may result in loss severities beyond current expectations. See **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates** on page 52 for a discussion on the sensitivity of OTTI to significant inputs based on an adverse case scenario.

Trading Securities, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, and Instruments Held at Fair Value Option

Details on the impact of these items, which include hedge ineffectiveness and economic hedge activity, are detailed in the following tables.

			Consolidated Obligation								
	۸du		Im	vootmonto	Mortgage	D	iscount	De		-	otol
Three months and ad Marsh 24, 2042	Adv	ances		vestments	Loans		Notes	ВС	onds		otal
Three months ended March 31, 2013	-	0	¢		¢ (40)	¢	(4)	¢	(7)	¢	(4.0)
Amortization/accretion of hedging activities	\$	2	\$	(24)	\$ (10)	Þ	(1)	Þ	(7)	\$	(16)
Net interest settlements ^a		(17)		(34)			(67)		47		(71)
Total recorded in net interest income		(15)		(34)	(10)		(68)		40		(87)
Fair value hedges		3		1			_		(2)		2
Cash flow hedges		_		-	_		1		-		1
Economic hedges					(1)						(1)
Total in derivatives & hedging activities		3		1	(1)		1		(2)		2
Derivative related amounts recorded in non- interest gain (loss) on -											
Trading securities - hedged		—		(4)	—		—		—		(4)
Instruments held under fair value option		_		_	_		_		1		1
Total net effect of hedging activities	\$	(12)	\$	(37)	\$ (11)	\$	(67)	\$	39	\$	(88)
Trading securities - unhedged			-	(2)							(2)
Total trading securities			\$	(6)						\$	(6)
Three months ended March 31, 2012											
Amortization/accretion of hedging activities	\$	(19)	\$	—	\$ (14)	\$	(3)	\$	(11)	\$	(47)
Net interest settlements ^a		(24)		(32)	(1)		(67)		38		(86)
Total hedging activities recorded in net interest income		(43)		(32)	(15)		(70)		27		(133)
Fair value hedges		3		2	1				(1)		5
Cash flow hedges		_		_	_		2		_		2
Economic hedges		_		_	(2)		6				4
Total recorded in derivatives and hedging activities		3		2	(1)		8		(1)		11
Derivative related amounts recorded in non- interest gain (loss) on -											
Trading securities - hedged				(13)			_		_		(13)
Instruments held under fair value option				_			2		4		6
Total net effect of hedging activities	\$	(40)	\$	(43)	\$ (16)	\$	(60)	\$	30	\$	(129)
Trading securities - unhedged			_	(1)						_	(1)
Total trading securities			\$	(14)						\$	(14)

^a Represents interest income or expense on derivatives included in net interest income of the hedged item.

Nearly all of our total net effect of hedging activities in the above table is from amortization/accretion of hedging activities and net interest settlements. This was primarily due to the low interest rate environment resulting in negative net interest settlements on derivative contracts in active hedge accounting relationships. Net interest income was also reduced by the amortization of negative hedge adjustments from previously active hedge relationships. The total in derivative & hedging activities was immaterial as interest rate volatility was stable. Trading securities - hedged had a small loss as these securities were acquired at a premium. As these securities approach par value, the related premiums are expected to continue to be recognized as losses, although the rate of losses will decline as the securities approach par. Instruments held under fair value option was immaterial due to the stable interest rate environment.

Non-Interest Expense

		5		
For the periods ended March 31,	20	2013)12
Compensation and benefits	\$	14	\$	15
Other operating expenses		9		9
FHFA		1		2
Office of Finance		1		1
Other		—		3
Total non-interest expense	\$	25	\$	30

The majority of decline in non-interest expense was caused by a decline in losses on REO sales, which was mainly the result of an improvement in housing prices being experienced in many areas.

Assessments

We continue to fund the Affordable Housing Program (AHP) program at a calculated rate of 10% percent of income before assessments.

Other Comprehensive Income

Total other comprehensive income decreased to \$23 million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to \$44 million during the first quarter of 2012. The decrease was primarily due to increased unrealized losses on our AFS securities portfolio. The increase in these unrealized losses was the result of a slight increase in market interest rates during the first of quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in unrealized gains on our cash flow hedges of our discount notes, also caused by the increase in market interest rates between the two periods.

On a cumulative basis, however, we still have substantial unrealized gains on our AFS securities portfolio. These unrealized gains would only be reclassified into net income if we sold our AFS securities. If we hold these AFS securities to maturity, the unrealized gains would reverse to zero within total other comprehensive income.

We also recorded accretion of the non-credit portion of other-than-temporary impairment on our held-to-maturity securities. Since the non-credit portion of the losses was due to non credit-related declines, as these securities approach maturity or increase in fair value, these unrealized losses are expected to ultimately reverse, barring any further impairment.

Statements of Condition

	Mar	ch 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Cash and due from banks	\$	442	\$	3,564	
Securities purchased under agreement to resell		5,835		_	
Federal Funds sold		926		6,500	
Investment securities		33,377		34,250	
Advances		14,403		14,530	
MPF Loans held in portfolio, net		9,653		10,432	
Other		286		308	
Total assets	\$	64,922	\$	69,584	
Consolidated obligation discount notes	\$	24,292	\$	31,260	
Consolidated obligation bonds		34,751		32,569	
Subordinated notes		1,000		1,000	
Other		1,430		1,307	
Total liabilities		61,473		66,136	
Capital stock		1,549		1,650	
Total retained earnings		1,770		1,691	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		130		107	
Total capital		3,449		3,448	
Total liabilities and capital	\$	64,922	\$	69,584	

Cash and due from banks, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell

Amounts held in cash and due from banks, Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell will vary each day based on the following:

- · Interest rate spreads between Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell and our debt;
- Liquidity requirements;
- · Counterparties available; and
- · Collateral availability on securities purchased under agreements to resell.

At the end of 2012, we increased our liquidity position in an effort to protect against potential short-term funding challenges posed by the political and economic climate.

Overnight rates for Federal Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell have remained low. In addition, as a result of the European debt crisis we have reduced our exposure to counterparties in certain countries; see **Short-Term Investments Unsecured Credit Exposure** on page 54 for further details.

Investment Securities

Investment securities overall declined as securities matured or paid down and the proceeds were primarily used to pay down our consolidated obligations and to redeem a portion of our excess capital stock.

The largest portion of our current investment securities portfolio was acquired as part of an asset replacement strategy completed in 2010 to transition our primary business to advances. In connection with the approval of our Capital Plan and termination of the Consent Cease and Desist Order, the FHFA now requires, and our Board has passed, a resolution requiring us to obtain FHFA approval for any new investments that have a term to maturity in excess of 270 days until such time as our MBS portfolio is less than three times our total regulatory capital and our advances represent more than 50% of our total assets. For further discussion, see **Investments** on page 12 in our 2012 Form 10-K. We expect our investment portfolio to continue to decline over time as a result of this limitation and as we continue to make repurchases and redemptions of excess capital stock.

Advances

Advances have held relatively steady as members continue to report to us that they are highly liquid with deposits thus reducing demand for more advances. We continue to see inter-quarter fluctuations of advances outstanding to our five largest members, as well as some growth and/or interest in taking new advances in specific sectors.

