
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended May 11, 2007

or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number: 000-24049

CRA International, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

04-2372210
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

200 Clarendon Street, T-33, Boston, MA
(Address of principal executive offices)

02116-5092
(Zip Code)

(617) 425-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at June 18, 2007
Common Stock, no par value per share	11,758,523 shares



CRA International, Inc.

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)—Twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, and May 12, 2006	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)—May 11, 2007, and November 25, 2006	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)—Twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, and May 12, 2006	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)—Twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	7
ITEM 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	28
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	28

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1.	Legal Proceedings	29
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	29
ITEM 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
ITEM 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
ITEM 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	38
ITEM 5.	Other Information	38
ITEM 6.	Exhibits	38
Signatures		39

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

CRA International, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Twelve Weeks Ended		Twenty-four Weeks Ended	
	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006
Revenues	\$88,315	\$84,046	\$171,637	\$156,567
Costs of services	56,199	52,450	107,889	96,531
Gross profit	32,116	31,596	63,748	60,036
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23,216	20,965	43,233	39,955
Income from operations	8,900	10,631	20,515	20,081
Interest income	1,369	1,144	2,947	2,234
Interest expense	(787)	(733)	(1,525)	(1,536)
Other income (expense)	1	(154)	(228)	(173)
Income before provision for income taxes, minority interest, and equity method investment gain (loss)	9,483	10,888	21,709	20,606
Provision for income taxes	(2,606)	(4,246)	(7,660)	(8,357)
Income before minority interest and equity method investment gain (loss)	6,877	6,642	14,049	12,249
Minority interest	—	104	—	141
Equity method investment gain (loss), net of tax	(225)	—	(332)	—
Net income	<u>\$ 6,652</u>	<u>\$ 6,746</u>	<u>\$ 13,717</u>	<u>\$ 12,390</u>
Net income per share:				
Basic	<u>\$ 0.58</u>	<u>\$ 0.60</u>	<u>\$ 1.19</u>	<u>\$ 1.10</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ 0.53</u>	<u>\$ 0.55</u>	<u>\$ 1.09</u>	<u>\$ 1.02</u>
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	<u>11,527</u>	<u>11,328</u>	<u>11,518</u>	<u>11,296</u>
Diluted	<u>12,547</u>	<u>12,237</u>	<u>12,570</u>	<u>12,177</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CRA International, Inc.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)
(In thousands, except share data)

	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>November 25, 2006</u>
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$113,913	\$131,570
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$8,309 in 2007 and \$6,324 in 2006	71,273	71,161
Unbilled services	41,668	39,319
Prepaid expenses and other assets	6,476	3,701
Deferred income taxes	8,900	13,998
Total current assets	<u>242,230</u>	<u>259,749</u>
Property and equipment, net	24,308	25,055
Goodwill	144,147	141,253
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$5,705 in 2007 and \$4,976 in 2006	7,714	8,286
Deferred income taxes, net of current portion	853	2,425
Other assets	13,575	9,128
Total assets	<u>\$432,827</u>	<u>\$445,896</u>
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 12,251	\$ 11,939
Accrued expenses	51,817	80,788
Deferred revenue and other liabilities	1,940	892
Current portion of deferred compensation	1,337	2,865
Deferred income taxes	82	—
Current portion of notes payable to former shareholders	242	242
Current portion of convertible debentures payable	4,000	3,000
Total current liabilities	<u>71,669</u>	<u>99,726</u>
Convertible debentures payable, net of current portion	86,000	87,000
Deferred rent and other non-current liabilities	5,817	6,416
Deferred compensation and other non-current liabilities	3,465	694
Deferred income taxes, net of current portion	3,708	3,284
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, no par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 11,474,624 and 11,462,082 shares issued and outstanding in 2007 and 2006, respectively	125,938	128,582
Receivables from employees	(2,817)	(2,705)
Retained earnings	130,752	117,035
Foreign currency translation	8,295	5,864
Total shareholders' equity	<u>262,168</u>	<u>248,776</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$432,827</u>	<u>\$445,896</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CRA International, Inc.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)
(In thousands)

	<u>Twenty-four Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>May 12, 2006</u>
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 13,717	\$ 12,390
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,568	4,272
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	47	82
Deferred rent	(390)	(572)
Share-based compensation expense	2,370	2,136
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation	(1,534)	—
Deferred income taxes	7,334	2,098
Equity in losses of NeuCo	332	—
Minority interest	—	(141)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, exclusive of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	701	(3,551)
Unbilled services	(1,892)	(6,062)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(5,625)	(634)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	(27,259)	(13,095)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(7,631)</u>	<u>(3,077)</u>
Investing activities:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,186)	(2,978)
Acquisitions of business, net of cash acquired	<u>(1,385)</u>	<u>(1,546)</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(4,571)</u>	<u>(4,524)</u>
Financing activities:		
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	1,534	758
Repurchase of common stock	(13,211)	—
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	6,385	3,891
Collections on receivables from shareholders	8	257
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>(5,284)</u>	<u>4,906</u>
Effect of foreign exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(171)	439
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	<u>(17,657)</u>	<u>(2,256)</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	131,570	115,203
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$113,913</u>	<u>\$112,947</u>
Non-cash financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock for acquired business	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>
Notes receivable in exchange for shares	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 60</u>
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	<u>\$ 3,323</u>	<u>\$ 7,889</u>
Cash paid for interest	<u>\$ 1,403</u>	<u>\$ 1,384</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CRA International, Inc.
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Receivables from Employees	Retained Earnings	Foreign Currency Translation	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares Issued	Amount				
BALANCE AT NOVEMBER 25, 2006	11,462,082	\$128,582	\$(2,705)	\$117,035	\$5,864	\$248,776
Net income	—	—	—	13,717	—	13,717
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	2,431	2,431
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	16,148
Exercise of stock options	252,002	6,385	—	—	—	6,385
Share-based compensation expense for employees	—	2,318	—	—	—	2,318
Restricted share vesting	24,261	—	—	—	—	—
Repurchase of vested employee restricted shares for tax withholding .	(6,670)	(349)	—	—	—	(349)
Shares repurchased	(257,051)	(13,211)	—	—	—	(13,211)
Tax benefit on stock option exercises and restricted share vesting	—	2,161	—	—	—	2,161
Notes receivable issued to employees .	—	—	(120)	—	—	(120)
Payments received on notes receivable from employees	—	—	8	—	—	8
Share-based compensation expense for non-employees	—	52	—	—	—	52
BALANCE AT MAY 11, 2007	<u>11,474,624</u>	<u>\$125,938</u>	<u>\$(2,817)</u>	<u>\$130,752</u>	<u>\$8,295</u>	<u>\$262,168</u>

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

CRA International, Inc.
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Description of Business

CRA International, Inc. (the “Company,” or “CRA”) is a worldwide leading economic, financial, and management consulting services firm that applies advanced analytic techniques and in-depth industry knowledge to complex engagements for a broad range of clients. CRA offers two types of services: legal, regulatory, and financial consulting and business consulting. CRA operates in only one business segment, which is consulting services.

2. Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements and Estimates

The condensed consolidated statements of income for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, and May 12, 2006, the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of May 11, 2007, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, and May 12, 2006, and the condensed consolidated statement of shareholders’ equity for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, are unaudited. The November 25, 2006 consolidated balance sheet is derived from CRA’s audited consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K as of that date. In the opinion of management, these statements include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of CRA’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. The consolidated statements of income include the operations of CRA’s acquired companies since their respective dates of acquisition.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make significant estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates in these consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, accounts receivable allowances, revenue recognition on fixed price contracts, depreciation of property and equipment, share-based compensation, valuation of acquired intangible assets, impairment of long lived assets, including goodwill, accrued and deferred income taxes, valuation allowances on deferred tax assets, and accrued bonuses and other accrued expenses. These items are monitored and analyzed by the Company for changes in facts and circumstances, and material changes in these estimates could occur in the future. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period in which they become known. CRA bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that CRA believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from those estimates if CRA’s assumptions based on past experience or other assumptions do not turn out to be substantially accurate.

3. Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. In addition, the consolidated financial statements through the second quarter ended May 12, 2006 included NeuCo, Inc. (“NeuCo”), a company founded by CRA and an affiliate of Commonwealth Energy Systems in June 1997. NeuCo’s financial results were consolidated with those of CRA through the second quarter ended May 12, 2006, as CRA held an approximate 50% interest in NeuCo, which combined with the fact that CRA officers’ held three Board of Directors seats and other considerations, represented control. These directors received NeuCo stock options in connection with their NeuCo Board of Director responsibilities. The portion of the results of operations of NeuCo

allocable to its other owners was shown as “minority interest” on CRA’s consolidated statements of income. During the third quarter ended September 1, 2006, NeuCo completed the acquisition of Pegasus Technologies, Inc. (“Pegasus”). Through the acquisition of Pegasus, the Company’s interest in NeuCo was reduced to 36.4%. As such, the Company began accounting for its investment in NeuCo under the equity method of accounting from the date of this change. For further details regarding this transaction, see Note 5 of the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany accounts have been eliminated.

4. Reclassifications

In recent years, the information technology group gradually became less involved in client engagements and more focused on internal systems. Accordingly, certain expenses related to CRA’s information technology group not billable to clients in the prior period’s consolidated statements of income presented have been reclassified to conform to the current year’s presentation. For the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million has been reclassified from “costs of services” to “selling, general, and administrative expenses”, respectively. For the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, the information technology group expenses totaled \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

5. Equity Investment

During the third quarter ended September 1, 2006, NeuCo completed the acquisition of Ohio-based Pegasus, a majority-owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto America Services Company. As a result of the transaction, the Company’s interest in NeuCo has been reduced to 36.4%. As such, starting in the third quarter ended September 1, 2006, the Company began accounting for its remaining investment in NeuCo under the equity method of accounting. Prior to NeuCo’s acquisition of Pegasus and the resulting reduction of CRA’s interest in NeuCo, NeuCo’s financial results had been consolidated with that of CRA. This is also referred to herein as the “deconsolidation” of NeuCo.

