U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

MEETING OF SEC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
ON SMALL AND EMERGING COMPANIES

Wednesday, September 23, 2015 9:30 a.m.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C.

Multipurpose Room (L-006)

	Page 2		Page 4
1	PARTICIPANTS:	1	CONTENTS
2		2	PAGE
3	SEC COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:	3	Co-Chairs Call Meeting to Order 5
4	Mary Jo White, Chair	4	Introductory Remarks 8
5	Giles Cohen, on behalf of Luis A. Aguilar	5	Committee Consideration of Written
6	Daniel M. Gallagher	6	Recommendation On Public Company
7	Michael S. Piwowar	7	Disclosure Effectiveness 24
8		8	Committee Consideration of Written
9	ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:	9	Recommendation on Securities
10	Stephen M. Graham, Co-Chair	10	Act Rule 147 26
11	M. Christine Jacobs, Co-Chair	11	Committee Consideration of Written
12	Charles Baltic	12	Recommendation on the "Finders" Issue 36
13	David A. Bochnowski	13	Roundtable Discussion about Frequency
14	John J. Borer, III	14	of Ongoing Reporting 58
15	Dan Chace	15	Wrap-up/Adjournment 94
16	Milton Chang	16	
17	Sara Hanks	17	
18	John Hempill	18	
19	M. Christine Jacobs	19	
20	Sonia Luna	20	
21	David J. Paul	21	
22	Timothy Reese	22	
23	Timothy Walsh	23	
24	Gregory C. Yadley	24	
25	Michael S. Pieciak, Official Observer	25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	PARTICIPANTS (CONT.):	1	PROCEEDINGS
2		2	CO-CHAIRS CALL MEETING TO ORDER
3	STAFF PRESENT:	3	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: I think now is as good a time
4	Julie Davis	4	as any to call the meeting to order. So good morning,
5	Sebastian Gomez Abero	5	everyone. And welcome to today's meeting of the Advisory
6	Keith F. Higgins	6	Committee for Small and Emerging Companies. We
7	Joanne Rutkowski	7	appreciate that all of you were able to make it, despite
8		8	the logistical challenges presented by the fact that the
9		9	Pope is in town, just in case anyone missed that. We
10		10	also want to acknowledge the interested parties who would
11		11	be here but for the fact that today is Yom Kippur.
12		12	As always, we are being webcast live. And we
13		13	will then be archived, which is I am sure the reason why
14		14	some of you are hesitant to speak. So hopefully those
15		15	that are unable to join us today will take advantage of
16		16	that.
17		17	Regarding the conflict with Yom Kippur, we find
18		18	that is unfortunate, but I remind everyone that the term
19		19	of this Committee expires tomorrow. So our flexibility
20		20	to move this meeting to a different day was somewhat
21		21	limited.
22		22	A quick thanks to the SEC support staff, who
~ ~		23	made sure to build in extra time this morning to get here
23		1	
		24	and do everything that they do to make these meetings run
23		1	

Page 8

a meeting in San Francisco a few years ago where the audiovisual did not go that well. And you tend to lose sight of the importance of these individuals until you are faced with those situations.

2.0

2.4

2.2

It is always a problem when you start thanking people because you are always prone to leave somebody out, but I do want to give a special thanks to Sebastian and to Julie, who do an absolutely fantastic job supporting the Committee. And it would be difficult to manage, if not impossible to manage, without them.

Today we plan on wrapping up our consideration of three important topics by making formal recommendations to the Commission. We will start with public company disclosure, which is a topic about which many of us have firsthand experience. And our recommendation will be timely as the SEC staff works toward moving its public disclosure effectiveness project forward.

We had a great discussion about disclosure during our June meeting and again at our July conference call. So we think today we should be in a position to coalesce around our recommendation.

We will also return to the topic of Rule 147, which, as you know, is an SEC safe harbor that is often relied upon by issuers for intrastate crowdfunding.

focus on short-term performance, to the detriment of the long-term health of the company. So I look forward to all of your viewpoints in that regard.

4 So that is what we intend to accomplish today.
5 And we intend to make sure that we wrap up soon enough so
6 that we can all make trains and planes, notwithstanding
7 the additional traffic that we might face.

We are honored to have with us this morning
Chair Mary Jo White, Commissioner Piwowar, and
Commissioner Gallagher. Commissioner Stein hopes to join
us this afternoon. Commissioner Aguilar had planned to
join us by videoconference from Atlanta, but he is sick
today. And his counsel will sub for him. Giles Cohen?
MR. COHEN: Yes.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. I remembered.

So, with that, I will turn things over to Chair

White.

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

COMMISSION CHAIR WHITE: Thank you, Steve. And good morning, everyone. Thank you and, Chris, you as well for your leadership. Thank you all for being here through the road closures and other scheduling issues that we have or by webcast. I will be very brief in my remarks so you can get down to your business.

As Steve mentioned and as I think all of you

Page 7

At our June meeting, Mike Pieciak gave us an update about what many states are doing in this regard to promote small company capital formation. And we seem to have agreement that there are ways that we could modernize Rule 147 to better facilitate the intrastate.

modernize Rule 147 to better facilitate the intrastate crowdfunding.

Before lunch, we also plan to finalize a recommendation regarding the so-called "finders" issue. Many of us work in various capacities with companies that are small and trying to locate capital. And, you know, it is difficult to find, you know, people that are willing to help in this context without, you know, actually being concerned about running afoul of the broker-dealer laws. This is now an easy one, but we feel that, at a minimum, there are certain incremental steps that could be taken to kind of move the ball forward in this area to better facilitate small company capital formation.

After lunch, we will return for a more informal discussion on a topic that I believe you will all find interesting. You may have seen that some academics and professionals, including just last month a named partner in a prominent New York law firm, are suggesting doing away with quarterly reports for public companies. They argue that quarterly earnings reports result in too much

Page 9

know, the term of this Committee expires tomorrow,
 September 24th. I just want to say and really can't
 emphasize it enough that the advice and the expertise the
 Committee has provided to the Commission, really, on a

5 variety of issues for the last four years has been

6 incredibly helpful to us.

I also want to reiterate Steve's thanks to
Sebastian and Julie and Keith and CorpFin for their
assistance to this Committee. And if you will look at
today's agenda, you are continuing to work very hard
today and continuing in making those contributions to us.
And, frankly, the contributions that you have made have
shown the importance of this Committee, and I am pleased
to announce that the Commission is renewing the charter
of the Committee for another two-year term. I think that
will actually be formally announced today. The
Commission will be selecting members, and it is my hope
that many of you will continue to serve. And I certainly
look forward to the continuing dialogue and being the
beneficiary of your insight and suggestions as we go
forward.