The following table sets forth the current period par amount of advances outstanding for the five largest advance borrowers:

	As of Marc	h 31, 2013
BMO Harris Bank N.A.	\$ 2,375	17%
State Farm Bank, F.S.B	1,500	11%
Associated Bank, N.A.	1,275	9%
The Northern Trust Company	1,265	9%
Cole Taylor Bank	1,065	7%
All other borrowers	6,757	47%
Total par value	\$ 14,237	100%

MPF Loans Held in Portfolio, net

MPF Loans outstanding continue to decrease as a result of our ongoing strategy to not add MPF Loans to our balance sheet, except for loans being acquired under our affordable housing programs. Thus, the rate of decline in our MPF Loan balance is dependent upon the speed at which borrowers prepay their mortgages.

The speed of prepayments on a percentage basis has slowed compared to long term historical measures. Though mortgage rates have remained historically low, borrowers are not moving or refinancing at the same rates as before the financial crisis. Should market mortgage rates rise in future periods, we could expect prepayment rates to decline further. Should rates fall further, additional prepayments may occur. If an MPF Loan prepays, any remaining closed basis adjustment related to that loan is immediately recognized. This may cause volatility in interest income as mortgage prepayment activity fluctuates as interest rates rise or fall. We cannot predict the extent to which future mortgage rates will rise or fall, or the extent of prepayment activity that will accompany the mortgage rate movement.

The following table summarizes information related to our net premium and hedge accounting basis adjustments on MPF Loans.

For the three months ended	March	March 31, 2013		ch 31, 2012	
Net premium amortization	\$	3	\$	3	
Net amortization of closed basis adjustments		9		11	
As of	March	31, 2013	Decer	December 31, 2012	
Net premium balance on MPF Loans	\$	35	\$	38	
Cumulative basis adjustments closed portion		88		97	
MPF Loans, unpaid principal balance		9,572		10,340	
Premium balance as a percent of MPF Loans		0.37%		0.36%	

In addition to MPF Loans we hold in portfolio, we also buy, and concurrently resell to Fannie Mae, MPF Xtra loans on behalf of our PFIs and the PFIs of other MPF Banks. Our activity in this area is growing. For more details see **Selected Financial Data** on page 38.

Liquidity, Funding, & Capital Resources

Liquidity

For the period ending March 31, 2013, we have maintained a liquidity position in accordance with FHFA regulations and guidance, and policies established by our Board of Directors. Based upon our excess liquidity position described below, we anticipate remaining in compliance with our liquidity requirements. See **Liquidity, Funding, & Capital Resources** on page 53 in our 2012 Form 10-K for a detailed description of our liquidity requirements.

We use different measures of liquidity as follows:

Overnight Liquidity – Our policy requires us to maintain overnight liquid assets at least equal to 3.5% of total assets. As of March 31, 2013, our overnight liquidity was \$8.7 billion or 13% of assets, giving us an excess overnight liquidity of \$6.4 billion.

Deposit Coverage – To support our member deposits, FHFA regulations require us to have an amount equal to the current deposits invested in obligations of the United States government, deposits in eligible banks or trust companies, or advances with maturities not exceeding five years. As of March 31, 2013, we had excess liquidity of \$21.2 billion to support member deposits.

Contingency Liquidity – The cumulative five business day liquidity measurement assumes there is a localized credit crisis for all FHLBs where the FHLBs do not have the ability to issue new consolidated obligations or borrow unsecured funds from other sources (e.g., purchasing Federal Funds or customer deposits). Our net liquidity in excess of our total uses and reserves over a cumulative five-business-day period was \$24.3 billion as of March 31, 2013.

In addition to the liquidity measures discussed above, the FHFA requires all 12 FHLBs to maintain liquidity through short-term investments in an amount at least equal to anticipated cash outflows under two different scenarios. We may fund overnight or shorter term investments and advances with discount notes that have maturities that extend beyond the maturities of the related investments or advances. For a discussion of how this may impact our earnings, see page 26 in the **Risk Factors** section of our 2012 Form 10-K.

Funding

Cash flows from operating activities

Our operating assets and liabilities support our mission to provide our member shareholders competitively priced funding, a reasonable return on their investment in our capital stock, and support for community investment activities. Operating assets and liabilities can vary significantly in the normal course of business due to the amount and timing of cash flows, which are affected by member-driven activities and market conditions. We believe cash flows from operations, available cash balances and our ability to generate cash through short- and long-term borrowings are sufficient to fund our operating liquidity needs. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net cash provided (used) by operating activities was \$311 million. This resulted from net income adjusted for non-cash adjustments, primarily gains due to the change in net fair value adjustments on derivatives and hedging activities and an increase in accrued interest payable.

Cash flows from investing activities

Our investing activities predominantly include advances, MPF Loans held for investment, investment securities, and other shortterm interest-earning assets. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net cash provided (used) by investing activities was \$1.4 billion. This resulted primarily from principal collected on MPF Loans, and net proceeds from the maturities and paydowns of investment securities.

Cash flows from financing activities

Our financing activities primarily reflect cash flows related to issuing and repaying consolidated obligations. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net cash provided (used) in our financing activities was \$(4.8) billion. This was primarily driven by pay downs of our consolidated obligation discount notes offset by increased issuances of our consolidated obligation bonds and the repurchase/redemption of our excess capital stock.

Consolidated Obligation Bonds and Discount Notes

We fund our assets principally with consolidated obligations (bonds and discount notes) issued through the Office of Finance, and capital stock. Consolidated obligations have GSE status although they are not obligations of the United States and the United States does not guarantee them.

To the extent possible, reliance on short-term debt offers us advantages that are weighed against the increased risk of using short-term debt. Traditionally, we have benefited from interest rates below LIBOR rates for our short-term debt which has

resulted in a positive impact on net interest income when used to fund LIBOR-indexed assets. However, due to the short maturity of the debt, our balance sheet may be exposed to future access to the debt markets and to refinancing risks.

The following shows our net cash flow issuances (redemptions) by type of consolidated obligation:

For the three months ended March 31,	2013	2012
Discount notes	\$ (6,971)	\$ (2,982)
Bonds	 2,264	 1,182
Total consolidated obligations	\$ (4,707)	\$ (1,800)

The following table summarizes par values of the consolidated obligations of the FHLBs and those for which we are the primary obligor:

	Ν	March 31, 201	3	De)12	
Par values as of	Bonds	Discount Notes	Total	Bonds	Discount Notes	Total
FHLB System	\$ 472,961	\$ 193,014	\$ 665,975	\$ 471,567	\$ 216,335	\$ 687,902
FHLB Chicago as primary obligor	34,919	24,298	59,217	32,659	31,269	63,928
As a percent of the FHLB System	7%	13%	9%	7%	14%	9%

Conditions in Financial Markets

The financial markets were fairly stable during the first quarter of 2013 despite a variety of U.S. political policy debates. Somewhat positive economic data drove U.S. Treasury rates generally higher and U.S. equity market indices to all time highs as market participants seemed more willing to take on risk.

The "fiscal cliff," a combination of tax increases and automatic spending reductions, also known as "sequestration," was partially averted during January 2013 with legislation that prevented income tax increases for those with incomes under \$400,000 although payroll tax increases took place as scheduled. In addition, the statutory "debt ceiling" limit was temporarily raised until mid-May. The payroll tax increases which began to take effect in January and the automatic spending reductions which began to take effect at the beginning of March are expected to slow economic growth.