The equity method of accounting is used for investments in which CRA has the ability to exercise significant influence but does not have effective control. Significant influence is generally deemed to exist when CRA has an ownership interest in the voting stock of the investee of between 20% and 50%. Under this method, the investment, originally recorded at cost and adjusted to reflect CRA’s share of changes in NeuCo’s capital, is further adjusted to recognize the Company’s share of net earnings or losses of NeuCo as they occur rather than as dividends or other distributions are received. CRA’s share of net earnings or loss in NeuCo would also include any other-than-temporary declines in fair value recognized during the period, if any. Changes in CRA’s proportionate share of the underlying equity of NeuCo, which result from the issuance of additional equity securities by NeuCo, are recognized as increases or decreases in shareholders’ equity, net of any related tax effects.

The Company records its equity in the income or losses of NeuCo and reports such amounts in “equity method investment gain (loss), net of tax” in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, NeuCo changed its interim reporting schedule to a calendar month end, but its fiscal year end will remain the last Saturday in November. The first three fiscal quarters of CRA’s fiscal year could include up to a three-week reporting lag between CRA’s quarter end and the most recent financial statements available from NeuCo. CRA does not believe the reporting lag will have a significant impact on CRA’s consolidated statements of income or financial condition. For the twelve and twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, the Company’s equity in the losses of NeuCo totaled \$225,000 and \$332,000, respectively, which are net of tax benefits of \$158,000 and \$233,000, respectively. At May 11, 2007, the carrying value of the Company’s equity investment in NeuCo was \$1.2 million and is reported in other non-current assets.

6. Fiscal Year

CRA's fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in November, and accordingly, its fiscal year will periodically contain 53 weeks rather than 52 weeks. Both fiscal 2007 and 2006 are 52-week years. In a 52-week year, each of CRA's first, second, and fourth quarters includes twelve weeks, and its third quarter includes sixteen weeks. In a 53-week year, the fourth quarter includes thirteen weeks.

7. Revenue Recognition

CRA derives substantially all of its revenues from the performance of professional services. The contracts that CRA enters into and operates under specify whether the engagement will be billed on a time-and-materials or a fixed-price basis. These engagements generally last three to six months, although some of CRA's engagements can be much longer in duration. Each contract must be approved by one of CRA's vice presidents.

CRA recognizes substantially all of its revenues under written service contracts with its clients where the fee is fixed or determinable, as the services are provided, and only in those situations where collection from the client is reasonably assured. In certain limited cases CRA provides services to its clients without sufficient contractual documentation to allow CRA to recognize revenue in accordance with U.S. GAAP. In these cases, where CRA invoices clients, these amounts are fully reserved until all criteria for recognizing revenue are met. Most of CRA's revenue is derived from time-and-materials service contracts. Revenues from time-and-materials service contracts are recognized as services are provided based upon hours worked and contractually agreed-upon hourly rates, as well as a computer services fee based upon hours worked. Revenues from fixed-price engagements are recognized on a proportional performance method based on the ratio of costs incurred, substantially all of which are labor-related, to the total estimated project costs. CRA derived 5.3% and 5.1% of revenues from fixed-price engagements in the twelve and twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, respectively. CRA derived 5.5% and 4.5% of revenues from fixed-price engagements in the twelve and twenty-four weeks ending May 12, 2006, respectively. Project costs are based on the direct salary and associated fringe benefits of the consultants on the engagement plus all direct expenses incurred to complete the engagement that are not reimbursed by the client. The proportional performance method is used since reasonably dependable estimates of the revenues and costs applicable to various stages of a contract can be made, based on historical experience and terms set forth in the contract, and are indicative of the level of benefit provided to CRA's clients. The fixed-price contracts generally include a termination provision that converts the agreement to a time-and-materials contract in the event of termination of the contract. There are no costs that are deferred and amortized over the contract term. CRA's management maintains contact with project managers to discuss the status of the projects and, for fixed-price engagements, management is updated on the budgeted costs and resources required to complete the project. These budgets are then used to calculate revenue recognition and to estimate the anticipated income or loss on the project. In the past, CRA has occasionally been required to commit unanticipated additional resources to complete projects, which have resulted in lower than anticipated income or losses on those contracts. CRA may experience similar situations in the future. Provisions for estimated losses on contracts are made during the period in which such losses become probable and can be reasonably estimated. To date, such losses have not been significant.

Revenues also include reimbursements, or expenses billed to clients, which include travel and other out-of-pocket expenses, outside consultants, and other reimbursable expenses. These reimbursable expenses are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Twelve Weeks Ended</u>		<u>Twenty-four Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>May 12, 2006</u>	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>May 12, 2006</u>
Reimbursable expenses billed to clients	\$10,988	\$10,233	\$19,825	\$17,862

CRA maintains accounts receivable allowances for estimated losses resulting from clients' failure to make required payments. The Company bases its estimates on historical collection experience, current trends, and credit policy. In determining these estimates, CRA examines historical write-offs of its receivables and reviews client accounts to identify any specific customer collection issues. If the financial condition of CRA's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payment, additional allowances may be required.

Unbilled services represent revenue recognized by CRA for services performed but not yet billed to the client. Deferred revenue represents amounts billed or collected in advance of services rendered.

8. Business Acquisitions

On May 23, 2006, CRA acquired certain assets of the Ballentine Barbera Group, LLC ("BBG"), an independent consulting firm focusing on transfer pricing services headquartered in Washington, DC, for approximately \$22.9 million (after adding acquisition costs and transaction fees paid or accrued). The purchase price consisted of \$17.9 million in cash and \$5.0 million in CRA restricted shares. The purchase agreement for certain assets of BBG provides for additional purchase consideration for up to five years following the transaction in the form of an earnout, if specific performance targets are met. These earnouts are payable in cash and/or CRA common stock. Any additional payments related to this contingency will be accounted for as additional goodwill. The acquisition has been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting, and the results of operations have been included in the accompanying statements of income from the date of acquisition. The BBG acquisition added approximately 35 employee consultants. The following is an allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed for the BBG acquisition.

Assets Acquired:	
Prepays and other assets	\$ 23
Property and equipment	494
Intangible assets	2,315
Goodwill	<u>20,349</u>
Total assets acquired	<u>\$23,181</u>
Liabilities Assumed:	
Accrued expenses	<u>\$ 285</u>
Total liabilities assumed	<u>\$ 285</u>
Net assets acquired	<u>\$22,896</u>

CRA has not furnished pro forma financial information relating to the BBG acquisition because such information is not material.

The purchase agreements for BBG and other acquisitions completed in fiscal 2005 and 2004 provide for additional purchase consideration for up to five years following the transactions, if specific performance targets are met. These earnouts are payable in cash and/or CRA common stock. During

the second quarter of fiscal 2007, CRA recorded an additional \$1.0 million liability related to these acquisitions, which will be paid in cash and common stock during fiscal 2007. During fiscal 2006, CRA recorded an additional \$1.5 million of purchase price related to these acquisitions, which included promissory notes and common stock. These payments, and any additional payments related to these contingencies, have been and will be accounted for as additional goodwill.

9. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, are as follows (in thousands):

Balance at November 25, 2006	\$141,253
Goodwill adjustments	1,002
Effect of foreign currency translation	1,892
Balance at May 11, 2007	<u>\$144,147</u>

10. Private Placement of Convertible Debt

In 2004, CRA completed a private placement of \$90.0 million of 2.875% convertible senior subordinated debentures due 2034. The debentures are CRA's direct, unsecured senior subordinated obligations and rank junior in right of payment to CRA's existing bank line of credit and any future secured indebtedness that CRA may designate as senior indebtedness. Pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the debentures, since the closing stock price equaled or exceeded the \$50 per share contingent conversion trigger price for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on May 11, 2007, the market price conversion trigger was satisfied and holders of the debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the bonds during the third quarter of fiscal 2007. This test is repeated each fiscal quarter. To date, no conversions have occurred.

In June 2005, the Company amended its loan agreement with its bank to increase the existing line of credit from \$40.0 million to \$90.0 million to mitigate the potential liquidity risk, and to provide funding if required, in the event of conversion by the debenture holders. CRA believes that in the event the contingent conversion trigger price is met, it is unlikely that a significant percentage of bondholders will exercise their right to convert because the debentures have traded at a premium over their conversion value. However, since holders of the debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the bonds as of May 11, 2007 and because CRA intends to use amounts available under its bank line of credit in the event debenture holders exercise their right to convert, in accordance with SFAS No. 6, "Classification of Short-Term Obligations Expected to be Refinanced", the Company has classified \$86.0 million of the \$90.0 million convertible debt, which represents the estimated amount available under CRA's line of credit, as long-term debt as of May 11, 2007 in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet, while the remaining \$4.0 million is classified as short-term. In May 2007, CRA amended its loan agreement to extend the maturity date on the line of credit from April 30, 2009 to April 30, 2010. It is CRA's intention to renew or replace the line of credit upon expiration, as desirable and available, which would allow CRA to continue to classify the convertible debentures as long-term debt, rather than short-term in future periods. In addition, the line of credit gives CRA additional flexibility to meet its unforeseen financial requirements.

The contingent interest feature included in the debenture represents an embedded derivative under SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133") that must be recorded at fair value as of May 11, 2007. The Company has determined that the fair value of the contingent interest feature is *de minimis* as of May 11, 2007, based upon economic, market and other conditions in effect as of that date. There are no other embedded derivatives associated with the Company's convertible debentures that are accounted for separately in accordance with SFAS 133.

The Company has agreed with the debenture holders to reserve the maximum number of shares of common stock that may be issued upon conversion of the debentures.

11. Net Income per Share

Basic net income per share represents net income divided by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share represents net income divided by the weighted average shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. Weighted average shares used in diluted earnings per share include common stock equivalents arising from stock options, unvested restricted shares, and shares underlying CRA's debentures using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the Company will receive for the share awards, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible are assumed to be used to repurchase shares at the average share price for each fiscal period. A reconciliation of basic to diluted weighted average shares of common stock outstanding is as follows (in thousands):

	Twelve Weeks Ended		Twenty-four Weeks Ended	
	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	11,527	11,328	11,518	11,296
Common stock equivalents:				
Stock options and restricted shares	504	523	531	525
Shares underlying the debentures	516	386	521	356
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	12,547	12,237	12,570	12,177

During the twelve and twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, CRA issued 11,988 and 128,561 restricted shares, respectively, that were not vested as of May 11, 2007. During the twelve and twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, CRA granted 24,000 and 25,000 options, respectively.

