UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q
[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005
OR
[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period fromto
Commission file number 0-20725
SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)
<u>Delaware</u> (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) 94-3187233 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2207 Bridgepointe Parkway San Mateo, CA 94404 (Address of Principal Executive Offices, Including Zip Code)
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES [X] NO []
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES [X] NO []
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES $[\]$ NO $[X]$
The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$.001 per share, as of October 27, 2005 was 529,091,606.

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC. FORM 10-Q For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2005

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
a) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005	1
b) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2005	2
c) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2005	3
d) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	64
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	66
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	67
Item 6. Exhibits	68
Signature	70

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except per share data; unaudited)

	December 31, 2004		September 3 2005		
<u>Assets</u>			`		
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents		560,377	\$	566,071	
Short-term investments		1,686,111		1,681,105	
Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments		2,246,488		2,247,176	
Accounts receivable, net		293,527		229,190	
Deferred income taxes		17,542		23,526	
Prepaids and other		53,894		44,224	
Total current assets		2,611,451		2,544,116	
Property and equipment, net		83,908		61,759	
Goodwill		208,306		296,897	
Intangible assets, net		23,004		35,769	
Other assets		36,937		33,360	
Deferred income taxes		123,828		116,487	
Total assets	\$	3,087,434	\$	3,088,388	
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$	10,048	\$	20,346	
Accrued expenses		346,672		298,569	
Restructuring obligations		30,639		37,276	
Deferred revenue		357,223		315,170	
Total current liabilities		744,582		671,361	
Restructuring obligations, less current portion		75,227		106,824	
Other long-term liabilities		20,981		24,084	
Total liabilities		840,790		802,269	
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders' equity:					
Common stock; \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized;					
508,953 and 528,010 shares issued and outstanding, respectively		509		528	
Additional paid-in capital		1,635,652		1,765,748	
Deferred compensation		(2,993)		(13,747)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		70,541		22,989	
Retained earnings		542,935		510,601	
Total stockholders' equity		2,246,644		2,286,119	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	3,087,434	\$	3,088,388	

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) (in thousands, except per share data; unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2004		2005	-	2004		2005
Revenues:								
Software license	\$	104,579	\$	112,128	\$	326,207	\$	265,434
Professional services, maintenance and other		212,507		235,729		621,225	_	694,958
Total revenues		317,086		347,857		947,432	_	960,392
Cost of revenues:								
Software license		3,010		4,327		9,054		12,107
Professional services, maintenance and other		109,146		118,773		325,410	_	352,581
Total cost of revenues		112,156		123,100		334,464	_	364,688
Gross margin		204,930		224,757		612,968	_	595,704
Operating expenses:								
Product development		70,310		64,036		219,070		211,901
Sales and marketing		76,668		92,469		241,618		270,889
General and administrative		27,243		23,607		76,587		76,033
Restructuring and other charges		6,742		8,477		6,307		83,044
Purchased in-process product development						6,000		10,890
Total operating expenses		180,963		188,589		549,582		652,757
Operating income (loss)		23,967		36,168		63,386	_	(57,053)
Other income (expense), net:								
Interest and other income, net		11,698		16,930		33,804		49,013
Interest expense		(174)		(97)		(728)		(748)
Total other income (expense), net		11,524		16,833		33,076	_	48,265
Income (loss) before income taxes		35,491		53,001		96,462		(8,788)
Income taxes		17,161		18,286		39,631	_	10,504
Net income (loss)	\$	18,330	\$	34,715	\$	56,831	<u>\$</u>	(19,292)
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$	0.03	\$	0.06	\$	0.11	\$	(0.04)
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$	0.04	\$	0.07	\$	0.11	\$	(0.04)
Shares used in diluted share computation		533,303		545,213		540,416		518,833
Shares used in basic share computation	_	506,706		524,856		503,983		518,833
Comprehensive income (loss):								
Net income (loss)	\$	18,330	\$	34,715	\$	56,831	\$	(19,292)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes:								
Foreign currency translation adjustments		4,354		1,014		(2,305)		(39,882)
Realized loss (gain) on marketable investments previously								
recognized in other comprehensive income		(88)		94		(1,198)		308
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net		3,690		(4,595)		(5,781)	_	(7,977)
Other comprehensive income (loss)		7,956	_	(3,487)		(9,284)	_	(47,551)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$	26,286	\$	31,228	\$	47,547	\$	(66,843)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands; unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30.

	September 30,			50,
		2004		2005
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$	56,831	\$	(19,292)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash				
provided by operating activities:				
Write-off of property and equipment abandoned in restructuring		1,908		4,376
Write-off of purchased in-process product development		6,000		10,890
Depreciation and other amortization.		84,356		47,507
Amortization of identifiable intangible assets		7,219		9,117
Stock-based compensation, net		2,494		5,725
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts and sales returns		(939)		
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		10,400		51,379
Deferred income taxes		(1,647)		(871)
Unrealized foreign currency gain		(4,002)		(32,360)
Net realized loss (gain) on cost-method investments.		1,820		(1,900)
Net realized loss (gain) on marketable investments		(1,997)		285
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		(1,,,,,,)		203
Accounts receivable		53,857		68,833
Prepaids and other		9,279		12,279
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(2,136)		(60,440)
Restructuring obligations.		(38,120)		38,153
Deferred revenue		5,539		(37,309)
Net cash provided by operating activities		190,862		96,372
Cash flows from investing activities:		(1.209.040)		((24.207)
Purchases of short-term investments		(1,398,049)		(634,287)
Sales and maturities of short-term investments.		1,168,428		616,029
Purchases of property and equipment, net		(7,790)		(10,038)
Proceeds from sale of venture investments				4,998
Purchase consideration for acquired businesses, net of cash received		(76,556)		(108,345)
Net cash used in investing activities		(313,967)		(131,643)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		57,368		62,165
Dividends paid to shareholders				(13,042)
Repayments of capital lease obligations		(9,398)		(5,775)
Net cash provided by financing activities		47,970		43,348
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash		(1,823)		(2,383)
Change in cash and cash equivalents		(76,958)		5,694
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period.		546,542		560,377
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period			\$	566,071
r	<u> </u>			

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Siebel Systems, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Company"). The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on substantially the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Certain information and disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations regarding interim financial statements. All amounts included herein related to the condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2005 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 are unaudited. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

In the opinion of management, these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, except as otherwise noted, necessary for their fair presentation. The interim results presented are not necessarily indicative of results for any subsequent quarter or for the year ending December 31, 2005. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Use of Estimates

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires that the Company make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns, fair value of investments, fair value of acquired intangible assets and goodwill, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, income taxes, restructuring obligations, and contingencies and litigation, among others. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by management with respect to these and other items.

Accounting for Income Taxes

Historically, the Company has calculated the provision for income taxes in interim periods using an estimate of the annual effective tax rate. In the second quarter of 2005, the Company concluded that as a result of the uncertainty of license revenues for the remainder of the year, and the fact that the Company's income tax rate is highly dependent upon accurate license revenue projections due to relatively large nondeductible expenses, a small variation in projected net income could have a significant impact on the Company's effective tax rate. Accordingly, consistent with footnote 7 of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods," the provision for income taxes in the accompanying unaudited condensed statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 is based on the actual effective tax rate for the three- and nine-month period.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for its employee stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method, as prescribed by APB No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and interpretations thereof (collectively referred to as "APB 25"). Accordingly, the Company records deferred compensation costs, if any, related to its stock-based compensation as follows (all references in this document to market price on the date of grant have the meaning set forth in the applicable plan):

- Stock options—The Company records and measures deferred compensation for stock options granted to its employees and members of its Board of Directors when the market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the stock option on the date of grant. The Company records and measures deferred compensation for stock options granted to non-employees based on the fair value of the stock options.
- Restricted stock and restricted stock units ("RSUs")—The Company records and measures deferred
 compensation for restricted stock and RSUs based on the market price of the Company's common stock on
 the date of grant.

Deferred compensation is expensed on a straight-line basis over the respective vesting period of the equity instrument. The terms of the Company's stock options and restricted stock grants vary, but most commonly provide for ratable vesting over five years, with 20% of the underlying shares vesting one year from grant and the remaining shares vesting 5% quarterly thereafter. The terms of the Company's RSU grants also vary, but generally provide for the underlying shares to vest over a period of approximately one to five years. The Company did not grant any stock options at exercise prices below the fair market value of the Company's common stock during any period presented.

An alternative to the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation is the fair value approach prescribed by SFAS No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure" (collectively referred to as "SFAS 123"). If the Company followed the fair value approach, the Company would record deferred compensation based on the fair value of the stock option on the date of grant as determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The deferred compensation calculated under the fair value method would then be amortized on a straight-line basis over the respective vesting period of the stock option.

As required by SFAS 123, the Company has prepared a reconciliation of its earnings as reported on the statement of operations to the earnings that the Company would have reported if it had followed SFAS 123 in accounting for its stock-based compensation arrangements. In accordance with SFAS 123, in preparing this reconciliation the Company has first added back all stock-based compensation expense reflected in its statement of operations, then deducted the stock-based employee compensation expense determined under SFAS 123. Summarized below are the pro forma effects on the Company's earnings and earnings per share as if the Company had elected to use the fair value approach prescribed by SFAS 123 to account for its employee stock-based compensation plans, including its employee stock purchase plan (the "Purchase Plan") (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				onths Ended ember 30,		
		2004		2005	2004		2005
Net income (loss):							
As reported	\$	18,330	\$	34,715	\$ 56,831	\$	(19,292)
Compensation expense related to:							
Stock options dilutive to stockholders:							
Stock-based compensation accounted for under APB 25		386		1,202	2,494		5,725
In-the-money stock options and restricted stock units		(584)		(5,519)	(3,140)		(17,587)
Stock purchase rights under the Purchase Plan		(2,554)		(2,047)	(8,071)		(6,441)
Subtotal		(2,752)		(6,364)	(8,717)		(18,303)
Stock options not dilutive to stockholders:							
Out-of-the-money stock options		(31,062)		(16,133)	(106, 132)		(63,556)
Stock options forfeited in connection with terminations		(4,047)			 (15,810)		(1,896)
Subtotal		(35,109)		(16,133)	(121,942)		(65,452)
Total pro forma expense giving effect to SFAS 123		(37,861)		(22,497)	(130,659)		(83,755)
Tax benefit related to SFAS 123 expense							2,287
Pro forma net income (loss) giving effect to SFAS 123	\$	(19,531)	\$	12,218	\$ (73,828)	\$	(100,760)
Diluted net income (loss) per share:							
As reported	\$	0.03	\$	0.06	\$ 0.11	\$	(0.04)
Pro forma giving effect to SFAS 123		(0.04)	\$	0.02	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.19)
Basic net income (loss) per share:							
As reported	\$	0.04	\$	0.07	\$ 0.11	\$	(0.04)
Pro forma giving effect to SFAS 123	\$	(0.04)	\$	0.02	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.19)

The Company has provided a tax benefit on the pro forma expense in the above table in a manner consistent with the Company's accounting for deferred tax assets resulting from the exercise of employee stock options in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the Company has not provided a tax benefit on the pro forma expense in the above table during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2004, or the three months ended September 30, 2005.

During the first quarter of 2005, the Company was able to utilize certain net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards associated with stock option exercises that occurred in previous years as part of a settlement with the IRS. Please refer to Note 4 for further discussion of this settlement. As a result of the utilization of these NOLs, the Company has reflected a \$51.4 million increase to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. In accordance with SFAS 123, the Company's tax benefit in the above table associated with this utilization of NOLs is limited to the lesser of the actual tax benefit in the Company's income tax return or the SFAS 123 pro forma expense associated with these same options. As a result of the tax benefit exceeding the SFAS 123 pro forma expense, the Company's pro forma tax benefit was limited to \$2.3 million compared to the \$51.4 million tax benefit reflected as an increase to additional paid-in capital.

In-the-money stock options in the above table have exercise prices below the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the end of each of the respective periods, and out-of-the-money stock options have exercise prices equal to or greater than the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the end of each of the respective periods. The closing prices as of September 30, 2004 and 2005 were \$7.54 and \$10.33, respectively.

As the table above illustrates, total pro forma expense for stock options includes \$35.1 million and \$121.9 million of expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, and \$16.1 million and \$65.5 million of expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, related to (i) stock options forfeited by employees upon termination for no consideration and (ii) stock options that are significantly out of the money (e.g., the weighted-average exercise price of the out-of-the-money stock options was \$20.89, compared to a closing price of \$10.33 per share as of September 30, 2005). These items represented 93% of the pro forma expense for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, and 72% and 78% of the pro forma expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

The Company determined the assumptions used in computing the fair value of its stock options and stock purchase rights issued under its Purchase Plan as follows:

Expected Life

In determining the appropriate expected life of its stock options, the Company segregates its optionholders into the following categories: (i) CEO and members of its Board of Directors, (ii) officers and (iii) non-officer employees. The Company determined these categories based on its experience that its CEO, officers and members of its Board of Directors generally hold stock options for longer periods than its non-officer employees.

The Company estimated the expected useful lives for each of these categories giving consideration to (i) the weighted average vesting periods (e.g., options that vest over five years have a weighted-average vesting period of 2.7 years), (ii) the contractual lives of the stock options, (iii) the relationship between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock, (iv) expected employee turnover, (v) the expected future volatility of the Company's common stock, and (v) past and expected exercise behavior, among other factors.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company's weighted average expected life assumption increased compared to 2004, primarily due to (i) an increase in the weighted average vesting period and (ii) the continued low levels of volatility of the Company's common stock (i.e., lower volatility historically has resulted in a longer stock option life). The weighted average vesting period was relatively consistent from the third quarter of 2004 to the third quarter of 2005.

Volatility

The Company estimated expected volatility by giving consideration to the expected useful lives of the stock options, the Company's current expected growth rate, implied expected volatility in traded options for the Company's common stock, and recent volatility of the Company's common stock, among other factors. In considering these factors, the Company noted that (i) the stock market in general, and its common stock in particular, have continued to become less volatile; and (ii) implied volatility in traded options for the Company's common stock has continued to decrease relative to previous periods.

Risk-Free Interest Rate

The Company estimated the risk-free interest rate using the U.S. Treasury bill rate for the relevant expected life.

Dividend Yield

Given the pendency of the acquisition of the Company by Oracle Corporation as discussed in Note 3 below, the Company does not plan to grant further dividends. Therefore the Company has calculated the annual dividend yield at 0% for use in the valuation of stock options granted in the third quarter of 2005.

The fair value of stock options was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Month	rs Ended	Nine Montl	ns Ended		
_	Septembe	er 30,	September 30,			
	2004	2005	2004	2005		
Risk-free interest rate	3.06 %	4.00 %	3.00 %	4.05 %		
Expected life (in years)	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.8		
Expected volatility	41 %	35 %	41 %	38 %		
Dividend yield				0.3 %		

Using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, stock options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 had weighted average fair values of \$2.60 and \$3.20 per share, respectively, as compared to weighted average fair values of \$2.66 and \$2.98 per share during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

The fair value of employees' stock purchase rights granted under the Purchase Plan was estimated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Three Month	ns Ended	Nine Montl	ns Ended
_	Septembe	er 30,	Septemb	er 30,
	2004	2005	2004	2005
Risk-free interest rate	1.71 %	3.74 %	1.40 %	3.22 %
Expected life (in years)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Expected volatility	46 %	34 %	46 %	36 %

The weighted average fair value of the common stock purchase rights granted under the Purchase Plan during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 was \$2.22 and \$2.85 per share, respectively, as compared to a weighted average fair value of \$2.13 and \$2.20 per share during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, including the 15% discount from the quoted market price.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004) "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R"), a revision to SFAS 123. SFAS 123R addresses all forms of share-based payment ("SBP") awards, including shares issued under the Purchase Plan, stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights. SFAS 123R will require the Company to record compensation expense for SBP awards based on the fair value of the SBP awards.

Under SFAS 123R, restricted stock and restricted stock units will generally be valued by reference to the market value of freely tradable shares of the Company's common stock. Stock options, stock appreciation rights and shares issued under the Purchase Plan will generally be valued at fair value determined through an option valuation model, such as a lattice model or the Black-Scholes option valuation model (the model that the Company currently uses for its footnote disclosure). SFAS 123R is effective for annual fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005 and, accordingly, the Company must adopt the new accounting provisions effective January 1, 2006. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the

Company expects that the on-going application of SFAS 123R subsequent to adoption will result in a reduction to its quarterly stated earnings for 2006 of up to \$30.0 million per quarter.

Income Taxes

In December 2004, the FASB issued two FASB Staff Positions ("FSP") related to the recently enacted American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("AJCA"). FSP No. 109-1 "Application of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities" ("FSP 109-1") requires companies that qualify for a deduction for domestic production activities under the AJCA to account for the deduction as a special deduction under FASB Statement No. 109.

FSP No. 109-2 "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004" ("FSP 109-2") allows companies additional time to evaluate whether foreign earnings will be repatriated under the repatriation provisions of the AJCA and requires specified disclosures for companies needing the additional time to complete the evaluation. Once a decision is made to repatriate the foreign earnings, companies must reflect the deferred tax liabilities attributable to foreign earnings in the period that the decision is made to remit those earnings. Based on the Company's analysis to date, it is reasonably possible that the Company may repatriate between zero and approximately \$156 million of foreign earnings, with the associated tax liability ranging between zero and approximately \$9 million.

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154 "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements" ("SFAS 154"). SFAS 154 will require companies to account for and apply changes in accounting principles retrospectively to prior periods' financial statements, instead of recording a cumulative effect adjustment within the period of the change, unless it is impracticable to determine the effects of the change to each period being presented. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes made in annual periods beginning after December 15, 2005 and, accordingly, the Company must adopt the new accounting provisions effective January 1, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS 154 to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

2. Restructuring Obligations and Other Charges

During 2002, 2003 and 2004, the Company initiated a series of restructurings designed to better align its operating structure with expected revenue levels (the "2002 Restructuring," the "2003 Restructuring" and the "2004 Restructuring," respectively). The 2002 Restructuring and 2003 Restructuring included the following key measures: (i) the reduction of the Company's workforce across all functional areas; (ii) the consolidation of its excess facilities; (iii) the abandonment of certain long-lived assets, including leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures; and (iv) the transfer of certain technical support, quality assurance and other product development positions to labor markets with lower cost structures. The 2004 Restructuring consisted primarily of the consolidation of additional facilities (located primarily in North America and Asia Pacific) and the abandonment of the related leasehold improvements and furniture and fixtures.

In order to continue to reduce expense levels and to further improve the Company's operating margins, the Company initiated additional restructurings of its operations during the second and third quarters of 2005 (the "2005 Restructurings" and collectively with the 2002 Restructuring, the 2003 Restructuring, and the 2004 Restructuring, the "Restructurings"). The 2005 Restructurings included the following key actions: (i) the reduction of the Company's workforce across all functional areas; (ii) the consolidation of its excess facilities; and (iii) the abandonment of certain long-lived assets, including leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures. As a result of the 2005 Restructurings, the Company realized quarterly expense savings of approximately \$6.4 million in the third quarter of 2005, and expects to realize on-going total quarterly expense savings of approximately \$7.1 million, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The following table summarizes the restructuring and related expenses incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, and the remaining obligations related to the Restructurings as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Employee Termination Costs (1)	Facility- Related Costs (2)	Asset Abandonment Costs (3)	Total
Restructuring obligations, December 31, 2004	\$ 265	\$ 105,601	\$	\$ 105,866
Restructuring and related expenses:				
Recognized related to the 2005 Restructurings (4)	6,307	51,005	4,378	61,690
Recognized related to changes in estimates (5)		12,262		12,262
Accretion related to the Restructurings (6)		1,937		1,937
Total (7)	6,307	65,204	4,378	75,889
Cash payments	(3,816)	(31,127)		(34,943)
Non-cash charges	(2)	(1,109)	(4,378)	(5,489)
Deferred rent liability (8)	<u></u>	2,777	<u></u>	2,777
Restructuring obligations, September 30, 2005	\$ 2,754	\$ 141,346	\$	\$ 144,100
Less: Restructuring obligations, short-term				37,276
Restructuring obligations, long-term				\$ 106,824

- (1) The costs associated with the Company's workforce reductions consist primarily of severance payments, COBRA benefits, payroll taxes and other associated termination costs incurred in connection with the 2003 and 2005 Restructurings. As of September 30, 2005, the remaining obligations relate to approximately 40 employee terminations that have yet to be completed worldwide. The Company expects to complete these terminations in 2005 and 2006.
- (2) The costs associated with the Company's facilities consolidation primarily relate to lease termination costs, costs associated with satisfying remaining lease commitments, and expected brokerage and other re-letting costs, partially offset by estimated sublease income. Additionally, the Company recorded a non-cash charge equal to the prepaid rent related to the restructured properties.
- (3) As part of the consolidation of the Company's facilities, certain leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures were abandoned. As a result, the Company recorded a non-cash charge equal to the net book value of these abandoned assets in restructuring and related expenses.
- (4) In accordance with SFAS 146, the Company recorded the facility-related expenses incurred in the 2005 Restructurings after giving effect to the net present value of the related obligations.
- (5) The Company revised its estimated obligations with respect to the facility-related expenses incurred in the 2002 Restructuring and 2003 Restructuring during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Similarly, during the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company revised its estimated obligations with respect to the facility-related expenses incurred in the restructuring initiated in the second quarter of 2005. Due primarily to the real estate markets in which the Company operates remaining at depressed levels longer than originally anticipated, the Company extended the estimated sublease commencement dates and/or reduced the estimated sublease rates on certain restructured properties. Partially offsetting these reductions in estimated sublease income were favorable changes in estimates that resulted from the Company entering into subleases sooner and/or at higher sublease rates than originally anticipated on certain other restructured properties.
- (6) The Company will continue to accrete its obligations related to the Restructurings to the then present value and, accordingly, will recognize additional accretion expense as a restructuring and related expense in future periods.
- (7) The following is a summary of the net restructuring and related expenses recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended
	Jui	<u>ne 30, 2005</u>	 September 30, 2005	_	September 30, 2005
Restructuring and related expenses:					
2005 Restructuring-related	\$	52,783	\$ 8,907	\$	61,690
Changes in estimates		12,761	(499)		12,262
Accretion		952	 985		1,937
Total restructuring and related expenses	\$	66,496	\$ 9,393	\$	75,889

(8) The Company reclassified to restructuring obligations the previously recorded deferred rent liability related to the restructured properties.

Restructuring and related expenses were approximately \$6.7 million and \$6.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, primarily related to the consolidation of the Company's excess facilities and the abandonment of certain long-lived assets. During the first six months of 2004, the Company revised it's estimates of employee termination costs with respect to the 2003 Restructuring due primarily to higher than expected voluntary terminations.

The following table summarizes the time period in which the Company anticipates settling its remaining restructuring obligations as of September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Leases	Other	Estimated	Total
	in the	Restructuring-	Sublease	Restructuring
	Restructurings (1)	Related Costs (2)	Income (3)	Obligations
Three months ending December 31, 2005	\$ 7,162	\$ 6,630	\$ (3,237)	\$ 10,555
Year ending December 31, 2006	41,756	12,062	(15,598)	38,220
Year ending December 31, 2007	35,011	8,685	(15,047)	28,649
Year ending December 31, 2008	34,031	9,180	(19,625)	23,586
Year ending December 31, 2009	31,524	8,518	(21,302)	18,740
Year ending December 31, 2010, and thereafter	145,766	40,293	(139,234)	46,825
Total restructuring obligations, gross	\$ 295,250	\$ 85,368	\$ (214,043)	166,575
Future accretion (4)				(22,475)
Present value of minimum lease payments				144,100
Less: Restructuring obligations, short-term				37,276
Restructuring obligations, long-term portion				\$106,824

- (1) Represents the Company's remaining lease commitments related to properties included in the Restructurings.
- (2) Consists primarily of (i) estimated operating costs (i.e., common area maintenance and property taxes) associated with restructured properties, (ii) estimated commissions associated with anticipated subleases of the restructured properties, and (iii) the remaining obligations related to employee termination costs.
- (3) The Company estimated sublease income and the related timing thereof based upon the opinions of independent real estate consultants, current market conditions, the status of negotiations with potential subtenants, and the location of the respective facilities, among other factors. The Company's estimates of sublease income may vary significantly from actual amounts realized depending, in part, on factors which may be beyond the Company's control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases and the market rates at the time of such subleases. As of September 30, 2005, approximately \$42.2 million of the sublease income presented in the table above is contracted by subtenants.
- (4) The Company has reduced the facility-related obligations associated with the 2003 Restructuring, 2004 Restructuring, and 2005 Restructurings to their net present value. Future accretion represents the interest component of these obligations and will be recognized in future periods by the Company as an additional restructuring and related expense and as an increase in the carrying amount of the 2003 Restructuring, 2004 Restructuring, and 2005 Restructuring obligations.