The Federal Reserve has indicated that it plans to maintain the Federal Funds rate at a range from zero to 0.25% as long as unemployment remains above 6.5% and inflation remains subdued. As part of its latest quantitative easing program, the Federal Reserve continued its \$45 billion in open-ended purchases of Treasury securities as well as \$40 billion in open-ended purchases of agency MBS in first quarter 2013.

At the end of 2012, we increased our liquidity position in an effort to protect against potential short-term funding challenges posed by the political and economic climate. As those concerns eased during the first quarter, we decreased our liquid assets consisting of cash and due from banks, Federal Funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell by 28% to \$7.2 billion at March 31, 2013, from \$10.1 billion at year end 2012. To the extent that continuing Congressional debates about deficit reduction and the statutory debt limit adversely affect the financial markets, FHLB funding levels and costs may be impacted. However, we continued to have ready access to funding during the first quarter of 2013.

Capital Resources

Capital Rules and Minimum Capital Requirements

For a description of our current capital rules and minimum capital requirements, see **Note 12 – Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock** to the financial statements. As of the date of this filing, we have managed our capital base and assets to remain in compliance with our minimum capital requirements.

Capital Amounts

The following table presents our five largest holdings of regulatory capital stock and reconciles our capital reported in our statements of condition to the amount of capital stock reported for regulatory purposes. MRCS is included in the calculation of the regulatory capital and leverage ratios but is recorded as a liability in the statements of condition.

As of March 31, 2013		Capital	Sto	ck	Ν	IRCS
BMO Harris Bank N.A.	\$	210		14%	\$	
The Northern Trust Company		135		9%		_
Associated Bank, N.A.		82		5%		_
State Farm Bank, F.S.B		75		5%		_
Cole Taylor Bank		53		3%		_
All other members		994		64%		4
Total	\$	1,549		100%	\$	4
	N	larch 31, 2013	Dec	cember 31, 2012	С	hange
Capital stock	\$	1,549	\$	1,650	\$	(101)
Total retained earnings		1,770		1,691		79
Total permanent capital		3,319		3,341		(22)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		130		107		23
Total GAAP capital	\$	3,449	\$	3,448	\$	1
Capital Stock	\$	1,549	\$	1,650	\$	(101)
MRCS		4		6		(2)
Total retained earnings		1,770		1,691		79
Regulatory capital	\$	3,323	\$	3,347	\$	(24)

Components of total GAAP capital changed for the following reasons:

- Capital stock decreased primarily due to repurchases or redemptions of excess member capital stock as further discussed in Note 12 Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock to the financial statements.
- Total retained earnings increased due to our net income of \$80 million less dividends paid of \$1 million.
- Our unrealized income in AOCI increased due to several factors. For details see our **Statements of Comprehensive Income** on page 5.

Although we had no OTTI in the first quarter of 2013, credit deterioration may continue to negatively impact our private-label MBS portfolio. We believe that future impairments of this portfolio are possible if unemployment rates, default, delinquency, or loss rates on mortgages continue to increase, or there is a further decline in residential real estate value. We cannot predict if or when such impairments will occur, or the impact such impairments may have on our retained earnings and capital position. See page 30 of the **Risk Factors** section of our 2012 Form 10-K.

We may not pay dividends if we fail to satisfy our minimum capital and liquidity requirements under the FHLB Act and FHFA regulations. As discussed in **Note 14 - Regulatory Actions** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K, dividends paid for any given quarter of 2013 must not exceed the following rates on an annualized basis: (1) the average of three-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points on Class B-1 capital stock, and (2) the average of three-month LIBOR plus 100 basis points on Class B-2 capital stock. The Board has also resolved that payment of any dividend not result in our retained earnings falling below the level of retained earnings at the previous year-end. Our Board may not pay dividends above these limits or otherwise modify or terminate this resolution without written consent by the Director of the FHFA.

The repurchase opportunity for up to \$200 million in excess capital stock announced on April 15, 2013, as further discussed in **Note 12 - Capital Stock and Mandatorily Redeemable Capital Stock** to the financial statements, represents approximately all of the excess stock outstanding. Achieving this milestone positions us to begin differentiating the dividend paid on Class B-1 (activity) and Class B-2 (membership) as permitted under our capital plan with a goal of encouraging members to increase advance borrowings. Any future dividend payments are subject to our financial results and approval from our Board of Directors.

On April 23, 2013, our Board declared a cash dividend at an annualized rate of 0.30% per share based on our preliminary financial results for the first quarter of 2013. Although we continue to work to build our financial strength to support a reasonable dividend, any future dividend determination by our Board will be at our Board's sole discretion and will depend on future operating results and any other factors the Board determines to be relevant, and be reviewed in accordance with the Board's resolution and our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy.

On March 28, 2013, our Board of Directors amended our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy to increase our retained earnings target in an effort to mitigate our repurchase risk that arises from obligations to third party investors for loans originated under the MPF Program. For further discussion of our repurchase liability, see page 31 in the **Risk Factors** section of our 2012 Form 10-K. For further information about our Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy, see **Retained Earnings and Dividend Policy** on page 62 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

We continue to allocate 20% of our net income each quarter to a restricted retained earnings account in accordance with the Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement that we entered into with the other FHLBs, as further discussed in **Joint Capital Enhancement Agreement** on page F-56 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The following table identifies our critical accounting policies and estimates and the page number where a detailed description of each can be found in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (OTTI)	Page 65
Significant Inputs Used on all residential private-label MBS securities	Page 66
Estimating Fair Value	Page 66
Controls over Valuation Methodologies	Page 66
Controls over Third Party Pricing Services	Page 67
Fair Value Measurement Effect on Liquidity and Capital	Page 67
Allowance for Credit Losses - Conventional MPF Loan Assumptions	Page 67
Overnight Indexed Swaps (OIS)	Page 68

Significant changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates from our 2012 Form 10-K are outlined below. Also see **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 3 – Recently Issued but Not Yet Adopted Accounting Standards** to the financial statements for the impact of changes in accounting policies and recently issued accounting standards on our financial results.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (OTTI)

<u>Adverse Case Scenario.</u> In addition to evaluating our private-label MBS under a base case (or best estimate) scenario as discussed in **Note 5 - Investment Securities** to the financial statements, we performed a cash flow analysis for each of these securities under a more stressful housing price scenario. This more stressful scenario was based on a housing price forecast that was decreased 5 percentage points followed by a recovery path that is 33.0% lower than the base case.

The following table presents what the impact to net income from credit-related OTTI charges would have been under this adverse scenario based on the classification (prime, Alt-A, or subprime) at the time of origination.

		Actual		Adverse Scenario				
As of and for the quarter ended March 31, 2013	# of Securities	Unpaid Principal Balance	Credit- Related OTTI	# of Securities	Unpaid Principal Balance	Credit- Related OTTI		
Prime		\$ —	\$ —	6	\$ 139	\$ (1)		
Subprime	_	_	_	9	112	(2)		
Total private-label MBS		\$ —	\$ —	15	\$ 251	\$ (3)		

In the preceding table, we classify our private-label MBS as prime, subprime, or Alt-A based upon the nature of the majority of underlying mortgages collateralizing each security using the issuer's classification, or as published by an NRSRO, at the time of issuance of the MBS. On October 15, 2010, we instituted litigation relating to sixty-four private label MBS bonds purchased by us in an aggregate original principal amount of approximately \$4.29 billion. Our complaints assert claims for untrue or misleading

statements in the sale of securities, and it is possible that the classifications of private-label MBS, as well as other statements made about the securities by the issuer, are inaccurate.