Under Emerging Issues Task Force of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "EITF") No. 04-08, "The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings Per Share", which is effective for periods ending after December 15, 2004, and EITF 90-19, "Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion", because of CRA's obligation to settle the par value of the convertible debentures in cash, the Company is not required to include any shares underlying the convertible debentures in its diluted weighted average shares outstanding until the average stock price per share for the quarter exceeds the \$40 conversion price and only to the extent of the additional shares CRA may be required to issue in the event CRA's conversion obligation exceeds the principal amount of the debentures converted. At such time, only the number of shares that would be issuable (under the "treasury" method of accounting for share dilution) are included, which is based upon the amount by which the average stock price exceeds the conversion price. The average stock price for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 was \$51.91 and \$52.05 per share; therefore, 516,000 and 521,000 shares underlying the debentures were included in the diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, respectively. The average stock price for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006 was \$48.27 and \$47.52 per share; therefore, 386,000 and 356,000 shares underlying the debentures were included in the diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006.

Basic weighted average shares outstanding for the twelve and twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 include the common stock issued in connection with the BBG acquisition in May 2006, as well as the reduction due to the repurchase of 500,000 shares by CRA during the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006 and the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

As part of the earnout provisions included in the BBG acquisition agreement, as well as in some of the agreements for acquisitions CRA completed in fiscal 2005 and 2004, CRA may settle a portion of its obligations through the issuance of its common stock. Issuance of these shares is contingent upon certain provisions of the acquisition agreements. All shares for which the necessary conditions underlying the earnout provisions have been met as of May 11, 2007 are included in basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding as of the point in time that the shares were issued.

12. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income represents net income reported in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income adjusted for changes in CRA's foreign currency translation account. A reconciliation of comprehensive income is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Twenty-four Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>May 12, 2006</u>
Net income	\$13,717	\$12,390
Change in foreign currency translation	<u>2,431</u>	<u>4,558</u>
Comprehensive income	<u>\$16,148</u>	<u>\$16,948</u>

13. Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") issued Interpretation 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. CRA expects to adopt FIN 48 in fiscal 2008. CRA is in the process of evaluating the impact that FIN 48 will have on its consolidated statement of income or financial condition.

In June 2006, the EITF reached a conclusion on EITF Issue No. 06-3, "How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement". The scope of EITF Issue No. 06-3 includes any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is both imposed on and concurrent with a specific revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer, and may include, but is not limited to, sales, use, value added, and some excise taxes. The EITF Issue No. 06-3 requires that the presentation of taxes on either a gross basis (included in revenues and costs) or a net basis (excluded from revenues) is an accounting policy decision that should be disclosed. CRA collects goods and services and value added taxes from customers and records these amounts on a net basis, which is within the scope of EITF Issue No. 06-3. CRA adopted EITF Issue No. 06-3 in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-3 did not have any impact on CRA's consolidated statement of income or financial condition.

14. Commitments & Contingencies

In connection with the BBG acquisition completed during fiscal 2006 and other acquisitions completed during fiscal 2005 and 2004, CRA agreed to pay additional consideration, in cash, and common stock for some of these acquisitions, contingent on the achievement of specific performance targets by the respective acquired businesses. CRA believes that it will have sufficient funds to satisfy any obligations related to the contingent consideration. CRA expects to fund these contingent cash

payments, if any, from existing cash resources, cash generated from operations, or financing transactions.

15. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	<u>May 11, 2007</u>	<u>November 25, 2006</u>
Compensation and related expenses	\$43,187	\$69,467
Income taxes payable	1,132	3,589
Accrued interest	1,069	1,179
Other	6,429	6,553
Total	<u>\$51,817</u>	<u>\$80,788</u>

16. Subsequent Events

On June 14, 2007, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has authorized a stock repurchase program of up to a total of 1,500,000 shares of its common stock. The Company will finance the repurchase program with available cash and cash from future operations. CRA may repurchase shares in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable insider trading and other securities laws and regulations.

ITEM 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Except for historical facts, the statements in this quarterly report are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are merely our current predictions of future events. These statements are inherently uncertain, and actual events could differ materially from our predictions. Important factors that could cause actual events to vary from our predictions include those discussed below under the heading “Factors Affecting Future Performance”. We assume no obligation to update our forward-looking statements to reflect new information or developments. We urge readers to review carefully the risk factors described in this quarterly report and in the other documents that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. You can read these documents at www.sec.gov.

Our principal internet address is www.crai.com. Our website provides a link to a third-party website through which our annual, quarterly, and current reports, and amendments to those reports, are available free of charge. We believe these reports are made available as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them electronically with, or furnish them to, the SEC. We do not maintain or provide any information directly to the third-party website, nor do we check the accuracy of this website.

Our website also includes information about our corporate governance practices. The Investor Relations page of our website provides a link to a web page where you can obtain a copy of our code of ethics applicable to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make significant estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates in these condensed consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, accounts receivable allowances, revenue recognition on fixed price contracts, share-based compensation, valuation of acquired intangible assets, impairment of long lived assets, including goodwill, accrued and deferred income taxes, valuation allowances on deferred tax assets, and accrued bonuses. These items are monitored and analyzed by management for changes in facts and circumstances, and material changes in these estimates could occur in the future. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period in which they become known. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from our estimates if our assumptions based on past experience or our other assumptions do not turn out to be substantially accurate.

A summary of the accounting policies that we believe are most critical to understanding and evaluating our financial results is set forth below. This summary should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in Item 1 of this quarterly report, as well as in our most recently filed annual report on Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable Allowances. We derive substantially all of our revenues from the performance of professional services. The contracts that we enter into and operate under specify whether the engagement will be billed on a time-and-materials or fixed-price basis. These engagements generally last three to six months, although some of our engagements can be much longer in duration. Each contract must be approved by one of our vice presidents.

We recognize substantially all of our revenues under written service contracts with our clients where the fee is fixed or determinable, as the services are provided, and only in those situations where collection from the client is reasonably assured. In certain limited cases we provide services to our clients without sufficient contractual documentation to allow us to recognize revenue in accordance with U.S. GAAP. In these cases, where we invoice clients, these amounts, are fully reserved until all criteria for recognizing revenue are met. Most of our revenue is derived from time-and-materials service contracts. Revenues from time-and-materials service contracts are recognized as the services are provided based upon hours worked and contractually agreed-upon hourly rates, as well as a computer services fee based upon hours worked. Revenues from fixed-price engagements are recognized on a proportional performance method based on the ratio of costs incurred, substantially all of which are labor-related, to the total estimated project costs. Project costs are based on the direct salary and associated fringe benefits of the consultants on the engagement plus all direct expenses incurred to complete the engagement that are not reimbursed by the client. The proportional performance method is used since reasonably dependable estimates of the revenues and costs applicable to various stages of a contract can be made, based on historical experience and terms set forth in the contract, and are indicative of the level of benefit provided to our clients. Our fixed-price contracts generally include a termination provision that reduces the agreement to a time-and-materials contract in the event of termination of the contract. There are no costs that are deferred and amortized over the contract term. Our management maintains contact with project managers to discuss the status of the projects and, for fixed-price engagements, management is updated on the budgeted costs and resources required to complete the project. These budgets are then used to calculate revenue recognition and to estimate the anticipated income or loss on the project. In the past, we have occasionally been required to commit unanticipated additional resources to complete projects, which have resulted in lower than anticipated income or losses on those contracts. We may experience similar situations in the future. Provisions for estimated losses on contracts are made during the period in which such losses become probable and can be reasonably estimated. To date, such losses have not been significant.

Revenues also include reimbursements, or expenses billed to clients, which include travel and other out-of-pocket expenses, outside consultants, and other reimbursable expenses. These reimbursable expenses are as follows (in thousands):

	Twelve Weeks Ended		Twenty-four Weeks Ended	
	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006
Reimbursable expenses billed to clients	\$10,988	\$10,233	\$19,825	\$17,862

Our normal payment terms are 30 days from the invoice date. For the twelve weeks ended May 11, 2007, and May 12, 2006, our average days sales outstanding, or DSOs, were 106 days and 102 days, respectively. We calculate DSOs by dividing the sum of our accounts receivable and unbilled services balance, net of deferred revenue, at the end of the quarter by average daily revenues. Average daily revenues are calculated by dividing quarter revenues by the number of days in a quarter. Our project managers and finance personnel monitor payments from our clients and assess any collection issues. We maintain accounts receivable allowances for estimated losses resulting from clients' failure to make required payments. We base our estimates on our historical collection experience, current trends, and credit policy. In determining these estimates, we examine historical write-offs of our receivables and review client accounts to identify any specific customer collection issues. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payment, additional allowances may be required. A failure to estimate accurately the accounts receivable allowances and ensure that payments are received on a timely basis could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. As of May 11, 2007, and

November 25, 2006, \$8.3 million and \$6.3 million was provided for accounts receivable allowances, respectively.

Share-Based Compensation Expense. We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 123R, “Share-Based Payments” (“SFAS No. 123R”) in fiscal 2006 using the modified prospective application method and began accounting for our equity-based compensation using a fair value based recognition method. Under the fair value recognition requirements of SFAS No. 123R, share-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period of the award.

We recognize share-based compensation expense using the straight-line attribution method under SFAS No. 123R. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards. Option valuation models require the input of assumptions, including the expected life of share-based awards, the expected stock price volatility, the risk-free interest rate, and the expected dividend yield. The expected volatility and expected life are based on our historical experience. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury interest rates whose term is consistent with the expected life of the stock options. Expected dividend yield was not considered in the option pricing formula since we do not pay dividends and have no current plans to do so in the future. We will update these assumptions if changes are warranted. The forfeiture rate used was based upon historical experience. As required by SFAS No. 123R, we will adjust the estimated forfeiture rate based upon our actual experience.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. We account for acquisitions of consolidated companies under the purchase method of accounting pursuant to SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141”). Goodwill represents the purchase price of acquired businesses in excess of the fair market value of net assets acquired. Intangible assets consist principally of non-competition agreements, which are amortized on a straight-line basis over the related estimated lives of the agreements (eight to ten years), as well as customer relationships, backlog, trade names, and property leases, which are amortized on a straight-line basis over their remaining useful lives (one to ten years).

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” (“SFAS No. 142”), goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not subject to amortization, but are monitored annually for impairment, or more frequently if there are indicators of impairment. Any impairment would be measured based upon the fair value of the related asset based on the provisions of SFAS No. 142. Because we have one reporting segment, under SFAS No. 142, we utilize the entity-wide approach for assessing goodwill for impairment and compare its market value to its net book value to determine if an impairment exists. There were no impairment losses related to goodwill in fiscal 2006, nor were there any indications of impairment in the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007. If we determine through the impairment review process that goodwill has been impaired, we would record the impairment charge in our consolidated statement of income. The goodwill amount for acquisitions is initially recorded based upon a preliminary estimated purchase price allocation and is subject to change. Any preliminary purchase price allocation is based upon our estimate of fair value, and is finalized as we receive other information relevant to the acquisition, such as exit costs related to lease obligations.