I understand from your agenda that the Committee will be discussing several formal written recommendations today. There has been some prior discussion on them. We are very interested in all of

1

2

Page 12

- them. Relevant to those topics, as I mentioned at your 1
- 2 June meeting, the staff in the Division of Corporation
- 3 Finance is actively considering ways to improve
- 4 Securities Act Rule 147, a safe harbor that issuers
- 5 generally rely on for intrastate crowdfunding offerings.
- 6 We very much look forward to having your input on aspects
- 7 of that rule that could be modernized. And on the
- 8 subject of the JOBS Act crowdfunding rulemaking, the
- 9 staff has been working very hard on final rule
- 10 recommendations for the Commission, and I anticipate that
- 11 you will see something on that front from us in the very
- 12
- 13 The staff in the Division of Corporation
- 14 Finance also continues, as you know, to be hard at work
- 15 on the initiative to enhance the effectiveness of the
- 16 public company disclosure regime for both investors and
- 17 for companies. The staff's review is focused initially
- 18 on the business and financial disclosures required in
- 19 companies' periodic reports, and I expect that the
- 20 Commission will publish the first product from that
- 21 initiative very soon. We have benefitted already from
- 22 your input in this area and again look forward both to
- 23 the discussion this afternoon but also your written
- 24 recommendations on that that you will be discussing
- 25 today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Aguilar would like to start by welcoming the members of

- the Advisory Committee on Small and Emerging Companies to
- 3 today's meeting. As you know, this is the final meeting
- 4 of the current term of this Committee, and he would like
- 5 to extend his appreciation to each of you for your
- 6 service to the Committee, this Commission, and the
- 7 greater public good. He appreciates your efforts and,
- 8 like me, looks forward to today's discussions.
- 9 Commissioner Aguilar would also like to thank
- 10 the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance's Office
- 11 of Small Business Policy for organizing this meeting and
- 12 for their work on renewing the Committee's charter for an
- 13 additional two years. This Committee performs an
- 14 important service to America's small business community,
- 15 and he looks forward to its continued good efforts.
- 16 One of the topics on today's agenda is the
- 17 Committee's recommendation regarding expanding simplified
- 18 disclosure for smaller issuers. As you know, the SEC has
- 19 long recognized the significance of small businesses and
- 20 has promulgated a number of regulations focused on
- 21 smaller companies. These regulations include providing
- 22 scaled disclosure and reporting requirements for small
- 23 business issuers, which were amended in 2007 to provide
- 24 for simplified disclosure and reporting to an expanded
 - group of so-called "smaller reporting companies."

Page 11

25

1

25

So let me just conclude -- I said I would be brief -- by reiterating my sincere appreciation for your service, all of you. You have had a productive term, and we know that each of you has a very busy schedule and multiple demands on your time. We are very grateful for your commitment to helping the Commission advance its mission and, in particular, for the benefit of small companies and their investors.

I also want to just pause for a moment to thank my friend and colleague Commissioner Dan Gallagher, who will be leaving the Commission on October 2nd. You know, Dan has really rendered just extraordinary service to the agency and our mission and has been a real champion, as you know, for the interests of small businesses. We will all miss him and his many contributions.

Thank you.

- 17 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Chair White.
- Do we want to start with Giles? 18
- 19 MR. COHEN: Thank you.
- 20 Commissioner Aguilar would like to be here
- 21 today, but, as you heard, he picked up a bug and is
- 22 losing his voice. Anyhow, he will be watching these
- 23 proceedings from his sick bed. He asked me to deliver
- 24 his remarks on his behalf. So here it goes. 25
 - Thank you and good morning. Commissioner

Page 13

As you consider future recommendations to the

- 2 regulatory environment for small and midsized/emerging
- 3 companies, Commissioner Aguilar urges you to keep in mind
- 4 the dual goals of a strong capital market environment for
- 5 these companies and the needs of their investors. We can
- 6 all agree that any discussion of how to optimize the ways
- 7 smaller issuers can raise capital has to be accompanied
- 8 by a robust discussion of how to optimize the protection
- 9 of investors. Neither goal is exclusive of the other,
- 10 and Commissioner Aguilar is confident that this Committee
- 11 is well-positioned to consider how best to enable
- 12 businesses to raise capital in a cost-effective way while
- 13 also, importantly, providing for ways to protect
- 14 investors and the markets generally. Commissioner
- 15 Aguilar looks forward to hearing your thoughts as to how
- 16 best to accomplish these important goals.
- 17 The Commissioner also wants to briefly discuss
- 18 a topic that is not on today's agenda, but he hopes it
- 19 will be considered for a future meeting of this
- 20 reconstituted Advisory Committee, and that is the
- 21 increasing threat to small and emerging companies of 22 cyber attacks. While cyber security is not traditionally
- 23 an issue that encompasses the Commission's regulatory
- 24 focus on small businesses other than those businesses
 - that it regulates as broker-dealers, investment advisers,

Page 14 1 and such, it is increasingly on the minds of all 2 2 investors 3 3 As news headlines constantly make clear, 4 4 massive data breaches have become commonplace. Cyber 5 security is clearly a concern that the entire business 5 6 6 community shares, but it represents an especially 7 7 malicious threat to smaller businesses. The reason is

9 targets of cyber crime. They are its principal target. 10 In fact, the majority of all targeted cyber attacks last 11 year were directed at small and midsized businesses. The most predominant reason for this is also the most 12

simple. Small and midsize businesses are not just

13 obvious. Smaller companies pose easier targets than 14 larger organizations and must protect against such 15 threats with far fewer resources. 16 Despite these threats and the heightened

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

2.5

vulnerability to which smaller businesses are subject, there is reason to believe that the smaller business sector may not be taking cyber security as seriously as it should. One recent survey of 400 small firms found that 27 percent of them have no cyber security protocols at all and that a similar number of firms had difficulty implementing even the most rudimentary cyber defenses, such as routinely backing up their data. This apathy is

divisions I have had disagreements with over the years,

CorpFin features prominently on some of the Dodd-Frank

Page 16

related issues but on things that aren't mandated by

Congress; in particular, the small business initiatives.

It has been one of the best and most fruitful

relationships I have had in four years working with you

guys. I am going to miss it, and I wish you the best.

8 I am also, you know, a little sad to not have

9 Luis up on screen, especially with no voice because then

10 he can't rebut me.

11 (Laughter.)

12 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: As he knows, yesterday

13 I tried to get out a special shout-out to him in an open

14 meeting, but I barely got through my other remarks to my

15 colleagues. I am going to miss them all; uniquely,

16 though, going to miss Luis. We have had a good

17 friendship for four years as commissioners, but what most

18 people don't know is that the friendship started when he

19 started as a commissioner and I was a staffer. And so it

20 surprised a lot of people when I came back as a

21 commissioner that we sort of hit our stride as

22 colleagues, especially for two people who disagreed as

23 much as we did on policy issues. But it didn't surprise

2.4 us. So I hope he gets better. And I am going to miss

him. Luis, it will be something like the movie

Page 15

expertise of cybercriminals. Instead, a proactive

These cyber attacks are becoming more

ill-advised given the increasing sophistication and

approach appears to be warranted.

prevalent, and their impact on small businesses and their investors can be devastating. This is a subject that Commissioner Aguilar will cover in more detail in a forthcoming article in the Autumn 2015 edition of Cyber Security Review, and he would encourage this Committee to also give this subject attention.