Significant Inputs Used on all residential private-label MBS securities

We perform cash flow analyses on substantially all of our private-label MBS, impaired or not, from our two independent model services.

The following table summarizes the significant inputs for all our private-label MBS, except for securities for which the underlying collateral data is not readily available. These were evaluated for OTTI using alternative procedures. The classification in this table (prime, Alt-A, and subprime) is based on the model used to run the estimated cash flows for the CUSIP, which may not necessarily be the classification at the time of issuance.

As of March 31, 2013	Unpaid Principal Balance	Prepayment Rate Weighted Average %	Default Rates Weighted Average %	Loss Severity Weighted Average %	Credit Enhancement Weighted Average %
2006	\$ 751	8.6	27.5	42.0	0.3
2004 & prior	18	12.7	6.4	30.3	12.5
Total Prime	769	8.7	27.0	41.7	0.6
2006	637	7.9	42.8	50.1	1.2
2005	29	7.0	37.6	50.0	—
2004 & prior	2	11.7	28.3	34.1	25.6
Total Alt-A	668	7.8	42.5	50.1	1.2
2007	 10	4.0	65.2	65.8	43.6
2006	828	3.9	69.0	66.9	21.9
2005	50	4.5	63.0	63.8	45.5
2004 & prior	15	7.3	27.4	65.3	40.9
Total Subprime	903	4.0	67.9	66.7	23.8
Total	2,340	6.6	47.2	53.7	9.7
Analyzed by alternative procedures	96				
Total MBS	\$ 2,436				

Supplement to Affordable Housing and Community Investment Programs

As previously disclosed in **Note 12 - Assessments** to the financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K, in December 2011, our Board of Directors approved a plan to supplement our current affordable housing and community investment programs with \$50 million in additional funds to promote affordable housing and economic development. Based on the underlying facts and circumstances known to us when we filed our 2011 Form 10-K, we recognized a one time charge of \$50 million in the fourth quarter related to our plan to fund affordable housing and economic development projects. This charge was recognized as "Non-interest expense - Other community investment" in our 2011 Statement of Income.

Subsequent to the filing of our 2011 Form 10-K, we developed the Community First Fund, which is a framework for using these funds as part of a revolving loan fund, and submitted it to the FHFA for approval. In April of 2013, we received a letter from the FHFA approving the Community First Fund subject to certain supervisory conditions and further approval by our Board of Directors. Additionally, the FHFA lifted the original requirement to deploy the funds by the end of 2014. Specifically, the FHFA indicated that it would permit us to endeavor to deploy the funds by the end of 2014 but disburse funds after the end of 2014 provided it is due to reasons of prudence and safe and sound operations of the Community First Fund program. In April of 2013, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of the Community First Fund. The approvals from the FHFA and our Board of Directors will result in us recognizing \$50 million in earnings in the second quarter of 2013, which represents the reversal of the \$50 million charge previously recognized in the fourth quarter of 2011. The \$50 million reversal will be recognized through "Non-interest expense - Other community investment" in our second quarter 2013 Statement of Income. The reversal will not be included in our AHP assessment for 2013 since we added back the \$50 million charge to income before assessments when we accrued our AHP assessment in 2011 - that is, we already have paid our AHP assessment attributable to the \$50 million charge.

Risk Management - Credit Risk

We track total credit risk with our members, including credit risk on advances plus risks in any of the other categories as described on page 69 in our December 31, 2012 Form 10-K. As of March 31, 2013, we had total credit risk concentrated with members with 10% or more of our total member credit outstanding as follows:

As of March 31, 2013	Total Member Credit Outstanding	% of Total
BMO Harris Bank N.A.	\$2,375	15%
Associated Bank, N.A.	1,964	12%

Short-Term Investments Unsecured Credit Exposure

We maintain a short-term investment portfolio to provide funds to meet the credit needs of our members and to maintain liquidity. See **Liquidity** on page 49 for a discussion of our liquidity management.

Within our portfolio of short-term investments, we face credit risk from unsecured exposures to counterparties and members. Excluding investments in U.S. government and agency debt, our unsecured credit investments can have maturities that range between overnight and nine months and can consist of commercial paper, certificates of deposit, and Federal Funds sold. We are not currently entering into short-term unsecured investments beyond overnight and are transacting in Federal Funds only.

We actively monitor our credit exposures and the credit quality of our counterparties, including an assessment of each counterparty's financial performance, capital adequacy, sovereign support and the current market perceptions of the counterparties. General macro-economic, political and market conditions may also be considered when deciding on unsecured exposure. As a result of these monitoring activities, we may limit or suspend existing unsecured credit limits.

Under current Bank policy, eligible counterparties for short-term investments, including Federal Funds sold, are:

- (i) other FHLBs;
- (ii) other U.S. GSEs; and
- (iii) FDIC-insured financial institutions, including U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks, or U.S. branches of foreign commercial banks whose most recently published financial statements exhibit at least \$250 million of Tier 1 (or total) capital. Foreign banks must be domiciled in a country whose sovereign rating is at least Aa3 from Moody's or AA- from Standard & Poor's.

In addition, our non-member counterparties must have a rating from an NRSRO of at least Baa or BBB in order to be eligible for an unsecured credit line. Our members who are FDIC-insured financial institutions discussed in (iii) above are eligible Federal Funds counterparties, although our members do not have to meet the NRSRO ratings requirement in order to be eligible for an unsecured credit line. While from time to time we may enter into Federal Funds transactions with our members that meet the qualifications outlined above, the majority of our Federal Funds transaction tend to be with non-member counterparties. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$2 million in Federal Funds outstanding to members.

FHFA regulations include limits on the amount of unsecured credit we may extend to any member or non-member counterparty or to a group of affiliated counterparties. Our Board-approved policy limits are lower than those permitted by regulation, and actual limits granted to counterparties may be lower than our internal policy limits. The FHFA limit is based on a percentage of eligible regulatory capital and the counterparty's overall credit rating. Under FHFA regulations, the level of eligible regulatory capital is determined as the lesser of our total regulatory capital or the eligible amount of regulatory capital of the counterparty. The eligible amount of regulatory capital is then multiplied by a stated percentage. The stated percentage that we may offer for term extensions of unsecured credit ranges from 1% to 15% based on a counterparty's credit rating.

FHFA regulations also permit us to extend additional unsecured credit for overnight extensions of credit and for sales of Federal Funds subject to continuing contracts that renew automatically. Our total unsecured exposure to a counterparty may not exceed twice the regulatory limit for term exposures, or a total of 2% to 30% of the eligible amount of regulatory capital, based on the counterparty's credit rating. We were in compliance with the regulatory limits established for our unsecured credit as of and for the periods presented.

