UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 Release No. 4265 / November 13, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-16958

In the Matter of

OSCAR WU,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(f) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940, MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against Oscar Wu ("Respondent").

II.

Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in Section III below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (the "Order"), as set forth below.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. Oscar Wu, age 46, was a portfolio manager for a registered investment adviser based in New York, New York from March 2011 until April 2013.

2. On October 26, 2015, a final judgment was entered by consent against Respondent, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled <u>Securities and</u> <u>Exchange Commission v. Oscar Wu</u>, 1:15-cv-07922-KMW, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that Respondent, knowingly or recklessly, traded on and tipped material non-public information in breach of duties he owed to his former investment adviser employer and to the shareholders of the company his former employer advised.

4. Specifically, the Commission's complaint alleged that, in the course of his employment as a portfolio manager for his former employer, Wu learned material, non-public information about a planned patent acquisition and revenue-sharing agreement between two prominent telecommunications companies. The Commission alleged that Wu used this information to place trades in the account of a relative, thereby generating \$9,469 in illegal profits, and then also tipped another relative, who traded in her own account, generating \$7,440 in illegal profits.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 203(f) of the Advisers Act, that Respondent be, and hereby is barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization with the right to apply for reentry after five years to the appropriate selfregulatory organization, or if there is none, to the Commission. Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields Secretary