We all look forward to a vibrant discussion of all of your agenda topics. Thank you.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you.

13 Commissioner Gallagher?

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Well, it is hard to do

thank yous because you invariably forget people. I totally agree with you, Stephen. But I am going to thank you, and I am going to thank Chris. And, in particular, I want to thank you for your service as co-chairs of this

19 Committee. It has been not only an exemplary Committee

20 in our sort of constellation of committees that provide 21 advice to us but your participation and leadership have

22 been exemplary, too. So thank you very much for all that

23 you have done. And also thank you to the work of 24 Sebastian and Julie, in particular, at the staff level.

As Keith knows, you know, of all of the

Page 17

(Laughter.)

25

1

2

4

5

6

9

11

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: It could be a box in a 3

wall somewhere near a beach and --

Shawshank. I will send you a card.

COMMISSION CHAIR WHITE: Send the --

COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Yes. We will meet up

7 somewhere where it is sunnier. I wish him the best and 8 my colleagues, too, as I said yesterday. I know and

respect you all. I am going to be cheering you on from

10 the outside within the limits of the Obama ethics pledge.

(Laughter.)

12 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: And so thank you to

13 all of the Committee's members for not only braving the 14 papal visit traffic -- I actually thought it was the best

15 commute I have had in about four years; hopefully it

16 wasn't too bad for you guys -- but also for the

17 commitment of your time and energies over the past two

18 years. And, like Chair White, I hope we will be seeing

19 many of you here as members of the reconstituted

20

21 As has been said, the work of this Committee is 22 critical in helping to bring forward the voice of small

23 and emerging companies - a voice that is too often

24 unheard or ignored, particularly in the post-Dodd-Frank

25 rush to regulation. This Committee has examined issues

Page 20

1 of critical importance for small business. You have 2

deliberated over how best to address them, and you have

issued to the Commission well-reasoned and well written

and reasonable recommendations. I, for one, believe the 4

5 least we can do as a Commission is to commit to providing

6 this Committee with written responses to those

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendations. And I hope that is something the

Commission can take up, even after I leave.

It is not just a matter of showing respect for your work, but it would be a tangible sign of our commitment to fulfilling our statutory mission to facilitate capital formation. And, unfortunately, these days, there are few other visible signs of that commitment. And I am glad that the Committee is being renewed for another two years. And, although I, unfortunately, will no longer be here as a consumer of your recommendations, believe me, as with my colleagues, I will be cheering you on and your work on from the outside.

The voice of the Committee is needed now more than ever. As we have seen with the Commission's recent rulemakings advancing the executive compensation-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, it is far too easy to simply sweep smaller reporting and emerging-growth companies into the scope of these new regulations,

1 see consideration of recommendations relating to the

Division of Corporation Finance's disclosure

3 effectiveness review more broadly. This will be a vital

4 subject for the Committee to monitor closely. We have

5 already seen this project transition from disclosure

6 overload to disclosure effectiveness, and some would like

7 to take this as a perfect opportunity to advance

8 pro-regulatory ends. War is peace. Ignorance is

9 strength. And disclosure effectiveness is more

disclosure.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If more disclosure were the price we must pay in order to make information useful for small business investors, then we would now have excellent disclosure. Not to state the obvious, but we do not have excellent disclosure. And we all know that eliminating or scaling

disclosures is a more fruitful path to that end.

I hope the Committee can also continue to pursue a robust agenda of its own, including a continued focus on secondary markets for small business securities. Robust, liquid secondary markets are critical for the success of our reforms on small business security issuance, including Regulation A+, and the Committee's input on venture exchange or other similar liquidity venues will be of tremendous use in advancing the ball on

Page 19

2

7

15

16

23

24

25

Page 21

1 despite the ever-mounting compliance burdens that the

2 SEC's regulations place on those companies. And then we

all wonder why so many successful startups look to stay

private as long as possible before selling out to a

5 multi-billion dollar valuation to an established industry

The JOBS Act may have jumpstarted the IPO market, shocking that patient back into life with a deregulatory jolt, but the IPO market is still convalescing. And we should be administering strong medicine in the form of regulatory relief to grow our economy, create jobs, and help lift our anemic economic recovery into robust growth. The Committee's thoughts regarding the expansion of simplified disclosure for smaller issuers generally would be, if implemented, a tremendous step in that direction.

Failing to pursue these and similar ideas for actively facilitating small business capital formation would leave in place regulations that are not well-tailored for small companies or where the costs do not justify the benefits or where the cumulative impact of the regulations taken together are smothering small business; in turn, suffocating the IPO market that Congress tried so hard to save.

On a similar but related note, I would hope to

1 One of my many regrets as I leave the

those issues.

Commission is that no meaningful steps have been taken to

3 facilitate venture exchanges. And it appears the

4 Congress will have to take that up for progress to be

5 made.

6 I am, as always, excited by the work of this

Committee. And I look forward to seeing what the renewed

8 Committee will do in the future, as always, assisted by

9 the wonderful talent in the Division of Corporation

10 Finance Office of Small Business Policy.

11 I wish you a fruitful and stimulating day of

12 discussion and an easy ride home. Thanks.

13 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Commissioner 14 Gallagher.

Commissioner Piwowar?

COMMISSIONER PIWOWAR: Thank you, Stephen. And

17 I want to thank all of the members of the Committee who

18 were able to join us today. I, too, want to recognize

19 you, Stephen, and Christine for your leadership as

20 co-chairs of this Committee over the past several years.

21 Under your leadership, this Committee has done great work

22 on a number of issues. So thank you.