We are prohibited by FHFA regulation from investing in financial instruments issued by non-U.S. entities other than those issued by U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks. Our unsecured credit exposures to U.S. branches or agency offices of foreign commercial banks include the risk that, as a result of political or economic conditions in a country, the counterparty may be unable to meet their contractual repayment obligations. Our unsecured credit exposures to domestic counterparties and U.S. subsidiaries of foreign commercial banks include the risk that these counterparties have extended credit

to foreign counterparties. We are in compliance with the regulation and did not own any financial instruments issued by foreign sovereign governments, including those countries that are members of the European Union, as of and for the periods presented.

The following table presents the credit ratings of our unsecured investment credit exposures presented by the domicile of the counterparty or the domicile of the counterparty's parent for U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks based on the NRSRO used by us. This table does not reflect the foreign sovereign government's credit rating. We mitigate this risk by investing in unsecured investments with highly-rated counterparties and/or well-capitalized members. The unsecured investment credit exposure presented in the table may not reflect the average or maximum exposure during the period as the balances presented reflect the balances at period end.

As of March 31, 2013	 AAA	 AA	 A	 BBB	١n	Below vestment Grade	U	nrated	 Total
Domestic U.S.	\$ _	\$ 1	\$ _	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 1
U.S. branches and agency offices of foreign commercial banks:									
Canada	—	—	925	—		—		—	925
Total unsecured credit exposure	\$ _	\$ 1	\$ 925	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 926

We mitigate the credit risk on investments by investing in investments that have short-term maturities. All investments in the above table were of overnight duration.

Investment Securities

In addition to private-label MBS, we also hold a variety of other investment securities we believe are low risk and mostly government backed or insured such as GSE debt, and FFELP ABS. There was no material change in the credit ratings of these AA or better rated securities since December 31, 2012, and except for private-label MBS as noted below, we have never taken an impairment charge on these securities. For further details see page 72 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Our private label MBS are predominantly variable rate securities rated below investment grade BBB. There was no material change in overall credit quality since December 31, 2012, nor have we acquired any new private label MBS or had any other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) to date in 2013. For further details see page 75 in our 2012 Form 10-K. The following table presents the components of amortized cost of our private label MBS and the life-to-date OTTI credit impairment taken at some point in time on these securities.

As of March 31, 2013	Unpaid Principal Balance	Life-To-Date OTTI Credit Impairment ^a	Other Adjustments ^b	Amortized Cost
Private label MBS	\$2,436	\$742	\$ 124	\$1,818

^a Life-to-date OTTI credit impairment excludes certain adjustments, such as increases in cash flows expected to be collected that have been recognized into net income.

^b Other Adjustments primarily consists of principal shortfalls and life-to-date accretion of interest related to the discounted present value of previously recognized credit-related impairment losses.

Credit Products

The following table presents the number of borrowers and credit outstanding to our borrowers by rating. Our internal rating is utilized in determining our members' borrowing capacity and is not a reflection of the credit risk on the credit outstanding to an individual member. Credit outstanding consists of outstanding advances, letters of credit, MPF credit enhancement obligations, member derivative exposures, and other obligations. Collateral loan value describes the borrowing capacity assigned to the types of collateral we accept for advances. Collateral loan value does not imply fair value.

	March 31, 2013								December 31, 2012						
Rating	Number of Borrowers	% of Total	Credit Outstanding	% of Total					Number of Borrowers	% of Total	Credit Outstanding	% of Total		Collateral oan Value	
1-3	431	86%	\$ 15,183	94%	\$	36,520	453	87%	\$ 14,573	92%	\$ 36,	,671			
4	29	6%	303	2%		765	26	5%	718	4%	1,	255			
5	41	8%	635	4%		1,129	43	8%	715	4%	1,	320			
Total	501	100%	\$ 16,121	100%	\$	38,414	522	100%	\$ 16,006	100%	\$ 39,	,246			

The majority of borrowers assigned a 4 rating in the above table were required to submit specific collateral listings and the majority of borrowers assigned a 5 rating were required to deliver collateral to us or a third party custodian on our behalf. The method by which a borrower reports collateral is dependent upon the collateral status to which it is assigned, as well as the type of collateral being pledged. We assign borrowers to a borrowing base (blanket-lien) status, listing-collateral status, or delivery-collateral status. Under a blanket lien status, a borrower may report collateral pledged under a summary borrowing base. For members or a class of collateral on listing status, the member must provide us with loan-level detail of the collateral. For members or a class of collateral on delivery status, the member must deliver the collateral to us or an approved custodian for our benefit. Members must report their collateral at least quarterly. For insurance company members we took delivery of collateral for all advances outstanding regardless of credit rating for the periods presented.

As a result of the collateral and other credit risk mitigation efforts, we believe we are sufficiently well collateralized on our credit outstanding and we have not recorded an allowance for credit losses on our advances or other credit products as of the periods presented, nor have we ever incurred a credit loss on advances or our other credit products to date. We had one borrower placed into receivership by their regulator during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and at the time of failure their total advances outstanding were \$3 million. All outstanding advances were either repaid or were assumed by the acquirer. No credit losses were incurred on these advances.

In addition to providing advances, we also offer standby letters of credit to our members and standby bond purchase agreements with state housing authorities within our district, as disclosed in **Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies** to the financial statements. To secure letter of credit risks, we require collateral as we do on advances.

MPF Loans

We record provisions for credit losses for MPF Loans based on portfolio and market trends related to delinquency rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds consistent with the percentages of delinquent, nonaccrual, and impaired MPF Loans to total conventional MPF Loans. Our provision declined during the first quarter of 2013 compared to 2012 primarily as a result of a decline in our total outstanding delinquent MPF Loans. For details on our allowance for credit losses, please see **Note 8** - **Allowance for Credit Losses** to the financial statements.

We are exposed to mortgage repurchase liability in connection with our sale of MPF Loans to Fannie Mae under the MPF Xtra product. If a loan eligibility requirement or other warranty is breached, Fannie Mae could require us to repurchase an ineligible MPF Loan or provide an indemnity. If the PFI from which we purchased an ineligible MPF Loan is viable, we can require the PFI to repurchase that MPF Loan from us or indemnify us for related losses. PFIs are also required to repurchase ineligible MPF Loans we hold in our portfolio. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had in total \$63 million and \$58 million of unpaid principal balance for repurchase requests to and indemnifications outstanding from PFIs, which includes as of March 31, 2013, \$45 million related to MPF Xtra loans and \$18 million related to our MPF Loans held in portfolio, compared to \$39 million and \$19 million as of December 31, 2012. Because repurchase requests from Fannie Mae may be made up until full repayment of a loan rather than when a purported defect is first identified, repurchase requests as of a particular date may not reflect total repurchase liability for loans outstanding as of that date. Based on currently available information, we estimate that the Bank may have contingent repurchase requests from and indemnifications outstanding to Fannie Mae related to the remaining unpaid principal balance on MPF Xtra loans previously sold to Fannie Mae of \$45 million at March 31, 2013 compared to \$39 million at December 31, 2012. We currently estimate a range of up to \$23 million of reasonably possible repurchase liability related to these contingent repurchase requests and indemnifications, of which we do not expect to incur any losses as we estimate probable recoveries of the repurchase liability from PFIs. Additional repurchase requests and indemnifications not yet identified may result from our quality assurance review of MPF Loans, as further discussed in Quality Assurance Process on page 10 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

In the latter part of 2012, in certain cases we identified negative trends of PFI non-compliance related to our existing portfolio of MPF Loans and repurchases of MPF Xtra loans. As a result, we revised our quality assurance practices to increase the number of loans reviewed and continue to evaluate our current practices relative to industry practices. Fannie Mae has also increased the number of loans reviewed as part of its quality assurance processes. Because of this increased number of loan files being reviewed, the number of defects identified may increase, resulting in an increased number of repurchase requests or indemnifications. However, some repurchase requests may not ultimately require us or the PFI to repurchase the loan because we and the PFI may be able to resolve the purported defect. In certain cases, we require PFIs to collateralize repurchase obligations and indemnifications.