We assess the impairment of amortizable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important that could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- a significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
- a significant change in the manner of our use of the acquired asset or the strategy for our overall business;

- a significant negative industry or economic trend; and
- our market capitalization relative to net book value.

If we were to determine that an impairment review is required, we would review the expected future undiscounted cash flows to be generated by the assets. If we determine that the carrying value of intangible assets may not be recoverable, we measure any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by our management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model.

Accounting for Income Taxes. We record income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based upon anticipated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases, and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Our financial statements contain certain deferred tax assets and liabilities that result from temporary differences between book and tax accounting, as well as net operating loss carryforwards. SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," requires the establishment of a valuation allowance to reflect the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. We evaluate the weight of all available evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. The decision to record a valuation allowance requires varying degrees of judgment based upon the nature of the item giving rise to the deferred tax asset. As a result of operating losses incurred in certain of our foreign subsidiaries, and uncertainty as to the extent and timing of profitability in future periods, we recorded valuation allowances in certain of these foreign subsidiaries based on the facts and circumstances affecting each subsidiary. Had we not recorded these allowances, we would have reported a lower effective tax rate than was recognized in our statements of income in fiscal 2006 and during the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007. If the realization of deferred tax assets is considered more likely than not, an adjustment to the net deferred tax assets would increase net income in the period such determination was made. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable is based on significant estimates, and it is possible that changes in these estimates in the near term could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our effective tax rate may vary from period to period based on changes in estimated taxable income or loss, changes to the valuation allowance, changes to federal, state, or foreign tax laws, future expansion into areas with varying country, state, and local income tax rates, deductibility of certain costs and expenses by jurisdiction, and as a result of acquisitions.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations in several different tax jurisdictions. We are periodically reviewed by domestic and foreign tax authorities regarding the amount of taxes due. These reviews include questions regarding the timing and amount of deductions and the allocation of income among various tax jurisdictions. In evaluating the exposure associated with various filing positions, we record estimated reserves for probable exposures. Based on our evaluation of current tax positions, we believe that we have appropriately accrued for probable exposures.

Results of Operations—

The following table provides operating information as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

	Twelve Weeks Ended		Twenty-four Weeks Ended	
	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006	May 11, 2007	May 12, 2006
Revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs of services	63.6	62.4	62.9	61.7
Gross margin	36.4	37.6	37.1	38.3
Selling, general and administrative expenses	26.3	24.9	25.2	25.4
Income from operations	10.1	12.7	11.9	12.9
Interest income	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.4
Interest expense	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.9)	(1.0)
Other income (expense)	—	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Income before provision for income taxes, minority interest, and equity method investment gain (loss)	10.8	13.0	12.6	13.2
Provision for income taxes	(3.0)	(5.1)	(4.4)	(5.3)
Income before minority interest and equity method investment gain (loss)	7.8	7.9	8.2	7.9
Minority interest	—	0.1	—	0.1
Equity method investment gain (loss), net of tax	(0.3)	—	(0.2)	—
Net income	<u>7.5%</u>	<u>8.0%</u>	<u>8.0%</u>	<u>8.0%</u>

Results of Operations—Twelve Weeks Ended May 11, 2007, Compared to Twelve Weeks Ended May 12, 2006

Revenues. Revenues increased \$4.3 million, or 5.1%, to \$88.3 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$84.0 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. This growth is due primarily to an increased demand for our services in our chemicals and petroleum practice, as well as the BBG acquisition, which occurred at the beginning of the third quarter of fiscal 2006. These increases were partially offset by the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo, which occurred at the beginning of the third quarter of fiscal 2006. Our business consulting revenues grew nearly 25% from the second quarter of fiscal 2006, which was driven by a greater demand for our services primarily in our chemicals and petroleum practice area. Our chemicals and petroleum practice grew by more than 90%, due to an increase in demand for our services, particularly in the Middle East and due to the continued expansion of our London-based operations. This increase was partially offset by our pharmaceuticals practice, which decreased approximately 60% from the twelve weeks ended May 12, 2006, due primarily to a decrease in demand for our services related to two large client engagements. Our litigation revenues grew by more than 5% which was driven by an increase in revenue in the transfer pricing practice due to the BBG acquisition. Our competition practice revenues remained essentially flat for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 as compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2006 but continues to be our largest practice in terms of revenue. Several large litigation projects, which can often end abruptly, were either settled or experienced temporary delays during this quarter while the start-up of several new litigation cases during the quarter were delayed. Our intellectual property practice revenues decreased more than 5% from the second quarter of fiscal 2006 due to the timing and transition of projects. The increases in revenue from our business consulting and litigation practice areas were offset by a more than 5% decrease in our finance revenues from the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The

decrease was mainly due to a lull in case scheduling during the second quarter of fiscal 2007. Overall, revenues outside of the U.S. represented approximately 28% of total revenues for the second quarter of fiscal 2007, compared with approximately 22% of total revenues for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The growth in revenue in our foreign offices is primarily due to the increase in demand in our chemicals and petroleum practice, largely in the Middle East.

The total number of employee consultants increased to 718 at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 661 at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2006, which is primarily due to the addition of approximately 35 employee consultants from the BBG acquisition during the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as well as continued hiring and recruiting efforts. Increased billing rates for our employee consultants, which were phased in at the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2007, also contributed to our revenue growth. Utilization was 75% for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 compared with 80% for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Revenues derived from fixed-price engagements decreased to 5.3% of total revenues for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 5.5% for the second quarter of fiscal 2006.

Costs of Services. Costs of services increased \$3.7 million, or 7.1%, to \$56.2 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$52.5 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The increase was due mainly to an increase in compensation expense for our employee consultants of \$3.4 million, excluding NeuCo, due to an increase in the average number of employee consultants. Our average number of employee consultants increased because of the BBG acquisition, as well as continued recruiting and hiring efforts. In addition, reimbursable expenses, excluding NeuCo, increased \$0.9 million, or 8.9%, to \$11.0 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$10.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. These increases were partially offset by the deconsolidation of NeuCo, which occurred at the beginning of the third quarter of fiscal 2006. As a percentage of revenues, costs of services increased to 63.6% for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 62.4% for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Of the 1.2% increase as a percentage of revenues, approximately 1.5% was due primarily to compensation expense and related fringe costs for our employee consultants, which increased at a greater rate than revenues. Approximately 0.7% of the increase in cost of services as a percentage of revenue is offset by the deconsolidation of NeuCo.

In addition, prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we classified our internal information technology group's labor costs as an element of "cost of services". In recent years, the information technology group gradually became less involved in client projects and more focused on internal systems. Accordingly, we recorded these costs that are not billable to clients to "selling, general and administrative expenses" in the second quarter of fiscal 2007 and reclassified these costs to "selling, general and administrative expenses" in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The effect of this reclassification was to increase the second quarter of fiscal 2006 gross margin by \$0.9 million. For the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the information technology group expenses totaled \$1.0 million. This classification has no effect on income from operations or net income.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased by \$2.3 million, or 10.7%, to \$23.2 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$21.0 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Excluding the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo, the increase is primarily due to increases in rent expense of \$1.2 million and recruiting fees of \$1.1 million. These increases were partially offset by the effect of deconsolidation of NeuCo. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general, and administrative expenses increased to 26.3% for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 24.9% for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The 1.3% increase as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to increases in rent expense and recruiting fees. These increases were partially offset by the deconsolidation of NeuCo.

As detailed previously, we classified our internal information technology group's labor costs to "selling, general and administrative expenses" for all periods presented. The effect of this

reclassification was to increase the second quarter of fiscal 2006 selling, general and administrative expenses by \$0.9 million. For the second quarter of fiscal 2007, the information technology group expenses totaled \$1.0 million. This classification has no effect on income from operations or net income.

Interest Income. Interest income increased by \$0.2 million to \$1.4 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$1.1 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. This increase was due to higher average interest rates. Our weighted average interest rate yield for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 on our average cash and cash equivalent balances was approximately 5.1% annualized compared with approximately 4.2% annualized for the second quarter of fiscal 2006.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased by \$0.1 million to \$0.8 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$0.7 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Interest expense primarily represents interest incurred on the 2.875%, \$90.0 million convertible debt, and the amortization of debt issuance costs.

Other Income (Expense). Other income was \$1,000 for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 versus other expense of \$154,000 for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Other income (expense) consists primarily of foreign currency exchange transaction gains and losses. We continue to manage our foreign currency exchange exposure through frequent settling of intercompany account balances and by self-hedging movements in exchange rates between the value of the dollar and foreign currencies.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes was \$2.6 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007, a decrease of \$1.6 million from the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Our effective income tax rate decreased to 27.5% for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 39.0% for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The lower effective tax rate during the second quarter of fiscal 2007 was due primarily to the signing of an advance pricing agreement we entered into with the Internal Revenue Service on May 11, 2007, in connection with intercompany transfer pricing arrangements beginning in fiscal 2004. As a result of the agreement, we reduced our income tax contingency reserves, resulting in an income tax benefit of \$1.8 million in the second quarter. This benefit was offset by approximately \$0.4 million relating to the losses in foreign locations which were not benefited.

Minority Interest. Previously, allocations of the minority share of NeuCo's net income resulted in deductions to our net income, while allocations of the minority share of NeuCo's net loss resulted in additions to our net income. Beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as more fully described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financials statements, we no longer consolidate NeuCo in our financials statements. Therefore, we no longer record minority interest. Minority interest in the results of operations of NeuCo allocable to its other owners was a net loss of \$104,000 for the second quarter of fiscal 2006.

Equity Method Investment Gain (Loss), Net of Tax. Through NeuCo's acquisition of Pegasus, as more fully described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financials statements, our interest in NeuCo has been reduced from 49.6% as of May 12, 2006, to 36.4% as of May 11, 2007. As a result, we began accounting for our investment in NeuCo under the equity method of accounting. Prior to this event, we consolidated NeuCo's financial results. We record our share in the income or losses of NeuCo as equity method investment gain (loss) in the statements of income. For the second quarter of fiscal 2007, our equity in the losses of NeuCo was \$225,000, net of tax.