The total restructuring charge and related cash outlay are based on management's current estimates, which may change materially if further consolidations are required or if actual lease-related expenditures or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. The Company will review the status of its restructuring activities quarterly and, if appropriate, record changes to its restructuring obligations in current operations based on management's most current estimates.

Other Charges

Concurrent with the 2005 Restructurings, the Company has recorded additional charges totaling approximately \$7.2 million in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. These expenses relate primarily to severance payments and accelerated RSU vesting associated with the resignation of the Company's previous Chief Executive Officer, and compensation associated with the appointment of the Company's new Chief Executive Officer, in April 2005.

3. Merger with Oracle Corporation

On September 12, 2005, Oracle Corporation ("Oracle") entered into a definitive Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with the Company. The Merger Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Sierra Merger Sub Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Ozark Holding Inc. (the "Holding Company"), will merge with and into the Company, with the Company as the surviving corporation of such merger and Ozark Merger Sub Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Holding Company, will merge with and into Oracle, with Oracle as the surviving corporation of such merger (collectively the "Merger"). As a result of the Merger: (i) the Company and Oracle will each become a wholly owned subsidiary of the Holding Company and (ii) the Company's stockholders will receive \$10.66 per share in cash for each Company share held, unless they elect to receive Oracle common stock, but no more than 30% of the Company's common shares may be exchanged for Oracle common stock. In the event that stockholders holding more than 30% of the Company's common stock elect to receive Oracle common stock, the equity consideration will be pro-rated. Consummation of the Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including antitrust approvals and approval by the Company's stockholders. Thomas M. Siebel, who holds approximately seven percent of the Company's outstanding common stock, has entered into an agreement requiring him to vote in favor of the Merger.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the Company may be required to pay Oracle a termination fee in the amount of \$140 million in cash in the following circumstances:

- the Merger Agreement is (1) terminated by Oracle or the Company because the Company's stockholders fail to adopt the Merger Agreement and approve the transaction, (2) prior to the stockholders' meeting, a third party publicly announces and does not withdraw a competing acquisition proposal for the Company and (3) within 12 months of termination of the Merger Agreement the Company completes a competing acquisition proposal or enters into an agreement providing for a competing acquisition proposal which transaction is ultimately completed;
- the Merger Agreement is terminated by Oracle because the Company's Board of Directors withdraws its recommendation to the Company's stockholders to adopt the Merger Agreement and approve the transaction or modifies its recommendation in a manner adverse to Oracle; or
- the Merger Agreement is terminated because the Company accepts, or enters into a written agreement for a transaction constituting, a superior proposal made by a third party.

The foregoing description of the Merger Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Merger Agreement.

The Company has incurred merger-related expenses associated with its pending merger with Oracle totaling \$1.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005, which were principally comprised of legal fees, and are included in General and administrative expenses in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations.

On October 24, 2005, the Company received a Request for Additional Information (also known as a "Second Request") from the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ"), pursuant to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act, in connection with its contemplated acquisition by Oracle. The Company is cooperating in full with the DOJ.

4. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Actions

Regulation FD

On May 6, 2003, the Enforcement Division staff ("Staff") of the Securities & Exchange Commission ("SEC") contacted the Company and indicated that a May 1, 2003 article on CBS MarketWatch had raised questions regarding the Company's compliance with Regulation FD. In August 2003, the Staff notified the Company and two of its officers of the Staff's preliminary decision to recommend that the SEC take enforcement action against the Company and these officers in regard to statements allegedly made prior to and during an April 30, 2003 dinner. In response, the Company and its officers filed submissions with the SEC that the Company believes contained numerous meritorious defenses to these allegations. Despite these submissions, on June 29, 2004, the SEC filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York against the Company and the two officers alleging, among other things, that the Company and its officers violated Regulation FD in connection with the statements described above. The SEC sought an order that imposes permanent injunctions, civil penalties and other equitable relief.

In September 2004, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. A hearing on the motion occurred on March 15, 2005. On September 1, 2005, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the case in its entirety. The SEC has decided not to appeal this ruling, and the deadline to appeal has passed.

Stock Option Grant Inquiry

On March 7, 2005, the SEC provided the Company with a copy of an order of investigation regarding certain stock option grants by a number of companies, including the Company. The SEC has advised the Company that this is a confidential fact finding inquiry and has confirmed that the issuance of the order does not indicate that it has concluded that the Company has violated any securities laws. The Company intends to cooperate with the SEC as this investigation continues to develop. The Company is unable to estimate the potential financial impact this matter could have on the Company. As such, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" ("SFAS 5"), no amount has been accrued in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements with respect to this matter.

Shareholder Class Actions

On March 10, 2004, William Wollrab, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of the Company's stockholders, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company and certain of its officers relating to predicted adoption rates of Siebel v7.0 and certain customer satisfaction surveys. This complaint was consolidated and amended on August 27, 2004, with the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of Chicago being appointed to serve as lead plaintiff. In October 2004, the Company filed a motion for dismissal of this case, which was granted on January 28, 2005 with leave to amend. Plaintiffs in this case filed an amended complaint on February 28, 2005, and the Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on April 27, 2005. A hearing on the motion occurred on November 4, 2005.

On April 12, 2004, Pamela Plotkin, on behalf of herself and purportedly on behalf of a class of the Company's stockholders, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California (the "Superior Court") against the Company and members of the Company's Board of Directors on similar claims described above. The Superior Court dismissed the complaint by Ms. Plotkin on September 23, 2004, but gave Ms. Plotkin leave to file a new, amended complaint, which was subsequently filed by Ms. Plotkin. The Superior Court dismissed the amended complaint on December 28, 2004, but again gave Ms. Plotkin leave to file a new, amended complaint. Ms. Plotkin filed a new amended complaint on January 14, 2005. On February 2, 2005, the parties to this complaint agreed to stay (i.e., place on hold) the entire case until the motion to dismiss the amended complaint in the federal class action has been decided.

On September 12, 2005, Gloria Showers, on behalf of herself and purportedly on behalf of a class of the Company's stockholders, and Sheldon Miller, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of the Company's stockholders, each filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California against the Company and members of the Company's Board of Directors relating to the proposed Merger of the Company and Oracle. On September 15, 2005, Robert Corwin, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of the Company's stockholders, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California against the Company and members of the Company's Board of Directors on similar claims. The complaints allege in substance that the directors breached their

fiduciary duties in agreeing to the proposed Merger for failing to maximize stockholder value. The Miller and Corwin complaints also name Oracle as a defendant on the ground that it aided and abetted the alleged violations.

These complaints seek injunctive relief and damages together with interest and reimbursement of costs and expenses of litigation. The Company believes the allegations in each of these actions are without merit and it intends to defend vigorously against these claims. The Company is unable to estimate the potential financial impact these matters could have on the Company. As such, in accordance with SFAS 5, no amounts have been accrued in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements with respect to these matters.

Employee Class Action

On January 15, 2004 and May 5, 2004, Zhiyu Zheng, a former employee, as representative of a recently certified class of Siebel Software Engineers and Senior Software Engineers, filed a First Amended Complaint and Second Amended Complaint, respectively, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company, claiming that based on their job duties, Siebel Software Engineers and Senior Software Engineers do not meet exemption criteria as defined under California law, and as such are owed back wages, overtime pay, and certain other benefits. The Company believes the allegations in this action are without merit and it intends to defend vigorously against this claim. The Company is unable to estimate the potential financial impact this matter could have on the Company. As such, in accordance with SFAS 5, no amounts have been accrued in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements with respect to this matter.

General

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the outcome of any pending legal matter will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, although results of operations or cash flows could be affected in a particular period.

Income, Payroll and Sales and Use Tax Audits

Income Tax Audits

In March 2005 the Company received formal notification from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") that its examination of the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for 1998 through 2000 had been completed. The final settlement with the IRS was consistent with the preliminary settlement disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. As a result of the settlement, the Company was able to utilize certain NOL carryforwards associated with stock option exercises that occurred in previous years. The majority of the final settlement of \$54.3 million was offset by available NOL carryforwards of \$51.4 million associated with previous exercises of stock options. The Company has reflected the utilization of these NOLs as an increase to additional paidin capital in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The remaining portion of the settlement is expected to result in a cash outlay for interest of approximately \$2.9 million, which has been accrued by the Company.

The Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for 2001 through 2003 remain under examination by the IRS. While the final resolution of this on-going examination of the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for 2001 through 2003 remains uncertain, the Company believes it has made adequate provision in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for any adjustments that the IRS has or may propose. Based on currently available information, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these examinations will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

Payroll Taxes

In July 2005, the IRS completed its examination of the Company's U.S. payroll tax returns for 1999 through 2001 and issued an assessment of \$60.8 million primarily for penalties. This assessment primarily relates to the timing of the payment of taxes associated with stock option exercises. The Company notified the IRS that it does not agree with the IRS' findings. The Company plans to appeal this assessment and defend itself vigorously. While the final resolution of this issue is uncertain, based on currently available information, the Company believes it has made adequate provision in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for any adjustments that may result from the IRS audit of these U.S. payroll tax returns.

Indirect (Sales, Use and Value Added) Taxes

The Company's sales and use tax returns in various states in the United States for 2000 through 2003 and certain international sales and value added tax returns for 2002 through 2004 are currently under examination and/or review by the applicable taxing authorities. While the final resolutions of these on-going examinations are uncertain, the Company believes it has made adequate provision in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for any adjustments that may result from the audits of these tax returns.

Summary

The Company may receive assessments related to the audits and/or reviews of its U.S. and foreign income tax returns, payroll tax returns, and indirect tax returns that exceed amounts provided for by the Company. In the event of such an assessment, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations for the period in which the matter is ultimately resolved or an unfavorable outcome becomes probable and reasonably estimable. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the audit/review process, there exists the possibility that the Company may incur costs in excess of amounts already recognized; however, the amount of such additional loss, if any, is not currently estimable.

Contingent Termination Fee Related to Merger with Oracle Corporation

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, the Company may be required to pay Oracle a termination fee in the amount of \$140 million in cash under certain circumstances. Please refer to Note 3 for further discussion of the termination fee.

Indemnifications

The Company sells software licenses and services to its customers under contracts which the Company refers to as Software License and Service Agreements (each an "SLSA"). Each SLSA contains the key terms of the contractual arrangement with the customer, and generally includes certain provisions for indemnifying the customer against losses, expenses and liabilities from damages that may be awarded against the customer in the event the Company's software is found to infringe upon a patent, copyright, trademark or other proprietary right of a third party. The SLSA generally limits the scope of, and remedies for, such indemnification obligations in a variety of industry-standard respects, including but not limited to certain time- and geography-based scope limitations and the right to replace an infringing product.

The Company believes its internal development processes and other policies and practices are designed to limit its exposure related to the indemnification provisions of the SLSA. In addition, the Company requires its employees to sign a proprietary information and inventions agreement, which, with limited exception, assigns the rights to its employees' development work to the Company. To date, the Company has not had to reimburse any of its customers for any losses related to these indemnification provisions and no material claims are outstanding as of September 30, 2005. For several reasons, including the lack of prior indemnification claims and the lack of a monetary liability limit for certain infringement claims under the SLSA, the Company cannot determine the amount of potential future payments, if any, related to such indemnification provisions.

Lease Obligations

As of September 30, 2005, the Company leased facilities and certain equipment under non-cancellable operating leases expiring between 2005 and 2022. The Company also leases certain assets, primarily computer equipment, under capital leases expiring in 2008. Future minimum lease payments under both operating and capital leases as of September 30, 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

		Capital		Capital		Operating
		Leases		Leases		
Three months ending December 31, 2005	\$	2,467	\$	18,887		
Year ending December 31, 2006		2,704		55,767		
Year ending December 31, 2007		2,713		54,844		
Year ending December 31, 2008		560		54,069		
Year ending December 31, 2009				53,013		
Year ending December 31, 2010, and thereafter				251,423		
Total minimum lease payments		8,444	\$	488,003		
Amounts representing interest		(628)				
Present value of minimum lease payments		7,816				
Less: capital lease obligations, short-term						
portion (included in accrued expenses)		4,158				
Capital lease obligations, long-term portion						
(included in other long-term liabilities)	\$	3,658				

Operating lease commitments related to properties included in the Restructurings are not reflected in the above table, as the Company has reflected the fair value of these obligations in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet under the caption "restructuring obligations." Please refer to Note 2 for further discussion of the restructuring obligations.

5. Acquisitions, Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The following is a summary of the Company's acquisitions during 2004 and 2005, each of which has been accounted for as a purchase:

2005 Acquisitions

Acquisition of edocs, Inc.

On January 14, 2005, the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of edocs, Inc. ("edocs"), a leading provider of electronic bill presentment and customer self-service software solutions, for initial consideration of approximately \$118.5 million ("Initial Purchase Price"). The Initial Purchase Price consisted of the following: (i) the payment of cash consideration of \$101.7 million; (ii) purchase consideration payable of \$15.0 million (subsequently paid in the second quarter of 2005); and (iii) transaction costs of \$1.8 million, consisting primarily of professional fees incurred related to investment bankers, attorneys, accountants and valuation advisors. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had paid the Initial Purchase Price.

In the event certain revenue targets and other contractual milestones defined in the purchase agreement are met for 2005, the Company could be required to pay up to an additional \$42.5 million in cash to the former shareholders of edocs. Any amounts paid by the Company to the former edocs shareholders will likely be recorded as an increase to goodwill.

edocs' solutions enable companies to improve customer service and increase customer loyalty while reducing the cost of serving their customers. Companies use edocs' solutions to move the most common customer inquiries and transactions—such as billing, account management and service order issues—from expensive channels such as paper correspondence and contact center calls to lower-cost self-service and assisted care channels such as the web, email and chat.

The Company believes that edocs' existing technology and certain products and functionality under development by edocs at the time of purchase will enhance the Company's current self-service offerings. The Company plans to integrate its CRM solutions with edocs' customer service and electronic bill presentment applications to deliver one of the industry's most comprehensive self-service solutions enabling companies to drive higher levels of customer satisfaction and adoption.

The Company allocated the Initial Purchase Price to the tangible net assets and liabilities and intangible assets acquired, based on their estimated fair values. Under the purchase method of accounting, the Initial Purchase Price

does not include the contingent earnout amounts described above. The Initial Purchase Price has been allocated as follows (in thousands):

Tangible assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,081
Accounts receivable and other current assets	15,883
Property and equipment	1,747
Other assets, long-term	30
Total tangible assets	
Intangible assets:	
Acquired technology	20,270
Customer relationships	
Customer contracts	120
Acquired in-process research and development ("IPR&D")	10,890
Goodwill	82,166
Total intangible assets	116,706
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(9,938)
Accrued purchase price and acquisition-related expenses	(16,800)
Deferred revenue	(8,639)
Deferred tax liability	(7,342)
Total liabilities assumed	(42,719)
Net assets acquired	\$ 101,728

In performing the purchase price allocation of acquired intangible assets, the Company considered its intention for future use of the assets, analyses of historical financial performance and estimates of future performance of edocs' products, among other factors. In addition to identifiable intangible assets, the Company also purchased certain technologies being developed by edocs at the time of the acquisition, which is commonly referred to as In-Process Research and Development ("IPR&D"). The IPR&D acquired primarily related to edocs' products designed specifically for the telecommunications, healthcare and credit card industries.

Because the IPR&D had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use, the Company reflected a \$10.9 million expense under the heading "purchased in-process product development" in the accompanying unaudited condensed statements of operations during the first quarter of 2005. At the date of the Company's acquisition of edocs, there were six products under development, with the estimated percentage completion for each of these products ranging from approximately 50% to 75%. Subsequent to the acquisition, the Company incurred approximately \$2.3 million related to the development of these products, four of which were substantially completed by September 30, 2005. The Company has ceased development of the remaining two products.

The Company determined the fair values of identifiable intangible assets and the IPR&D using the "income" valuation approach and discount rates ranging from 15% to 32%. The discount rates selected were based in part on considerations of the rate of return implied by the purchase price and the risk associated with achieving forecasted cash flows for edocs. Further, the Company also considered risks associated with achieving anticipated levels of market acceptance and penetration, successful completion of various research and development efforts, market growth rates and risks related to the impact of potential changes in future target markets.

The acquired technology and customer contract intangible assets are currently being amortized over their estimated useful lives of four years and one year, respectively, using the straight-line method. The customer relationship intangible assets are currently being amortized over their estimated useful lives of six years based on the greater of the straight-line method or the estimated customer attrition rates.

The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable tangible and intangible net assets acquired of \$82.2 million was assigned to goodwill. In accordance with SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"), goodwill will not be amortized but will be tested for impairment at least annually. This amount is not deductible for tax purposes.

The following table presents unaudited summarized combined results of operations of the Company and edocs, on a pro forma basis, as though the companies had been combined as of January 1, 2004. Because the acquisition was completed near the beginning of the first quarter of 2005, the pro forma information for 2005 would not differ materially from the actual results of the Company presented herein and therefore has not been included below. The operating results of edocs have been included in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. The following unaudited pro forma amounts are in thousands, except the per share amounts:

	Three Months	Nine Months
	Ended	Ended
	September 30, 2004	September 30, 2004
Total revenues	\$ 329,215	\$ 981,515
Net income	\$ 14,214	\$ 45,378
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.08

The above unaudited pro forma summarized results of operations are intended for informational purposes only and, in the opinion of management, are neither indicative of the financial position or results of operations of the Company had the acquisition actually taken place as of January 1, 2004, nor indicative of the Company's future results of operations. In addition, the above unaudited pro forma summarized results of operations do not include IPR&D charges or potential cost savings from operating efficiencies or synergies that may result from the Company's acquisition of edocs.

2004 Acquisitions

Acquisition of Eontec Limited

On April 20, 2004, the Company acquired all of the outstanding issued share capital of Eontec Limited ("Eontec"), a global provider of multichannel retail banking solutions, for initial cash consideration of \$73.6 million (the "Initial Consideration"). The Initial Consideration consisted of the following: (i) the payment of cash consideration of \$70.0 million for all of the outstanding securities of Eontec; and (ii) transaction costs of \$3.6 million, consisting primarily of professional fees incurred related to investment bankers, attorneys, accountants and valuation advisors.

During the third quarter of 2005 it was determined that as a result of Eontec meeting certain revenue-related targets as defined in the purchase agreement, the Company is required to pay the former holders of Eontec common stock additional consideration of \$15.7 million. Amounts payable to the former holders of Eontec common stock have been recorded as an increase to goodwill in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Also during the third quarter of 2005, the Company resolved certain outstanding matters with the former holders of Eontec common stock and certain business partners, which, in accordance with SFAS No. 141 "Business Combinations", resulted in the Company recording a decrease to goodwill of \$1.5 million in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents unaudited summarized combined results of operations of the Company and Eontec, on a pro forma basis, as though the companies had been combined as of January 1, 2004. Because the acquisition was completed near the beginning of the second quarter of 2004, the results of Eontec for the third quarter of 2004 are included in the actual results of the Company presented herein and therefore actual results for the three months ended September 30, 2004 have not been separately included below. The operating results of Eontec have been included in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. The following unaudited pro forma amounts are in thousands, except the per share amount:

	1	Nine Months	
	Ended		
	Sept	tember 30, 2004	
Total revenues	\$	949,933	
Net income	\$	49,500	
Diluted net income per share	\$	0.09	

The above unaudited pro forma summarized results of operations are intended for informational purposes only

and, in the opinion of management, are neither indicative of the financial position or results of operations of the Company had the acquisition actually taken place as of January 1, 2004, nor indicative of the Company's future results of operations. In addition, the above unaudited pro forma summarized results of operations do not include IPR&D charges or potential cost savings from operating efficiencies or synergies that may result from the Company's acquisition of Eontec.

2003 Acquisitions

Acquisition of UpShot Corporation

On November 3, 2003, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of UpShot Corporation ("UpShot"), a leading provider of a hosted CRM service, for initial cash consideration of \$56.0 million. Further information regarding the UpShot acquisition is disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with the former holders of UpShot common stock and certain former employees of UpShot. In the event certain revenue, revenue-related and product delivery targets defined in the agreement are met by June 30, 2007, the Company could be required to pay up to \$6.2 million to the former holders of UpShot common stock and \$6.2 million to the former employees of UpShot. Amounts paid by the Company under this agreement are being recorded when earned. Based on the amounts earned through September 30, 2005, the Company has recorded \$2.2 million in Cost of Professional services, maintenance and other and \$2.2 million in Sales and marketing expenses in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance as of December 31, 2004	\$ 208,306
Purchase of edocs	82,166
Net adjustments related to acquisition of Eontec	14,165
Foreign currency fluctuation	 (7,740)
Balance as of September 30, 2005	\$ 296,897

The Company tests its goodwill for impairment annually on July 1 and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 142.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, along with their weighted average useful lives as of September 30, 2005, are as follows (in thousands, except years):

	December 31, 2004	September 30, 2005	Wtd. Avg. Life (in Years)
Gross assets:			
Acquired technology	\$ 14,103	\$ 32,941	4.3
Customer relationships	12,673	15,385	5.6
Customer contracts	2,880	2,649	4.7
Non-compete agreements	750	750	2.0
Intangible assets, gross		51,725	4.7
Accumulated amortization:			
Acquired technology	(2,696)	(8,131)	
Customer relationships	(3,425)	(5,757)	
Customer contracts	(937)	(1,443)	
Non-compete agreements	(344)	(625)	
Total accumulated amortization	(7,402)	(15,956)	
Intangible assets, net	\$ 23,004	\$ 35,769	

The Company is amortizing its intangible assets as follows: (i) acquired technology, customer contracts and non-compete agreements are currently being amortized over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method; and (ii) customer relationship intangible assets are currently being amortized over their estimated useful lives based on the greater of the straight-line method or the estimated customer attrition rates. Based on identified intangible assets recorded as of September 30, 2005 and assuming no subsequent impairment of the underlying assets, amortization expense is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

Period	
Three months ending December 31, 2005	\$ 2,872
Year ending December 31, 2006	10,595
Year ending December 31, 2007	9,581
Year ending December 31, 2008	9,337
Year ending December 31, 2009	2,692
Year ending December 31, 2010, and thereafter	692
Total	\$ 35,769

6. Net Income (Loss) per Share

The following is a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share computations for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septemb		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2004	2005	2004	2005	
Shares used in basic net income (loss) per share computation Effect of dilutive potential common shares resulting from	506,706	524,856	503,983	518,833	
stock options	26,592	20,208	36,388		
stock units and common stock subject to repurchase	533,303	149 545,213	45 540,416	518,833	

Shares used in the diluted net income (loss) per share computation in the above table include the dilutive impact of the Company's stock equivalents (i.e., stock options, restricted stock and RSUs). For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the Company reported a net loss, and excluded the impact of its common stock equivalents in the computation of dilutive earnings, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. The dilutive impact of the Company's common stock equivalents for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, is calculated using the treasury stock method, based on the average share price of the Company's common stock during these periods of \$8.93, \$7.84 and \$10.65 per share, respectively. Under the treasury stock method, the proceeds that would be hypothetically received from the exercise of all stock options with exercise prices below the average share price of the Company's common stock are assumed to be used to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock.

The Company excludes all potentially dilutive securities from its diluted earnings per share computation when their effect would be anti-dilutive. The following common stock equivalents were excluded from the earnings per share computation, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	Three Mont		Nine Month Septemb		
	2004	2005	2004	2005	
Stock options excluded due to the exercise price exceeding					
the average fair value of the Company's common stock					
during the period	85,856	57,800	60,430	56,642	
Weighted average common stock equivalents, calculated using					
the treasury stock method, that were excluded due to the					
Company reporting a net loss during the period				24,100	
Total common stock equivalents excluded from diluted net					
income (loss) per share computation	85,856	57,800	60,430	80,742	

Under the treasury stock method, stock options with exercise prices exceeding the average share price of the Company's common stock during the applicable period are excluded from the diluted earnings per share computation. These stock options had weighted average exercise prices of \$18.14 and \$21.93 per share during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, and weighted average exercise prices of \$19.69 per share for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005. In addition, as discussed above, stock options with exercise prices equal to or below the average fair value of the Company's common stock were excluded from the diluted earnings per share computation for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, because the Company reported a net loss for that period. The weighted average fair value of the Company's common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$8.96 per share.

7. Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

Restricted Stock

On January 31, 2005, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved 2005 compensation for its non-employee directors such that each non-employee director would receive compensation equivalent to the fair value of a stock option to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's common stock (based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model and the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant) to be allocated as follows: 50% in cash and 50% in shares of restricted stock that vest in full on January 31, 2006. Accordingly, in the first quarter of 2005 the Company awarded each of its non-employee directors compensation of \$32,500 in cash and 3,750 shares of restricted stock (an aggregate of 22,500 shares for all six non-employee directors at that time) that will vest in full on January 31, 2006 in consideration for their service as a director in 2005. The Company recorded deferred compensation of \$0.2 million related to this issuance of restricted stock, which is being expensed on a straight-line basis over the one-year vesting period.

In the event an employee or member of the Company's Board of Directors who has been awarded restricted stock discontinues service prior to the expiration of the vesting period, the Company may repurchase any unvested shares of the restricted stock at the lower of (i) the original purchase price of the restricted stock or (ii) the fair value of the Company's common stock. The Company's right to repurchase the shares lapses over the vesting period of the restricted stock. As of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, a total of 71,000 and 83,214 shares, respectively, of the Company's restricted common stock remain subject to repurchase by the Company. There were no repurchases of restricted stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs")

RSUs are similar to restricted stock in that they are issued for no, or nominal, consideration; however, the holder generally is not entitled to the underlying shares of common stock until the RSU vests. The following table presents the activity related to RSUs during the nine months ended September 30, 2005:

		Number of RSUs:	
	Employee/Officer (1)	CEO (2)	Total
Unvested balances as of December 31, 2004	132,500	200,000	332,500
RSUs granted to employees	1,259,361		1,259,361
RSUs granted to officers	. 555,000	350,000	905,000
RSUs vested during period	. (34,500)	(350,000)	(384,500)
RSUs canceled due to employee terminations	. (282,595)		(282,595)
Unvested balances as of September 30, 2005	1,629,766	200,000	1,829,766

(1) The Company recorded an aggregate of \$19.1 million of deferred compensation during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 in connection with the issuance of these RSUs, which the Company is amortizing on a straight-line basis over the respective vesting periods. These RSUs generally vest either on the third or fourth anniversary of the date of grant; provided, however that the vesting of a portion of such RSUs may accelerate upon achievement of specified objective financial performance goals as further described below.

Specifically, the Compensation Committee has approved the following performance goals for 2005 and 2006:

- 2005: revenue growth of approximately 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2004 and operating margin of 15%.
- 2006: revenue growth of 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2005 and operating margin of 17%.

The revenue-based targets set forth above will be adjusted upward to incorporate any revenue from companies acquired during the relevant year (excluding the acquisition of edocs in 2005) and the operating margin-based targets will be adjusted for the impact of any acquisitions and certain unusual charges (e.g., stock-based compensation, restructuring expenses, etc.).

For each goal that is fully achieved, vesting will be accelerated for 25% of the total shares granted, such that up to half of such accelerated shares would vest on January 31 of the year in which the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors determines that each of that year's goals have been met, and up to half of the remaining shares would vest on January 31 of the following year.

(2) In connection with the resignation of the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, J. Michael Lawrie, and in accordance with the terms of his offer letter, the 200,000 unvested shares of his RSU for 350,000 shares of common stock (150,000 shares had already vested pursuant to the normal vesting schedule) immediately vested in full upon his resignation.

On April 30, 2005, the Company granted to its current Chief Executive Officer, George Shaheen, an RSU for 350,000 shares of its common stock in connection with his commencement of employment. The terms of the RSU provide that 150,000 of the underlying shares of common stock vested during the second quarter of 2005, and accordingly the Company has expensed \$1.4 million in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The remaining 200,000 shares vest two years from his commencement of employment. The Company recorded \$1.8 million of deferred compensation in connection with the issuance of this RSU, which the Company is amortizing to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period.

8. Segment and Geographic Information

The Company is principally engaged in the design, development, marketing, licensing and support of Siebel Business Applications, its family of front-office business software applications. Substantially all revenues result from the license of the Company's front-office software products and related professional services and customer support (maintenance) services. The Company's chief operating decision-maker (i.e., chief executive officer) reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region and product for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Accordingly, the Company considers itself to be in a single reporting segment, specifically the license, implementation and support of its software.

The Company evaluates the performance of its geographic regions and products based only on revenues. The Company does not assess the performance of its geographic regions or products on other measures of income or expense, such as depreciation and amortization, operating income or net income. In addition, the Company's assets are primarily located in the United States and not allocated to any specific region or product. The Company does not produce reports for, or measure the performance of, its geographic regions or products using any asset-based metrics. Therefore, geographic and product information is presented only for revenues.

The following geographic information for total revenues is presented for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2004 2005 2004				2004		2005
United States	\$	200,612	\$	203,560	\$	583,803	\$	570,459
Europe		93,550		107,611		286,654		303,552
Asia Pacific		15,342		23,463		56,139		57,295
Canada and Latin America		7,582		13,223		20,836		29,086
	\$	317,086	\$	347,857	\$	947,432	\$	960,392

International software license revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 were \$34.8 million and \$126.6 million, respectively, representing 33% and 39% of software license revenues, respectively. International software license revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 were \$53.1 million and \$120.0 million, respectively, representing 47% and 45% of software license revenues, respectively. The

Company's international software license revenues are derived from countries principally in Europe and Asia Pacific, which includes Japan.

The following is a summary of the Company's software license revenues by product for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	September 30,					Septem	ıber	30,
		2004		2005		2004		2005
Sales, marketing and service automation	\$	68,817	\$	69,012	\$	232,168	\$	177,562
Customer and business analytics		27,429		30,015		76,139		65,342
Customer data integration		8,333		13,101		17,900		22,530
Total	\$	104,579	\$	112,128	\$	326,207	\$	265,434

At times the Company licenses a combination of its products to its customers, with the actual product selection and number of licensed users determined subsequent to the initial license. The Company refers to these licenses as "Enterprise Licenses." The Company recognizes revenue from its Enterprise Licenses upon delivery of the first copy, or product master, for all of the products within the license, as all products have been licensed and delivered, and the customer has the right of use. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 software license revenues from Enterprise Licenses were \$11.8 million and \$48.8 million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 software license revenues from Enterprise Licenses were \$14.6 million and \$24.9 million, respectively. The Company estimates the allocation of the revenue from these Enterprise Licenses to individual software products based upon the expected usage by its customers and in a manner consistent with its determination of compensation for its sales personnel. The actual deployment of Enterprise Licenses by the Company's customers may differ from the revenue allocations in the above table.

Although the Company believes the above methodology of allocating revenue from its Enterprise Licenses is reasonable, there can be no assurance that such allocated amounts reflect the amounts that would result had the Company individually licensed each specific software solution. Further, the Company generally does not reevaluate the allocation of its revenue from Enterprise Licenses based on actual deployment by its customers.

The following is a summary of the Company's professional services, maintenance and other revenues, by service offering, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				 Nine Mor Septen	
		2004		2005	2004	2005
Maintenance	\$	118,059	\$	124,810	\$ 347,350	\$ 370,204
Professional services and other		94,448		110,919	273,875	 324,754
Total	\$	212,507	\$	235,729	\$ 621,225	\$ 694,958

No single customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenues during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005.

9. Dividends

On June 8, 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved a quarterly dividend of \$0.025 per share. This quarterly dividend of approximately \$13.0 million was paid July 15, 2005 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2005. Given the pendency of the acquisition of the Company by Oracle, the Company does not plan to grant further dividends at this time.

10. Subsequent Events

On October 5, 2005, the Company and its Canadian subsidiary, Siebel Janna Arrangement, Inc. ("Siebel Janna") announced that the board of directors of Siebel Janna had established December 7, 2005 as the redemption date of Siebel Janna's outstanding exchangeable non-voting shares, and that Janna Nova Scotia Sub Company, another subsidiary of the Company, has exercised its overriding right to purchase all of the outstanding exchangeable non-voting shares (other than exchangeable non-voting shares that are held by the Company and its affiliates). The

exchangeable non-voting shares, which were issued in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of Janna Systems Inc. in November 2000, will be exchanged for shares of the Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis on December 7, 2005.

Of the original 5,097,247 exchangeable non-voting shares of Siebel Janna issued, as of October 4, 2005, the date that notice of the redemption was sent to holders of exchangeable non-voting shares of Siebel Janna, 1,258,620 shares remained outstanding, other than exchangeable non-voting shares that are held by the Company and its affiliates. For financial reporting purposes, the exchangeable non-voting shares of Siebel Janna are already included in the Company's outstanding shares. Therefore, no change in the number of shares of common stock outstanding will result from the exchange. A notice providing further details has been mailed to the holders of exchangeable non-voting shares of Siebel Janna.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The statements contained in this quarterly report that are not historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding the extent and timing of future revenues, restructuring and other expenses and customer demand, statements regarding the development and deployment of our products, and statements regarding reliance on third parties. All forward-looking statements included in this quarterly report are based on information available to us as of the date of this quarterly report. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless we are required to do so by law. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this quarterly report.

Merger with Oracle Corporation

On September 12, 2005, Oracle Corporation ("Oracle") entered into a definitive Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with us. The Merger Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Sierra Merger Sub Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Ozark Holding Inc. (the "Holding Company"), will merge with and into Siebel Systems, with Siebel Systems as the surviving corporation of such merger and Ozark Merger Sub Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Holding Company, will merge with and into Oracle, with Oracle as the surviving corporation of such merger (collectively, the "Merger"). As a result of the Merger: (i) Siebel Systems and Oracle will each become a wholly owned subsidiary of the Holding Company and (ii) the Company's stockholders will receive \$10.66 per share in cash for each Siebel Systems share held, unless they elect to receive Oracle common stock, but no more than 30% of Siebel Systems' common shares may be exchanged for Oracle common stock. In the event that stockholders holding more than 30% of Siebel Systems' common stock elect to receive Oracle common stock, the equity consideration will be pro-rated. Consummation of the Merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including antitrust approvals and approval by Siebel Systems' stockholders. Thomas M. Siebel, who holds approximately seven percent of Siebel Systems' outstanding common stock, has entered into an agreement requiring him to vote in favor of the Merger.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Siebel Systems may be required to pay Oracle a termination fee in the amount of \$140 million in cash in the following circumstances:

- the Merger Agreement is (1) terminated by Oracle or Siebel Systems because Siebel Systems' stockholders fail to adopt the Merger Agreement and approve the transaction, (2) prior to the stockholders' meeting, a third party publicly announces and does not withdraw a competing acquisition proposal for Siebel Systems and (3) within 12 months of termination of the Merger Agreement Siebel Systems completes a competing acquisition proposal or enters into an agreement providing for a competing acquisition proposal which transaction is ultimately completed;
- the Merger Agreement is terminated by Oracle because Siebel Systems' Board of Directors withdraws its recommendation to Siebel Systems' stockholders to adopt the Merger Agreement and approve the transaction or modifies its recommendation in a manner adverse to Oracle; or
- the Merger Agreement is terminated because Siebel Systems accepts, or enters into a written agreement for a transaction constituting, a superior proposal made by a third party.

The foregoing description of the Merger Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Merger Agreement.

We have incurred merger-related expenses associated with our pending merger with Oracle totaling \$1.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2005, which were principally comprised of legal fees, and are included in General and administrative expenses in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations.

On October 24, 2005, we received a Request for Additional Information (also known as a "Second Request") from the United States Department of Justice (the "DOJ"), pursuant to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act, in connection with our contemplated acquisition by Oracle. We are cooperating in full with the DOJ.

Executive Summary

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The following discussion is designed to provide a better understanding of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, including a brief discussion of our business and products, key factors that impacted our performance, a summary of

our operating results for the first three quarters of 2005, certain key financial metrics for the first three quarters of 2005, and our outlook for the fourth quarter of 2005. This executive summary should be read in connection with the more detailed discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations in this Item 2, the section entitled "Risk Factors" in this Item 2, and our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included in Item 1 of this quarterly report.

Overview of Our Business and Products

We are a leading provider of business applications software for the front office. We consider the front office to include those areas of business activity that involve customer interactions, such as sales, marketing and service. We are principally engaged in the design, development, marketing, licensing and support of our front-office business applications. A majority of our revenues are derived from perpetual licenses of our software products, the related professional services, such as training and implementation services, and the related customer support, otherwise known as maintenance. Specifically, we license our software in arrangements in which the customer purchases a combination of software, maintenance and/or professional services. Payment terms for our arrangements are negotiated with our customers and are based on a variety of factors, including the customer's credit standing and our history with the customer.

Siebel Business Applications—our comprehensive family of front-office business applications—are designed to help organizations better manage their customer, partner and employee relationships, analyze critical customer data, and execute customer-focused business processes. Our applications are designed to support these critical tasks while meeting the information technology requirements of any kind of organization, any type of user and any budget. Siebel Business Applications can be installed on premise, delivered as a hosted service over the Internet, or deployed as a combination of the two.

A substantial portion of our front-office business applications is focused on the customer relationship management, or CRM, market. CRM is an integrated approach to identifying, acquiring and retaining customers in order to help organizations maximize the value of customer interactions and improve corporate performance. Our sales, service and marketing applications are designed to help organizations perform and coordinate these operations across multiple communication channels (such as the Internet, telephone, fax, email and in person) and different lines of business, while providing their customers with a single, consistently high standard of service. The partner relationship management component of our CRM applications is designed to help organizations work collaboratively with their partners and resellers in one comprehensive information system in order to increase revenues, drive customer satisfaction and reduce partnership management costs.

Our front-office solutions also include our customer and business intelligence applications (also known as analytics), customer data integration solutions, and a deeper set of service offerings to assist our customers in maximizing the value received from our products. Specifically, our analytics applications assist customers in analyzing large volumes of corporate data quickly and easily. These analytics applications can access and aggregate information contained in systems across the enterprise—including financial management, human resources and supply chain systems—helping managers and front-line employees make informed decisions. Our customer data integration solutions enable organizations to more fully leverage the value of their corporate information by allowing them to share data and processes among different software applications.

In addition to licensing our applications on a per-user basis, we also offer two hosted software solutions, Siebel CRM OnDemand and Siebel Contact OnDemand, as services available over the Internet. Siebel CRM OnDemand offers customers CRM and analytics functionality, and Siebel Contact OnDemand offers customers call center functionality. We provide these OnDemand solutions for a monthly per-user subscription fee, with a typical contractual period of one year. Our OnDemand solutions allow our customers to implement software solutions quickly, easily and cost-effectively. Our OnDemand solutions integrate with the on-premise Siebel Business Applications, enabling organizations to deploy our solutions in any combination of online hosted or on-premise delivery models.

Siebel Services, our professional services organization, provides (i) implementation services (i.e., assistance with the integration of our software with other software and hardware applications); (ii) change management services (i.e., end user adoption consulting and training services for our customers regarding how to better use our software); (iii) testing and managed services (i.e., assistance with operating, maintaining, testing and optimizing our applications); and (iv) technical support and customer care services (i.e., trouble-shooting the related software products and future product updates). Siebel Services has significant product and implementation expertise and is committed to supporting customers and systems integrators throughout every phase of their adoption and use of Siebel Business Applications.

Our professional services are typically initiated and provided over a period of three to nine months subsequent to the licensing of our software and, accordingly, our professional services revenues vary directly with the levels of software license revenue generated in the preceding three- to nine-month period. Primarily all of our professional services arrangements are billed on a time and materials basis. Maintenance is typically sold with the related software license for a period of one year and is renewable at the option of the customer on an annual basis thereafter. Our maintenance revenues depend upon both our

ability to generate additional software license revenue and annual renewals of maintenance agreements by our existing customer base.

Improvement of Our Operating Performance

In the third quarter of 2005, we simplified our go to market organizations and established clear, strong leadership and accountability in each of our three major geographic regions. These changes helped the Company achieve its overall license revenue objectives. Transaction volumes and average transaction sizes rebounded to recent historical levels and we achieved a balanced distribution of transactions greater than \$5M, greater than \$1M, and less than \$1M. Our results also reflect confidence in the future of Siebel CRM following the proposed Oracle transaction.

Software license revenues increased by \$7.5 million, or 7%, from \$104.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$112.1 million for the same period in 2005, and decreased by \$60.8 million, or 19%, from \$326.2 million during the first nine months of 2004 to \$265.4 million for the same period in 2005. Despite the shortfall in our software license revenues during the first nine months of 2005, there were a number of positive areas in our business during the third quarter and first nine months of 2005. Professional services and other revenues increased by \$16.5 million, or 17%, from \$94.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$110.9 million for the same period in 2005, and increased by \$50.9 million, or 19%, from \$273.9 million during the first nine months of 2004 to \$324.8 million for the same period in 2005. Maintenance renewals remained solid during the first nine months of 2005, and maintenance revenues grew by \$6.8 million, or 6%, from \$118.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$124.8 million for the same period in 2005, and grew by \$22.9 million, or 7%, from \$347.3 million in the first nine months of 2004 to \$370.2 million for the same period in 2005. Our OnDemand total contract value closed increased \$3.7 million, or 49%, to approximately \$11.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, from \$7.6 million for the same period in 2004, and increased \$25.7 million, or 157%, to approximately \$42.1 million for the first nine months of 2005 from \$16.4 million for the same period in 2004. The number of OnDemand subscribers increased on a year-over-year basis by 83% to 44,000 at September 30, 2005, as compared to 24,000 at September 30, 2004. The number of live users of our software increased by approximately 233,000 during the third quarter of 2005 to 3.7 million users at September 30, 2005, as compared to 2.7 million users at September 30, 2004.

Summary of Our Operating Results and Certain Key Financial Metrics

The following is a brief summary of our key financial metrics and operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except EPS, percentages and DSO):

	Thr	ee Months Er	ided S	September 30,	Ni	ne Months E	Ended September 30,		
		2004		2005		2004		2005	
Revenues:									
Software license	\$	104,579	\$	112,128	\$	326,207	\$	265,434	
Maintenance		118,059		124,810		347,350		370,204	
Professional services and other		94,448		110,919		273,875		324,754	
Total revenues.	\$	317,086	\$	347,857	\$	947,432	\$	960,392	
Annualized total revenue per employee	\$	260	\$	280	\$	259	\$	251	
Cost and expenses:									
Restructuring, other charges, and									
acquisition-related expenses (1)	\$	6,742	\$	8,477	\$	12,307	\$	93,934	
All other costs and expenses, on-going (2)		286,377		303,212		871,739		923,511	
Total costs and expenses	\$	293,119	\$	311,689	\$	884,046	\$	1,017,445	
Other key operating statistics:									
Operating income (loss)	\$	23,967	\$	36,168	\$	63,386	\$	(57,053)	
Operating margin		8 %	6	10 %		7 %	6	(6)%	
Earnings (loss) per share, diluted ("EPS")	\$	0.03	\$	0.06	\$	0.11	\$	(0.04)	
Cash flows from operations	\$	19,714	\$	11,235	\$	190,862	\$	96,372	

	De	cember 31,	March 31,	June 30,	Se	ptember 30,
		2004	 2005	 2005		2005
Balance sheet statistics:						
Cash and short-term investments	\$	2,246,488	\$ 2,196,276	\$ 2,241,741	\$	2,247,176
Deferred revenue	\$	357,223	\$ 365,979	\$ 331,436	\$	315,170
Days sales outstanding ("DSO")		67	77	60		59
Working capital	\$	1,866,869	\$ 1,827,059	\$ 1,825,859	\$	1,872,755
Total stockholders' equity	\$	2,246,644	\$ 2,293,590	\$ 2,229,294	\$	2,286,119

- (1) Please refer to "Restructuring and Other Charges" and "Purchased In-Process Product Development" in the following pages for a further discussion of these expenses.
- (2) Represents the sum of (i) total cost of revenues, (ii) product development expense, (iii) sales and marketing expense and (iv) general and administrative expense. We believe these expenses are more representative of our on-going operations.
- **Software license revenues** increased by 7.2% from \$104.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$112.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to an increase in the number of transactions closed. License revenues decreased by 18.6% from \$326.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$265.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to our inability to close on certain transactions in our sales pipeline during the first two quarters of 2005.
- Maintenance revenues increased by 5.7% from \$118.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$124.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and increased by 6.6% from \$347.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$370.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Maintenance revenues have increased primarily due to new maintenance agreements entered into in connection with new software licenses. In addition, the renewal rate among our existing customer base remained strong.
- **Professional services and other revenues,** which primarily relate to implementation and training services performed in connection with new software licenses, increased by 17.4% from \$94.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$110.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and increased by 18.6% from \$273.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$324.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Our professional services and other revenues increased on year-over-year basis due to continued utilization improvements in our services organization and the increased success of our partner programs aimed at growing sales.
- Annualized total revenue per employee increased year-over-year for the three months ended September 30, from \$260,000 to \$280,000 per employee, primarily due to higher revenue and lower headcount. Annualized total revenue per employee decreased year-over-year for the nine months ended September 30, from \$259,000 to \$251,000 per employee, primarily due to the year-over-year decreases in our software license revenues, coupled with a year-over-year increase in our employee base primarily due to our acquisitions of edocs, Inc. ("edocs") and Eontec Limited ("Eontec").
- All other costs and expenses, on-going increased by 5.9% from \$286.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$303.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and increased by 5.9% from \$871.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$923.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to increases in costs related to the expansion of our employee base (primarily as a result of our acquisitions of edocs and Eontec), which were partially offset by further cost savings from our costs controls, including reductions in depreciation expense and travel and entertainment ("T&E") expenses.
- Operating income increased from \$24.0 million, representing an operating margin of 8%, during the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$36.2 million, representing an operating margin of 10%, during the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to the increase in our software license, professional services, maintenance and other revenues discussed above, net of increases in (i) the restructuring, other charges, and acquisition-related expenses described in the following pages; and (ii) a net increase in all other costs and expenses, on-going, for the reasons described above, which costs and expenses include \$4.3 million related to an amendment to the UpShot merger agreement, and \$1.6 million of merger-related expenses associated with our pending merger with Oracle. Operating income decreased from \$63.4 million, representing an operating margin of 7%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to an operating loss of \$57.1 million, representing a negative operating margin of 6%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to (i) the restructuring, other charges and acquisition-related expenses described in the following pages; (ii) the decline in our software license revenues discussed above; and (iii) a net increase in all other costs and expenses, on-going, for the reasons described above, net of the increase in our professional services, maintenance and other revenues.
- Cash and short-term investments were effectively unchanged from \$2,246.5 million as of December 31, 2004 to \$2,247.2 million as of September 30, 2005, representing approximately 72.8% of total assets as of the end of each of these respective periods. Cash and short-term investments were affected primarily by net acquisition-

related expenditures of \$108.3 million associated with our acquisition of edocs on January 14, 2005, offset by continued positive cash flows from operations of \$96.4 million.

- **Deferred revenues** decreased by \$42.0 million, or 11.8%, from \$357.2 million as of December 31, 2004 to \$315.2 million as of September 30, 2005, primarily due to seasonally higher maintenance renewal billings at the end of 2004.
- Accounts receivable—Days sales outstanding ("DSO") were 67 days and 59 days as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, respectively. The decrease in DSO was due to our improved focus on cash collections and the seasonal reduction in maintenance renewal billings discussed above.
- Working capital increased from \$1,866.9 million as of December 31, 2004 to \$1,872.8 million as of September 30, 2005. With virtually no debt and a strong working capital position, we believe that we have the flexibility to continue to invest in revenue generation capabilities.
- Stockholders' equity increased \$39.5 million, or 1.8%, from \$2,246.6 million as of December 31, 2004 to \$2,286.1 million as of September 30, 2005. Stockholders' equity increased \$51.4 million as a result of the IRS settlement described further in Notes 1 and 4 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and \$64.2 million from stock option exercises and stock issuances related to our employee stock purchase plan. These increases were offset by our net loss of \$19.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, a \$39.9 million currency translation adjustment charge during the period due to exchange rate changes, and our \$13.0 million quarterly dividend discussed in Note 9 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Outlook

Our outlook for the fourth quarter of 2005 reflects our current expectation for fourth quarter results comparable to those achieved in the third quarter of 2005, our current views on revenue generation given the pending Merger with Oracle, and is based on both top down and bottoms up forecasting. Our outlook does not reflect normal seasonality due in part to our uncertainty regarding the impact of the pending Merger with Oracle on our business and general uncertain economic conditions and other risk factors that can affect our results.