We have not recorded a loss related to MPF Xtra repurchase requests or indemnifications, nor have we recorded an allowance for credit losses for repurchase requests or indemnifications related to MPF Loans held in our portfolio, as we do not expect to incur any losses. See **Risk Factors** on page 22 in our 2012 Form 10-K for further details.

Derivatives

Our maximum exposure to credit loss is the fair value of derivative assets, not the notional amount, and assumes that these derivatives would completely fail to perform according to the terms of the contracts and the collateral or other security, if any, for the amount due proved to be of no value to us. Based on credit analyses and collateral requirements, we do not anticipate any credit losses on our derivative agreements. In determining maximum credit risk, we consider accrued interest receivables and payables, and the legal right to offset derivative assets and liabilities by counterparty. Collateral with respect to derivatives with members includes collateral assigned to us, as evidenced by a written security agreement and held by the member for our benefit. During the periods presented, we had no exposure to futures contracts or Futures Commissions Merchants. See **Note 9 - Derivatives and Hedging Activities** to the financial statements for further details of our derivatives and hedging activities.

The following table summarizes our derivative counterparty credit exposure by rating, plus derivatives with member institutions and MPF delivery commitments. Rating shown is the lowest rating among the three largest NRSROs. Of our net exposure after collateral, \$1 million was with institutions outside of the U.S.

	Derivative Asset Exposure at Fair Value Net of Cash Collateral		Securities Collateral Held		Exposure Collateral
As of March 31, 2013					
A rated	\$	31	\$ 30	\$	1
Member institutions and MPF delivery commitments		11	_		11
Total derivatives	\$	42	\$ 30	\$	12
	-				
As of December 31, 2012	_				
AA rated	\$	1	\$ 	\$	1
A rated		31	30		1
Member institutions and MPF delivery commitments		15	_		15
Total derivatives	\$	47	\$ 30	\$	17

Legislative and Regulatory Developments

Final Rule and Guidance on the Supervision and Regulation of Certain Nonbank Financial Companies. The Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Oversight Council) issued a final rule and guidance effective May 11, 2012 on the standards and procedures the Oversight Council employs in determining whether to designate a nonbank financial company for supervision by the Federal Reserve Board and to be subject to certain prudential standards. The guidance issued with this final rule provides that the Oversight Council expects generally to follow a three-stage process in making its determinations consisting of:

- A first stage that will identify those nonbank financial companies that have \$50 billion or more of total consolidated
 assets and exceed any one of five threshold indicators of interconnectedness or susceptibility to material financial
 distress, including whether a company has \$20 billion or more in total debt outstanding;
- A second stage involving a robust analysis of the potential threat that the subject nonbank financial company could
 pose to U.S. financial stability based on additional quantitative and qualitative factors that are both industry and
 company specific; and
- A third stage analyzing the subject nonbank financial company using information collected directly from it. The final rule
 provides that, in making its determinations, the Oversight Council will consider as one factor whether the nonbank
 financial company is subject to oversight by a primary financial regulatory agency (for the FHLBs, the FHFA). A nonbank
 financial company that the Oversight Council proposes to designate for additional supervision (for example, periodic
 stress testing) and prudential standards (such as heightened liquidity or capital requirements) under this rule has the
 opportunity to contest the designation. If we are designated by the Oversight Council for supervision by the Federal
 Reserve and subject to additional Federal Reserve prudential standards, then our operations and business could be
 adversely impacted by any resulting additional costs, liquidity or capital requirements, and/or restrictions on business
 activities.

On April 5, 2013, the Federal Reserve System published a final rule that establishes the requirements for determining when a company is "predominately engaged in financial activities." The final rule provides that a company is "predominantly engaged in financial activities." The final rule provides that a company is "predominantly engaged in financial company" if:

- as determined in accordance with applicable accounting standards, (i) the consolidated annual gross financial revenues
 of the company in either of its two most recently completed fiscal years represent 85% or more of the company's
 consolidated annual gross revenues in that fiscal year, or (ii) the company's consolidated total financial assets as of the
 end of either of its two most recently completed fiscal years represent 85% or more of the company's
 consolidated total assets as of the
 end of either of its two most recently completed fiscal years represent 85% or more of the company's
 consolidated total
 assets as of the end that fiscal year; or
- based on all the facts and circumstances, it is determined by the Oversight Council, with respect to the definition of a "nonbank financial company," or the Federal Reserve Board, with respect to the definition of a "significant nonbank financial company," that (i) the consolidated annual gross financial revenues of the company represent 85 % or more of the company's consolidated annual gross revenues, or (ii) the consolidated total financial assets of the company represent 85% or more of the company's consolidated total assets.

Under the final rule, we would likely be a nonbank financial company.

The final rule also defines the term "significant nonbank financial company" to mean (i) any nonbank financial company supervised by the Federal Reserve Board; and (ii) any other nonbank financial company that had \$50 billion or more in total consolidated assets as of the end of its most recently completed fiscal year. If we are designated for supervision by the Federal Reserve (and therefore a significant nonbank financial company), we would be subject to increased supervision and oversight and our operations and business could be adversely impacted by any resulting additional costs, liquidity or capital requirements, and/or restrictions on business activities.

Oversight Council Recommendations Regarding Money Market Mutual Fund (MMF) Reform. The Oversight Council requested comments on certain proposed recommendations for structural reforms of MMFs. The comment deadline was February 15, 2013. The Oversight Council stated that such reforms are intended to address the structural vulnerabilities of MMFs. The demand for FHLB System consolidated obligations may be impacted by the structural reform ultimately adopted. Accordingly, these reforms could cause our funding costs to rise or otherwise adversely impact market access and, in turn, adversely impact our results of operations.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Final Qualified Mortgage Rule. In January 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule with an effective date of January 10, 2014, that establishes new standards for mortgage lenders to follow during the loan approval process to determine whether a borrower can afford to repay certain types of loans, including mortgages and other loans secured by a dwelling. The final rule provides for a rebuttable "safe harbor" from consumer claims that a lender did not adequately consider whether a consumer can afford to repay the lender's mortgage, provided that the mortgage meets the requirements of a Qualified Mortgage loan (QM). QMs are home loans that are either eligible for purchase by Fannie Mae or