Net Income. Net income decreased by \$94,000, or 1.4%, to \$6.7 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$6.7 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Diluted net income per share decreased 3.6% to \$0.53 per share for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from \$0.55 per share for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Diluted net income per share decreased at a greater rate than net income because diluted weighted average shares outstanding increased 310,000 shares to approximately

12,547,000 shares for the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from approximately 12,237,000 shares for the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The increase in diluted weighted average shares outstanding for fiscal 2007 is primarily a result of shares issued in connection with the BBG acquisition, and stock option exercises occurring after May 12, 2006, as well as increases in common stock equivalents due primarily to employee share awards and shares underlying our convertible debt. These increases were partially offset by repurchases of common stock under our share repurchase program.

Results of Operations—Twenty-four Weeks Ended May 11, 2007 Compared to Twenty-four Weeks Ended May 12, 2006

Revenues. Revenues increased \$15.1 million, or 9.6%, to \$171.6 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$156.6 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. This growth is due primarily to an increased demand for our services in our chemicals and petroleum practice, as well as the BBG acquisition, which occurred at the beginning of the third quarter of fiscal 2006. The growth in revenue was partially offset by the deconsolidation of NeuCo. Our business consulting revenues grew more than 25% from the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, which was due primarily to our chemicals and petroleum and energy and environment practice areas, offset partially by a decrease in our pharmaceuticals practice. Our chemicals and petroleum practice grew nearly 100%, due to an increase in demand for our services, particularly in the Middle East, and the continued expansion of our London-based operations. Our energy and environment practice increased more than 5% from the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, due primarily to continued strength in projects related to environmental, energy, and climate issues. Our pharmaceuticals practice decreased more than 35% from the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, due primarily to a decrease in demand for our services related to two large client engagements. Our litigation revenues grew nearly 10% from the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, which was due to an increase in revenues primarily in our transfer pricing practice area. Our transfer pricing practice benefited from the BBG acquisition. Our competition practice remained relatively flat during the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007 as compared to the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006, but continues to be our largest practice in terms of revenue. Our intellectual property practice decreased nearly 10% from the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2006 due to the timing and transition of projects. Our finance practice areas remained relatively flat during the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007 as compared to the twenty-four weeks ending May 12, 2006. The forensic accounting and computing practice grew more than 30%, which was partially offset by a decrease in other finance practice revenues of nearly 5%. Overall, revenues outside of the U.S. represented approximately 27% of total revenues for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, compared with 21% of total revenues for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. The growth in revenue in our foreign offices is primarily due to an increase in demand in our chemicals and petroleum practice, largely in the Middle East.

The total number of employee consultants increased to 718 at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2007 from 661 at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2006, which is primarily due to the addition of approximately 35 employee consultants from the BBG acquisition during the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as well as continued hiring and recruiting efforts. Increased billing rates for our employee consultants, which were phased in at the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2007, also contributed to our revenue growth. Utilization was 76% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 compared with 79% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Revenues derived from fixed-price engagements increased to 5.1% of total revenues for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 compared with 4.5% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006.

Costs of Services. Costs of services increased \$11.4 million, or 11.8%, to \$107.9 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$96.5 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. The increase was due mainly to an increase in compensation expense for our employee consultants of \$10.2 million, excluding NeuCo, attributable primarily to an increase in the average

number of employee consultants. Our average number of employee consultants increased because of the BBG acquisition, as well as continued recruiting and hiring efforts. Excluding NeuCo, reimbursable expenses increased \$2.2 million, or 12.8%, to \$19.8 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$17.6 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. These increases were partially offset by the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo. As a percentage of revenues, cost of services increased to 62.9% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from 61.7% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Of the 1.2% increase as a percentage of revenues, approximately 1.7% was due primarily to compensation expense for our employee consultants, which increased at a greater rate than revenues, which is partially offset by approximately 0.8% due to the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo.

In addition, prior to the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we classified our internal information technology group's labor costs as an element of "cost of services". In recent years, the information technology group gradually became less involved in client projects and more focused on internal systems. Accordingly, we recorded these costs that are not billable to clients to "selling, general and administrative expenses" in the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007 and reclassified these costs to "selling, general and administrative expenses" in the twenty-four weeks ending May 12, 2006. The effect of this reclassification was to increase the twenty-four weeks ending May 12, 2006 gross margin by \$1.8 million. For the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, the information technology group expenses totaled \$2.1 million. This classification has no effect on income from operations or net income.

Selling, General, and Administrative. Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased by \$3.3 million, or 8.2%, to \$43.2 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$40.0 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Excluding NeuCo, the increase is largely due to the increases in commissions to non-employee experts of \$1.5 million, rent expense of \$1.4 million, recruiting fees of \$1.3 million, and travel expenses of \$0.9 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease due to the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased to 25.2% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from 25.4% for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. This decrease is due primarily to the effect of the deconsolidation of NeuCo, offset partially by an increase in recruiting fees.

As detailed previously, we classified our internal information technology group's labor costs to "selling, general and administrative expenses" for all periods presented. The effect of this reclassification was to increase the twenty-four weeks ending May 12, 2006 selling, general and administrative expenses by \$1.8 million. For the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007, the information technology group expenses totaled \$2.1 million. This classification has no effect on income from operations or net income.

Interest Income. Interest income increased by \$0.7 million to \$2.9 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$2.2 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. This increase was mainly due to higher average interest rates. Our weighted average interest rate yield for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 on our average cash and cash equivalent balances was approximately 5.2% annualized compared with approximately 4.2% annualized for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006.

Interest Expense. Interest expense remained flat at \$1.5 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 and May 12, 2006. Interest expense primarily represents interest incurred on the 2.875%, \$90 million convertible debt, and the amortization of debt issuance costs.

Other Income (Expense). Other expense was \$228,000 for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 versus \$173,000 for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Other expense consists primarily

of foreign currency exchange transaction gains and losses. We continue to manage our foreign currency exchange exposure through frequent settling of intercompany account balances and by self-hedging movements in exchange rates between the value of the dollar and foreign currencies.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes was \$7.7 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, a decrease of \$0.7 million from the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Our effective income tax rate decreased to 35.3% for the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007 from 40.6% for the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2006. The lower effective tax rate during the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007 was primarily due to the signing of an advance pricing agreement we entered into with the Internal Revenue Service on May 11, 2007, in connection with intercompany transfer pricing arrangements beginning in fiscal 2004. As a result of the agreement, we reduced our income tax contingency reserves, resulting in an income tax benefit of \$1.8 million. In addition, the lower effective tax rate was due to a lower amount of nondeductible executive officer compensation disallowed under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and a lower amount of nondeductible share-based compensation expense than in the prior year. These decreases to the effective tax rate were offset by approximately \$0.4 million relating to the losses in foreign locations which were not benefited.

Minority Interest. Previously, allocations of the minority share of NeuCo's net income resulted in deductions to our net income, while allocations of the minority share of NeuCo's net loss resulted in additions to our net income. Beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, as more fully described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financials statements, we no longer consolidate NeuCo in our financials statements. Therefore, we no longer record minority interest. Minority interest in the results of operations of NeuCo allocable to its other owners was a net loss of \$141,000 for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006.

Equity Method Investment Gain (Loss), Net of Tax. Through NeuCo's acquisition of Pegasus, as more fully described in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financials statements, our interest in NeuCo has been reduced from 49.6% as of May 12, 2006, to 36.4% as of May 11, 2007. As a result, starting in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we began accounting for our investment in NeuCo under the equity method of accounting. Prior to this event, we consolidated NeuCo's financial results. We record our share in the income or losses of NeuCo as equity method investment gain (loss) in the statements of income. For the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, our equity in the losses of NeuCo was \$332,000, net of tax.

Net Income. Net income increased by \$1.3 million, or 10.7%, to \$13.7 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$12.4 million for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Diluted net income per share increased 6.9% to \$1.09 per share for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from \$1.02 per share for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. Net income increased at a greater rate than diluted net income per share because diluted weighted average shares outstanding increased 393,000 shares to approximately 12,570,000 shares for the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007 from approximately 12,177,000 shares for the twenty-four weeks ended May 12, 2006. The increase in diluted weighted average shares outstanding for fiscal 2007 is primarily due to shares issued in connection with the BBG acquisition and stock option exercises occurring after May 12, 2006, partially offset by repurchases of common stock under our share repurchase program. In addition, common stock equivalents increased from employee share awards and shares underlying CRA's convertible debt.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. In the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007, we had a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$17.7 million. We completed the quarter with cash and cash equivalents of \$113.9 million, and working capital (defined as current assets less current liabilities) of \$170.6 million.

On May 23, 2006, we acquired certain assets of BBG for \$22.9 million (after adding acquisition costs and transaction fees paid or accrued). The \$22.9 million purchase price consisted of \$17.9 million in cash and \$5.0 million in shares of our common stock, which carry restrictions with respect to when they can be sold. We funded the cash portion of the purchase price of the BBG acquisition from existing cash resources.

We believe that current cash balances, cash generated from operations, and amounts available under our bank line of credit will be sufficient to meet our anticipated working capital and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Sources and Uses of Cash in the twenty-four weeks ended May 11, 2007. During the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007, net cash used to fund operations was \$7.6 million, primarily to pay approximately \$46.0 million of fiscal 2006 accrued bonuses. The \$27.3 million decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities compared to November 25, 2006 is primarily due to the payment of fiscal 2006 bonuses during the twenty-four weeks ending May 11, 2007. The uses of cash also included an increase in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$5.6 million and excess tax benefits from share-based compensation of \$1.5 million. The sources of cash in operations include net income of \$13.7 million, which included a decrease in deferred income taxes of \$7.3 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$4.6 million, and share-based compensation expense of \$2.4 million.

We used \$4.6 million of net cash from investing activities for the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007, which included \$3.2 million for capital expenditures and \$1.4 million for the payment of additional consideration relating to acquisitions.

We used \$5.3 million of cash from financing activities for the first twenty-four weeks of fiscal 2007. Cash used in financing activities was primarily used to repurchase 257,051 shares of our common stock for approximately \$13.2 million in cash. In July 2006, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized a multi-year share repurchase program of up to a total of 500,000 shares of our common stock. The primary purpose of the repurchase plan is to offset the dilutive impact of stock options and restricted share grants that have been or may be granted to employees, independent directors, and non-employee consultants. We have now repurchased all shares authorized by the share repurchase program. This was offset by proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$6.4 million and \$1.5 million from excess tax benefits on share-based compensation pursuant to SFAS No. 123R.