For the fourth quarter of 2005, we currently anticipate that our total revenues will be between \$340 million and \$360 million. We expect the individual components of our total revenues to be within the following ranges:

- software license revenues—between \$110 million and \$130 million;
- maintenance revenues—between \$120 million and \$126 million; and
- professional services and other revenues—between \$110 million and \$115 million.

Our expectations regarding our revenues and our ability to execute against those expectations may be negatively impacted by many factors, including (i) a deterioration in global economic conditions and/or information technology spending; (ii) a continued lengthening of our sales cycle and/or reduction in our sales pipeline; (iii) additional terrorist attacks or threats of terrorist attacks; (iv) geopolitical uncertainties, including continued hostilities involving the United States; (v) corporate and consumer confidence in the economy, as evidenced, in part, by the levels of the stock market; (vi) continued intense competition, including new technological innovations and business models within our industry; (vii) the uncertainty in the application software industry and resulting reductions in capital expenditures; (viii) the loss of or inability to retain key employees; and (ix) other factors, including those described under "Risk Factors" below.

We expect total costs and expenses in the fourth quarter of 2005 to be slightly less than the levels incurred in the third quarter of 2005. Our expectations regarding our total costs and expenses are exclusive of an additional (approximately) \$4 million to \$7 million in non-operating, Merger related expenses (primarily legal and accounting expenses). If we exceed our revenue projections, we expect an increase in expenses that vary directly with revenues, such as sales commissions, employee bonuses and cost of revenues.

As discussed further in Note 4 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, certain of our U.S. payroll tax returns, U.S. sales and use tax returns, and international sales and value added tax returns, are currently under examination and/or review by the applicable taxing authorities. In July 2005, the IRS issued the Company an assessment related to our previously disclosed payroll tax audit for \$60.8 million primarily in proposed penalties, primarily associated with our alleged failure to pay payroll taxes withheld on employee stock option exercises in a timely fashion. The Company notified the IRS that it does not agree with the IRS' findings. The Company plans to appeal this assessment and defend itself vigorously. While we believe that we have made adequate provisions related to the audits of these tax returns, the final

determination of our obligations may exceed the amounts provided for. Specifically, we may receive assessments related to the audits and/or reviews of these tax returns that exceed amounts provided for in our condensed consolidated financial statements. In the event we are unsuccessful in reducing the amount of such assessments, our estimates related to our total costs and expenses could be adversely affected.

Uncertainty with respect to the timing and amount of our future revenues could significantly impact the above expectations and our future operations. Our sales personnel monitor the status of all potential transactions, including the estimated closing date and potential dollar amount of each transaction. We aggregate these estimates periodically to generate a sales pipeline and then evaluate the pipeline to identify trends in our business. This pipeline analysis and related estimates of revenue may differ significantly from actual revenues in a particular reporting period. A variation in the pipeline or in the conversion rate of the pipeline into contracts could cause us to plan or budget inaccurately and thereby could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, because we expect that a substantial portion of our software license contracts will continue to close in the latter part of a quarter, management may not be able to adjust our cost structure to respond to a variation in the conversion of the pipeline, which may adversely and materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As discussed in Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2001 through 2003 are currently under examination by the IRS. While the final resolution of the IRS's on-going examination is uncertain, we believe that we have made adequate provision in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any adjustments that the IRS has or may propose with respect to our U.S. federal income tax returns. The final determination of our tax obligations may exceed the amounts provided for by us in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. We will continually review our estimates related to our income tax obligations, including potential assessments from the IRS of additional taxes, penalties and/or interest, and revise our estimates, if deemed necessary. A revision in our estimates of our tax obligations will be reflected as an adjustment to our income tax provision at the time of the change in our estimates. We currently do not believe any such revision would materially impact our effective tax rate, income tax provision and net income.

Discussion of the Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2005

Revenues

Our total revenues increased from \$317.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$347.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and from \$947.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$960.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, representing a year-over-year increase of 9.7% for the three months ended September 30, and a year-over-year increase of 1.4% for the nine months ended September 30. Our total revenues increased during the third quarter of 2005 from the third quarter of 2004 due to increases in all our revenue streams. Our total revenues increased during the first nine months of 2005 from the first nine months of 2004 due to increases in our professional services, maintenance and other revenues, which offset the decrease in our software license revenues.

The following summarizes the components of our total revenues:

Software

The following table sets forth our software license revenues, both in absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three	M	onths Ende	d S	eptember	30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
	2004		2005	(Change	%		2004		2005		Change	%		
Software license revenues	\$ 104,579	\$	112,128	\$	7,549	7 %	\$	326,207	\$	265,434	\$	(60,773)	(19)%		
Percentage of total revenues	33 %		32 %	,				34 %		28 %					

Software license revenues increased by 7% from the three months ended September 30, 2004 to the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to an increase in the number of transactions closed. Software license revenues decreased by 19% from the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to our inability to properly execute on closing transactions in our sales pipeline in the first half of 2005.

The year-over-year increase in our software license revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2005 was across all of our product lines, but primarily in our customer data integration product line, which improved by 57.2%, and our analytics product line, which improved by 9.4%. The year-over-year decrease in our software license revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was due to declines in our core sales, marketing and service automation product line, which

declined by 23.5%, and our analytics product line, which declined by 14.2%, net of increases in our customer data integration product line, which improved by 25.9%. The following is a summary of our software license revenues, by product, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septen		 Nine Mor Septen	
	 2004	 2005	 2004	 2005
Sales, marketing and service automation	\$ 68,817	\$ 69,012	\$ 232,168	\$ 177,562
Customer and business analytics	27,429	30,015	76,139	65,342
Customer data integration	 8,333	 13,101	 17,900	22,530
Total	\$ 104,579	\$ 112,128	\$ 326,207	\$ 265,434

The following is a summary of certain key operating metrics that we use to track the progress of our on-premise software license revenues:

	T	hree Moi	nths E	nded	Nine Mo	nths	En de d
		Septen	iber 30	0,	 Septer	m be r	30,
Other key software license metrics:	2	004	2	2005	2004		2005
Transactions equal to or greater than \$5 million		2		1	 8	-	3
Transactions between \$1 million and \$5 million		30		32	68		68
Total number of transactions		296		318	901		857
Average transaction size (in thousands)	\$	353	\$	353	\$ 362	\$	310

As the above table indicates, the increase in our revenues during the year-over-year period for the three months ended September 30 was primarily due to an increase in the total number of license revenue transactions. The decline in our revenues during the year-over-year period for the nine months ended September 30 was primarily associated with (i) our largest transactions—those generating revenue of \$5 million and over—which decreased from 8 transactions to 3 transactions; (ii) our average transaction size, which decreased from \$362,000 to \$310,000 (due primarily to the reduced number of larger transactions); and (iii) the total number of license revenue transactions, which declined from 901 transactions to 857 transactions.

We market our products through our direct sales force, and to a limited extent through distributors primarily in Europe, Asia Pacific, Japan and Latin America. International license revenues accounted for 33% and 39% of software license revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, and 47% and 45% of software license revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. We expect international software license revenues will continue to account for a significant portion of our overall software license revenues in the foreseeable future. Because the majority of our software license arrangements and related operating activities are denominated in U.S. dollars, foreign currency exchange rates did not have a significant impact on software license revenues, total revenues or net income for any period presented.

Professional Services, Maintenance and Other

Professional services, maintenance and other revenues are primarily composed of implementation services and training services (i.e., professional services) and technical support and product updates (i.e., maintenance). Our professional services are typically initiated and provided over a period of three to nine months subsequent to the licensing of our software and depend in large part upon our software license revenues in the immediate preceding periods. Primarily all of our professional services arrangements are billed on a time and materials basis and, accordingly, are recognized as the services are performed.

Our maintenance revenues depend upon both our software license revenues and renewals of maintenance agreements by our existing customer base. Our customers typically prepay maintenance for the first year in connection with a new software license and renew on an annual basis thereafter. We reflect the prepayment of maintenance contracts in deferred revenue and recognize the revenue ratably over the term of the maintenance contract based on the number of days the contract is outstanding during each period.

Also included in professional services, maintenance and other revenues is revenue from Siebel CRM OnDemand and Siebel Contact OnDemand. Our customers typically prepay for these subscription services, which we defer and recognize ratably over the term of the customer contract based on the number of days the contract is outstanding during each period.

Professional services, maintenance and other revenues increased by \$23.2 million, or 11%, from \$212.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004 to \$235.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, and increased by \$73.7 million, or 12%, from \$621.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 to \$694.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This increase was primarily due to the following: (i) increased use of third-party resources, (ii) an increase in our installed base of customers receiving maintenance, (iii) continued utilization improvements in our services organization, (iv) our acquisition of edocs, and (v) the success of our partner programs aimed at growing sales.

The following sets forth the individual components of these revenues in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues (in thousands, except percentages):

	Thre	e Mon	ths Ended	l Se	ptember 3	0,	Nine	Mo	nths Ended	Sep	otember 30	,
	2004	2	005	(hange	%	2004		2005	(Change	%
Maintenance:												
Absolute dollars	\$ 118,059	\$ 12	24,810	\$	6,751	6 %	\$ 347,350	\$	370,204	\$	22,854	7 %
Percentage of total revenues	37	%	36 %				37 %		39 %			
Professional services and other:												
Absolute dollars	\$ 94,448	\$ 1	10,919	\$	16,471	17 %	\$ 273,875	\$	324,754	\$	50,879	19 %
Percentage of total revenues	30	%	32 %				29 %		34 %			
Total Professional services,												
maintenance and other:												
Absolute dollars	\$ 212,507	\$ 23	35,729	\$	23,222	11 %	\$ 621,225	\$	694,958	\$	73,733	12 %
Percentage of total revenues	67	%	68 %				66 %		72 %			

Maintenance revenues, in absolute dollars, increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective periods in 2004 primarily due to new maintenance agreements entered into in connection with the license of our software to new and existing customers. In addition to expanding our customer base receiving maintenance, we continue to maintain strong renewal rates within our existing customer base.

Professional services and other revenues, both in absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective periods in 2004, primarily due to improved utilization rates, and additional sales of new service offerings.

Cost of Revenues

The following table sets forth our total cost of revenues, both in absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three	Three Months Ended September 30,								Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2004		2005		Change	%		2004		2005	(Change	%				
Total cost of revenues	\$ 112,156	\$	123,100	\$	10,944	10 %	\$	334,464	\$	364,688	\$	30,224	9 %				
Percentage of total revenues	35 %		35 %					35 %)	38 %							
Gross Margin	65 %		65 %					65 %)	62 %							

The increases in our total cost of revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 compared to the respective periods in 2004 was primarily due to an increase in the demand for our professional services and maintenance services, which in turn resulted in an increase in the corresponding cost of revenues. Total cost of revenues increased as a percentage of our total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 primarily due to a higher percentage of our revenues being derived from our professional services, which are at lower margins than our software license revenues. Total cost of revenues remained consistent as a percentage of our total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 due to a consistent percentage of revenues being derived from professional services and software licenses year-over-year.

The following summarizes the individual components of our cost of revenues:

Software

Cost of software license revenues includes amortization of acquired technology, third-party software royalties and product packaging, production and documentation. All costs incurred in the research and development of software products and

enhancements to existing products have been expensed as incurred. The following table sets forth our cost of software license revenues in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of software license revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Thre	nths Ende	ptember 3	30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
	2004		2005	(Change	%		2004		2005	C	hange	%
Cost of software license revenues	\$ 3,010	\$	4,327	\$	1,317	44 %	\$	9,054	\$	12,107	\$	3,053	34 %
Percentage of software													
license revenues	3 %)	4 %	, D				3 %		5 %)		

Cost of software license revenues increased in absolute dollars and as a percentage of software license revenues from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to an increase in intangible asset amortization expense associated with our acquisitions of Eontec in April 2004, and edocs in January 2005.

Professional Services, Maintenance and Other

Cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues consist primarily of personnel, facilities and systems costs incurred to provide training, consulting, technical support and other professional services. The following table sets forth our cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of these revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three	e Month	s Ended S	Septembe	r 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
	2004	200	05	Change	%	2004		2005	(Change	%			
Cost of professional services,						•								
maintenance, and other revenues	\$ 109,146	\$ 118	3,773 \$	9,627	9 %	\$ 325,410	\$	352,581	\$	27,171	8 %			
Percentage of professional services,														
maintenance, and other revenues	51 %	ò	50 %			52 %	6	51 %	D D					

The following is a summary of the year-over-year changes in our cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 (in thousands):

	Y	hange		
	T	hree		Nine
	Me	onths		Months
	E	n de d		En de d
	Septe	mber 30,	Sep	tember 30,
Cost component:	2	005		2005
Outside consulting and cost of training	\$	5,063	\$	23,174
Personnel-related costs (compensation, benefits, etc.)		6,170		16,261
Rebillable T&E expenses.		1,347		5,168
Upshot accrual		2,151		2,151
Depreciation expense		(3,445)		(13,102)
Facility-related costs (rent, utilities, etc.)		(1,373)		(4,098)
Non-billable T&E expenses.		347		(1,765)
Amortization of intangibles.		(633)		(618)
Total year-over-year change	\$	9,627	\$	27,171

Cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues increased on a year-over-year basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to an increase in the demand for our professional services and maintenance offerings. Specifically, as a result of the increase in the demand for these services we increased the use of outside consultants in order to supplement customer engagements in lieu of hiring additional personnel. Accordingly, outside consulting and cost of training increased on a year-over-year basis in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Personnel-related costs also increased on a year-over-year basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to additional incentive compensation earned as a result of the continued improvement in our professional services revenues and margins, and an increase in headcount, including increased headcount resulting from our acquisition of

edocs (average headcount of 2,059 and 2,026 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, compared to 1,903 and 1,956 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively).

Similarly, as a result of the increased demand for these services, recurring rebillable T&E expenses increased commensurate with the increases in our professional services revenues.

Also contributing to the increase in cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues on a year-over-year basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, was the accrual of \$2.2 million related to an amendment to the UpShot merger agreement entered into during the three months ended September 30, 2005, as discussed in Note 5 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Partially offsetting the above increases in cost of professional services revenues were further reductions in these costs due to our cost control initiatives (e.g., the Restructurings, as described more fully in Note 2 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and our continued attention to expense reduction). For example, previous reductions in our capital expenditures resulted in further declines in depreciation expense, and previous consolidations of our facilities have resulted in further reductions in our facility-related expenses. In addition, we continue to monitor discretionary expenditures, such as non-billable T&E expenses, resulting in further reductions in our cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues.

Cost of professional services, maintenance and other revenues as a percentage of the corresponding revenues were consistent at 50% and 51% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to 51% and 52% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively. Similarly, our gross margin related to our professional services, maintenance and other revenues was consistent at 50% and 49% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, as compared to 49% and 48% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively.

Operating Expenses

<u>Product Development</u>

Product development expense includes costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements of existing products, quality assurance activities and vertical engineering. These costs consist primarily of employee salaries and benefits, occupancy costs, the cost of software development tools and equipment, and consulting costs, including costs for offshore consultants. The following table sets forth our product development expense in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three	onths Ende	eptember 3	30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,								
	2004		2005	(Change	%		2004		2005	(Change	%
Product development expense	\$ 70,310	\$	64,036	\$	(6,274)	(9)%	\$	219,070	\$	211,901	\$	(7,169)	(3)%
Percentage of total revenues	22. %	Ď	18 %	, D				23 %	,	22. %			

The following is a summary of the year-over-year changes in our product development expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 (in thousands):

	Year-Over-Year Change						
	Three		Nine				
	Months		Months				
	En de d		En de d				
	September 3),	September 30,				
Cost component:	2005		2005				
Personnel-related costs (compensation, benefits, etc.)	\$ (48	1) \$	9,451				
Depreciation expense	(2,68	4)	(10,616)				
T&E expenses.	(23	7)	(3,022)				
Facility-related costs (rent, utilities, etc.)	(1,46	1)	(1,691)				
Outside consulting and other costs, net	(1,41	1)	(1,291)				
Total year-over-year change	\$ (6,27	4) \$	(7,169)				

Personnel-related costs decreased on a year-over-year basis during the three months ended September 30, 2005, and increased on a year-over-year basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This was primarily due to changes in the number of personnel devoted to our product development efforts. Specifically, the average headcount in our product development organization decreased from 1,351 to 1,287 in the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively, and increased from 1,328 to 1,388 in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Our product development costs decreased year-over-year during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 primarily due to our cost control initiatives (e.g., the Restructurings and our continued attention to expense reduction). This decrease was partially offset by the acquisition of the edocs product development organization. For example, previous reductions in our capital expenditures resulted in further declines in depreciation expense and the continued close monitoring of discretionary expenditures resulted in further reductions in our T&E expenses.

As a percentage of total revenues, product development expense was 22% and 23% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, as compared to 18% and 22% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, primarily due to our continued attention to our cost structure.

Sales and Marketing

We continue to place significant emphasis, both domestically and internationally, on direct sales through our sales force. Sales and marketing expense is composed primarily of costs associated with our sales and marketing personnel and includes (i) salaries, commissions and bonuses; (ii) facility-related (i.e., rent) and equipment-related (i.e., depreciation) expenses; (iii) T&E expenses; and (iv) promotional and advertising expenses. The following table sets forth our sales and marketing expense in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

_	Three Months Ended September 30, Nine Months Ended Septemb								ptember 30),			
	20	2004 2005		Change		%	2004	2005		Change		%	
Sales and marketing expense	5 76	6,668	\$	92,469	\$	15,801	21 %	\$ 241,618	\$	270,889	\$	29,271	12 %
Percentage of total revenues		24 %		27 %				26 %	Ó	28 %)		

The following is a summary of the year-over-year changes in our sales and marketing expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 (in thousands):

	Year-Over-Year Change						
		Three		Nine			
		Months		Months			
	En de d			En de d			
	Sep	tember 30,	September 30,				
Cost component:		2005		2005			
Personnel-related costs (compensation, benefits, etc.)	\$	5,478	\$	22,395			
Sales commissions.		9,108		8,854			
Marketing and advertising		5,400		6,014			
Other, net		168		868			
Facility-related costs (rent, utilities, etc.)		(1,489)		344			
Depreciation expense		(2,546)		(7,867)			
T&E expenses.		(318)		(1,337)			
Total year-over-year change	\$	15,801	\$	29,271			

Personnel-related costs increased on a year-over-year basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to an increase in the number of personnel in our sales and marketing organizations, including additional personnel obtained in our acquisitions of edocs and Eontec. Specifically, the average headcount in our sales and marketing organizations increased from 1,072 and 1,040 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, to 1,082 and 1,126 in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Also contributing to the increase in personnel-related costs was the accrual of \$2.2 million related to an amendment to the UpShot merger agreement entered into during the three months ended September 30, 2005, as discussed in Note 5 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Additionally, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, we increased our investment in marketing and advertising in order to better strengthen our sales pipeline for future periods, and our sales commission expense increased primarily due to changes in our sales compensation plans.

As a result of the increase in the number of personnel within these organizations, primarily due to the acquisition of edocs, the facility-related costs of our sales and marketing expense increased on a year-over-year basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Partially offsetting the increases in our sales and marketing costs were reductions relating to our cost control initiatives (e.g., the Restructurings and our continued attention to expense reduction). For example, previous reductions in our capital expenditures resulted in further declines in depreciation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, continued consolidation of facilities resulted in decreases in facility-related costs during the three months ended September 30, 2005, and the continued close monitoring of discretionary expenditures resulted in further reductions in our T&E expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005.

As a percentage of total revenues, sales and marketing expenses were 24% and 26% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, as compared to 27% and 28% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense is composed primarily of costs associated with our executive and administrative personnel (e.g., the CEO and legal, finance, information technology, human resources and facilities personnel) and consists primarily of (i) salaries and occupancy costs, (ii) provision for doubtful accounts (i.e., bad debt expense), (iii) professional services expenses (e.g., audit fees and costs associated with compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or SOX) and (iv) legal expenses. The following table sets forth our general and administrative expense in terms of absolute dollars and as a percentage of total revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended September 30,							Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2004		2005		Change	%		2004		2005	C	hange	%		
General and adminstrative expense	\$ 27,243	\$	23,607	\$	(3,636)	(13)%	\$	76,587	\$	76,033	\$	(554)	(1)%		
Percentage of total revenues	9 %		7 %	,				8 %	,	8 %	á				

The following is a summary of the year-over-year changes in our general and administrative expenses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 from the respective period in 2004 (in thousands):

		Year-Over-Y	Year Change				
		Three		Nine			
		Months		Months			
		En de d		En de d			
	Sep	tember 30,	Sep	tember 30,			
Cost component:		2005		2005			
Personnel-related costs (compensation, benefits, etc.)	\$	1,055	\$	4,566			
Legal and tax-related expenses.		(3,106)		(1,374)			
Bad debt expense		(61)		938			
Professional services and other costs, net		(501)		482			
Depreciation expense		(724)		(3,005)			
T&E expenses.		125		(1,253)			
Facility-related costs (rent, utilities, etc.)		(424)		(908)			
Total year-over-year change	\$	(3,636)	\$	(554)			

The personnel-related cost component of general and administrative expenses increased on a year-over-year basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to (i) our continued investment in additional executive personnel to aid in the improvement of our operating performance, and (ii) continued investment in additional financial and administrative personnel as part of our on-going commitment to strong internal controls. Specifically, our average headcount in our administrative organization increased from 551 to 557 in the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. The personnel-related cost component of general and administrative expenses increased on a year-over-year basis during the

three months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to amortization of deferred compensation related to RSUs, increases in wage and bonus compensation, and payroll taxes related to stock option exercises.

Legal and tax-related expenses decreased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to the respective periods in 2004, primarily due to a reduction in expenses related to the payroll tax audits discussed in "Outlook" above, partially offset by expenses associated with our pending merger with Oracle and other legal matters. Please refer to Note 4 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of these legal and tax-related matters.

Bad debt expense increased on a year-over-year basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to a higher recovery rate of amounts previously written-off during the 2004 period compared to the level of recoveries during the 2005 period.

There were further reductions in our general and administrative costs due to our cost control initiatives (e.g., the Restructurings and our continued attention to expense reduction). For example, previous reductions in our capital expenditures resulted in further declines in depreciation expense, continued consolidation of facilities resulted in decreases in facility-related costs, and the continued close monitoring of discretionary expenditures resulted in further reductions in our T&E expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

General and administrative expense as a percentage of total revenues was 9% and 8% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, and 7% and 8% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

Restructuring and Other Charges

As further described in Note 2 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, we initiated a series of restructurings of our operations designed to better align our operating structure with expected revenue levels (the "Restructurings"). The Restructurings included the following key measures: (i) the reduction of our workforce across all functional areas; (ii) the consolidation of our excess facilities; (iii) the abandonment of certain long-lived assets, including leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures; and (iv) the transfer of certain technical support, quality assurance and other product development positions to labor markets with lower cost structures. As a result of the 2005 Restructurings, the Company realized quarterly expense savings of approximately \$6.4 million in the third quarter of 2005, and expects to realize on-going total quarterly expense savings of approximately \$7.1 million, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The following table summarizes the restructuring and related expenses incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, and the remaining obligations related to the Restructurings as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Employee Termination Costs (1)	Facility- Related Costs (2)	Asset Abandonment Costs (3)	Total
Restructuring obligations, December 31, 2004	\$ 265	\$ 105,601	\$	\$ 105,866
Restructuring and related expenses:				
Recognized related to the 2005 Restructurings (4)	6,307	51,005	4,378	61,690
Recognized related to changes in estimates (5)		12,262		12,262
Accretion related to the Restructurings (6)		1,937		 1,937
Total (7)	6,307	65,204	4,378	 75,889
Cash payments	(3,816)	(31,127)		(34,943)
Non-cash charges	(2)	(1,109)	(4,378)	(5,489)
Deferred rent liability (8)	<u></u>	2,777	<u></u>	2,777
Restructuring obligations, September 30, 2005	\$ 2,754	\$ 141,346	\$	\$ 144,100
Less: Restructuring obligations, short-term				37,276
Restructuring obligations, long-term				\$ 106,824

(1) The costs associated with our workforce reductions consist primarily of severance payments, COBRA benefits, payroll taxes and other associated termination costs incurred in connection with the 2003 and 2005 Restructurings. As of September 30, 2005, the remaining obligations relate to approximately 40 employee terminations that have yet to be completed worldwide. We expect to complete these terminations in 2005 and 2006.