> I also want to express my appreciation for the efforts of Sebastian, Julie, and everyone in the Office of Small Business Policy for their great support over the

Page 22 Page 24 1 when this Committee gets rechartered, I suggest that last couple of years. 2 2 maybe we open up a forum for Javier to talk about all the I am pleased that the Commission intends to 3 great work that is being done there and the various funds renew the charter of this Committee for another two 4 that they have in that space, so do that. years. I look forward to working with the Committee on 5 5 And, finally, I look forward to the Committee's continuing to find ways to stimulate capital formation 6 for small and emerging companies. And as the consideration today of the recommendations on disclosure effectiveness, intrastate offerings, and finders. Thank 7 rechartering process is underway by the Commission, I 8 8 want to state my support for the comment raised by 9 9 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Mike. Commissioner Gallagher. Any recommendation from this 10 10 I would like to turn it over to Keith Higgins. Committee deserves a response from the Commission. We 11 have a statutory obligation to provide a response to our 11 COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF WRITTEN RECOMMENDATIONS 12 ON PUBLIC COMPANY DISCLOSURE EFFECTIVENESS 12 Investor Advisory Commission, and we should adopt a 13 13 MR. HIGGINS: Thanks, Stephen. Good morning, similar requirement for this Committee. 14 14 And, Dan, although you are leaving us, I will everyone. And before getting started, let me give the 15 15 standard disclaimer that anything that I or any other continue to champion the suggestion. 16 16 I will just note that, you know, Dan, when he member of the staff says today reflects only our views 17 17 and doesn't necessarily reflect the views of anybody else said he was leaving, he used the reference to the movie 18 18 Shawshank Redemption, which, of course, is about escaping on the Commission or other colleagues on the staff. 19 19 I would like to add my voice in thanking all of from a prison. 20 20 you not only for making it today but for serving over the (Laughter.) 21 COMMISSIONER PIWOWAR: So we all know how it 21 past couple of years, which has been coextensive with the 22 term I have had as division director in Corporation 22 feels. I still have a three-year sentence here. So I 23 23 wasn't aware you could actually leave early. Finance. I have enjoyed the discussions. I am looking 2.4 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: When I took the 24 forward to the discussions today on topics that I know are very important to you and very important to the 2.5 posters off my walls and the pictures, you could see the Page 23 Page 25 1 marks 1 division's work. 2 2 So I would like to introduce, as if they need (Laughter.) COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: I did it on a weekly 3 3 an introduction, Sebastian Gomez Abero, who is the chief 4 basis 4 of our Office of Small Business Policy; and Julie Davis, 5 COMMISSIONER PIWOWAR: There you go. 5 who is senior counsel, special, special counsel, in the 6 COMMISSION CHAIR WHITE: Then you gave them to 6 office, who have greatly supported the group. 7 7 me So I will just welcome you and turn it back to 8 8 Stephen and Christine to kick off the proceedings. (Laughter.) 9 COMMISSIONER PIWOWAR: There you go. 9 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you, Keith. COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Just joking. It is an 10 10 Our first recommendation is the one that has to 11 honor and a privilege to be on the Commission. 11 do with public company disclosure. I think everyone has 12 COMMISSIONER PIWOWAR: Right. Last week I had 12 a copy. Hopefully you have had an opportunity to read 13 the pleasure of participating in a forum on opening up 13 14 capital in the energy and tech sectors, which was 14 Again, we have spent the last two meetings, you 15 sponsored by the Congressional Black Caucus. The subject 15 know, talking about this issue and trying to draw this 16 of the discussion was making sure that minority 16 particular recommendation into focus. As it says, you 17 17 entrepreneurs have colorblind access to capital. It was can see the key points would be expanding the definition 18 18 a wonderful and fruitful discussion. of a smaller reporting company to broaden that, really, 19 One of my fellow panelists was Javier Saade, 19 to more smaller companies and also to provide smaller 20 who doesn't look like he was able to make it here today, 20 reporting companies with the same accommodations that we 2.1 from the Small Business Administration, of course, our 21 give to emerging-growth companies. 22 observer. Now, Javier doesn't get a chance to really 22 So the recommendation in this current form, I 23 speak in this forum, but what I learned from that is he 23 would ask for someone to move that we adopt that 24 is doing some great work at the SBA in terms of promoting 24 recommendation. 25 MEMBER: Move adoption. and encouraging investments in small businesses. And 25

Page 26 Page 28 1 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: A second? 1 NASAA brought this issue before us. And maybe I could 2 MEMBER: I will second 2 get Mike to just kind of briefly recap why the states are 3 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. So the floor is open 3 interested in modernizing that Rule 147. 4 for any discussion. Does anyone have any comments, 4 MR. PIECIAK: Sure. I would be glad to. Thank 5 suggested changes? 5 you, Stephen. And thank you to the chair and the 6 (No response.) 6 commissioners. 7 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. In that case, I will 7 You know, intrastate crowdfunding as of June 8 ask all of those in favor of the recommendation to so 8 3rd, which I think was the last time I addressed the 9 Committee, we had a number of states that had enacted 10 (Chorus of ayes.) 10 such crowdfunding, a number of states that were 11 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Anyone opposed? 11 considering adopting crowdfunding that was state-based. 12 (No response.) 12 Today -- I shouldn't say today. It is as of September 13 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Abstentions? 13 16th. We now have 26 states and the District of Columbia 14 14 (No response.) that had adopted some form of state-based crowdfunding. 15 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: The recommendation is 15 So I see in the recommendation here I said, "In the near 16 future, a majority of states will have adopted." 16 17 Sebastian, Chris indicated that I didn't 17 So it looks like my prediction was right on 18 formally ask you for a quorum. I am assuming that we do 18 that front. And these are also major states. I mean, 19 indeed have a quorum and that recommendation that had 19 Florida, Colorado, Illinois will become effective on 20 just been approved is valid. 20 January 1st of 2016. So there is some movement that is 21 MR. GOMEZ: We did, Steve. Thank you. 21 still happening. I think it is four states that are 22 CO-CHAIR JACOBS: Thank you. 22 still awaiting signature from the governor and/or final COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION 23 23 rulemaking. And then seven states are still considering 24 ON SECURITIES ACT RULE 147 24 doing some investigation and/or in the legislative 25 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. The next 25 process. Page 27 Page 29 1 recommendation has to do with the modernizing of Rule 1 So the need for modernizing Rule 147 is still 2 147. Again, as you know, Rule 147 deals with the 2 an issue that is on the front burner for NASAA. The 3 3 recommendation that is before us I think is well-drafted, exemption for offerings that are purely at the exemption 4 from federal regulation of companies or offerings that 4 perfectly hits on the issues and the impediments that 5 5 are solely intrastate in nature. This rule was adopted small businesses are facing. It is specifically drafted 6 6 some time ago. And it is out of step with the current to address the what should happen and open as to how it 7 state of affairs. 7 should happen. So I think the drafting is well-done. 8 8 And as a state administrator in Vermont, with I The areas that our recommendation addresses 9 9 think the most progressive state-based crowdfunding primarily are the fact that the rule doesn't allow even 10 10 offers to people that are outside of a particular state, regime in place, I highly endorse this recommendation. 11 11 which is a pretty difficult thing to do with social media And also as my position with NASAA, I think this will 12 12 and the internet. The rule also is a set of 80 percent benefit the states on the whole. 13 requirements having to do with where are you doing 13 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you. business, where you are generating revenues, and where 14 14 You all have a copy of the recommendation. And 15 are you spending the proceeds of the offering, which also 15 I should note for those who might be tuning in on the 16 strike the Committee as unrealistic. The issuer also has 16 webcast, copies of the recommendations, you can find them 17 17 to be incorporated in the state of where the offering at sec.gov. 18 18 exists, which kind of gets in the way of the substance, Can I get someone to move that we adopt this 19 if you will, when a company is deciding where it should 19 recommendation? 20 20 MEMBER: So moved. be incorporated. 21 21 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Can I get a second? As we have indicated, this rule dovetails into 22 what the states are doing today with respect to 22 MEMBER: I will second. 23 23 crowdfunding. There are a number of states that have CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Any discussion? Yes, 24 already enacted legislation, you know, having to do with 24 Greg?