Private Placement of Convertible Debt. In 2004, we completed a private placement of \$90.0 million of 2.875% convertible senior subordinated debentures due 2034. The debentures are our direct, unsecured senior subordinated obligations and rank junior in right of payment to our existing bank line of credit and any future secured indebtedness that we may designate as senior indebtedness. Interest of approximately \$1.3 million, is payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15.

As a result of our election on December 14, 2004, we must settle the conversion of the debentures, as follows: (i) \$1,000 in cash per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures converted; and (ii) in cash or shares of our common stock (at our further election, except for cash in lieu of fractional shares), any conversion obligation that exceeds the principal amount of the debentures converted.

Pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the debentures, since the closing stock price equaled or exceeded the \$50 per share contingent conversion trigger price for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on May 11, 2007, the market price conversion trigger was satisfied and holders of the debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the bonds as of the first trading day of the third quarter of fiscal 2007. This test is repeated each fiscal quarter. To date, no conversions have occurred. We believe that in the event the contingent conversion trigger price is met, it is unlikely that a significant percentage of bondholders will exercise their right to convert because the debentures have traded at a premium over their conversion value. However, since holders of the debentures are able to exercise their right to convert the bonds as of May 11, 2007 and because we intend to use amounts

available under our bank line of credit in the event debenture holders exercise their rights to convert, in accordance with SFAS No. 6, "Classification of Short-Term Obligations Expected to be Refinanced", we have classified \$86.0 million of the \$90.0 million convertible debt, which represents the estimated amount available under our line of credit, as long-term debt as of May 11, 2007, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet, while the remaining \$4.0 million is classified as short-term. Our revolving line of credit to borrow up to \$90.0 million expires on April 30, 2010 and it is our intention to renew or replace the line of credit, as desirable and available, which would allow us to continue to classify our convertible debentures as long-term debt, rather than short-term in future years. In addition, the line of credit gives us additional flexibility to meet our unforeseen financial requirements.

As early as June 15, 2011 or upon certain specified fundamental changes, we may be required to repurchase all or any portion of the debentures, at the option of each holder, which, in the event of a fundamental change involving a change of control of our firm, may include the payment of a make-whole premium.

Borrowings under the Revolving Line of Credit. We are party to a senior loan agreement with our bank for a \$90.0 million revolving line of credit with a maturity date of April 30, 2010. Subject to the terms of the agreement, we may use borrowings under this line of credit for acquisition financing, working capital, general corporate purposes, letters of credit, and foreign exchanges contracts. The available line of credit is reduced, as necessary, to account for certain letters of credit outstanding. The \$90.0 million credit facility allows us to mitigate the potential liquidity risk, and to provide funding if required, in the event of conversion by the debenture holders. Funds available under the expanded facility will allow us to continue to classify up to \$90.0 million of our convertible debentures as long-term debt, rather than short-term, and will give us additional flexibility to meet our unforeseen financial requirements. There were no amounts outstanding under this line of credit as of May 11, 2007, and the line of credit then available was \$88.3 million, reduced for letters of credit outstanding.

Borrowings under our credit facility bear interest, at our option, either at LIBOR plus an applicable margin or at the prime rate. Applicable margins range from 0.75% to 1.50%, depending on the ratio of our consolidated total debt to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, for the preceding four fiscal quarters, subject to various adjustments stated in the senior loan agreement. These margins are adjusted both quarterly and each time we borrow under the credit facility. Interest is payable monthly. A commitment fee of 0.165% is payable on the unused portion of the credit facility. Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by 100% of the stock of certain of our U.S. subsidiaries and by 65% of the stock of certain of our foreign subsidiaries, amounting to net assets of approximately \$117.0 million as of May 11, 2007.

Debt Restrictions. Under our senior loan agreement, we must comply with various financial and non-financial covenants. The financial covenants require us to maintain a minimum consolidated working capital of \$25.0 million and require us to comply with a consolidated total debt to EBITDA ratio of not more than 3.5 to 1.0 and a consolidated senior debt to EBITDA ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0. Compliance with these financial covenants is tested on a fiscal quarterly basis. In March 2005, we amended the definition of "current liabilities" included in the working capital covenant of the senior credit agreement to exclude any convertible subordinated debt for which we have not been notified of the intention to convert. The non-financial covenants of the senior credit agreement place certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, engage in acquisitions or dispositions, and enter into business combinations. Any indebtedness outstanding under the senior credit facility may become immediately due and payable upon the occurrence of stated events of default, including our failure to pay principal, interest or fees or a violation of any financial covenant.

As of May 11, 2007, we were in compliance with our covenants under the senior credit agreement.

Other Matters. As part of our business, we regularly evaluate opportunities to acquire other consulting firms, practices or groups or other businesses. In recent years, we have typically paid for acquisitions with cash, or a combination of cash and our common stock, and we may continue to do so in the future. To pay for an acquisition, we may use cash on hand, cash generated from our operations, or borrowings under our revolving credit facility, or we may pursue other forms of financing. Our ability to secure short-term and long-term debt or equity financing in the future will depend on several factors, including our future profitability, the levels of our debt and equity, restrictions under our existing line of credit with our bank, and the overall credit and equity market environments.

The purchase agreements for BBG and other acquisitions we completed in fiscal 2005 and 2004 provide for additional purchase consideration for up to five years following the transactions, if specific performance targets are met. These earnouts are payable in cash and/or our common stock. During the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we recorded an additional \$1.0 million liability related to these acquisitions, which will be paid in cash and common stock during fiscal 2007. During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, we recorded an additional \$1.5 million of purchase price, including \$1.2 million in promissory notes and \$0.3 million of our common stock, related to these acquisitions. All of the promissory notes, except for \$0.1 million, were paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2007.

In June 2007, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to a total of 1,500,000 shares of our common stock. We will finance the repurchase program with available cash and cash from future operations. We may repurchase shares in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable insider trading and other securities laws and regulations.

In connection with our acquisition of InteCap, Inc. completed in fiscal 2004, certain InteCap employees purchased an aggregate of 87,316 shares of common stock in exchange for full recourse, interest-bearing notes, maturing in June 2007, totaling approximately \$2.9 million. These notes, net of principal payments received, are recorded as a reduction of shareholders' equity as of May 11, 2007. Substantially all of these notes were paid in June 2007.

Contingencies. In connection with our BBG acquisition and other acquisitions we completed in fiscal 2005 and 2004, we agreed to pay additional consideration, contingent on the achievement of specific performance targets by the respective acquired businesses. These payments are generally required to be made in cash, and in some cases are to be paid in shares of our common stock. We believe that we will have sufficient funds to satisfy any obligations related to the contingent consideration. We expect to fund these contingent cash payments, if any, from existing cash resources, cash generated from operations, or financing transactions.

Impact of Inflation. To date, inflation has not had a material impact on our financial results. There can be no assurance, however, that inflation will not adversely affect our financial results in the future.

Factors Affecting Future Performance

Part II, Item 1A of this quarterly report sets forth risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report. If any of these risks, or any risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are not significant, develops into an actual event, then our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be adversely affected.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Exchange Risk

The majority of our operations are based in the U.S., and accordingly, the majority of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. However, we have foreign-based operations where transactions are denominated in foreign currencies and are subject to market risk with respect to fluctuations in the relative value of foreign currencies. Our primary foreign currency exposures relate to our short-term intercompany balances with our foreign subsidiaries and accounts receivable and cash valued in the United Kingdom in U.S. dollars. Our primary foreign subsidiaries have functional currencies denominated in the British pound, Australian dollar, and Euro, and foreign denominated assets and liabilities are remeasured each reporting period with any exchange gains and losses recorded in our consolidated statements of operations. We manage our foreign currency exchange exposure through frequent settling of intercompany account balances and by self-hedging movements in exchange rates between the value of the dollar and foreign currencies. Based on currency exposures existing at May 11, 2007, a hypothetical 10% movement in foreign exchange rates would not expose us to significant gains or losses in earnings or cash flows. We may use derivative instruments to manage the risk of exchange rate fluctuations. However, at May 11, 2007, we had no outstanding derivative instruments. We do not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

We maintain an investment portfolio consisting mainly of investment grade money market funds, corporate obligations and government obligations with a weighted average maturity of less than one year. These held-to-maturity securities are subject to interest rate risk. However, a hypothetical change in the interest rate of 10% would not have any impact to the fair values of these securities at May 11, 2007 primarily due to their short maturity and our intent to hold the securities to maturity. There have been no significant changes since November 25, 2006.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that we record, process, summarize and report the information we must disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Evaluation of Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we have determined that, during the second quarter of fiscal 2007, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, materially our internal control over financial reporting.

Important Considerations

The effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting is subject to various inherent limitations, including cost limitations, judgments used in decision making, assumptions about the likelihood of future events, the soundness of our systems, the

possibility of human error, and the risk of fraud. Moreover, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and the risk that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate over time. Because of these limitations, there can be no assurance that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will be successful in preventing all errors or fraud or in making all material information known in a timely manner to the appropriate levels of management.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

On March 13, 2007, an Administrative Law Judge in the matter entitled Enron Power Marketing, Inc. and Enron Energy Services, Inc. [Docket No. EL03-180-000], et al. submitted before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) a Certification of Question Regarding Suspension of Witness and Attorneys Pursuant to Rule 2102. The Administrative Law Judge’s order recommended a hearing before FERC to determine if Dr. Jan Acton, a CRA employee, CRA, and unnamed “Enron Attorneys” should be suspended from appearing and practicing before FERC based on certain data production Enron made to FERC in August 2001. CRA employees assisted Enron attorneys with the data production in 2001.

In April 2007, FERC issued an order directing the issue to Chief Administrative Law Judge Curtis Wagner for further proceedings. The Chief Administrative Law Judge recently concluded that there was absolutely no evidence that CRA or its employees engaged in any unethical or improper professional conduct in connection with the matter and recommended that the FERC terminate any further proceedings. The recommendation is still subject to the issuance of a final order by the FERC.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Our operations are subject to a number of risks. You should carefully read and consider the following risk factors, together with all other information in this report, in evaluating our business. If any of these risks, or any risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are not significant, develops into an actual event, then our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be adversely affected. If that happens, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

We depend upon key employees to generate revenue

Our business consists primarily of the delivery of professional services, and accordingly, our success depends heavily on the efforts, abilities, business generation capabilities, and project execution capabilities of our employee consultants. In particular, our employee consultants’ personal relationships with our clients are a critical element in obtaining and maintaining client engagements. If we lose the services of any employee consultant or if our employee consultants fail to generate business or otherwise fail to perform effectively, that loss or failure could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations. We do not have non-compete agreements with the majority of our employee consultants, and they can terminate their relationships with us at will and without notice. The non-competition and non-solicitation agreements that we have with some of our employee consultants offer us only limited protection and may not be enforceable in every jurisdiction.