- (2) The costs associated with our facilities consolidation primarily relate to lease termination costs, costs associated with satisfying remaining lease commitments, and expected brokerage and other re-letting costs, partially offset by estimated sublease income. Additionally, the Company recorded a non-cash charge equal to the prepaid rent related to the restructured properties.
- (3) As part of the consolidation of our facilities, certain leasehold improvements, furniture and fixtures were abandoned. As a result, we recorded a non-cash charge equal to the net book value of these abandoned assets in restructuring and related expenses.
- (4) In accordance with SFAS 146, we recorded the facility-related expenses incurred in the 2005 Restructurings after giving effect to the net present value of the related obligations.
- (5) We revised our estimated obligations with respect to the facility-related expenses incurred in the 2002 Restructuring and 2003 Restructuring during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Similarly, during the three months ended September 30, 2005, the Company revised its estimated obligations with respect to the facility-related expenses incurred in the restructuring initiated in the second quarter of 2005. Due primarily to the real estate markets in which we operate remaining at depressed levels longer than originally anticipated, we extended the estimated sublease commencement dates and/or reduced the estimated sublease rates on certain restructured properties. Partially offsetting these reductions in estimated sublease income were favorable changes in estimates that resulted from entering into subleases sooner and/or at higher sublease rates than originally anticipated on certain other restructured properties.
- (6) We will continue to accrete our obligations related to the Restructurings to the then present value and, accordingly, will recognize additional accretion expense as a restructuring and related expense in future periods.
- (7) The following is a summary of the net restructuring and related expenses recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005			Three Months Ended September 30, 2005	 Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
Restructuring and related expenses:					
2005 Restructuring-related	\$	52,783	\$	8,907	\$ 61,690
Changes in estimates		12,761		(499)	12,262
Accretion		952		985	1,937
Total restructuring and related expenses	\$	66,496	\$	9,393	\$ 75,889

(8) The Company reclassified to restructuring obligations the previously recorded deferred rent liability related to the restructured properties.

Restructuring and related expenses were approximately \$6.7 million and \$6.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, primarily related to the consolidation of the Company's excess facilities and the abandonment of certain long-lived assets. During the first six months of 2004, the Company revised it's estimates of employee termination costs with respect to the 2003 Restructuring due primarily to higher than expected voluntary terminations.

The total restructuring charge and related cash outlay are based on management's current estimates, which may change materially if further consolidations are required or if actual lease-related expenditures or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. We will review the status of our restructuring activities quarterly and, if appropriate, record changes to our restructuring obligations in current operations based on management's most current estimates. Please refer to Note 2 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of our Restructurings.

Concurrent with the 2005 Restructurings, the Company has recorded additional charges totaling approximately \$7.2 million in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. These expenses relate primarily to severance payments and accelerated RSU vesting associated with the resignation of the Company's previous Chief Executive Officer, and compensation associated with the appointment of the Company's new Chief Executive Officer, in April 2005.

Purchased In-Process Product Development

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2005

On January 14, 2005, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of edocs, Inc. ("edocs"), a leading provider of electronic bill presentment and customer self-service software solutions. We believe that edocs' existing technology and certain products and functionality under development by edocs at the time of purchase will enhance our current self-service offerings.

The technology being developed by edocs at the time of our acquisition, which is commonly referred to as IPR&D (in-process research and development), primarily related to edocs' products designed specifically for the telecommunications, healthcare and credit card industries. We estimated the fair value of the IPR&D acquired in our acquisition of edocs to be \$10.9 million. Because the IPR&D had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use, we reflected a \$10.9 million expense under the heading "purchased in-process product development" in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

At the date of our acquisition of edocs, there were six products under development, with the estimated percentage completion for each of these IPR&D products ranging from approximately 50% to 75%. We determined the fair values of the IPR&D using the "income" valuation approach and discount rates ranging from 20% to 32%. The discount rates selected were based in part on considerations of the rate of return implied by the purchase price and the risk associated with achieving forecasted cash flows for edocs. Subsequent to the acquisition, we incurred approximately \$2.3 million related to the development of these products, four of which were substantially completed by September 30, 2005. We have ceased development of the remaining two products.

Further, in calculating the value of amounts assigned to IPR&D, we also considered the relevant market sizes and growth factors of the technologies, our intended use of the products, expected industry trends, the anticipated nature and timing of new product introductions by us and our competitors, individual product sales cycles, and the estimated lives of each of the products' underlying technologies. We also gave consideration to the technologies' stage of completion, the complexity of the work completed to date, the difficulty of completing the remaining development, costs already incurred, and the expected cost to complete the project. The value assigned reflects the relative value and contribution of the IPR&D.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2004

On April 20, 2004, we acquired Eontec Limited ("Eontec"), a global provider of multichannel retail banking solutions. We estimated the fair value of the IPR&D, primarily related to Eontec's Internet banking and teller products, to be approximately \$6.0 million. Because the IPR&D had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no alternative future use, we reflected a \$6.0 million expense under the heading "purchased in-process product development" in the accompanying unaudited condensed statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2004.

Operating Income (Loss) and Operating Margin

The following table sets forth our operating income (loss) and operating margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

_	Three Months Ended September 30,								Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2004		2005 Change			%	2004		2005		Change	%				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 23,967	\$	36,168	\$	12,201		51 %	\$	63,386	\$	(57,053)	\$ (120,439)	(190)%			
Operating margin	7.6 %		10.4 %						6.7 %		(5.9)%					

Our operating income and operating margin increased on a year-over-year basis during the three months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to a year-over-year increase in total revenues, and cost controls in product development and general and administrative expenses, net of increases in the total cost of revenues and sales and marketing expenses, restructuring expenses of \$8.5 million, \$4.3 million related to an amendment to the UpShot merger agreement, and \$1.6 million of merger-related expenses associated with our pending merger with Oracle. Our operating income (loss) and operating margin decreased on a year-over-year basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, primarily due to (i) a year-over-year increase of \$77 million related to the restructuring initiated in the second quarter of 2005; (ii) declines in our software license revenues; and (iii) increases in total cost of revenues and operating expenses, primarily due to increases in personnel-related costs associated with an expanded workforce, net of increases in our professional services, maintenance and other revenues.

Other Income (Expense), Net

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, other income, net was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Three Mo Septer				Nine Mo Septer			
	 2004 2005				2004		2005	
Interest income	\$ 12,209	\$	16,932	\$	35,060	\$	48,073	
Interest expense	(174)		(97)		(728)		(748)	
Net gain (loss) on marketable investments	147		(157)		1,997		(514)	
Gain on (write-down of) cost-method investments	(275)		(160)		(1,821)		2,129	
Other, net	(383)		315		(1,432)		(675)	
	\$ 11,524	\$	16,833	\$	33,076	\$	48,265	

Interest income represents earnings on our cash and short-term investments. Interest income increased on a year-over-year basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to an increase in our cash and short-term investments from \$2,153.7 million as of September 30, 2004 to \$2,247.2 million as of September 30, 2005, along with an increase in interest rates. Interest expense primarily represents interest associated with our capital lease obligations.

Net gain (loss) on marketable investments represents realized gains or losses recognized upon the sale of certain short-term debt instruments. During the first half of 2005, we sold substantially all of our venture investment portfolio for approximately \$5.0 million resulting in a gain on these cost-method investments of \$2.1 million. Write-down of cost-method investments in the above table during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 represents adjustments to certain of these investments to the estimated fair value as the decline in these investments was deemed to be other-than-temporary. Other, net for all periods presented is primarily comprised of banking fees and net foreign currency transaction gains or losses.

Provision for Income Taxes and Income Tax Benefit

Historically, we have calculated the provision for income taxes in interim periods using an estimate of the annual effective tax rate. In the second quarter of 2005, we concluded that as a result of the uncertainty of license revenues for the remainder of the year, and the fact that our income tax rate is highly dependent upon accurate license revenue projections due to relatively large nondeductible expenses, a small variation in projected net income could have a significant impact on our effective tax rate. Accordingly, consistent with footnote 7 of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 18, "Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods," the provision for income taxes in the accompanying unaudited statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 is based on the actual effective tax rate for the three- and nine-month period (the discrete method).

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, we recognized a provision for income taxes of \$17.2 million and \$39.6 million, respectively, compared to a provision of \$18.3 million and \$10.5 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Income taxes as a percentage of pretax income (loss) (the "Effective Rate") decreased from 48% and 41% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, to 35% and (120%) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Although we incurred a net loss before taxes of \$8.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we nonetheless incurred income tax expense of \$10.5 million for the same period, due to the geographical mix of income and non-deductible permanent differences. A significant portion of the difference between the rates computed for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004 compared with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 is attributable to the change in facts and circumstances requiring us to compute the 2005 tax rates using the discrete method as described in the immediately preceding paragraph.

Changes in the geographical mix or estimated level of annual pretax income can significantly impact our effective rate. This process involves estimating our current tax liabilities in each jurisdiction in which we operate, including the impact, if any, of additional taxes resulting from tax examinations, as well as making judgments regarding the recoverability of deferred tax assets. To the extent recovery of deferred tax assets is not likely, based on our estimation of future taxable income in each jurisdiction, a valuation allowance is established. Tax liabilities can involve complex issues and may require an extended period to resolve. While we have considered future taxable income and the existence of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing our valuation allowance, in the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, we would charge to income an adjustment to the valuation allowance in the period such determination was made.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We derive our liquidity and capital resources primarily from our cash flows from operations and from our working capital. Our working capital was \$1,866.9 million and \$1,872.8 million as of December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, respectively. With virtually no debt and a strong working capital position, we believe that we have the flexibility to continue to invest in further development of our technology.

The significant components of our working capital are liquid assets such as cash, short-term investments and trade accounts receivable, reduced by accounts payable, accrued expenses, restructuring obligations and deferred revenue. We continue to operate a cash-positive business and, accordingly, we have been able to eliminate substantially all of our long-term debt and maintain our cash and short-term investment balances at over \$2.0 billion.

We believe our current working capital and anticipated cash flows from operations will be adequate to meet our cash needs for our daily operations and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. Our liquidity could be negatively impacted by a decrease in revenues resulting from a decline in demand for our products, which are subject to rapid technological change, or a reduction of capital expenditures by our customers as a result of a downturn in the global economy, among other factors. We did not repurchase any of our common stock during 2004 or 2005.

Commitments

Our future fixed commitments have not changed significantly since December 31, 2004. Please refer to "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 for a further discussion of our future fixed commitments, including a five-year schedule of future cash payments under these obligations.

Cash Flows

As discussed above, one of the primary sources of our liquidity is our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations. The following is a discussion of our primary sources and uses of cash in our operating, investing and financing activities:

Operations

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$190.9 million and \$96.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Cash provided by operating activities decreased on a year-over-year basis during 2005 primarily as the result of decreases in earnings.

During each of these periods, our cash flows from operations were primarily derived from (i) our earnings from ongoing operations prior to non-cash expenses such as depreciation, amortization and bad debt; (ii) the tax benefit related to the exercise of employee stock options, which reduces our cash outlay for income tax expense; (iii) the unrealized foreign currency gain; and (iv) changes in our working capital, which is primarily composed of net collections of accounts receivable, changes in prepaids and other, payments of accounts payable and accrued expenses, payments and increases in restructuring obligations, and increases and decreases in deferred revenue (collectively representing net cash inflows of \$28.4 million and \$21.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively).

The majority of our restructuring obligations will be paid over time. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004, cash provided by operating activities was reduced by \$38.1 million for cash payments made during 2004 related to these obligations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, net restructuring obligations increased by \$38.2 million, comprised of \$73.1 million in cash obligations related to the latest restructuring charge, offset by cash payments of \$34.9 million. As we settle our remaining restructuring obligations (\$144.1 million of remaining obligations, net of estimated sublease income, as of September 30, 2005), our future operating cash flows will be reduced from what they otherwise would have been in the period of settlement. We currently estimate that we will settle \$37.3 million of these obligations in the next 12 months.

Continuing to operate a cash-positive business depends on our ability to achieve positive earnings and maintain or increase the level of our software license revenues, including maintaining or improving the linearity of our revenues compared to our historical levels, and continuing to effectively manage working capital. In addition, our ability to generate future cash flows from operations could be negatively impacted by a decrease in demand for our products, which are subject to rapid technological change, or a reduction of capital expenditures by our customers as a result of a downturn in the global economy, among other factors.

Investing

Net cash used in investing activities was \$314.0 million and \$131.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. During each of these periods, our investment activities primarily related to transactions within our short-term investments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, our purchases of short-term investments exceeded sales and maturities by \$229.6 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. The decrease in our purchases of short-term investments on a year-over-year basis during the first three quarters of 2005 was primarily due to our purchase of edocs, as discussed further below.

We also utilized our cash position to invest in new property and equipment, with net purchases of property and equipment of \$7.8 million and \$10.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

We intend to continue to closely monitor our capital expenditures, while making selective investments in additional information technology for (i) our CRM OnDemand product line, (ii) certain product development efforts, and (iii) the replacement of certain older computer and information technology infrastructure. Gross capital expenditures through September 30, 2005, including cash purchases, capital leases, and the acquisition of edocs' capital equipment, totaled \$20.8 million. Accordingly, we expect our total capital expenditures to be \$30 million or less for 2005.

The remaining cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 consisted primarily of the acquisitions of Ineto Services, Inc., for net cash consideration of \$4.8 million, and Eontec, for net cash consideration of approximately \$71.1M. The remaining cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 consisted primarily of the acquisition of edocs, for net cash consideration of approximately \$108.3 million. Please refer to Note 5 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of our acquisitions of edocs and Eontec.

Financing

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$48.0 million and \$43.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively. Our financing activities consisted primarily of net proceeds of \$57.4 million and \$62.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively, from the issuance of common stock pursuant to the exercise of stock options and our employee stock purchase plan (the "Purchase Plan"). Our cash inflows from financing activities were partially offset by our repayment of capital lease obligations, with payments of \$9.4 million and \$5.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

On June 8, 2005, our Board of Directors approved a quarterly dividend of \$0.025 per share. The dividend was paid July 15, 2005 to shareholders of record on June 30, 2005.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not use off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or related parties. Accordingly, our liquidity and capital resources are not subject to off-balance sheet risks from unconsolidated entities. As of September 30, 2005, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K.

We have entered into operating leases, expiring between 2005 and 2022, for most U.S. and international sales and support offices and certain equipment in the normal course of business. While these arrangements are often referred to as a form of off-balance sheet financing, these arrangements are not considered off-balance-sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K. Please refer to Note 4 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for detail of our future minimum lease payments under our operating leases as of September 30, 2005.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

Use of Estimates

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and the application of GAAP requires management to make estimates that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. In many instances, we could have reasonably used different accounting estimates. In other instances, changes in the accounting estimates from period to period are reasonably likely to occur. Accordingly, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates made by management. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our future financial statement presentation of our financial condition or results of operations may be affected.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns, fair value of investments, fair value of acquired intangible assets and goodwill, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, income taxes, restructuring obligations, and contingencies and litigation, among others. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. We refer to accounting estimates of this type as "critical accounting estimates."

Critical Accounting Policies

In addition to making critical accounting estimates, we must ensure that our financial statements are properly stated in accordance with GAAP. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require management's judgment in its application, while in other cases management's judgment is required in selecting among available alternative accounting standards that allow different accounting treatment for similar transactions (e.g., stock-based compensation, restructuring activities, depreciation methodology, etc.). We believe that the following accounting policies are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies relate to the more significant areas involving management's judgments and estimates:

- Revenue recognition,
- Restructuring and related expenses,
- Impairment of long-lived assets,
- Provision for doubtful accounts.
- Acquired intangible assets,
- Stock-based compensation,
- · Legal and tax contingencies, and
- Income taxes.

Our management has reviewed our critical accounting policies, our critical accounting estimates, and the related disclosures with our Disclosure and Audit Committees. These policies and our procedures related to these policies are described further in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," under the heading "Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates."

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R. SFAS 123R addresses all forms of share-based payment ("SBP") awards, including shares issued under the Purchase Plan, stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and stock appreciation rights. SFAS 123R will require us to record compensation expense for SBP awards based on the fair value of the SBP awards. Under SFAS 123R, restricted stock and restricted stock units will generally be valued by reference to the market value of freely tradable shares of our common stock. Stock options, stock appreciation rights and shares issued under the Purchase Plan will generally be valued at fair value determined through an option valuation model, such as a lattice model or the Black-Scholes option valuation model (the model which we currently use for our footnote disclosure). SFAS 123R is effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005 and, accordingly, we must adopt the new accounting provisions effective January 1, 2006.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, we expect that the on-going application of SFAS 123R subsequent to adoption will result in a reduction to our quarterly stated earnings for 2006 by up to \$30.0 million per quarter. This estimate is based solely on the expense associated with stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2005 (including stock-based compensation under the Purchase Plan) and therefore does not take into consideration new stock option grants, which would increase this estimate, or forfeitures of stock options, which would reduce this estimate.

Income Taxes

In December 2004, the FASB issued two FASB Staff Positions ("FSP") related to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the "AJCA"). FSP No. 109-1 "Application of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities" ("FSP 109-1") requires companies that qualify for a deduction for domestic production activities under the AJCA to account for the deduction as a special deduction under FASB Statement No. 109.

FSP No. 109-2 "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004" ("FSP 109-2") allows companies additional time to evaluate whether foreign earnings will be repatriated under the repatriation provisions of the AJCA and requires specified disclosures for companies needing the additional time to complete the evaluation. Once a decision is made to repatriate the foreign earnings, companies must reflect the deferred tax liabilities attributable to foreign earnings in the period that the decision is made to remit those earnings. Based on our analysis to date, it is reasonably possible that we may repatriate between zero and approximately \$156 million of foreign earnings, with the associated tax liability ranging between zero and approximately \$9 million.

Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements" ("SFAS 154"). SFAS 154 will require companies to account for and apply changes in accounting principles retrospectively to prior periods' financial statements, instead of recording a cumulative effect adjustment within the period of the change, unless it is impracticable to determine the effects of the change to each period being presented. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes made in annual periods beginning after December 15, 2005 and, accordingly, we must adopt the new accounting provisions effective January 1, 2006. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 154 to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Employee Stock Options

Section I. Description of Plans

Since our inception, our stock option program has been instrumental in attracting and retaining talented employees and aligning their interests with the interests of stockholders. Our stock option program consists primarily of two plans: the Siebel Systems, Inc. 1996 Equity Incentive Plan (the "1996 Plan"), and the Siebel Systems, Inc. 1998 Equity Incentive Plan (the "1998 Plan" and together with the 1996 Plan, the "Plans"). Stock options granted to executive officers, key employees and members of our Board of Directors have generally been made under the 1996 Plan and stock options granted to non-officer employees have generally been made under the 1998 Plan. Our Board of Directors and stockholders have approved the 1996 Plan, and our Board of Directors has approved the 1998 Plan.

On May 5, 2004, we amended the Plans to allow us to issue restricted stock units ("RSUs"). RSUs are similar to restricted stock in that they are issued for no, or nominal, consideration; however, the holder is generally not entitled to the underlying shares of common stock until the RSU vests.

All stock-based compensation grants are made after a review by, and with the approval of, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") or the full Board of Directors or, for director equity grants, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors. All members of our Compensation Committee are independent directors, as defined in the applicable rules for issuers traded on the Nasdaq stock market. Substantially all of our employees participate in one of the Plans. Stock options granted under the Plans expire no later than ten years from the grant date and generally vest over five years. Commencing in February 2003, we began granting stock options with a contractual life of six years, as opposed to ten years for stock options granted prior to that time.

On January 5, 2005, the Compensation Committee established a performance stock program for 2005 (the "Performance Stock Plan"), pursuant to which our executive officers and select other employees were granted RSUs under the Plans. A similar performance-based plan for 2005 through which stock options, rather than RSUs, were granted under the Plans was also adopted on January 5, 2005 (the "Performance Option Plan" and collectively with the Performance Stock Plan, the "Performance Plans") for employees not covered by the Performance Stock Plan.

Shares granted under the Performance Plans generally vest on the third or fourth anniversaries of the date of grant; provided, however that a portion of the shares will accelerate and become vested upon achievement of all or a specified portion of certain defined objective financial performance goals. Specifically, the Compensation Committee has approved the following performance goals for 2005 and 2006:

- 2005: revenue growth of approximately 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2004 and operating margin of 15%
- 2006: revenue growth of 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2005 and operating margin of 17%.

The revenue-based targets set forth above will be adjusted upward to incorporate any revenue from companies acquired during the relevant year (excluding the acquisition of edocs in 2005) and the operating margin-based targets will be adjusted for the impact of any acquisitions and certain unusual charges (e.g., stock-based compensation, restructuring expenses, etc.).

These performance goals have been established for vesting purposes only and in no way are intended to estimate the actual levels of revenue or operating margin that we expect to achieve. Accordingly, these goals should not be interpreted to update or supersede our previously disclosed guidance on revenue and operating margins or any guidance that we may provide in the future. Rather, these performance goals are meant to incentivize our employees to outperform our publicly disclosed guidance.

For each goal that is fully achieved, vesting will be accelerated for 25% of the total shares granted, such that up to half of such accelerated shares would vest on January 31 of the year in which the Compensation Committee determines that each of that year's goals have been met, and up to half of the remaining shares would vest on January 31 of the following year.

Section II. Summary of Stock Option Activity during 2005

Combined activity under the Plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is summarized as follows:

	Shares		Wtd. Avg.
	Available	Number of	Exercise
	for Grant	Options	Price per Share
Balances as of December 31, 2004	195,942,345	132,712,132	\$ 12.78
Issuances of restricted stock and/or units, net	(1,904,266)		
Options granted to employees	(8,279,282)	8,279,282	\$ 8.70
Options granted to officers and directors	(2,150,000)	2,150,000	\$ 8.98
Options exercised		(14,805,006)	\$ 2.51
Options canceled due to employee termination	16,927,159	(17,171,958)	\$ 17.60
Balances as of September 30, 2005	200,535,956	111,164,450	\$ 13.04

Options from acquired company plans which are canceled due to employee terminations are not available for future grant.

The following table details the "in-the-money" and "out-of-the-money" status of our stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2005:

	Ор	tions Outstanding	Options Exc	ercisal	<u>ble</u>		
		Wtd. Avg.	W	td. Avg.		\mathbf{W}_{1}	td. Avg.
	Number	Remaining	Ex	ercise	Number	Ex	ercise
Options	of Shares	Life (Years)		Price	of Shares	1	Price
In-the-money	59,369,913	3.1	\$	6.19	39,976,900	\$	5.02
Out-of-the-money	51,794,537	5.1	\$	20.89	41,021,597	\$	21.68
	111,164,450	4.0	\$	13.04	80,998,497	\$	13.46

In-the-money stock options in the above table have exercise prices below the closing price of our common stock as of September 30, 2005 of \$10.33 and out-of-the money stock options have exercise prices equal to or greater than \$10.33.

Section III. Dilution

<u>Summary</u>

Historically, equity ownership has been an important component of total compensation for our employees. Accordingly, substantially all employees are granted stock options upon commencement of employment. We have also granted RSUs to existing and new employees, and we will likely continue to do so in the future. In addition, we have on occasion assumed stock options of acquired businesses and rewarded existing employees for high levels of performance with additional grants of stock options (consideration of additional grants is typically scheduled to occur by the end of the second quarter of each year).