25

MR. YADLEY: During the first term of the

crowdfunding. And last time around, Mike Pieciak from

25

Page 30 Page 32

- 1 Advisory Committee, we expressed some skepticism about
- 2 crowdfunding in the equity securities area. This was
- 3 pre-JOBS Act. Of course, the JOBS Act includes a very
- 4 prescriptive directive to the SEC to implement rules.
- 5 And the Commission has gotten some criticism for not yet
- 6 having those rules. I, for one, am happy that the
- 7 Commission has been deliberate in addressing this. Like
- 8 many very detailed, although hurriedly, drafted and
- 9 passed pieces of legislation, there are gaps in it. And
- 10 there are unintended consequences. So we and everyone
- 11 here relates to the final rules, but I am not that
- 12 disappointed that it has taken this long. And I am sure
- 13 you will get it as right as you can.

14

25

- On the other hand, the states have moved
- 15 forward with a patchwork, nevertheless. I am from
- 16 Florida. As you mentioned, we have a crowdfunding
- 17 statute. It is also pretty detailed, and we will see how
- 18 useful it will be. But that is up to each state to
- 19 decide what to do. I think this is a great opportunity
- 20 for the SEC to show its leadership in a way that enhances
- 21 federal-state coordination and cooperation. And by
- 22 addressing Rule 147, I think the SEC will be able to put
- 23 its voice behind its commitment to small business. And
- 2.4 so I endorse the recommendations.
 - CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Greg. And, as

- 1 obviously we are working with the statutory directives
 - that are included in the legislation but also -- I have
- 3 said this many times. You know, the goal is to make it
- 4 workable also. And also -- and this I think is reflected
- 5 in the work on Rule 147 -- we are looking more broadly
- 6 also at the array of issues but appreciate the
- 7 perspective which we share.
 - CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you.
- 9 Yes, David?
 - MR. PAUL: You can call me D.J. at this point,
- 11 I think.

2

8

10

12

- CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes, D.J. Yes. Yes.
- 13 MR. PAUL: I would simply want to echo the
- 14 comments. I, too, appreciate the deliberateness with
- 15 which the SEC seems to be contemplating leading up to
- 16 title III. I am ecstatic at the prospect of it being
- 17 actually available in the near term. And particularly in
- 18 light of these recommendations, this is not much of a
- 19 point, but I am interested and curious to see what the
- interaction between title III is and this. I endorse the 20
- 21 recommendation. I am not so much less enthusiastic, but
- 22 I wonder how much it will be utilized. I hope Michael is
- 23 right and I am wrong and that it becomes another tool, in
- 24 addition to title III, which I am looking forward to. So
- 25 that was just the quick comment that I wanted to make.

Page 31

- 1 some of you will recall, as Greg just mentioned a few
- 2 years ago when just before the JOBS Act was adopted, the
- 3 Committee, as then constituted, did express some concern
- 4 with respect to federal crowdfunding. And then we felt
- 5 that that was something that we couldn't endorse. You
- 6 know, I think we are sympathetic to the unmet need and 7 understood that something should be done, but it is still
- 8 waiting for what looks like the right answer. We both
- 9 have a system that not only protects and investors and
- 10 doesn't do anything significantly, you know, promote
- 11 fraud while at the same time, you know, establishing a
- 12 framework that is actually workable, you know, from a
- 13 small business point of view, as opposed to the need to
- 14 avoid having a regime that is just too complicated, too
- 15 burdensome, too expensive to be effective.
 - Yes? Yes?

16

25

- 17 COMMISSION CHAIR WHITE: Thank you.
- 18 Just in response to Greg's comment, first,
- 19 thank you for complimenting our deliberateness on the
- 20 crowdfunding rules. We get other comments as well from 21 time to time.
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 COMMISSION CHAIR WHITE: But seriously -- and I
- 24 will say this. It is not just the staff in the Division
 - of Corporation Finance, the whole Commission. You know,

- Page 33
- 1 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Well, thank you for 2 that, D.J.
- 3 I think that the way I look at the modernizing
- 4 of Rule 147 is kind of the way I look at the new
- 5 Regulation A. And that is that you wonder and have to
- 6 wait and see to what extent it actually becomes useful,
- 7 it is actually employed on a broader basis. The
- 8 important thing is to give it a chance. And so let's get
 - some things out there and see, see what does happen.
- 9 10
 - Any more? Yes, John?

25

- 11 MR. HEMPILL: Yes. This is maybe a little
- 12 technical question, but given the requirements of
- 13 3(a)(11); for example, that you do have to be organized
- under the laws of the state, should we mention in here 14
- 15 that maybe this rule is -- you have a new rule adopted,
- 16 as opposed to amending 147, and that it be adopted, for
- 17 example, pursuant to 3(b) or something along those lines,
- 18
- as opposed to 3(a)(11), just, again, given the statutory 19 requirements of that section?
- 20 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Good point. Sebastian or 21 Keith, do you have a --
- 22 MR. GOMEZ: It is a good point. It is, of
- 23 course, up to the Committee on that, but I think, John, 24 you make a good point.
 - MR. HEMPILL: I just raise that point because