Freddie Mac or otherwise satisfy certain underwriting standards. On May 6, 2013, the FHFA announced that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will no longer purchase a loan that is not a "QM" under those underwriting standards starting January 10, 2014. The underwriting standards require lenders to consider, among other factors, the borrower's current income, current employment status, credit history, monthly payment for mortgage, monthly payment for other loan obligations and the borrower's total debt-to-income ratio. Further, the QM underwriting standards generally prohibit loans with excessive points and fees, interest-only or negative-amortization features (subject to limited exceptions), or terms greater than 30 years. On the same date as it issued the final Ability to Repay/final QM standards, the CFPB also issued a proposal that would allow small creditors (generally those with assets under \$2 billion) in rural or underserved areas to treat first lien balloon mortgage loans that they offer as QM mortgages. Comments were due by February 25, 2013. The QM liability safe harbor could provide incentives to lenders, including our members, to limit their mortgage lending to QMs or otherwise reduce their origination of mortgage loans that are not QMs. This approach could reduce the overall level of members' mortgage loan lending and, in turn, reduce demand for our advances. Additionally, the value and marketability of mortgage loans that are not QMs, including those pledged as collateral to secure member advances, may be adversely affected.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision - Liquidity Framework. On January 6, 2013, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee) announced amendments to the Basel liquidity standards, including the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR). The amendments include the following: (1) revisions to the definition of high quality liquid assets (HQLA) and net cash outflows; (2) a timetable for phase-in of the standard; (3) a reaffirmation of the usability of the stock of liquid assets in periods of stress, including during the transition period; and (4) an agreement for the Basel Committee to conduct further work on the interaction between the LCR and the provision of central bank facilities. Under the amendment, the LCR buffer would be set at 60% of outflows over a 30-day period when the rule becomes effective in 2015, and then increase steadily until reaching 100% four years later. The definition of HQLA has been amended to expand the eligible assets, including residential mortgage assets. In late February, it was reported that the U.S. banking regulators expect to customize the Basel III liquidity rules and expect to issue their final rules later in 2013.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The FHFA's regulations, its Financial Management Policy, and our internal asset and liability management policies all establish guidelines for our use of interest rate derivatives. These regulations and policies prohibit the speculative use of financial instruments authorized for hedging purposes. They also limit the amount of counterparty credit risk allowed. For additional information please see **Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** on page 85 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Measurement of Market Risk Exposure

To measure our exposure, we discount the cash flows generated from modeling the terms and conditions of all interest ratesensitive securities using current interest rates to determine their fair values or spreads to the swap curve for securities where third party prices are used. This includes considering explicit and embedded options using a lattice model or Monte Carlo simulation. We estimate yield curve, option, and basis risk exposures by calculating the fair value change in relation to various parallel changes in interest rates, implied volatility, prepayment speeds, spreads to the swap curve and mortgage rates.

The table below summarizes our sensitivity to various interest rate risk exposures in terms of changes in market value.

				Optior	n Ri	sk		Basis	Risk	
	Yi	Yield Curve Risk		Implied Volatility		Prepayment Speeds	Spread to Swap Curve		N	lortgage Spread
As of March 31, 2013										
Advances	\$	(3)	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(4)	\$	_
MPF Loans		(1)		(3)		(6)		(2)		2
Mortgage Backed Securities		(9)		(1)		(1)		(10)		_
Other interest earning assets		(1)		_		_		(5)		_
Interest-bearing liabilities		11		16		_		10		_
Derivatives		3		(12)		_		_		_
Total	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(7)		n/m	\$	2
As of December 31, 2012										
Advances	\$	(3)	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(4)	\$	—
MPF Loans		(2)		(2)		(6)		(3)		1
Mortgage Backed Securities		(9)		(1)		(2)		(10)		—
Other interest earning assets		(1)		—		_		(5)		_
Interest-bearing liabilities		10		8		_		9		_
Derivatives		5		(5)		_		_		_
Total	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(8)		n/m	\$	1
			-	<u> </u>	-	(0)				

n/m Spread movements to the swap curve within each category are independent of the other categories and therefore a total is not meaningful.

Yield curve risk – Change in market value for a one basis point parallel increase in the swap curve.

Option risk (implied volatility) - Change in market value for a one percent parallel increase in the swaption volatility.

Option risk (prepayment speeds) - Change in market value for a one percent increase in prepayment speeds.

Basis risk (spread to swap curve) – Change in market value for a one basis point parallel increase in the spread to the swap curve.

Basis risk (mortgage rates) - Change in market value for a one basis point increase in mortgage rates.

As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, our sensitivity to changes in implied volatility was nil. These sensitivities are limited in that they do not incorporate other risks, including but not limited to, non-parallel changes in yield curves, implied volatility, prepayment speeds, and basis risk related to differences between the swap and the other curves. Option positions embedded in our mortgage assets and callable debt impact our yield curve risk profile, such that swap curve changes significantly greater than one basis point cannot be linearly interpolated from the table above.

Duration of equity is another measure to express interest rate sensitivity. We report the results of our duration of equity calculations to the FHFA each quarter. We measure duration of equity in a base case using the actual yield curve as of a specified date and then shock it with an instantaneous shift of the entire curve. The following table presents the duration of equity reported by us to the FHFA in accordance with the FHFA's guidance, which prescribes that down and up interest-rate shocks equal 200 basis points. However, the applicable regulation restricts the down rate from assuming a negative interest rate. Therefore, we adjust the down rate accordingly in periods of very low levels of interest rates. The results are shown in years of duration equity.

	As of March 31, 2013		As of December 31, 2012					
Down 200 bps	Base	Up 200 bps	Down 200 bps	Base	Up 200 bps			
2.4	-0.9	-1.7	2.4	0.8	-3.5			

Duration gap is another measure of interest rate sensitivity. Duration gap is calculated by dividing the dollar duration of equity by the fair value of assets. A positive duration gap indicates an exposure to rising interest rates. As of March 31, 2013, our duration gap was -0.6 months, compared to 0.4 months as of December 31, 2012.

As of March 31, 2013, on a U.S. GAAP basis, our fair value surplus (relative to book value) was \$194 million, and our market value of equity to book value of equity ratio was 106%. At December 31, 2012, our fair value surplus was \$65 million and our market value of equity to book value of equity ratio was 102%. These improvements were primarily because mortgage spreads tightened. Our market to book value of total capital for regulatory risk-based capital purposes differs from this GAAP calculation, as discussed starting on page F-55 in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee provides oversight of risk management practices and policies. This includes routine reporting to senior Bank management and the Board of Directors, as well as maintaining the Market Risk Policy, which defines our interest rate risk limits. The table below reflects the change in market risk limits under the Market Risk Policy.

	March 31,		December 31, 2012				
Scenario as of	Change in Market Value of Equity Loss Limit			C	Change in Market Value of Equity		Loss Limit
-200 bp	\$ 67.1	\$	(185.0)	\$	115.2	\$	(185.0)
-100 bp	36.4		(77.5)		72.4		(77.5)
-50 bp	16.3		(30.0)		42.5		(30.0)
-25 bp	3.0		(15.0)		19.8		(15.0)
+25 bp	18.5		(30.0)		6.7		(30.0)
+50 bp	49.6		(60.0)		28.6		(60.0)
+100 bp	125.1		(155.0)		107.4		(155.0)
+200 bp	205.5		(370.0)		248.5		(370.0)

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that information relating to us that is required to be disclosed in reports filed with the SEC (i) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

For the current year quarter presented in this Form 10-Q, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Consolidated Obligations

Our disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures for accumulating and communicating information relating to our joint and several liability for the consolidated obligations of other FHLBs. For further information, see **Item 9A**. **Controls and Procedures** on page 92 of our 2012 Form 10-K.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

On October 15, 2010, the Bank instituted litigation relating to 64 private label MBS bonds purchased by the Bank in an aggregate original principal amount of \$4.29 billion. The Bank's complaints assert claims for untrue or misleading statements in the sale of securities, signing or circulating securities documents that contained material misrepresentations, negligent misrepresentation, market manipulation, untrue or misleading statements in registration statements, controlling person liability, and rescission of contract. In these actions, the Bank seeks the remedies of rescission, recovery of damages, recovery of purchase consideration plus interest (less income received to date) and recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit. The litigation was brought in state court in the states of Washington, California and Illinois.