In order to maintain the proper balance between the need to attract and retain employees and minimize the dilution to existing stockholders, effective January 1, 2003 we adjusted our equity guidelines to reduce the size of stock option grants to new and existing employees. In addition, commencing in February 2003, we began granting stock options with a contractual life of six years, as opposed to ten years for stock options granted prior to that time. In 2004 and 2005, in addition to maintaining these guidelines for new employees, we further reduced the size of our renewal grants to existing employees.

Immediately upon voluntary or involuntary termination, an employee forfeits all unvested equity instruments, unless an applicable agreement provides otherwise. Any vested equity instruments that are not exercised within three months from the termination date are forfeited at the expiration of the three months, unless an applicable agreement provides otherwise.

Summarized below is the net dilution to our stockholders during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the nine months ended September 30, 2005, along with our option overhang as of the end of each of these respective periods (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended D	December 31,	Nine Months Ended September 30,
	2003	2004	2005
Net options granted:			
Stock options granted	22,787	11,995	10,429
Stock options forfeited upon termination	(27,660)	(16,143)	(17,172)
Net granted (forfeited)	(4,873)	(4,148)	(6,743)
Dilution percentage (1)	(1)%	(1)%	(1)%
Option overhang (2)	29 %	26 %	21 %

- (1) The potential dilution to stockholders from stock options (the "Dilution Percentage") is calculated as new stock options granted during the period, net of forfeitures by employees terminating employment, divided by the total outstanding shares of common stock at the beginning of the respective period.
- (2) Stock option overhang is calculated by dividing total stock options outstanding by the total outstanding shares of common stock at the end of each period.

Distribution of Grants

As the table below indicates, we have continued to allocate the majority of our stock options to employees who are not officers and directors. "Named Executive Officers" in the below table for 2003 and 2004 represent our then-CEO(s) and the four other most highly compensated executive officers for the respective year. For all 2005 periods presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Named Executive Officers represent the Named Executive Officers referenced in Item 11 "Executive Compensation" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, excluding Neil Weston, our former President, EMEA Sales, plus our current CEO, George T. Shaheen.

Summarized below is the distribution of our stock options for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the nine months ended September 30, 2005:

Nina Months

	Year Ended De	ecember 31,	Ended September 30,
	2003	2004	2005
Grants during the period as a percentage of			
total granted:			
Named Executive Officers	4.6 %	23.3 % (1)	19.2 % (1)
All other officers and directors as a group	3.3	5.7	1.4
Total for all officers and directors	7.9	29.0	20.6
All other employees as a group	92.1	71.0	79.4
Total all grants	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

(1) Stock options granted to Named Executive Officers increased significantly during 2004 and the nine months ended September 30, 2005, compared to our historical percentages for these same grant categories, primarily due to grants upon the commencement of employment of our former CEO, J. Michael Lawrie, in 2004, and our current CEO, George T. Shaheen, in 2005. These grants represented 17% and 19% of all stock options granted during 2004 and the nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, our Named Executive Officers and members of our Board of Directors received RSUs or restricted stock in addition to or in place of stock options. Please refer to "Section V. Executive and Director Stock-Based Compensation" below for a further discussion of these grants.

Section IV. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We account for our employee stock-based compensation plans using the intrinsic value method, as prescribed by APB No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and interpretations thereof (collectively "APB 25"). Accordingly, we record deferred compensation costs, if any, related to our stock-based compensation as follows:

- Stock options—We record and measure deferred compensation for stock options granted to our employees and
 members of our Board of Directors when the market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the
 stock option on the date of grant. We record and measure deferred compensation for stock options granted to nonemployees based on the fair value of the stock options.
- Restricted stock and RSUs—We record and measure deferred compensation for restricted stock and RSUs based on the market price of our common stock on the date of grant.

Deferred compensation is expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the applicable equity instrument. The terms of our stock options and restricted stock vary, but generally provide for ratable vesting over five years, with 20% of the underlying shares vesting one year from the date of grant and the remaining shares vesting 5% quarterly thereafter. The terms of our RSUs provide for vesting that ranges from approximately three months to five years. We did not grant any stock options at exercise prices below the fair market value of our common stock during any period presented.

An alternative to the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based compensation is the fair value approach prescribed by SFAS 123. If we followed the fair value approach, we would record deferred compensation based on the fair value of the stock option at the date of grant as determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The deferred compensation calculated under the fair value method would then be amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the applicable stock option.

As required by SFAS 123, we have prepared a reconciliation of our earnings as reported on our statement of operations to the earnings that we would have reported if we had followed SFAS 123 in accounting for our stock-based compensation arrangements. In accordance with SFAS 123, in preparing this reconciliation we first add back all stock-based compensation expense reflected in our statement of operations, then deduct the stock-based employee compensation expense determined under SFAS 123. Summarized below are the pro forma effects on our earnings as if we had elected to use the fair value approach prescribed by SFAS 123 to account for our employee stock-based compensation plans (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Ended			
		2004		2005		2004		2005
Net income (loss):			<u> </u>					
As reported	\$	18,330	\$	34,715	\$	56,831	\$	(19,292)
Compensation expense related to:								
Stock options dilutive to stockholders:								
Stock-based compensation accounted for under APB 25		386		1,202		2,494		5,725
In-the-money stock options and restricted stock units		(584)		(5,519)		(3,140)		(17,587)
Stock purchase rights under the Purchase Plan		(2,554)		(2,047)		(8,071)		(6,441)
Subtotal		(2,752)		(6,364)		(8,717)		(18,303)
Stock options not dilutive to stockholders:								
Out-of-the-money stock options		(31,062)		(16,133)		(106, 132)		(63,556)
Stock options forfeited in connection with terminations		(4,047)				(15,810)		(1,896)
Subtotal		(35,109)		(16,133)		(121,942)		(65,452)
Total pro forma expense giving effect to SFAS 123		(37,861)		(22,497)		(130,659)		(83,755)
Tax benefit related to SFAS 123 expense								2,287
Pro forma net income (loss) giving effect to SFAS 123	\$	(19,531)	\$	12,218	\$	(73,828)	\$	(100,760)

In-the-money stock options in the above table have exercise prices below the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the end of each of the respective periods, and out-of-the-money stock options have exercise prices equal to or greater than the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the end of each of the respective periods. The closing prices as of September 30, 2004 and 2005 were \$7.54 and \$10.33, respectively.

As the table above illustrates, total pro forma expense for stock options includes \$35.1 million and \$121.9 million of expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, respectively, and \$16.1 million and \$65.5 million of expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, related to (i) stock options forfeited by employees upon termination for no consideration; and (ii) stock options that are significantly out of the money (e.g., the weighted-average exercise price of the out-of-the-money stock options was \$20.89, compared to a closing price of \$10.33 per share as of September 30, 2005). These items represented 93% of the pro forma expense for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2004, and 72% and 78% of the pro forma expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

Please refer to Note 1 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of the fair value approach prescribed by SFAS 123, including the assumptions used to determine the fair value of and resulting SFAS 123 expense related to stock options, and the procedures followed by us in determining those assumptions.

Section V. Executive and Director Stock-Based Compensation

Executive Stock-Based Compensation

The following table sets forth certain information regarding stock options granted to the Named Executive Officers during the nine months ended September 30, 2005:

	Number of Securities Underlying Options	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees		rr ·					Exercise or Base Price Expiration					
Officer Name	Granted (1)	in 2005 (2)	(\$/Sh	are) (3)	Date		5%		10%	of	Grant (5)			
Thomas M. Siebel		%	\$			\$		\$		\$				
George T. Shaheen (6)	2,000,000	19.2 %	\$	9.00	04/29/2011	\$	6,121,722	\$	13,888,098	\$	5,920,000			
R. David Schmaier		%	\$			\$		\$		\$				
Kenneth A. Goldman		%	\$			\$		\$		\$				
Edward Y. Abbo		%	\$			\$		\$		\$				
J. Michael Lawrie		%	\$			\$		\$		\$				

- (1) Stock options vest 20% on April 30, 2006, and at a rate of 5% for each quarter of service thereafter, and have a term of six years. The stock option granted to Mr. Shaheen incorporates accelerated vesting and extended exercise provisions upon a termination without cause and/or a change of control. Please refer to Mr. Shaheen's offer letter, a copy of which is filed as Exhibit 10.8 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, for further information.
- (2) Based on an aggregate of 10.4 million shares subject to stock options granted to employees pursuant to our 1996 and 1998 Plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including grants to the Named Executive Officers.
- (3) The stock option exercise price is equal to the fair market value on the date of grant, as determined pursuant to the applicable stock option plan.
- (4) The potential realizable value is calculated based on the term of the option at the time of grant. Stock price appreciation of 5% and 10% is assumed pursuant to rules promulgated by the SEC and does not represent our prediction of our stock price performance or the actual value of the stock options.
- (5) Represents the aggregate fair value of the stock options on the date of grant (\$2.96 per share), as calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The fair value does not represent our prediction of our stock price performance, and historically has had little to no relationship to the value that is actually realized. Please refer to Section IV above for a discussion of the limitations of SFAS 123.
- (6) Mr. Shaheen commenced employment on April 12, 2005 and was appointed our CEO effective April 13, 2005.

In addition to the above grants of stock options, during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we granted RSUs to certain of our Named Executive Officers under the Performance Stock Plan discussed above under Section I. The following table sets forth certain information regarding the RSUs granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to the Named Executive Officers:

Officer Name	Number of Securities Underlying RSUs Granted	Percent of Total RSUs Granted to Employees in 2005 (1)	Fair Value at Grant Date (2)	Fair Value as of September 30, 2005 (3)
Thomas M. Siebel		%	\$	\$
George T. Shaheen	350,000 (4)	16.2 %	\$ 3,150,000	\$ 3,615,500
R. David Schmaier		%	\$	\$
Kenneth A. Goldman	100,000 (5)	4.6 %	\$ 867,000	\$ 1,033,000
Edward Y. Abbo	50,000 (6)	2.3 %	\$ 433,500	\$ 516,500
J. Michael Lawrie	(7)	%	\$	\$

- (1) Based on an aggregate of 2.2 million shares subject to RSUs granted to employees pursuant to the Plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2005, including grants to the Named Executive Officers.
- (2) The fair value on the grant date is calculated as the product of the number of underlying shares and the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant.
- (3) Represents the aggregate fair value of the RSUs as of September 30, 2005, calculated as the product of the number of underlying shares and the fair value of our common stock as of September 30, 2005 of \$10.33.
- (4) On April 30, 2005 we granted Mr. Shaheen an RSU for 350,000 shares of our common stock in connection with his commencement of employment. 150,000 of the underlying shares of common stock vested during the second quarter of 2005 and the remaining 200,000 shares vest two years from his commencement of employment. In the event that Mr. Shaheen is terminated without cause or in the event of a change of control (each as defined in Mr. Shaheen's offer letter, which is filed as Exhibit 10.8 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005) within the first two years of Mr. Shaheen's employment, all unvested shares will immediately vest in full.
- (5) Mr. Goldman received two RSUs for a total of 100,000 shares of our common stock (each RSU representing 50,000 shares of our common stock). The first RSU vests in full on January 31, 2006 and the second RSU vests based on certain defined objective financial performance goals, as described in note 6 below.
- (6) The RSUs vest upon achievement of all or a specified portion of certain defined objective financial performance goals, or if the goals are not met, on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. Specifically, the Compensation Committee has approved the following performance goals for 2005 and 2006:
 - 2005: revenue growth of approximately 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2004 and operating margin of 15%.
 - 2006: revenue growth of approximately 15% compared to actual revenue achieved in 2005 and operating margin of 17%.

The revenue-based targets set forth above will be adjusted upward to incorporate any revenue from companies acquired during the relevant year (excluding the acquisition of edocs in 2005) and the operating margin-based targets will be adjusted for the impact of any acquisitions and certain unusual charges (e.g., stock-based compensation, restructuring expenses, etc.).

These performance goals have been established for vesting purposes only and in no way are intended to estimate the actual levels of revenue or operating margin that we expect to achieve. Accordingly, these goals should not be interpreted to update or supersede our previously disclosed guidance on revenue and operating margins or any guidance that we may provide in the future. Rather, these performance goals are meant to incentivize our employees to outperform our publicly disclosed guidance.

For each goal that is fully achieved, vesting will be accelerated for 25% of the total shares granted, such that up to half of such accelerated shares would vest on January 31 of the year in which the Compensation Committee determines that each of that year's goals have been met, and up to half of the remaining shares would vest on January 31 of the following year.

(7) Mr. Lawrie resigned as our CEO effective April 12, 2005. In accordance with the terms of Mr. Lawrie's offer letter (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004), the remaining 200,000 unvested shares of Mr. Lawrie's RSU for 350,000 shares of common stock immediately vested in full upon his resignation.

The following summarizes the distribution and dilutive impact of the stock options held as of December 31, 2003 and 2004 and September 30, 2005 by our Named Executive Officers:

	Year Ended I	September 30,			
	2003	2004	2005		
Stock options held by Named Executive Officers as a percentage of:					
Total options outstanding	18.7 %	20.9 %	19.4 %		
Outstanding shares of common stock	5.4 %	5.5 %	4.1 %		

The following table sets forth for each of the Named Executive Officers the shares acquired and the value realized on exercise of stock options during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and the number and value of securities underlying unexercised options held as of September 30, 2005 by the Named Executive Officers:

	Shares	ber of Underlying d Options at	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at						
	Acquired on	V	alue	September	September	September 30, 2005 (3)			
Officer Name	Exercise	Rea	lized (1)	Exercisable	Unexercisable		Exercisable	Un	exercisable
Thomas M. Siebel	7,500,000	\$ 62	,703,075	11,186,134		\$	66,543,062	\$	
George T. Shaheen (4)		\$		1,533,000	2,023,000	\$	12,670,700	\$	2,660,000
R. David Schmaier		\$		2,157,504	572,500	\$	3,465,618	\$	275,550
Kenneth A. Goldman		\$		2,385,000	585,000	\$	187,875	\$	229,625
Edward Y. Abbo		\$		635,000	275,000	\$	1,652,288	\$	229,625
J. Michael Lawrie (5)		\$		800,000		\$		\$	

- (1) Based on the fair market value of our common stock on the exercise date, minus the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares exercised.
- (2) Represents the total number of shares of our common stock subject to stock options held as of September 30, 2005 by the Named Executive Officers.
- (3) Based on the fair market value of our common stock as of September 30, 2005 (\$10.33 per share), minus the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the stock options.
- (4) Mr. Shaheen's exercisable options were granted to him in his role as non-employee director, prior to his appointment as our Chief Executive Officer effective April 13, 2005. Of the 3,556,000 shares subject to options, 1,440,000 are held for the benefit of Accenture (formerly Andersen Consulting LLP) pursuant to an agreement by and between Mr. Shaheen and Accenture. Mr. Shaheen has disclaimed beneficial ownership of these shares.
- (5) Mr. Lawrie resigned as our CEO effective April 12, 2005. In accordance with the terms of Mr. Lawrie's offer letter (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004), 800,000 of his outstanding stock options to purchase shares of our common stock immediately vested in full upon his resignation. Mr. Lawrie has until April 11, 2006 to exercise his vested stock options.

Director Stock-Based Compensation

Historically, our directors have not received any cash compensation for service on the Board of Directors or any committee thereof, but have been eligible for reimbursement for certain expenses incurred in attending Board of Directors' and committee meetings. They have also received stock option grants from time to time.

On January 31, 2005, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of our Board of Directors approved 2005 compensation for our non-employee directors. Each non-employee director would receive compensation equivalent to the fair value of a stock option to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock (based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model and the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant) to be allocated as follows: 50% in cash and 50% in shares of restricted stock that vest in full on January 31, 2006. Accordingly, in the first quarter of 2005, we awarded each of James C. Gaither, C. Scott Hartz, Marc F. Racicot, Eric E. Schmidt, George T. Shaheen and John W. White compensation of \$32,500 in cash and 3,750 shares of restricted stock that will vest in full on January 31, 2006 in consideration for their service as a director in 2005.

On April 12, 2005, Mr. Shaheen, a member of our Board of Directors, accepted an offer of employment from our Board of Directors to serve as our Chief Executive Officer, effective as of April 13, 2005. Per the terms of Mr. Shaheen's offer letter, all existing stock options and restricted stock granted to Mr. Shaheen in his capacity as a member of our Board of Directors ceased vesting as of the first day of his employment. Further, Mr. Shaheen has reimbursed us cash consideration of \$23,418, representing the pro rata portion of the \$32,500 paid to him in his capacity as a non-employee member of our Board of Directors for the period from April 13, 2005 to December 31, 2005.

Section VI. Equity Compensation Plan Information

Information as of September 30, 2005 related to our stock option plans is summarized as follows:

Plan Category	Number of Shares to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	A Ex P Out	eighted verage vercise rice of standing	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Options Outstanding)		
	Options		puons	Options Outstanding)		
Equity compensation plans:						
Approved by stockholders (1)	39,718,526	\$	8.62	73,426,035		
Not approved by stockholders (2)	70,789,078	\$	15.55	134,528,590		
Acquired companies' plans (3)	656,846	\$	9.40			
	111,164,450	\$	13.04	207,954,625		

- (1) Represents shares available under the 1996 Plan, which is used for grants to our executive officers, key employees and non-employee members of our Board of Directors. The shares remaining available for future issuance also include 7.4 million shares available under our 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (2) Represents shares available under the 1998 Plan, which is used for grants to our non-officer employees.
- (3) We have assumed certain stock options granted to former employees of acquired companies (the "Acquired Options"). All of the Acquired Options have been adjusted to give effect to the conversion under the terms of the agreements between us and the companies acquired. The Acquired Options generally become exercisable over a four-year period and expire ten years from the date of grant. Additional stock options will not be granted under any of the acquired companies' plans, and these plans have not been approved by our stockholders.

Risk Factors

Set forth below and elsewhere in this quarterly report and in the other documents we file with the SEC are risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report. Prospective and existing investors are strongly urged to carefully consider the various cautionary statements and risks set forth in this quarterly report and our other public filings.

Failure to complete the merger with Oracle Corporation could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and our stock price.

On September 12, 2005, we entered into a definitive merger agreement with Oracle Corporation ("Oracle"). Consummation of the merger is subject to customary closing conditions, regulatory approvals, including antitrust approvals, and approval by our stockholders. We cannot assure you that these conditions will be met or waived, that the necessary approvals will be obtained, or that we will be able to successfully consummate the merger as currently contemplated under the merger agreement or at all. If the merger is not consummated:

- We may not realize any or all of the potential benefits of the merger, including any synergies that could result from combining the financial and proprietary resources of us and Oracle;
- We will remain liable for significant transaction costs, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and other costs relating to the merger;
- Under some circumstances, we may have to pay a termination fee to Oracle in the amount of \$140 million;
- The attention of our management and our employees may be diverted from day-to-day operations;
- Our customers may seek to modify or terminate existing agreements, or prospective customers may delay entering into new agreements or purchasing our products as a result of the announcement of the merger; and
- Our ability to attract new employees and retain our existing employees may be harmed by uncertainties associated with the merger.

The occurrence of any of these events individually or in combination could have a material adverse affect on our results of operations and our stock price.

Siebel Systems stockholders who elect to receive New Oracle common stock cannot be sure of the merger consideration that they will receive.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, in certain circumstances, a Siebel Systems stockholder may receive all or a portion of the merger consideration in cash even if such stockholder made an election to receive shares of common stock of Ozark Holding Inc. ("New Oracle"), which is a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Oracle formed solely to effect the merger and has not conducted any business. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Siebel Systems and Oracle will survive as wholly owned subsidiaries of New Oracle and New Oracle will be renamed "Oracle Corporation." If holders of more than 30% of the shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to completion of the merger make stock elections, each electing stockholder will receive only a prorated portion of the merger consideration to which it is entitled in New Oracle common stock. The remaining portion will be paid in cash at a price of \$10.66 per share. In addition, if holders of less than six percent of the shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to the completion of the merger make stock elections, the merger will be changed into an all-cash transaction in which all of our stockholders will receive \$10.66 per share in cash notwithstanding any previously made stock elections. Accordingly, if a stockholder makes a stock election, that stockholder may still receive all or a portion of the merger consideration in cash, which could result in tax consequences that differ from those that would have resulted had the stockholder received stock, including the recognition of taxable gain to the extent cash is received.

Siebel Systems stockholders who elect to receive New Oracle common stock cannot be sure of the number or value of the shares of New Oracle common stock they will receive upon completion of the merger, and the value of such shares could be less than the value of the cash they would have received if they had not made a stock election.

Siebel Systems stockholders who make stock elections will not know at the time of such election the number or value of the shares of New Oracle common stock they will receive upon completion of the merger. That value could be less than the value of the cash they would have received if they had not made a stock election. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, (1) Oracle must select a deadline for making stock elections that occurs between two and 20 business days prior to the completion of the merger, and (2) the averaging period for determining the number of shares of New Oracle common stock that will be issued in exchange for Siebel Systems shares will be the 10 trading days prior to completion of the merger. Accordingly, the deadline for making stock elections may occur prior to the commencement of, and will occur prior to the completion of, the averaging period for determining the number of shares of New Oracle common stock. If a Siebel Systems stockholder has made a stock election with respect to all of such stockholder's Siebel Systems shares, subject to certain

exceptions described in the Merger Agreement, such stockholder will be entitled to receive a number of shares of New Oracle common stock equal to \$10.66 divided by (i) the average closing price of Oracle Common Stock on the Nasdaq Stock Market over the ten trading days immediately preceding (but not including) the date on which the Siebel Systems merger becomes effective (the "Average Oracle Stock Price") or (ii) \$10.72, whichever is greater (the "Conversion Ratio"). Siebel Systems stockholders therefore will not know the Conversion Ratio for such stockholder's shares of Siebel Systems common stock at the time they must make their stock election. In addition, since the Average Oracle Stock Price will be used to calculate the Conversion Ratio, the aggregate value of the shares of New Oracle common stock received by an electing stockholder may, on the actual date of receipt by such electing stockholder, have a greater or lesser value than the deal price of \$10.66. Furthermore, since the Conversion Ratio will be fixed at .9944 if the Average Oracle Stock Price is less than \$10.72, an electing stockholder may receive per share consideration of less than \$10.66 for each of such stockholder's shares of our common stock.

If we do not integrate our products, we may lose customers and fail to achieve our financial objectives.

Achieving the benefits of the merger will depend in part on the integration of Oracle's and our products in a timely and efficient manner. In order for us to provide enhanced and more valuable products to our customers after the merger, we will need to integrate our product lines and development organizations. This may be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because our products are highly complex, have been developed independently and were designed without regard to such integration. If we cannot successfully integrate our products and continue to provide customers with products and new product features in the future on a timely basis, we may lose customers and our business and results of operations may be harmed.

Integrating our companies may divert management's attention away from our operations.

Successful integration of Oracle's and our operations, products and personnel may place a significant burden on our management and our internal resources. The diversion of management attention and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

We expect to incur significant costs integrating the companies into a single business.

We expect to incur significant costs integrating our operations, products and personnel. These costs may include costs for:

- employee redeployment, relocation or severance;
- integration of information systems;
- combining research and development teams and processes; and
- reorganization or closures of facilities.

In addition, we expect to incur significant costs in connection with the consummation of the merger. We do not know whether we will be successful in these integration efforts or in consummating the merger.

The combined company may not realize the anticipated benefits from the merger.

The merger involves the integration of two companies that have previously operated independently. Oracle expects the combined company to result in financial and operational benefits, including increased revenues, cost savings and other financial and operating benefits from the merger. We cannot assure you, however, regarding when or the extent to which the combined company will be able to realize these increased revenues, cost savings or benefits. This integration may also be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because of possible cultural conflicts and different opinions on technical decisions and product roadmaps. The companies must integrate or, in some cases, replace, numerous systems, including those involving management information, purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, billing, employee benefits, payroll and regulatory compliance, many of which are dissimilar. In some instances, we and Oracle serve the same customers, and some of these customers may decide that it is desirable to have additional or different suppliers. Difficulties associated with integrating the two companies could have a material adverse effect on the combined company and the market price of New Oracle common stock.

Our officers and directors have certain interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, interests of our stockholders.

Our officers and directors have certain interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, interests of our stockholders. Our stockholders should be aware of these interests when considering our Board of Directors' recommendation to adopt the Merger Agreement. Stockholders are encouraged to review the section "The Proposed Transaction—Interests of Certain Persons in the Siebel Systems Merger" in the Form S-4 filed on October 19, 2005 for more information.