	Page 34		Page 36
1	Mr. Higgins made the point when we were discussing this	1	(No response.)
2	last time.	2	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: All those in favor?
3	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Can we make that	3	(Chorus of ayes.)
4	modification? Okay.	4	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Anyone opposed?
5	COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: I guess just to follow	5	(No response.)
6	up, Sebastian, is that the only way to get there?	6	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Abstentions?
7	Exemptive authority isn't another route or	7	(No response.)
8	MR. GOMEZ: So there is also exemptive	8	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. We are good. Okay.
9	authority under section 28. The Commission has general	9	COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF WRITTEN RECOMMENDATION
10	exemptive authority.	10	ON THE "FINDERS" ISSUE
11	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: I think we can be broad in	11	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Moving to the recommendation
12	the recommendations of this.	12	regarding finders, you know, again, we have all probably
13	MR. GOMEZ: So it is up to the Committee to	13	worked with an early-stage company that is in need of
14	decide which way to go. I mean, John, you mentioned	14	private capital but its founders don't have the time,
15	3(b). There is section 28 as well. So the Committee	15	resources, or know-how to locate potential investors.
16	could if it desired just be broader and just, say,	16	They want to hire a friend or other contact to help
17	consider other exemptive authority, other than 3(a)(11).	17	secure investors, but that contact is not a registered
18	COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Which is focus on the	18	broker and, thus, can't engage or, at a minimum, is
19		19	unsure as to whether or not they would be permitted to
20	ends, not the means, really, right? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Exactly, exactly.	20	·
21	COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Yes.	21	engage. A number of our members are keenly aware of
22		22	this finders issue, including Greg Yadley, who has been
23	CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: But thank you for that, John. So Tim?	23	looking at the issue for a number of years. And based on
24		24	the discussions at our June and July meetings, Greg
25	MR. REESE: Yes. I just want to also go on	25	helped to prepare a written draft of the recommendation
25	record. I think this issue of broker-dealer and what we		nespea to prepare a written trait of the recommendation
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Page 35 are looking at is critical.	1	Page 37 that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to
1 2		1 2	
	are looking at is critical.		that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to
2	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get	2	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation.
2	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next.	2 3	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment?
2 3 4	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there	2 3 4	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second,
2 3 4 5	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet?	2 3 4 5	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up.
2 3 4 5 6	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right.	2 3 4 5 6	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again? MR. PAUL: So moved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or misreading that, meaning what are the 5 percent of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again? MR. PAUL: So moved. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: D.J. Second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or misreading that, meaning what are the 5 percent of private offerings that don't rely on reg D? Is that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again? MR. PAUL: So moved. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: D.J. Second? MEMBER: I will second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or misreading that, meaning what are the 5 percent of private offerings that don't rely on reg D? Is that a statistic that I am simply not aware of, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again? MR. PAUL: So moved. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: D.J. Second? MEMBER: I will second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Last chance for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or misreading that, meaning what are the 5 percent of private offerings that don't rely on reg D? Is that a statistic that I am simply not aware of, which is possible?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	are looking at is critical. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: You know we are going to get to that next. MR. REESE: Okay. Okay. So this is not there yet? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. MR. REESE: Okay. All right. I will wait. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: How was your train ride? MR. REESE: The train ride was good. I got ahead of everything. (Laughter.) MR. REESE: But go ahead, yes. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any other comments? (No response.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So let's consider the recommendation amended to basically, you know, focus on the end, not the means. And we will redraft that over the noon hour. As modified, can we get a motion to approve again? MR. PAUL: So moved. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: D.J. Second? MEMBER: I will second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is now before us. And I would ask for a motion to approve this recommendation. MR. PAUL: Can I make a comment? CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. We will move, second, and then open it up. MR. PAUL: Okay. All right. MEMBER: I will make the motion, Steve. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MEMBER: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: It has been moved and seconded. Discussion? MR. PAUL: Second. CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. D.J.? MR. PAUL: Yes. I have got two comments. The first is in the number 2, the first sentence, I am not sure that is right, actually. I could be wrong, may be referring to something else. Perhaps what is meant to be said is that over 95 percent of private offerings rely on 506 and that very few use, you know, the other exemptions, 504 and 505, or perhaps I am just unaware or misreading that, meaning what are the 5 percent of private offerings that don't rely on reg D? Is that a statistic that I am simply not aware of, which is

Page 38 Page 40 1 capital. And when that grows into a larger pool of 1 person. 2 2 MR. GOMEZ: Steve, we can confirm with our capital, I see a level of unsophistication where 3 3 colleagues in DOL. Well, the conversation is ongoing. nonregistered brokers are trying to extend themselves, 4 even beyond the current capital raise, into other areas, 4 MR. PAUL: A small point. I just want to be 5 5 which has caused in some cases I have seen some real sure 6 MR. GOMEZ: Yes. You know, a good point. Good 6 concern and having to have to go to court to fight those 7 sort of issues on small capital raises, on amounts that point, D.J. 8 8 MR. PAUL: And my second comment is -are within our jurisdiction below this amount, around a 9 9 couple of million dollars or more. MR. YADLEY: Can I just --10 10 So when I read this, I just felt like this is MR. PAUL: Oh, I am sorry. Answer. 11 MR. YADLEY: Yes. In the paper that I 11 something that is critical. It is timely. And I am glad 12 presented at the last Advisory Committee meeting, this 12 to see it. Okay? And I can't overemphasize it, having 13 13 statistic was in a capital-raising survey that was done personally dealt with this issue with unsophisticated 14 14 by Vlad Ivanov and Scott Bauguess in February 2002 from unlicensed broker-dealers involved in transactions and 15 15 the Division of Risk Strategies. So I am sure that is demanding fees and getting representation and causing the 16 16 where that came from. firms to have to pay legal fees to fight it. And nothing 17 17 good has come about, no growth, no job creation, just MR. PAUL: I wonder how current that is, but 18 that is fine. Maybe I misunderstood it. It is not a 18 pure lawyer bills being paid at the end of the day. So 19 19 major point, but I was not aware that 5 percent of that is what I would like to go on record and say. 20 private offerings were made outside of the bounds of reg 20 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. 21 D. But we can leave aside, and we will check it. It 21 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Stephen, can I just 22 22 certainly doesn't impact the significance of the weigh in on this, too? 23 necessity for the recommendations or the recommendations 23 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. 2.4 themselves. 24 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: I agree with Timothy. 25 25 I would say that I do support these I think it is a real critical issue. It seems like on Page 39 Page 41 1 recommendations. I don't think it will come as much of a 1 paper to be something much smaller than it actually is. 2 surprise to anybody here that I had hoped beyond hope 2 It is an area where we can and should just provide 3 that there would be a touch more specificity with respect 3 guidance. It is, unfortunately, one of the strange 4 to them, but this is a great start. And I am happy that 4 situations where the good people suffer the most. 5 5 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. we are making them. I hope that we may have an 6 6 COMMISSIONER GALLAGHER: Right? The legitimate opportunity to revisit in the future and perhaps make 7 more specific recommendations, but I certainly endorse 7 people who are aware of the restrictions don't engage in 8 8 the activity to avoid the registration process and this now. So thank you. 9 9 everything that comes with it. And those who are CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you. 10 10 And, Tim, were you going to? blissfully ignorant are collecting fees. It is just not 11 11 MR. REESE: Yes. Now is my time. a good situation for anybody. And so the good people 12 pulling back from facilitating capital formation is a 12 (Laughter.) 13 MR. REESE: You know, I look at this issue or 13 horrible situation. I think, you know, having made the passage for dealing with this issue as critical to 14 progress on M&A Brokers a year or two ago with the 14 15 formation of capital. And the ambiguity out there, where 15 no-action letter, it just is a natural that we should 16 we are really dealing with broker-dealers and folks who 16 clarify, you know, what triggers registration in this 17 17 are holding themselves as broker-dealers in capital space. 18 18 formation and that, you know, there needs to be with this So I think it is a great recommendation. I 19 passage and others that may come with more, that we 19 think it is wholly within our capability to do it. I 20 20 think I saw one of our folks from the trading and markets really get a hold of this. 21 21 chief counsel's office in here. And, you know, they And I do think where I see from the discussion 2.2 where some of the ambiguity is being even done by law 22 handle these sorts of things. And, you know, I think 23 23 firms who represent these unregistered broker-dealers from a policy perspective, when you look at registration 24 when they have a potential for a reward. And so people 24 requirements generally, what is the purpose being served. 25 play on the edge around this issue, around raising 25 And in the broker-dealer context with this type of