We depend on our non-employee experts

We depend on our relationships with our exclusive non-employee experts. In fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2005, six of our exclusive non-employee experts generated engagements that accounted for approximately 7% and 13% of our revenues in those years, respectively, excluding fees charged to the

engagement by the non-employee expert and reimbursable expenses. We believe that these experts are highly regarded in their fields and that each offers a combination of knowledge, experience, and expertise that would be very difficult to replace. We also believe that we have been able to secure some engagements and attract consultants in part because we could offer the services of these experts. Most of these experts can limit their relationships with us at any time for any reason. These reasons could include affiliations with universities with policies that prohibit accepting specified engagements, the pursuit of other interests, and retirement.

As of May 11, 2007, we had restrictive covenant contracts, which could include non-competition agreements, with 49 of our non-employee experts. The limitation or termination of any of their relationships with us, or competition from any of them after these agreements expire, could harm our reputation, reduce our business opportunities and adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

To meet our long-term growth targets, we need to establish ongoing relationships with additional non-employee experts who have reputations as leading experts in their fields. We may be unable to establish relationships with any additional non-employee experts. In addition, any relationships that we do establish may not help us meet our objectives or generate the revenues or earnings that we anticipate.

Our failure to manage growth successfully could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations

Any failure on our part to manage growth successfully could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations. Over the last several years, we have continued to open offices in new geographic areas, including foreign locations, and to expand our employee base as a result of internal growth and acquisitions, including our recent acquisition of BBG. We expect that this trend will continue over the long term. Opening and managing new offices often requires extensive management supervision and increases our overall selling, general, and administrative expenses. Expansion creates new and increased management, consulting, and training responsibilities for our employee consultants. Expansion also increases the demands on our internal systems, procedures, and controls, and on our managerial, administrative, financial, marketing, and other resources. We depend heavily upon the managerial, operational, and administrative skills of our officers, particularly James C. Burrows, our President and Chief Executive Officer, to manage our expansion. New responsibilities and demands may adversely affect the overall quality of our work.

Our entry into new lines of business could adversely affect our results of operations

If we attempt to develop new practice areas or lines of business outside our core economic and business consulting services, those efforts could harm our results of operations. Our efforts in new practice areas or new lines of business involve inherent risks, including risks associated with inexperience and competition from mature participants in the markets we enter. Our inexperience may result in costly decisions that could harm our business.

Clients can terminate engagements with us at any time

Many of our engagements depend upon disputes, proceedings, or transactions that involve our clients. Our clients may decide at any time to seek to resolve the dispute or proceeding, abandon the transaction, or file for bankruptcy. Our engagements can therefore terminate suddenly and without advance notice to us. If an engagement is terminated unexpectedly, our employee consultants working on the engagement could be underutilized until we assign them to other projects. In addition, because much of our work is project-based rather than recurring in nature, our consultants' utilization depends on our ability to secure additional engagements on a continual basis. Accordingly, the termination or

significant reduction in the scope of a single large engagement could reduce our utilization and have an immediate adverse impact on our revenues and results of operations.

Regulatory and legislative changes affecting our clients, practice areas, competitors, or staff could have an impact on our business.

Many of our clients are in highly regulated industries. Regulatory and legislative changes in these industries could impact the market for our service offerings and could render our current service offerings obsolete, reduce the demand for our services, or impact the competition for consulting and expert services.

We depend on our antitrust and mergers and acquisitions consulting business

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from engagements in our antitrust and mergers and acquisitions practice areas. Any substantial reduction in the number or size of our engagements in these practice areas could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations. We derived significant revenues from engagements relating to enforcement of U.S. antitrust laws. Changes in federal antitrust laws, changes in judicial interpretations of these laws, or less vigorous enforcement of these laws as a result of changes in political appointments or priorities or for other reasons could substantially reduce our revenues from engagements in this area. In addition, adverse changes in general economic conditions, particularly conditions influencing the merger and acquisition activity of larger companies, could adversely affect engagements in which we assist clients in proceedings before the U.S. Department of Justice and the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. An economic slowdown may have an adverse effect on mergers and acquisitions activity, which would reduce the number and scope of our engagements in this practice area. Any such downturn would adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

We derive our revenues from a limited number of large engagements

We derive a portion of our revenues from a limited number of large engagements. If we do not obtain a significant number of new large engagements each year, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could suffer. In general, the volume of work we perform for any particular client varies from year to year, and due to the specific engagement nature of our practice, a major client in one year may not hire us in the following year.

Our business could suffer if we are unable to hire additional qualified consultants as employees

Our business continually requires us to hire highly qualified, highly educated consultants as employees. Our failure to recruit and retain a significant number of qualified employee consultants could limit our ability to accept or complete engagements and adversely affect our revenues and results of operations. Relatively few potential employees meet our hiring criteria, and we face significant competition for these employees from our direct competitors, academic institutions, government agencies, research firms, investment banking firms, and other enterprises. Many of these competing employers are able to offer potential employees significantly greater compensation and benefits or more attractive lifestyle choices, career paths, or geographic locations than we can. Competition for these employee consultants has increased our labor costs, and a continuation of this trend could adversely affect our margins and results of operations.

Acquisitions may disrupt our operations or adversely affect our results

We regularly evaluate opportunities to acquire other businesses. The expenses we incur evaluating and pursuing acquisitions could adversely affect our results of operations. If we acquire a business, such as our recent acquisition of BBG, we may be unable to manage it profitably or successfully integrate its

operations with our own. Moreover, we may be unable to realize the financial, operational, and other benefits we anticipate from these acquisitions or any other acquisition. Many potential acquisition targets do not meet our criteria, and for those that do, we face significant competition for these acquisitions from our direct competitors, private equity funds, and other enterprises. Competition for future acquisition opportunities in our markets could increase the price we pay for businesses we acquire and could reduce the number of potential acquisition targets. Further, acquisitions may involve a number of special financial and business risks, such as:

- charges related to any potential acquisition from which we may withdraw;
- diversion of our management's time, attention, and resources;
- decreased utilization during the integration process;
- loss of key acquired personnel;
- increased costs to improve or coordinate managerial, operational, financial, and administrative systems including compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;
- dilutive issuances of equity securities, including convertible debt securities;
- the assumption of legal liabilities;
- amortization of acquired intangible assets;
- potential write-offs related to the impairment of goodwill;
- difficulties in integrating diverse corporate cultures; and
- additional conflicts of interests.

Our international operations create special risks

We may continue our international expansion, and our international revenues may account for an increasing portion of our revenues in the future. Our international operations carry special financial and business risks, including:

- greater difficulties in managing and staffing foreign operations;
- cultural differences that result in lower utilization;
- currency fluctuations that adversely affect our financial position and operating results;
- unexpected changes in trading policies, regulatory requirements, tariffs, and other barriers;
- greater difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
- longer sales cycles;
- restrictions on the repatriation of earnings;
- potentially adverse tax consequences, such as trapped foreign losses;
- differences in the legal and regulatory environment in foreign jurisdictions, as well as U.S. laws and regulations related to our foreign operations;
- less stable political and economic environments; and
- civil disturbances or other catastrophic events that reduce business activity.

We conduct a portion of our business in the Middle East. At times, the ongoing terrorist activity and military and other conflicts in the region have significantly interrupted our business operations in

that region and have slowed the flow of new opportunities and proposals, which ultimately have adversely affected our revenues and results of operations.

If our international revenues increase relative to our total revenues, these factors could have a more pronounced effect on our operating results.

Our debt obligations may adversely impact our financial performance

In June and July of 2004, we issued a total of \$90.0 million of 2.875% convertible senior subordinated debentures due 2034. We had previously operated with little or no debt, and our previous payments of interest had not been material. The interest we are required to pay on these debentures reduces our net income each year and will continue to do so until the debentures are no longer outstanding. The terms of the debentures also include provisions that could accelerate our obligation to repay all amounts outstanding under the debentures if certain events happen, such as our failure to pay interest in a timely manner, failure to pay principal upon redemption or repurchase, failure to deliver cash, shares of common stock, or other property upon conversion and other specified events of default. In addition, on June 15, 2011, June 15, 2014, June 15, 2019, June 15, 2024 and June 15, 2029, or following specified fundamental changes, holders of the debentures may require us to repurchase their debentures for cash. On December 14, 2004, we irrevocably elected to settle with cash 100% of the principal amount of the debentures upon conversion thereof, and holders of the debentures may convert them if our stock price exceeds \$50 per share for at least 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter. On April 27, 2007, the last reported sales price of our common stock was greater than \$50 per share for the twentieth day in the thirty consecutive trading day period ending on the last day of the second fiscal quarter ending on May 11, 2007. Because of this occurrence, holders of the debentures may convert the bonds during our third fiscal quarter ended August 31, 2007. This test is repeated each fiscal quarter. To date, no conversions have occurred. On June 20, 2005, we amended our loan agreement with our bank to increase the existing line of credit from \$40.0 million to \$90.0 million to mitigate the potential liquidity risk, and to provide funding if required, in the event of conversion by the debenture holders. We intend to use the amounts available under our bank line of credit, in the event debenture holders exercise their rights to convert. The degree to which we are leveraged could adversely affect our ability to obtain further financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes and could make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures.