The combined company will incur significant transaction and merger-related costs in connection with the merger and will remain liable for significant transaction costs, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and other costs.

We and Oracle expect to incur a number of non-recurring costs associated with combining the operations of the two companies, which cannot be estimated accurately at this time. We and Oracle will also incur transaction fees and other costs related to the merger, anticipated to be approximately \$75 million. This amount is a preliminary estimate and subject to change. Additional unanticipated costs may be incurred in the integration of the businesses of Oracle and Siebel Systems. Although we expect that the elimination of duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of the businesses, may offset incremental transaction and transaction-related costs over time, this net benefit may not be achieved in the near term, or at all. Also, speculation regarding the likelihood of the closing of the merger could increase the volatility of our share price.

The merger could cause us and Oracle to lose key personnel, which could materially affect the respective companies' businesses and require the companies to incur substantial costs to recruit replacements for lost personnel.

As a result of the merger, current and prospective Siebel Systems and Oracle employees could experience uncertainty about their future roles within Oracle. This uncertainty may adversely affect the ability of New Oracle to attract and retain key management, sales, marketing and technical personnel. Any failure to attract and retain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the business of Oracle after completion of the merger.

General customer uncertainty related to the merger could harm us and Oracle.

Our or Oracle's customers may, in response to the announcement of the proposed merger, delay or defer purchasing decisions. If our or Oracle's customers delay or defer purchasing decisions, the revenues of Siebel Systems and Oracle, respectively, and the revenues of the combined company, could materially decline or any anticipated increases in revenue could be lower than expected.

We and Oracle may not be able to obtain the regulatory approvals required to consummate the merger unless they agree to material restrictions or conditions.

Completion of the merger is conditioned upon the receipt of all required governmental consents and authorizations, including under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and by the applicable governmental authorities of the European Commission and in Brazil, Canada, South Africa and South Korea. We and Oracle intend to pursue all of these consents and authorizations as required by and in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement. Complying with requests from such governmental agencies, including requests for additional information and documents, could delay consummation of the merger. In connection with granting these consents and authorizations, governmental authorities may require divestitures of our or Oracle's assets or seek to impose conditions on New Oracle's operations after completion of the merger. Such divestitures or conditions may jeopardize or delay completion of the merger or may reduce the anticipated benefits of the merger. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, although Oracle is required to use reasonable best efforts to obtain all necessary governmental approvals, Oracle is not required to agree to any divestitures or conditions in connection with such efforts. Stockholders are encouraged to review the section "The Proposed Transaction—Regulatory Matters," "The Merger Agreement—Covenants—Reasonable Best Efforts Covenant," and "The Merger Agreement—Conditions to Completion of the Mergers" in the Form S-4 filed on October 19, 2005 for more information.

In certain instances, the Merger Agreement requires us to pay a termination fee of \$140 million to Oracle. This payment could affect the decisions of a third party considering making an alternative acquisition proposal to the merger.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, we will be required to pay to Oracle a termination fee of \$140 million if the Merger Agreement is terminated under certain circumstances. This payment could affect the structure, pricing and terms proposed by a third party seeking to acquire or merge with us and could deter such third party from making a competing acquisition proposal. Stockholders are encouraged to review the section "The Merger Agreement—Termination" and "The Merger Agreement—Termination Fees Payable by Siebel Systems" in the Form S-4 filed on October 19, 2005 for more information.

Purported stockholder class action complaints have been filed against us and members of our Board of Directors challenging the merger, and an unfavorable judgment or ruling in these lawsuits could prevent or delay the consummation of the merger, result in substantial costs or both.

We and members of our Board of Directors were named in three purported stockholder class action complaints filed in California in the San Mateo County Superior Court. These cases are captioned Showers v. Siebel et al., No. CIV 449535, Sheldon Miller, PC Deferred Benefits Plan v. Siebel Systems, Inc. et al., No. CIV 449534, both filed on September 12, 2005, and Corwin v. Siebel Systems, Inc. et al., No. CIV 449608, filed on September 15, 2005. Oracle is also named as a defendant in the Miller and Corwin complaints. Each of the complaints alleges, among other things, that the consideration being paid by Oracle for the purchase of Siebel Systems is inadequate and that the directors have breached their fiduciary duties by entering

into the agreement without seeking to maximize stockholder value. The Miller and Corwin complaints allege that Oracle aided and abetted our directors' alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. We have obligations under certain circumstances to hold harmless and indemnify each of the defendant directors against judgments, fines, settlements and expenses related to claims against such directors and otherwise to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law and our bylaws and certificate of incorporation. An unfavorable outcome in any of these lawsuits could prevent or delay the consummation of the merger, or result in substantial costs to us and/or Oracle. It is also possible that other similar lawsuits may be filed in the future. We cannot estimate any possible loss from current or future litigation at this time.

Our operating results may fluctuate.

We have experienced in the past, and may experience in the future, a shortfall in revenue or earnings or otherwise fail to meet public market expectations, which could materially and adversely affect our business and the market price of our common stock. Our total revenue, expenses and operating results may fluctuate significantly because of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. These factors include:

- Corporate and consumer confidence in the economy, evidenced, in part, by stock market levels;
- Changes in the domestic and international economic, business and political conditions;
- Economic conditions within the information technology industry;
- Economic conditions within the key industries in which we derive our revenues, including the manufacturing and distribution, communications, financial services, life sciences and public sector industries;
- Legal and other costs relating to defending intellectual property, regulatory enforcement actions and other types of litigation;
- Level of product and price competition;
- Length of our sales cycle and the discretionary nature of our customers' purchase and budget cycles;
- Size and timing of individual license transactions;
- Levels of international license transactions;
- Delay or deferral of customer implementations of our products;
- Success in expanding our professional services organization, direct sales force and indirect distribution channels;
- Our ability to develop or acquire and market new products and services and control costs;
- Timing of new product introductions and product enhancements;
- Appropriate mix of products licensed and services sold;
- Activities of and acquisitions by competitors;
- Success of, and costs associated with, integrating and achieving the anticipated benefits of our acquisitions;
- Timing of new hires and the allocation of our resources;
- Increases in the cost of software and professional services;
- Variations in marketing expenditures and our success in generating additional sales pipeline from those expenditures;
- The size of and conversion rates of our sales pipeline;
- Changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- Changes in domestic and foreign tax laws;
- Seasonal variations in operating results;
- Variations in the fiscal or quarterly cycles of our customers; and
- Changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

One or more of the foregoing factors, as well as other factors, may cause our operating expenses to be disproportionately high during any given period or may cause our net revenue and operating results to fluctuate significantly, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and the market price of our common stock.

Further, each customer's decision to implement our products and services is discretionary, involves a significant commitment of resources and is subject to the customer's budget cycles. In addition, the timing of license revenue is difficult to predict because of the length of our sales cycle. We base our operating expenses on anticipated revenue trends. Because a high percentage of these expenses are relatively fixed, a delay in recognizing revenue from license transactions could cause significant variations in operating results from quarter to quarter and could result in operating losses. If these expenses precede, or are not subsequently followed by, increased revenues, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

A variation in the conversion of our revenue pipeline to contracts could adversely affect our revenues and ability to forecast operations.

We use a "pipeline" system, a common industry practice, to forecast sales and trends in our business. Our sales personnel monitor the status of all potential transactions, including the estimated closing date and potential dollar amount of each transaction. We aggregate these estimates periodically to generate a sales pipeline and then evaluate the pipeline to identify trends in our business. This pipeline analysis and related estimates of revenue has in recent periods and may in future periods differ significantly from actual revenues in a particular reporting period. A slowdown in the global economy, among other factors, has caused and may continue to cause customer purchasing decisions to be delayed, reduced in amount or cancelled, all of which have reduced and could continue to reduce the rate of conversion of the pipeline into contracts. In addition, because a substantial portion of our software license contracts close in the latter part of a quarter, management may not be able to adjust our cost structure to respond to a variation in the conversion of the pipeline into contracts in a timely manner. Our inability to respond to a variation in the pipeline or in the conversion of the pipeline into contracts in a timely manner, or at all, could cause us to plan or budget inaccurately and thereby could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Increases in services revenues as a percentage of total revenues may decrease overall margins.

We realize lower margins on our professional services and maintenance revenues than on our software license revenues. In addition, we may contract with certain third parties to supplement the services we provide to customers, which generally yields lower margins than our internal services business. As a result, if services revenues increase as a percentage of total revenues or if we increase our use of third parties to provide services, our margins would be negatively impacted.

Hostilities involving the United States and/or terrorist attacks could harm our business.

Our operations could be negatively impacted if there are additional terrorist attacks or threats of terrorist attacks, or if hostilities involving the United States escalate. In the past, terrorist attacks, including attacks on the United States and internationally, have had a significant impact on global economic conditions and our operations. Further, as a result of past terrorist attacks and hostilities involving the United States, we believe many of our customers and potential customers have been much more cautious in setting and spending against their capital expenditure budgets. Subsequent terrorist acts and/or the threat of future terrorist attacks or escalation of hostilities involving the United States or other countries could adversely affect the growth rate of our revenue and have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, any escalation in these events or similar future events may disrupt our operations or those of our customers, distributors and suppliers, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The length of time required to engage a client and to implement our products may be lengthy and unpredictable.

The timing of the sales and implementation of our products and services is lengthy and not predictable with any degree of accuracy. Prior sales and implementation cycles should not be relied upon as any indication of future cycles. The license of our software products is often an enterprise-wide decision by prospective customers and generally requires us to provide a significant level of education to prospective customers regarding the use and benefits of our products. In addition, the implementation of our software products involves a significant commitment of resources by prospective customers and may involve reengineering efforts performed by the customer or third-party systems integrators. The cost of our software product to the customer is typically only a portion of the related hardware, software, development, training and integration costs of implementing a large-scale business software system. For these and other reasons, the period between initial contact and the purchase and implementation of our software products is often lengthy and is subject to a number of factors, many of which we have little or no control over, and which can result in significant delays. These factors include the size and complexity of the overall project and delays in our customers' implementation of web-based computing environments, among others. A delay in the sale or implementation of even a limited number of license transactions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations and cause our operating results to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

Our business is subject to changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure that has increased both our costs and the risk of noncompliance.

We are subject to rules and regulations of federal, state and financial market exchange entities charged with the protection of investors and the oversight of companies whose securities are publicly traded. These entities, including the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB, the SEC and Nasdaq, have recently issued new requirements and regulations and continue to develop additional regulations and requirements in response to recent laws enacted by Congress, most notably the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX"). Our efforts to comply with these new regulations have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

In particular, our efforts to comply with Section 404 of SOX and the related regulations regarding our required assessment of our internal control over financial reporting and our external auditors' audit of that assessment has required, and continues to require, the commitment of significant financial and managerial resources. Moreover, because these laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance becomes available. This evolution may result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and additional costs necessitated by on-going revisions to our disclosure and governance practices.

An unfavorable government review of our income and payroll tax returns or changes in our effective tax rates could adversely affect our operating results.

Our operations are subject to income, payroll and indirect taxes in the United States and in multiple foreign jurisdictions. We exercise judgment in determining our worldwide provision for these taxes, and in the ordinary course of our business there may be transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain.

Our U.S. federal income tax returns for 2001 through 2003 are currently under examination by the IRS. In addition, certain of our U.S. payroll tax returns (primarily related to the timing of the payment of taxes associated with stock option exercises), state sales and use tax returns, and international sales and value added tax returns are currently under examination and/or review by the applicable taxing authorities. While we believe that we have made adequate provisions related to the audits of these tax returns, the final determination of our obligations may exceed the amounts provided for by us in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Specifically, we may receive assessments related to the audits and/or reviews of our U.S. and foreign income, U.S. payroll, U.S. sales and use tax returns, or our foreign sales and value added tax returns that exceed amounts provided for by us. In the event we are unsuccessful in reducing the amount of such assessments, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. Further, if additional taxes and/or penalties are assessed as a result of these audits, there could be a material effect on our income tax provision, operating expenses and net income in the period or periods for which that determination is made.

Because of our limited history with our OnDemand subscription model, we cannot predict our revenue or operating results.

The market for on-demand or "hosted" application services is relatively new and unproven, and it is uncertain whether these services will achieve and sustain high levels of demand and market acceptance. Our success will depend in part on the willingness of enterprises to increase their use of on-demand application services in general and for front-office applications in particular. If enterprises do not perceive the benefits of on-demand application services, then the market for these services may not develop at all, or may develop more slowly than we expect, either of which could significantly and adversely affect our operating results. In addition, if the demand for our Siebel CRM OnDemand services does not materialize as expected, our ability to recover our investments in developing such services, including costs associated with our acquisition of UpShot Corporation and Ineto Services, Inc. ("Ineto"), may be impaired.

We began offering Siebel CRM OnDemand, a hosted CRM application delivered as an online service, in the fourth quarter of 2003, and Siebel Contact OnDemand, a hosted contact center application, in the first quarter of 2004. These OnDemand solutions are offered on a subscription basis, rather than as a perpetual license. Our OnDemand customers have no obligation to renew their service subscriptions after the expiration of the initial subscription period, which is typically 12 months. Some former customers of acquired businesses which are now a part of our OnDemand business have elected not to renew their subscriptions. In addition, our customers may elect to renew their service for fewer users. Because the fee structure for our OnDemand solutions is based on the number of users, this could reduce our revenue generated from this service. We have limited historical data with respect to rates of customer subscription renewals, so we cannot predict with any degree of certainty customer renewal rates. Our customers' renewal rates may decline or fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including their inability to continue their operations and/or spending levels. If our customers do not renew their subscriptions for our service, or if they renew our service for fewer users, our expectations regarding future revenues from this new product offering may be incorrect, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Interruptions or delays in our OnDemand service from our third-party hosting providers could impair the delivery of our service and harm our business.

We have entered into strategic relationships with hosting providers, including IBM and British Telecom, to provide our OnDemand service through computer hardware located at the facilities of these third parties. We do not control the operation of these facilities, which could be subject to damage or interruption from a variety of sources, including earthquakes, fires, power loss, telecommunications failures and similar events. The occurrence of one of these events or other unanticipated problems at these facilities could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. In addition, the failure by one of our hosting providers to provide the required data communications capacity could result in interruptions in our service. Interruptions in our

service, among other things, may reduce our revenue, cause us to issue credits or pay penalties, cause customers to terminate their subscriptions and adversely affect our renewal rates.

If our security measures are breached and an unauthorized party obtains access to a customer's data, our OnDemand service may be perceived as being insecure and customers may curtail or stop using our service.

Our OnDemand service involves the storage and transmission of customers' proprietary information, and security breaches could expose us to a risk of loss of this information, litigation and potential liability. Because techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. If an actual or perceived breach of our security occurs, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose existing customers and our ability to obtain new customers.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits of past or future acquisitions, and integration of acquisitions may disrupt our business and management.

We have in the past and may in the future acquire additional companies, products or technologies. Most recently, we acquired edocs, Inc. in January 2005, Eontec Limited in April 2004, and Ineto in January 2004. We may not realize the anticipated benefits of these or any other acquisition and each acquisition has numerous risks. These risks include:

- difficulty in assimilating the operations and personnel of the acquired company;
- difficulty in effectively integrating the acquired technologies or products with our current products and technologies;
- difficulty in maintaining controls, procedures and policies during the transition and integration;
- disruption of our on-going business and distraction of our management and employees due to integration issues;
- inability to retain key technical and managerial personnel from the acquired business;
- inability to retain key customers, distributors, vendors and other business partners of the acquired business;
- inability to achieve the financial and strategic goals for the acquired and combined businesses;
- incurrence of acquisition-related costs or amortization costs for acquired intangible assets that could impact our operating results;
- impairment of our relationships with employees, customers, partners or third-party providers of technology or products;
- failure of the due diligence processes to identify significant issues with product quality, architecture and development, or legal and financial contingencies, among other things; and
- incurrence of significant exit charges if products acquired in business combinations are unsuccessful.

Ultimately, if we do not successfully complete the integration of acquired businesses in a timely manner, or at all, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisitions to the extent anticipated, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may incur future restructuring charges, which may adversely impact our operations.

During 2002, 2003, 2004 and the second quarter of 2005, we initiated a series of restructurings of our operations involving, among other things, the reduction of our workforce and the consolidation of excess facilities (the "Restructurings"). As part of the Restructurings, we ceased to use certain of our leased facilities and, accordingly, we are negotiating certain lease terminations and/or subleases of our facilities. We cannot predict when or if we will be successful in negotiating lease termination agreements or subleases of our facilities on terms acceptable to us. If we are not successful negotiating terms acceptable to us, or at all, we may be required to materially increase our restructuring and related expenses in future periods. Further, if we consolidate additional facilities in the future, we may incur additional restructuring and related expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We also cannot assure you that we will not reduce or otherwise adjust our workforce again in the future or that the related transition issues associated with such a reduction will not occur again. In addition, employees, whether or not directly affected by the reduction, may seek future employment with our business partners, customers or competitors. Although our employees are required to sign a confidentiality agreement at the time of hire, we cannot assure you that the confidential nature of certain proprietary information will be maintained in the course of such future employment. Further, we believe that our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled personnel. We may have difficulty attracting such personnel as a result of a perceived risk of future workforce reductions.

If we do not successfully manage the size of our operations, our business may be negatively impacted.

If we fail to manage the size of our operations effectively, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Since we began operations and continuing throughout early 2001, our business grew rapidly. Beginning in early 2001 and continuing through the first half of 2005, our revenues declined in part as a result of a deterioration of the overall economy and information technology industry. These changes in our business have placed a significant strain on our management systems and resources. In response to the deterioration in the information technology industry, management completed a series of Restructurings, as described more fully in Note 2 to the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The Restructurings have particular risks, many of which are discussed above under "We may incur future restructuring charges, which may adversely impact our operations." In addition, if our operations return to positive growth we may need to implement new systems or upgrade current systems. The failure to successfully implement such new or improved systems on a timely basis could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The majority of our expenses are personnel-related costs such as employee compensation and benefits, along with the cost of the infrastructure (occupancy and equipment) to support our employee base. The failure to adjust our employee base to the appropriate level to support our revenues could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, expanding the distribution of our products may place new and increased demands on our direct sales force, professional services staff, and technical and sales support staff. There are only a limited number of qualified personnel in these areas. Our ability to achieve expanded distribution and revenue growth in the future will depend, in part, on our success in recruiting, training, and retaining sufficient number of qualified direct sales, professional services, and technical and sales support personnel. If we are not be able to expand our direct sales force, professional services staff, and technical and sales support staff as necessary to support our operations, our business and operations could be harmed.

Decreased effectiveness of equity compensation could negatively impact our ability to attract and retain employees, and a modification to our equity compensation strategy or recent changes in accounting for equity compensation could adversely affect our earnings.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the PCAOB, the SEC, and various bodies formed to promulgate and interpret appropriate accounting principles. A change in these principles or interpretations could have a significant effect on our reported financial results, and could affect the reporting of transactions completed before the implementation of a new accounting principle.

We currently account for stock options under APB 25 and, accordingly, we record compensation expense related to stock options if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the stock option on the date of grant. On December 15, 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, which will require us to expense stock options in our statement of operations no later than January 1, 2006. SFAS 123R applies to all outstanding stock options that are not vested at the effective date and grants of new stock options made subsequent to the effective date.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, we estimate that our stated earnings in 2006 could be reduced by up to \$30.0 million per quarter. This estimate is based solely on the expense associated with stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2005 (including stock-based compensation under the Purchase Plan) and therefore does not take into consideration new stock option grants, which would increase this estimate, or forfeitures of stock options, which would reduce this estimate.

We have historically used stock options and other forms of equity compensation as key components of our employee compensation program in order to align employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders, encourage employee retention, and provide competitive compensation packages. The changing regulatory landscape could make it more difficult and expensive for us to grant stock options to employees in the future. In light of these changes, we have modified and anticipate that we may further modify our equity compensation strategy to emphasize equity incentives other than stock options, including increased use of restricted stock grants. If employees believe that the incentives that they would receive under a modified strategy are less attractive, we may find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees. In addition, the use of alternative equity incentives may increase our compensation expense and may negatively impact our earnings. To the extent that new regulations make it more difficult or expensive to grant equity instruments to employees, we may incur increased compensation costs, further change our equity compensation strategy or find it increasingly difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, each of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We rely on strategic relationships with systems integrators, distributors, resellers and technology vendors.

We have established strategic relationships with a number of organizations that we believe are important to our sales, marketing and support activities and the implementation of our products. We believe that our relationships with these organizations provide marketing and sales opportunities for our direct sales force and expand the distribution of our products. These relationships allow us to keep pace with the technological and marketing developments of major software vendors and provide us with technical assistance for our product development efforts. Failure to maintain existing strategic relationships with systems integrators, distributors, resellers and technology vendors, or to establish new relationships in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In particular, we have established non-exclusive strategic relationships with Accenture, Capgemini, Deloitte Consulting, IBM and BearingPoint, among others. A significant portion of our revenues has historically been derived from customers that have engaged systems integrators with which we have a relationship. Any deterioration of our relationships with these significant systems integrators could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Our current and potential customers may also rely on third-party systems integrators to develop, deploy or manage Siebel Business Applications. If we do not adequately train a sufficient number of systems integrators, or if these integrators do not have, or do not devote, the resources necessary to implement our products, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We also have relationships with technology vendors such as Hewlett-Packard, Intel, Microsoft and Sun Microsystems, among others. Failure to maintain existing relationships with such vendors on acceptable terms, or to establish new relationships with technology vendors in the future, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If we do not maintain our relationships with third-party vendors, interruptions in the supply of our products may result.

Portions of our products incorporate software that was developed and is maintained by third-party software developers. We may not be able to replace the functionality provided by the third-party software currently offered with our products if that software becomes obsolete or incompatible with future versions of our products or is not adequately maintained or updated. Although we believe there are other sources for these products, any significant interruption in the supply of these products could adversely impact our sales unless and until we can secure another source. We depend in part on these third parties' abilities to enhance their current products, to develop new products on a timely and cost-effective basis and to respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes. The inability to replace, or any significant delay in the replacement of, functionality provided by third-party software in our products could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our customers may not successfully implement our products.

Our customers frequently deploy our products to large numbers of sales, marketing and customer service personnel. These end users may not accept our products. Our products are also being deployed on a variety of computer hardware platforms and used with a number of third-party software applications and programming tools. This use may present significant technical challenges, particularly as large numbers of personnel attempt to use our products concurrently. If existing customers have difficulty deploying Siebel Business Applications or our OnDemand solutions or for any other reason are not satisfied with Siebel Business Applications or our OnDemand solutions, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our distribution channels may create additional risks.

We have a number of relationships with third-party resellers that assist us in selling our products. We generally do not have exclusive relationships with these resellers. In an effort to minimize channel conflicts among our resellers, we have implemented certain policies and licensing programs with each of our resellers. These policies and programs may not effectively minimize or eliminate all channel conflicts. Failure to minimize channel conflicts could result in lost sales opportunities or impair our relationship with our third-party resellers or customers, among other consequences, which could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our continued success will require us to keep pace with technological developments, evolving industry standards and changing customer needs.

The software market in which we compete is characterized by (i) rapid technological change, (ii) frequent introductions of new products, (iii) changing customer needs, and (iv) evolving industry standards. To keep pace with technological developments, evolving industry standards and changing customer needs, we must support existing products and develop new products. We may not be successful in developing, marketing and releasing new products or enhancements to Siebel Business

Applications or our OnDemand solutions that respond to technological developments, evolving industry standards or changing customer requirements. We may also experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and sale of these new products or enhancements. In addition, these new products or enhancements may not adequately meet the requirements of the marketplace and may not achieve any significant degree of market acceptance. If release dates of any future products or enhancements to Siebel Business Applications or our OnDemand solutions are delayed, or if these products or enhancements fail to achieve market acceptance when released, our business, operating results or financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, new products or enhancements by our competitors may cause customers to defer or forego purchases of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We cannot predict the demand for Internet-related products and services.