1

2

4

5

6

19

20

25

1

4

Page 44

- 1 activity, there is not a lot of purpose being served.
- 2 You know, you are not interested in someone who is
- 3 holding customer assets. You are not interested in
- 4 someone, you know, coming in to examine their books and
- 5 records. They are not trading. You know, there are just
- 6 so many reasons why the regulatory policy purpose behind
 - registration isn't called into question in this space.
- 8 And that IO think gives us all the more impetus to
- 9 address it. So I am glad you guys teed it up.
- 10 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Dan.
- 11 Any other comments? John?
 - MR. BORER: So I wholeheartedly agree that this
- 13 issue should be clarified because it has been a constant
- 14 thorn for as long as I have been in the broker-dealer
- 15 business when people show up with a good idea that
- 16 requires some action and they cannot be compensated in a
- 17 transparent fashion because of the regulations that FINRA
- 18 has on the broker-dealers. And that is clearly where we
- 19 look first. There are workarounds that people have used
- 20 in the past, but it always creates some level of
- 21 discomfort

7

12

24

6

8

11

13

24

- 22 My question here -- and maybe this can come
- 23 from our representative from NASAA or from someone on the
 - Commission. How much work will be required between -- I
- 25 guess it is the third point in our recommendation -- and

- terms of our process among the states, it would be, you know, up to individual states to some degree, but a
- 3 recommendation usually can go from beginning to end
 - within a year in the NASAA process.
 - MR. BORER: Is the state already regulating people who are not under the purview of FINRA who are
- 7 doing these, performing these activities?
- 8 MR. PIECIAK: I mean, there is such a thing as
- 9 a state-based broker-dealer. In Vermont, we require that 10
- they are a member of FINRA. When an individual comes to 11 my office and is conducting the type of actions described
- 12 in the first bullet point here, I tell them that, you
- 13 know, state registration is not required in Vermont for
- 14 that type of activity. So it is sort of again an area
- 15 that could use some more clarity, I think. You know,
- 16 from the federal counterparts. So that is why I think
- 17 this recommendation has some really good merit to it.
- 18
 - But that is what I can speak to towards Vermont.
 - MR. GOMEZ: Joanne, I will defer to you if
- there was anything else you wanted to add. MS. RUTKOWSKI: Just at this point, you know, 21
- 22 federal status and state status are two different issues,
- 23 but definitely I think most states do regulate in this
- 24 space. There is ambiguity in the present. There is no
 - question.

Page 43

- 1 the coordination with NASAA, which according to our
- 2 recommendation is somebody who is helping find investors
- 3 and helping with the process, is going to be regulated or
- 4 licensed at the state level? And with FINRA, who I 5 understand SEC has influence on FINRA, they are created
 - at their direction and oversees all the broker-dealers to
- 7 actually have this implemented.
 - Are we talking a multi-year process here or is
- 9 this something that can be done in a relatively
- 10 straightforward fashion? And, depending on what that is,
 - is there a way to more focus this recommendation to help
- 12 that process along.
 - CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Mike, do you want to take
- 14 that?
- 15 MR. PIECIAK: Sure. I will answer it, and I
- 16 will let Sebastian, you know, add to it.
- 17 Thanks, John, for the question. I mean, I 18
- think, to an extent, it is how willing are the sides on
- 19 each three of the sort of triangles coming together and
- 20 discussing this? And, you know, I can speak, you know,
- 21 in our role in Corporation Finance. I mean, we would be,
- 22 you know, more than ready and willing to sit at the table
- 23 and have these discussions and move the ball forward in

our arena. I am sure the same can be said, you know,

- 25
- here. And FINRA I can't speak for, but, you know, in

- Page 45
- And, you know, the ABA did the report 10 years
- 2 ago. They called out this very question. So it is
- 3 really a good thing that you all are coming forward with
 - the recommendation.
- 5 MR. YADLEY: John, I think the harder part in
- 6 this is FINRA. So the question about how the SEC might
- 7 constructively work with FINRA because back in the old
- 8 days, when I was on the staff, we had, you know, SEC-only
- 9 brokers, but it is FINRA. And it has been unwilling and
- not entirely without reason. I mean, having a whole new
- 10
- 11 population of very small people with limited activities
- 12 who they are responsible for and will have to regulate
- 13 would be a self-regulatory burden. On the other hand,
- 14 their activities are so limited that it really shouldn't
- 15 be hard.
- 16 And FINRA has adopted or proposed nearly a
- 17 half-dozen limited broker-type status classes. And it
- 18 would seem that this should be the next one that they
- 19 should address. And I think part of this recommendation
- 20 is while the SEC can't make FINRA do something, trying to 21 get them focused on it would be an important step
- 22 forward.

24

25

- 23 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: D.J.?
 - MR. PAUL: I think the SEC can make FINRA do