Our clients may be unable to pay us for our services

Our clients include some companies that may from time to time encounter financial difficulties. If a client's financial difficulties become severe, the client may be unwilling or unable to pay our invoices in the ordinary course of business, which could adversely affect collections of both our accounts receivable and unbilled services. On occasion, some of our clients have entered bankruptcy, which has prevented us from collecting amounts owed to us. The bankruptcy of a client with a substantial account receivable could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. A small number of clients who have paid sizable invoices later declared bankruptcy, and a court determination that we were not properly entitled to that payment may require repayment of some or all of the amount we received, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Fluctuations in our quarterly revenues and results of operations could depress the market price of our common stock

We may experience significant fluctuations in our revenues and results of operations from one quarter to the next. If our revenues or net income in a quarter or our guidance for future periods fall

below the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the market price of our common stock could fall significantly. Our results of operations in any quarter can fluctuate for many reasons, including:

- the number of weeks in our fiscal quarter;
- the number, scope, and timing of ongoing client engagements;
- the extent to which we can reassign our employee consultants efficiently from one engagement to the next;
- the extent to which our employee consultants take holiday, vacation, and sick time;
- employee hiring;
- the extent of fees discounting or cost overruns;
- fluctuations related to our investment in NeuCo, Inc., including charges for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of our investment in NeuCo;
- fluctuations in our provision for income taxes due to changes in income arising in various tax jurisdictions, valuation allowances, non-deductible expenses, and changes in estimates for tax contingencies;
- severe weather conditions and other factors affecting employee productivity; and
- collectibility of receivables and unbilled work in process.

Because we generate the majority of our revenues from consulting services that we provide on an hourly fee basis, our revenues in any period are directly related to the number of our employee consultants, their billing rates, and the number of billable hours they work in that period. We have a limited ability to increase any of these factors in the short term. Accordingly, if we underutilize our consultants during one part of a fiscal period, we may be unable to compensate by augmenting revenues during another part of that period. In addition, we are occasionally unable to utilize fully any additional consultants that we hire, particularly in the quarter in which we hire them. Moreover, a significant majority of our operating expenses, primarily office rent and salaries, are fixed in the short term. As a result, if our revenues fail to meet our projections in any quarter, that could have a disproportionate adverse effect on our net income. For these reasons, we believe our historical results of operations are not necessarily indicative of our future performance.

We enter into fixed-price engagements

We derive a portion of our revenues from fixed-price contracts. These contracts are more common in our business consulting practice, and would likely grow in number with any expansion of that practice. If we fail to estimate accurately the resources required for a fixed-price project or fail to satisfy our contractual obligations in a manner consistent with the project budget, we might generate a smaller profit or incur a loss on the project. On occasion, we have had to commit unanticipated additional resources to complete projects, and we may have to take similar action in the future, which could adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

Potential conflicts of interests may preclude us from accepting some engagements

We provide our services primarily in connection with significant or complex transactions, disputes, or other matters that are usually adversarial or that involve sensitive client information. Our engagement by a client may preclude us from accepting engagements with the client's competitors or adversaries because of conflicts between their business interests or positions on disputed issues or other reasons. Accordingly, the nature of our business limits the number of both potential clients and potential engagements. Our recent acquisitions have significantly expanded our client base, which may

increase the frequency with which we encounter conflicts of interest. Moreover, in many industries in which we provide consulting services, such as in the telecommunications industry, there has been a continuing trend toward business consolidations and strategic alliances. These consolidations and alliances reduce the number of potential clients for our services and increase the chances that we will be unable to continue some of our ongoing engagements or accept new engagements as a result of conflicts of interests.

Maintaining our professional reputation is crucial to our future success

Our ability to secure new engagements and hire qualified consultants as employees depends heavily on our overall reputation as well as the individual reputations of our employee consultants and principal non-employee experts. Because we obtain a majority of our new engagements from existing clients or from referrals by those clients, any client that is dissatisfied with our performance on a single matter could seriously impair our ability to secure new engagements. Given the frequently high-profile nature of the matters on which we work, including work before and on behalf of government agencies, any factor that diminishes our reputation or the reputations of any of our employee consultants or non-employee experts could make it substantially more difficult for us to compete successfully for both new engagements and qualified consultants.

Competition from other economic and business consulting firms could hurt our business

The market for economic and business consulting services is intensely competitive, highly fragmented, and subject to rapid change. We may be unable to compete successfully with our existing competitors or with any new competitors. In general, there are few barriers to entry into our markets, and we expect to face additional competition from new entrants into the economic and business consulting industries. In the legal, regulatory, and financial consulting market, we compete primarily with other economic and financial consulting firms and individual academics. In the business consulting market, we compete primarily with other business and management consulting firms, specialized or industry-specific consulting firms, the consulting practices of large accounting firms, and the internal professional resources of existing and potential clients. Many of our competitors have national or international reputations as well as significantly greater personnel, financial, managerial, technical, and marketing resources than we do, which could enhance their ability to respond more quickly to technological changes, to finance acquisitions, and to fund internal growth. Some of our competitors also have a significantly broader geographic presence than we do.

Our engagements may result in professional liability

Our services typically involve difficult analytical assignments and carry risks of professional and other liability. Many of our engagements involve matters that could have a severe impact on the client's business, cause the client to lose significant amounts of money, or prevent the client from pursuing desirable business opportunities. Accordingly, if a client is dissatisfied with our performance, the client could threaten or bring litigation in order to recover damages or to contest its obligation to pay our fees. Litigation alleging that we performed negligently or otherwise breached our obligations to the client could expose us to significant liabilities and tarnish our reputation.

We could incur substantial costs protecting our proprietary rights from infringement or defending against a claim of infringement

As a professional services organization, we rely on non-competition and non-solicitation agreements with many of our employees and non-employee experts to protect our proprietary business interests. These agreements, however, may offer us only limited protection and may not be enforceable in every jurisdiction. In addition, we may incur substantial costs trying to enforce these agreements.

Our services may involve the development of custom business processes or solutions for specific clients. In some cases, the clients retain ownership or impose restrictions on our ability to use the business processes or solutions developed from these projects. Issues relating to the ownership of business processes or solutions can be complicated, and disputes could arise that affect our ability to resell or reuse business processes or solutions we develop for clients.

In recent years, there has been significant litigation in the U.S. involving patents and other intellectual property rights. We could incur substantial costs in prosecuting or defending any intellectual property litigation, which could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our proprietary rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity. Any such resulting litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Any failure by us to protect our proprietary rights could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our reported earnings per share may be more volatile because of the accounting standards, rules, and regulations as they relate to the dilutive effect of our convertible senior subordinated debentures

Holders of our 2.875% convertible senior subordinated debentures due 2034 may convert the debentures only under certain circumstances, including certain stock price-related conversion contingencies. As further described in Note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements, we determine the effect of the debentures on earnings per share under the treasury stock method of accounting. The treasury stock method of accounting allows us to report dilution only when our average stock price per share for the reporting period exceeds the \$40 conversion price and only to the extent of the additional shares we may be required to issue in the event our conversion obligation exceeds the principal amount of the debentures converted. Accordingly, volatility in our stock price could cause volatility in our reported diluted earnings per share.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely and may continue to do so. For example, from May 12, 2006, to May 11, 2007, the trading price of our common stock ranged from a high of \$54.94 per share to a low of \$41.50 per share. Many factors could cause the market price of our common stock to rise and fall. Some of these factors are:

- variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- the hiring or departure of key personnel or non-employee experts;
- changes in our professional reputation;
- the introduction of new services by us or our competitors;
- acquisitions or strategic alliances involving us or our competitors;
- changes in accounting principles or methods, such as SFAS No. 123R and FASB Interpretation No. 47;
- changes in estimates of our performance or recommendations by securities analysts;
- future sales of shares of common stock in the public market; and
- market conditions in the industry and the economy as a whole.

In addition, the stock market often experiences significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. When the market price of a company's stock drops significantly, shareholders often institute securities class action litigation against that company. Any litigation against us could cause us to incur substantial costs, divert the time and attention of our management and other resources, or otherwise harm our business.

Our charter and by-laws and Massachusetts law may deter takeovers

Our amended and restated articles of organization and amended and restated by-laws and Massachusetts law contain provisions that could have anti-takeover effects and that could discourage, delay, or prevent a change in control or an acquisition that our shareholders and debenture holders may find attractive. These provisions may also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for our shareholders to take some corporate actions, including the election of directors. These provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay for shares of our common stock.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information about our repurchases of shares of our common stock during the twelve weeks ended May 11, 2007. During that period, we did not act in concert with any affiliate or any other person to acquire any of our common stock and, accordingly, we do not believe that purchases by any such affiliate or other person (if any) are reportable in the following table. For purposes of this table, we have divided the quarter into three equal periods of four weeks.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

<u>Period</u>	<u>(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>(b) Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)(2)</u>	<u>(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
February 17, 2007, to March 16, 2007	—	—	—	—
March 17, 2007, to April 13, 2007	257,051(2)	\$ 51.42	257,051	—
April 14, 2007, to May 11, 2007	—	—	—	—

(1) We are a party to a stock restriction agreement with certain shareholders under which we have specified rights to repurchase shares of common stock held by them, as described in more detail in our proxy statement for our 2007 annual meeting of shareholders under the heading "Transactions with Related Parties—Stock restriction agreement."

(2) On July 26, 2006, we issued a press release announcing that our board of directors has approved the repurchase from time to time of up to 500,000 shares of our common stock. During the period covered by this report, we purchased the remaining shares authorized under this plan.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On April 20, 2007, we held our annual meeting of shareholders. Our shareholders elected James C. Burrows and Carl Shapiro to serve as Class III directors for three-year terms. In addition, the terms of office of our other directors, Basil L. Anderson, William F. Concannon, Ronald T. Maheu, Rowland T. Moriarty, Nancy L. Rose, and Steven C. Salop continued after our annual meeting of shareholders. Our shareholders also voted to approve our Cash Incentive Plan and to ratify the appointment by our audit committee of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending November 24, 2007.

The votes cast to elect our Class III directors were:

<u>Director</u>	<u>Votes For</u>	<u>Votes Withheld</u>
James C. Burrows	9,599,758	734,861
Carl Shapiro	9,146,656	1,187,963

The votes cast to approve our Cash Incentive Plan were:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstain</u>
9,125,085	1,198,996	10,538

The votes cast to ratify the appointment by our audit committee of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending November 24, 2007 were:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstain</u>
10,277,345	55,141	2,133

ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.1	CRA International, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended as of April 19, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2007)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of principal executive officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of principal financial officer
32.1	Section 1350 certification

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Date: June 20, 2007

By: /s/ JAMES C. BURROWS
 James C. Burrows
 President, Chief Executive Officer

Date: June 20, 2007

By: /s/ WAYNE D. MACKIE
 Wayne D. Mackie
 *Executive Vice President, Treasurer,
 Chief Financial Officer*

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.1	CRA International, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended as of April 19, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2007)
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of principal executive officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of principal financial officer
32.1	Section 1350 certification