Siebel Business Applications and our OnDemand solutions communicate through public and private networks over the Internet. The success of our products and services may depend, in part, on our ability to continue developing products and services that are compatible with the Internet. The increased commercial use of the Internet could require substantial modification and customization of our products and services and the introduction of new products and services. Further, critical issues concerning the commercial use of the Internet, including security, privacy, demand, reliability, cost, ease-of-use, accessibility, quality of service, the impact of "viruses," "worms" and similar malicious programs, and potential tax or other government regulation remain unresolved and may affect the use of the Internet as a medium to support the functionality of our products and services and the distribution of our software. If these critical issues are not favorably resolved, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

If the web-based applications market fails to grow at the pace we expect or at all, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The market for web-based applications software is relatively new, highly competitive and rapidly changing. We market our products primarily to customers who have migrated or are in the process of migrating their enterprise computing systems to web-based computing environments. Our future financial performance will partly depend on the continued growth of organizations successfully adopting web-based computing environments.

To be successful, we must effectively compete against others in the business applications market.

Our products are designed for the front-office business applications software market. This market is highly competitive, rapidly changing, and significantly affected by new product introductions and the activities of other market participants. Our products are specifically targeted at the CRM market, as well as the markets for business analytics and customer data integration. We face competition primarily from our customers' internal information technology departments and systems integrators, as well as other application software providers that offer a variety of products and services designed to address these markets. We may not be able to compete successfully against such internal development efforts.

Our customers and prospective customers are increasingly evaluating their software procurement needs with a focus on the long-term total cost of ownership, which includes the cost of the license and the related professional services, such as implementation, training and technical support. With significantly lower license costs from competitive solutions, and no license cost for internal projects, our success depends on our ability to adequately communicate our strengths in the relative capabilities of our products, the total cost of ownership of the various alternative solutions, and the total return on investment of each of these alternatives.

The following is a discussion of the competitive environments in which we operate:

Internal Development

We believe that our primary competition comes from custom-built applications. Specifically, many of our customers and potential customers have in the past developed CRM, customer and business intelligence and certain customer data integration solutions in-house, either alone or with the help of systems integrators. In some cases, these internal development projects have been successful in satisfying the needs of the organization. To compete successfully, our products must conform to the customer's information technology standards, scale to meet the needs of growing enterprises, operate globally and cost less than an internal development effort. We may not be able to compete effectively against these internal development efforts.

Systems Integrators

We also face competition from systems integrators engaged by companies to build custom applications. To successfully compete in this area, we must demonstrate and provide to the customer the cost savings and advantages of a

configurable, upgradeable and commercially supported product developed by a dedicated professional software organization.

We frequently rely on a number of systems consulting and systems integration firms for a substantial portion of implementation and other professional services, as well as for recommendations of our products during the evaluation stage of the purchase process. Although we seek to maintain close relationships with these service providers, many of them have similar and often more established relationships with our competitors. If we are unable to develop and retain effective, long-term relationships with these third parties, our competitive position could be materially and adversely affected. Further, some of these third parties have significantly greater resources than we do and may market software products that compete with us and may otherwise reduce or discontinue their relationships with or support of us and our products.

Other Competitors

Our competitors include a number of companies that compete with us primarily within a particular product line (e.g., analytics, interactive selling, etc.) and/or a particular industry (e.g., life sciences, financial services, etc.). These companies include Amdocs Limited; CAS GmbH; Chordiant Software, Inc.; Dendrite International, Inc.; E.piphany, Inc. (acquired by SSA Global); FrontRange Solutions, Inc.; Kana Software, Inc.; Microsoft Corporation; ONYX Software Corporation; Pivotal Corporation; The Sage Group; Salesforce.com, Inc.; SAS Institute, Inc.; Business Objects; Cognos; StayinFront, Inc.; and Right Now Technologies, among many others. In addition, our competitors include several companies, such as Oracle Corporation and SAP AG, which compete in a majority of our product lines.

Some of these competitors have longer operating histories; significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources; significantly greater name recognition; a broader product offering; and a larger installed base of customers than we do. In addition, many competitors have well-established relationships with our current and potential customers. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than we can.

There are many factors that may increase competition in the business applications software market, including (i) entry of new competitors, (ii) alliances among existing competitors, (iii) alliances between our competitors and systems integrators, (iv) consolidation in the software industry or among systems integrators, and (v) technological changes or changes in the use of the Internet. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins or loss of market share, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors or competitive pressures faced by us may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Industry consolidation may lead to stronger competitors, which may harm our operating results.

Many leading companies in the market for business applications software have been the subject of mergers, acquisitions and divestitures during the last several years. For example, Oracle Corporation completed its acquisition of PeopleSoft, Inc. during the fourth quarter of 2004, and recently announced that it had signed an agreement to acquire us. We expect this trend towards consolidation to continue as companies attempt to maintain or extend their market and competitive positions in the rapidly changing business applications software industry and as companies are acquired or are unable to continue operations. This industry consolidation may result in stronger competitors that are better able to compete as sole-source vendors for customers. This could lead to more variability in our operating results due to lengthening of the customer evaluation process and/or loss of business to these stronger competitors, which may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If we cannot hire enough qualified employees or if we lose key employees, it will adversely affect our ability to manage our business, develop our products and increase our revenues.

Our performance depends on the continued service of our key technical, sales and senior management personnel. Our key employees have not entered into employment agreements with a specified term of employment with us. The loss of one or more of our senior management personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In particular, in April 2005, upon mutual agreement between ourselves and J. Michael Lawrie, Mr. Lawrie resigned as our Chief Executive Officer and our Board of Directors appointed George T. Shaheen as our Chief Executive Officer. In addition, in recent years, we have had members of our senior management announce their retirement or departure from our company. We replace these individuals with internal candidates and, if deemed appropriate, individuals from outside our organization. While our internal candidates understand our business model, they must learn a new position and take on additional or new responsibilities, which could take time and result in the disruption to our on-going operations. To integrate into our company, new senior personnel must spend a significant amount of time learning our business model and management

systems, in addition to performing their regular duties. Accordingly, until new senior personnel become familiar with our business model and systems, their integration may result in some disruption to our on-going operations. Additionally, we may need to hire additional personnel in general or to replace internal candidates who have been promoted and as a result, we may experience increased compensation costs that are not offset by either improved productivity or higher prices.

We have restructured, and may in the future restructure, our sales force, which can be disruptive.

We continue to rely heavily on our direct sales force. In recent years, we have restructured or made other adjustments to our sales force in response to factors such as conditions in the information technology industry, our expenses related to revenues, management changes, product changes and other external and internal considerations. Changes in the structure of the sales force and sales force management have resulted in a temporary lack of focus and reduced productivity that may have affected revenues in one or more quarters. If we continue to restructure our sales force, then the transition issues associated with restructuring the sales force may recur. Such restructuring or associated transition issues can be disruptive and adversely impact our business and operating results.

Software errors or defects in our products could reduce revenues.

Software products frequently contain errors or failures, especially when first introduced or when new versions are released, and can be affected by viruses. We have in the past been, and may in the future be, forced to delay the commercial release of products until the software problems have been corrected. We could lose revenues as a result of software viruses, errors or defects, including defects in third-party products with which our products work. Our products are intended for use in applications that may be critical to a customer's business. As a result, we expect that our customers and potential customers will have a greater sensitivity to product defects than the market for software products generally. Testing errors may also be found in new products or releases after commencement of commercial shipments, resulting in loss of revenue or delay in market acceptance, damage to our reputation, or increased service and warranty costs, any of which could have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may not be able to protect our proprietary information.

We rely primarily on a combination of patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our proprietary rights in our products. We also believe that the technological and creative skills of our personnel, new product developments, frequent product enhancements, name recognition and reliable product maintenance are essential to establishing and maintaining a technology leadership position. We seek to protect our software, documentation and other written materials under patent, trade secret and copyright laws, which afford only limited protection. Any patents issued to us may be invalidated, circumvented or challenged. Any of our pending or future patent applications, whether or not being currently challenged, may not be issued with the scope of the claims we seek, if at all. Furthermore, others may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology or design around our patents.

Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Policing unauthorized use of our proprietary rights is difficult. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights as fully as do the laws of the United States. Our means of protecting our proprietary rights in the United States or abroad may not be adequate. We have entered into agreements with substantially all of our customers that require us to place Siebel Business Applications source code into escrow. Such agreements generally provide that such parties will have a limited, non-exclusive right to use such code if (i) there is a bankruptcy proceeding by or against us, (ii) we cease to do business, or (iii) we fail to meet our support obligations.

Although we do not believe that we are infringing any proprietary rights of others, third parties may claim that we have infringed their proprietary rights. Furthermore, former employers of our former, current or future employees may assert claims that such employees have improperly disclosed to us the confidential or proprietary information of such former employers. Any such claims, with or without merit, could (i) be time-consuming to defend, (ii) result in costly litigation, (iii) divert management's attention and resources, (iv) cause product shipment delays, and (v) require us to pay monetary damages or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. A successful claim of product infringement against us and our failure or inability to license or create a workaround for such infringed or similar technology may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our international operations involve unique risks.

Our revenues are primarily derived from large multinational companies. To service the needs of these companies, we must provide worldwide product support services. We have expanded and in the future may expand our international operations and enter additional international markets. This will require significant management attention and financial resources that could adversely affect our operating margins and earnings. We may not be able to maintain or increase international market demand

for Siebel Business Applications and our OnDemand solutions. If we do not, our international sales will be limited and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our international operations are subject to a variety of risks, including (i) foreign currency fluctuations, (ii) economic or political instability, (iii) shipping delays, and (iv) various trade restrictions. Any of these risks could have a significant impact on our ability to deliver products on a competitive and timely basis. Significant increases in the level of customs duties, export quotas or other trade restrictions could also have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In situations where direct sales or purchases are denominated in foreign currency, any fluctuation in the exchange rate may adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Generally, we manage our foreign currency exchange rate risk by entering into contracts to sell or purchase foreign currency at the time a foreign currency receivable or payable is generated. When the foreign currency asset or liability is extinguished, the contract is liquidated, and the resulting gain or loss on the contract mitigates the exchange rate risk of the associated asset or liability. In certain instances, we have not hedged foreign currency receivables and payables when the forward contracts in the relevant currency were not readily available or were not cost-effective.

Our stock price may continue to be volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has fluctuated substantially since our initial public offering in June 1996. The trading price is subject to significant fluctuations in response to (i) variations in quarterly operating results; (ii) the gain or loss of significant orders; (iii) changes in earnings estimates by analysts; (iv) changes in our revenue and/or earnings expectations as announced in our earnings calls; (v) announcements of technological innovations or new products by us or our competitors; (vi) changes in domestic and international economic, political and business conditions; (vii) general conditions in the software and computer industries; (viii) the recent lack of public confidence in corporate governance and accounting practices; and (ix) other events or factors. In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices for many companies in industries similar or related to ours and that have been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. These market fluctuations have adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Provisions in our charter documents may prevent, discourage or delay certain corporate actions.

Our Board of Directors is authorized to issue up to 2,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, of those shares without any further approval by our stockholders. One of these shares has been designated Series A1 Preferred Stock, which was issued in connection with the acquisition of Janna Systems Inc. in 2000, and 100,000 shares have been designated as Series A2 Junior Participating Preferred Stock, which were authorized in connection with a stockholders' rights plan that we implemented in 2003. Additional shares of preferred stock could be authorized or issued with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to those of the common stock.

The rights of the holders of common stock will be subject to and may be adversely affected by the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that has already been authorized or issued, or that may be issued in the future. The issuance of the Series A2 Junior Participating Preferred Stock or the authorization of additional classes of preferred stock by our Board of Directors in the future, while providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting common stock. In addition, the following factors could also delay or make more difficult a merger, tender offer or proxy contest: (i) our classified Board of Directors, (ii) certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation, (iii) certain provisions of our bylaws, and (iv) certain provisions of Delaware law.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The tables below provide information about our derivative financial instruments and other financial instruments that are subject to market risk. The first table includes our foreign currency contracts used to minimize the impact of changes in currency rates on existing foreign currency receivables, payables and intercompany balances, which are subject to exchange rate risk. The second table includes our available-for-sale short-term investments, which are subject to interest rate risk.

We manage our foreign currency exchange rate risk by entering into contracts to sell or buy foreign currency at the time a foreign currency receivable or payable is generated. When the foreign currency asset or liability is extinguished, the contract is liquidated, and the resulting gain or loss on the contract mitigates the exchange rate risk of the associated asset or liability.

The following table summarizes as of September 30, 2005 our foreign currency forward contracts, by currency. All of our foreign currency forward contracts mature within one year. Contract amounts are representative of the expected payments to be made under these instruments (in thousands):

	Contract Amount (Local Currency)	Contract Amount	Fair Value (US\$)	
Australian dollars ("AUD") (contracts to pay AUD/receive US\$)	(AUD) 9,103	US\$ 6,966	\$ 20	
Brazilian real ("BRL") (contracts to pay BRL/receive US\$)	(BRL) 28,651	US\$ 12,514	\$ (62)	
British pounds ("GBP") (contracts to pay GBP/receive EUR)	(GBP) 8,964	EUR 13,072	\$ (19)	
Canadian dollars ("CAD") (contracts to pay CAD/receive US\$)	(CAD) 397	US\$ 278	\$ 75	
Japanese yen ("JPY") (contracts to pay JPY/receive US\$)	(JPY) 1,616,162	US\$ 14,509	\$ 142	
Korean won ("KRW") (contracts to receive KRW/pay US\$)	(KRW) 6,517	US\$ 5	\$ (8)	
Mexican pesos ("MXN") (contracts to pay MXN/receive US\$)	(MXN) 15,304	US\$ 1,417	\$ 19	
Singapore dollars ("SGD") (contracts to pay SGD/receive US\$)	(SGD) 385	US\$ 279	\$ (57)	
British pounds ("GBP") (contracts to pay GBP/receive USD)	(GBP) 1,500	US\$ 2,674	\$ 35	
Czech Republic koruna ("CZK") (contracts to receive CZK/pay EUR)	(CZK) 12,033	EUR 411	\$ (3)	
Euros ("EUR") (contracts to receive EUR/pay US\$)	(EUR) 223,597	US\$ 277,819	\$ (7,431)	
Euros ("EUR") (contracts to pay EUR/receive US\$)	(EUR) 8,340	US\$ 10,259	\$ 207	
Swedish krona ("SEK") (contracts to receive SEK/pay EUR)	(SEK) 7,639	EUR 819	\$ 3	
Swiss francs ("CHF") (contracts to receive CHF/pay EUR)	(CHF) 5,911	EUR 3,804	\$ 17	
Australian dollars ("AUD") (contracts to pay AUD/receive EUR)	(AUD) 1,137	EUR 589	\$ (63)	

The following table summarizes our short-term investments and the weighted average yields as of September 30, 2005 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Expected Maturity Date											
		2005		2006		2007		2008	2009	_]	Thereafter	Total
US Treasury and Agency securities Weighted average yield	\$	28,699 3.63%	\$	180,393 4.24%	\$	87,010 4.34%	\$	36,490 4.26%	\$ 10,429 4.30%	\$	40,531 4.26%	\$ 383,552
Corporate bonds	\$	46,329 4.01%	\$	274,423 4.27%	\$	238,052 4.52%	\$	163,665 4.58%	\$ 92,613 4.70%	\$	21,560 4.42%	\$ 836,642
Asset-backed securities Weighted average yield	\$	22,473 3.84%	\$	229,526 4.13%	\$	123,536 4.22%	\$	65,689 4.93%	\$ 14,629 5.02%	\$	5,058 4.19%	\$ 460,911
Total short-term investments	\$	97,501	\$	684,342	\$	448,598	\$	265,844	\$ 117,671	\$	67,149	\$ 1,681,105

The securities in the above table, like all fixed income instruments, are subject to interest rate risk and, accordingly, if market interest rates increase, these investments will decline in value. By way of example, if market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 100 basis points from levels as of September 30, 2005, the fair value of the above investments would decline by approximately \$23.6 million.

We manage our interest rate risk by maintaining an investment portfolio with debt instruments of high credit quality and relatively short average maturities. We also manage interest rate risk by maintaining sufficient cash and cash equivalent balances such that we are typically able to hold our investments to maturity, if necessary.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We have historically made our internal control over financial reporting a high priority and we continue to do so. Our Internal Control Committee, comprised primarily of senior financial and legal personnel, assists us in ensuring that our internal control over financial reporting is complete, accurate and appropriately documented. In addition, our internal audit department reviews and tests our internal control in order to ensure that it is designed appropriately and is operating as designed. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX") and resulting rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission served as a catalyst for us to formalize many of our processes, controls and procedures that we have instituted to ensure proper financial reporting.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Responsibility for Disclosure and Internal Control

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, has the responsibility of establishing and maintaining adequate Disclosure Control (as defined below) and Internal Control (as defined below) over our financial reporting. "Disclosure Control" is a set of procedures that is designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or furnished with the SEC, such as this quarterly report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms. Disclosure Control is also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

"Internal Control" is a set of processes designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). Internal Control includes those policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Our CEO and CFO note that during the three months ended September 30, 2005, there have been no changes in our Internal Control or in other factors that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect such controls, including any corrective actions with regard to material weaknesses. We have historically considered our Internal Control a high priority and strive to continually improve our Internal Control. Accordingly, we have taken and will continue to take corrective action if and as we discover deficiencies in our Internal Control.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Control or our Internal Control will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Regulation FD

On May 6, 2003, the Enforcement Division staff ("Staff") of the Securities & Exchange Commission ("SEC") contacted us and indicated that a May 1, 2003 article on CBS MarketWatch had raised questions regarding our compliance with Regulation FD. In August 2003, the Staff notified us and two of our officers of the Staff's preliminary decision to recommend that the SEC take enforcement action against us and these officers in regard to statements allegedly made prior to and during an April 30, 2003 dinner. In response, we and the officers filed submissions with the SEC that we believe contained numerous meritorious defenses to these allegations. Despite these submissions, on June 29, 2004, the SEC filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York against us and the two officers alleging, among other things, that we and the officers violated Regulation FD in connection with the statements described above. The SEC sought an order that imposes permanent injunctions, civil penalties and other equitable relief.

In September 2004, we filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. A hearing on the motion occurred on March 15, 2005. On September 1, 2005, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the case in its entirety. The SEC has decided not to appeal this ruling, and the deadline to appeal has passed.

Stock Option Grant Inquiry

On March 7, 2005, the SEC provided us with a copy of an order of investigation regarding certain stock option grants by a number of companies, including us. The SEC has advised us that this is a confidential fact finding inquiry and has confirmed that the issuance of the order does not indicate that it has concluded that we have violated any securities laws. We intend to cooperate with the SEC as this investigation continues to develop. We are unable to estimate the potential financial impact this matter could have on us.

Shareholder Class Actions

On March 10, 2004, William Wollrab, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of our stockholders, filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against us and certain of our officers relating to predicted adoption rates of Siebel v7.0 and certain customer satisfaction surveys. This complaint was consolidated and amended on August 27, 2004, with the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of Chicago being appointed to serve as lead plaintiff. In October 2004, we filed a motion for dismissal of this case, which was granted on January 28, 2005 with leave to amend. Plaintiffs in this case filed an amended complaint on February 28, 2005, and we filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on April 27, 2005. A hearing on the motion occurred on November 4, 2005.

On April 12, 2004, Pamela Plotkin, on behalf of herself and purportedly on behalf of a class of our stockholders, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California (the "Superior Court") against us and members of our Board of Directors on similar claims described above. The Superior Court dismissed the complaint by Ms. Plotkin on September 23, 2004, but gave Ms. Plotkin leave to file a new, amended complaint, which was subsequently filed by Ms. Plotkin. The Superior Court dismissed the amended complaint on December 28, 2004, but again gave Ms. Plotkin leave to file a new, amended complaint. Ms. Plotkin filed a new amended complaint on January 14, 2005. On February 2, 2005, the parties to this complaint agreed to stay (i.e., place on hold) the entire case until the motion to dismiss the amended complaint in the federal class action has been decided.

On September 12, 2005, Gloria Showers, on behalf of herself and purportedly on behalf of a class of our stockholders, and Sheldon Miller, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of our stockholders, each filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California against us and members of our Board of Directors relating to our proposed merger with Oracle Corporation. On September 15, 2005, Robert Corwin, on behalf of himself and purportedly on behalf of a class of our stockholders, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of California against us and members of our Board of Directors on similar claims. The complaints allege in substance that the directors breached their fiduciary duties in agreeing to the proposed merger for failing to maximize stockholder value. The Miller and Corwin complaints also name Oracle Corporation as a defendant on the ground that it aided and abetted the alleged violations.

These complaints seek injunctive relief and damages together with interest and reimbursement of costs and expenses of litigation. We believe the allegations in each of these actions are without merit and we intend to defend vigorously against these claims.

Employee Class Action

On January 15, 2004 and May 5, 2004, Zhiyu Zheng, a former employee, as representative of a recently certified class of Siebel Software Engineers and Senior Software Engineers, filed a First Amended Complaint and Second Amended Complaint, respectively, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against us, claiming that based on their job duties, Siebel Software Engineers and Senior Software Engineers do not meet exemption criteria as defined under California law, and as such are owed back wages, overtime pay, and certain other benefits. We believe the allegations in this action are without merit and we intend to defend vigorously against this claim.

General

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, which arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management does not believe that the outcome of any pending legal matter will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, although results of operations or cash flows could be affected in a particular period.

There have been no material pending actions adverse to us brought by a director, office or affiliate.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit	
Number	Description of Document
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated October 14, 2003, as amended, among
	the Registrant, Underground Acquisition Corp. and UpShot Corporation. (1)
2.2	Share Purchase Agreement dated as of April 20, 2004, by and among Siebel Systems Ireland Holdings Limited,
	Eontec Limited, the Selling Shareholders and Bernard Horn, as Shareholders' Agent. (2)
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated September 12, 2005, among the Registrant, Oracle Corporation,
	Ozark Holding Inc., Ozark Merger Sub Inc. and Sierra Merger Sub Inc. (3)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended to date. (4)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant. (5)
4.1	Reference is made to Exhibit 3.1 and Exhibit 3.2.
4.2	Specimen Stock Certificate. (6)
4.3	Certificate of Designation of Series A1 Preferred Stock of the Registrant. (7)
4.4	Indenture between the Registrant, as Issuer, and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company,
	National Association, as Trustee, dated September 15, 1999. (8)
4.5	Certificate of Designation of Series A2 Junior Participating Preferred Stock. (9)
4.6	Rights Agreement dated as of January 29, 2003, between the Registrant and Mellon Investors
	Services LLC, as Rights Agent. (9)
4.7	Form of Rights Certificate. (9)
4.8	Rights Plan, as amended. (10)
10.1	Employee Retention Benefit Plan, effective as of May 20, 2005. (11)
10.2	Director Level Employee Retention Benefit Plan, effective as of May 20, 2005. (11)
10.3	Vice President Level Employee Retention Benefit Plan, effective as of May 20, 2005. (11)
10.4	Senior Executive Retention Benefit Plan, as amended, effective as of August 28, 2005. (3)
10.5	Form of Indemnity Agreement entered into between the Registrant and its officers and directors. (1)
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (1)
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (1)
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (1)

- (1) Filed herewith.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2004.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 19, 2005.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-03751), as amended.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2000.

- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-91777) filed on November 30, 1999.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2003.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2005.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 26, 2005.

Availability of this Report

We intend to make this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q publicly available on our website (www.siebel.com) without charge immediately following our filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless we are required to do so by law.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SIEBEL SYSTEMS, INC.

Date: November 4, 2005

By: /s/ Kenneth A. Goldman
Kenneth A. Goldman
Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration
and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION

- I, George T. Shaheen, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Siebel Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 4, 2005

By: /s/ George T. Shaheen

George T. Shaheen

Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

- I, Kenneth A. Goldman, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Siebel Systems, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 4, 2005

By: /s/ Kenneth A. Goldman

Kenneth A. Goldman

Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, George T. Shaheen, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Siebel Systems, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in such Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Siebel Systems, Inc.

In Witness Whereof, the undersigned has set his hand hereto as of the 4th day of November 2005.

/s/ George T. Shaheen Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

I, Kenneth A. Goldman, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report of Siebel Systems, Inc. on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2005 fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in such Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Siebel Systems, Inc.

In Witness Whereof, the undersigned has set his hand hereto as of the 4th day of November 2005.

/s/ Kenneth A. Goldman
Senior Vice President, Finance and
Administration and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

This certification accompanies the Form 10-Q to which it relates, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Siebel Systems, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (whether made before or after the date of the Form 10-Q), irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.