Defendants in the litigation include the following entities and affiliates thereof: American Enterprise Investment Services, Inc.; Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc.; Bank of America Corporation; Barclays Capital Inc.; Citigroup, Inc.; Countrywide Financial Corporation, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC; First Horizon Asset Securities, Inc.; First Tennessee Bank, N.A.; GMAC Mortgage Group LLC, Goldman Sachs & Co., RBS Securities Inc., Sand Canyon Acceptance Corporation, N.A., J.P. Morgan Acceptance Corporation; Long Beach Securities Corp.; Merrill Lynch, Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated; Morgan Stanley & Co., Incorporated; Mortgage Asset Securitization Transactions, Inc.; PNC Investments LLC; Sequoia Residential Funding, Inc.; UBS Securities LLC; WaMu Capital Corp.; and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. One Mortgage Partners Corp., which is affiliated with J.P. Morgan Acceptance Corporation but is not a defendant in these actions, held less than 1% of the Bank's capital stock as of March 31, 2013.

In the Washington action, defendants filed a motion to dismiss on March 4, 2011, which was denied in its entirety on June 17, 2011. The action is proceeding in discovery. In the Illinois action, defendants filed motions to dismiss on May 27, 2011, oral argument was heard on the motions on June 5, 2012, and the court denied defendants' motions in their entirety on September 19, 2012. Sequoia Residential Funding, Inc. and its affiliates have filed with the Illinois appellate court a petition for leave to appeal the Cook County Circuit Court's denial of its motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. This petition was denied. Certain defendants also filed with the Circuit Court a Motion to Certify Questions of Law for Interlocutory Appeal and to Stay Discovery. This petition was also denied. In the California action, defendants filed demurrers asserting statutes of limitations arguments on December 1, 2011, which were denied in their entirety on June 26, 2012. On August 31, 2012, defendants in the California action filed a second round of demurrers. Briefing on these issues is closed and oral argument on the motion is scheduled for May 2013.

The Bank may also be subject to various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. After consultation with legal counsel, management is not aware of any other proceedings that might have a material effect on the Bank's financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the information presented in this report, readers should carefully consider the factors set forth in the **Risk Factors** section on page 22 in our 2012 Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, or future results. These risks are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also severely affect us.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer		
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer		
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Executive Officer		
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Principal Financial Officer		
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document		
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document		
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document		
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document		
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document		
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document		

Glossary of Terms

Advances: Secured loans to members.

ABS: Asset-backed-securities.

AFS: Available-for-sale securities.

Agency MBS: Mortgage-backed securities issued by, or comprised of mortgage loans guaranteed by, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

AHP: Affordable Housing Program.

AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.

Capital plan: The Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago capital plan, effective January 1, 2012.

CE Amount: A PFI's assumption of credit risk on conventional MPF Loan products that are funded by, or sold to, an MPF Bank by providing credit enhancement either through a direct liability to pay credit losses up to a specified amount or through a contractual obligation to provide SMI. Does not apply to the MPF Xtra product.

Consolidated Obligations (CO): FHLB debt instruments (bonds and discount notes) which are the joint and several liability of all FHLBs; issued by the Office of Finance.

Consolidated obligation bonds: Consolidated obligations that make periodic interest payments with a term generally over one year, although we have issued for terms of less than one year.

Core Based Statistical Areas (CBSA): Refers collectively to metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas as defined by the United States Office of Management and Budget. As currently defined, a CBSA must contain at least one urban area of 10,000 or more people.

Discount notes: Consolidated obligations with a term of one year or less, which sell at less than their face amount and are redeemed at par value when they mature.

Dodd-Frank Act: Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted July 21, 2010.

Excess capital stock: Capital stock held by members in excess of their statutory requirement or minimum investment requirement, as applicable.

Fannie Mae: Federal National Mortgage Association.

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board.

FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Federal Reserve: Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

FFELP: Federal Family Education Loan Program.

FHA: Federal Housing Administration.

FHFA: Federal Housing Finance Agency - The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 enacted on July 30, 2008 created the Federal Housing Finance Agency which became the regulator of the FHLBs.

FHLB Act: The Federal Home Loan Bank Act of 1932, as amended.

FHLBs: The 12 Federal Home Loan Banks or subset thereof.

FHLB System: The 12 FHLBs and the Office of Finance.

Freddie Mac: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

GAAP: Generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Ginnie Mae: Government National Mortgage Association.

Government Loans: MPF Loans held in our portfolio comprised of loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and loans guaranteed by the Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) or Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service (RHS).

GSE: Government sponsored enterprise.

Housing Act: Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, enacted July 30, 2008.

HUD: Department of Housing and Urban Development.

HTM: Held-to-maturity securities.

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate.

Master Commitment (MC): Pool of MPF Loans purchased or funded by an MPF Bank.

MBS: Mortgage-backed securities.

Moody's: Moody's Investors Service.

MPF[®]: Mortgage Partnership Finance.

MPF Banks: FHLBs that participate in the MPF program.

MPF Loans: Conforming conventional and government fixed-rate mortgage loans secured by one-to-four family residential properties with maturities from five to 30 years or participations in such mortgage loans that are acquired under the MPF Program.

MPF Program: A secondary mortgage market structure that provides liquidity to FHLB members that are PFIs through the purchase or funding by an FHLB of MPF Loans.

MPF Xtra® product: The MPF Program product under which we acquire MPF Loans from PFIs without any CE Amount and concurrently resell them to Fannie Mae.

MRCS: mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

NRSRO: Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Office of Finance: A joint office of the FHLBs established to facilitate issuing and servicing of consolidated obligations.

OIS: Overnight Indexed Swap

OTTI: Other-than-temporary impairment.

PFI: Participating Financial Institution. A PFI is a member (or eligible housing associate) of an MPF Bank that has applied to and been accepted to do business with its MPF Bank under the MPF Program.

PMI: Primary Mortgage Insurance

Regulatory capital: Regulatory capital stock plus retained earnings.

Regulatory capital stock: The sum of the paid-in value of capital stock and mandatorily redeemable capital stock.

REO: Real estate owned.

RHS: Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service.

SMI: Supplemental mortgage insurance.

System: The Federal Home Loan Bank System consisting of the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks and the Office of Finance.

VA: Department of Veteran's Affairs.

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (Dollars in millions except per share amounts unless otherwise indicated)

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CHICAGO

		/s/ Matthew R. Feldman		
		By:	Matthew R. Feldman	
		Title:	President and Chief Executive Officer	
Date:	May 9, 2013	(Principa	(Principal Executive Officer)	
		/s/ Roger D. Lundstrom		
		By:	Roger D. Lundstrom	
		Title:	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	
Date:	May 9, 2013	(Principa	al Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	