things, actually. I think that they might be the only

Page 46 Page 48 1 1 MS. RUTKOWSKI: -- and it doesn't involve a entity that can. 2 2 MR. YADLEY: I like your smile when you said public offering. 3 3 that. MR. REESE: I was thinking private offerings. MS. RUTKOWSKI: Okay. Great. Thank you. 4 MEMBER: He is trying to be very polite. 4 5 MR. PAUL: And I am, you know, typically not 5 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: John? 6 trying to be very polite. 6 MR. BORER: Just one more comment back to the 7 (Laughter.) 7 issue around FINRA. When the JOBS Act was put into 8 MR. PAUL: But I am glad you are around because 8 place, there were certain provisions in there that were 9 9 otherwise I would just horrible. intended to modify the behavior of Wall Street, I do think that the SEC has the power to tell 10 10 specifically with respect to research publication, et 11 FINRA what to do. I also would like the SEC to 11 cetera, et cetera, that, to my knowledge, because of what 12 contemplate creating some sort of regime that doesn't 12 I consider to be, in a principal in a broker-dealer for 13 involve FINRA because if the activities of these -- let's 13 many, many, many years, FINRA's resistance to, despite 14 14 call them finders; we could call them connectors -- in the legislation, wanting to encourage those activities 15 15 any case, is limited. And it may not be necessary for have not been carried out broadly on Wall Street. And I 16 FINRA to be involved at all. And I will just leave that 16 think that the ability to influence FINRA with respect to 17 17 there because I don't want to tell any more bad jokes. enhancing the ability for these brokers that may be doing 18 MS. RUTKOWSKI: Just to follow up on that, 18 it on a one-off basis, I would actually be resistant to 19 19 could I ask a question on recommendation number 2? putting dollar limits on things like that because if 2.0 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes. 20 somebody makes one phone call and can raise \$100 million 21 MS. RUTKOWSKI: When you all are talking about 21 one time and we say, "Well, you can't do that unless you 22 private financings, are you thinking about a dollar 22 are a broker-dealer," that to me is just as significant 23 amount or what is that universe? Is that the \$250 23 as somebody raising a million dollars or somebody with 2.4 24 tenfold calls because it may be a one-off type of a 25 25 MR. PAUL: No. Well, there were some proposed thing. Page 47 Page 49 1 just kind of ballpark things that were arbitrary, but the 1 I think the ability when these issues are 2 notion being that perhaps there could be a safe harbor 2 considered to have a more proactive dialogue with FINRA 3 3 for a connector/finder that didn't participate in the to encourage them along these lines may be quite useful 4 structuring but simply said, "Hey, I am going to put you 4 because, again, pre-offering publication of research I 5 5 guys together." And if that individual or maybe even haven't seen. And Wall Street is -- and we talked to the 6 6 entity was very limited in the scope of its work in a big banks. And they said, "Well, we are not going to 7 7 touch anything that we know FINRA doesn't like, despite year, perhaps it only took a certain dollar amount of 8 compensation, a couple of hundred thousand dollars, 8 the fact that Congress may." 9 perhaps only participated in 5 deals or 10 but a number 9 And I don't know how those things get 10 10 of deals and perhaps only facilitated the raising of a transferred to the bureaucracy, which I consider FINRA to 11 relatively low number, say \$10 million or 5, some number. 11 be. This is a very proactive organization here at the 12 MS. RUTKOWSKI: Okay. 12 SEC. FINRA in my experience is not. So anything that 13 MR. PAUL: So a specific recommendation is to 13 can be in the writing or changing these rules that will just say, "Look, if you don't make more than this much 14 encourage them or perhaps leave them out of it I would 14 15 dough doing this process, if you don't do more than X 15 encourage. 16 number of raises and if you don't raise more than this in 16 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks, John. 17 17 the aggregate, then you are in a safe place and you are Sara? 18 exempt and you don't need to be, you know, a registered 18 MS. HANKS: Yes. I just wanted to build on 19 rep." Does that answer? 19 something in point 4 of the recommendations. We say that 20 MS. RUTKOWSKI: That is the first one. Then 20 to begin to address this set of issues incrementally. I 21 21 the second one about deferring to the states, are you just want it to be very clear that this set of issues is 2.2 just thinking private offerings generally or, once again, 22 not just points 1, 2, and 3 but what we raise in here and 23 it would be just as long as they are subject to state 23 that there is a desperate, urgent need for clarification 24 regulation --24 as to broker-dealer status in the online investment 25 MR. PAUL: Yes. 25 platform community as well.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 52

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks. Thanks. So that certainly was the intent. So maybe we can expand that a little bit, Julie. And we can talk. Okay. John?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. HEMPILL: This is actually going back to something that D.J. was talking about earlier in the preamble in paragraph number 2. When I was reading it, the second sentence says, "How are less than 15 percent of regulation de offerings used financially in intermediaries?" I assume that is basically just statistical information, just taken from Form D's that are filed.

MR. GOMEZ: That is correct, John. That comes from the Form D filings.

MR. HEMPILL: So then the next sentence goes on and says, "This is due, in part, to lack of interest on registered broker-dealers," which is true for smaller offerings. I think there is like a sentence missing, though, after that because, I mean, what you have to say is that because of this lack of interest, people go out to unregistered broker-dealers; i.e., finders, and that this creates a problem because these people are not regulated and just go through the dangers that you point out in the last two points in the last sentence there. In other words, I just think there is a point that needs to be made that what we are dealing with here is a

1 MR. REESE: Greg, is that? 2 MR. YADLEY: Yes.

> MR. REESE: Okay. Because I think there are three things, then, right? You either use a registered broker-dealer, use an unregistered broker-dealer, use no broker-dealer.

MR. YADLEY: Tim is right. One of the things that -- I have been accused of being too polite. I think the thrust of these recommendation is not to do what we accuse Congress of doing with crowdfunding, which is making this very, very detailed and then making it difficult for the staff to do, as John says, use its creativity in coming up with answers. Our Advisory Committee has discussed lots of things, including what D.J. and Tim have said in terms of putting some meat on the bones of this. And we have lots of ideas that we are happy to share again in any way that would be helpful to the Commission.

But, on the specific point Tim raised, yes, you can raise capital without engaging a third party, but, even there, the ambiguities are legion. You hire somebody who can help do all of these things that finders do and negotiate and help you put together a business plan and set up meetings and things like that. But, even though they are an employee, if their compensation is

Page 51

Page 53

problem of there being unregulated broker-dealers that people rely on that caused them problems later on; for example, when we try to go public.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: And, you know I think that is one prong of the problem. And there are at least two prongs. The other is that people just simply don't go out and capital just simply is not raised. So let's expand the -- well, we will add some language to paragraph 2 in the preamble.

MR. REESE: Steve, is the paragraph really intended only for capital raise by an intermediary or is it for all capital raise, regardless of whether you use an intermediary or not?

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: We were just talking about the problem with intermediaries, right?

MR. REESE: Right. But when we say, "Ninety-five percent of private offerings rely on exempt," I just wanted to make sure that universe, we are only talking about 95 percent of a subset.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: No. That universe covers everything. It is my understanding that that is not a subset.

23

24 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So it is 95 percent rely and 25 run reg D.

MR. REESE: So it is the entire --

1 based on the money that comes through these 2 capital-raising efforts, they may be a broker. And the 3 SEC does have a sort of safe harbor on this, but it is 4 pretty unhelpful.

> So yes. The whole point is we don't want there to be questions that are so scary given the consequences of violation of the law and rescission of the transaction and people not getting paid their fees that, in fact, people say, "Well, we are just not going to go forward. You know, we don't understand it. Our lawyer is not giving us any help. There is no clarity." So we would like guidance, and it is the whole spectrum of unregistered offerings.

Joanne, I think in answer to your question, we are talking primarily about smaller offerings. I think we are talking primarily about companies that are not yet public. But, again, as we look at it and with the line between public companies and private companies blurring, there is plenty of room to address the issues, which are striking that balance between helping companies raise capital and not doing it in a way that creates enforcement issues and predators for investors.

22 23 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Greg. 24 Any other comments? 25 (No response.)

Page 54 Page 56 1 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So I think that we have only 1 (Whereupon, at 10:38 a.m., the Committee went 2 2 made one change. And that was with the lead-in in into administrative session and, at 1:34 p.m., returned 3 3 paragraph 2 in acknowledging that we are focused on the to open session as follows.) 4 situation involving being forced to enlist someone who is 4 AFTERNOON SESSION 5 5 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Welcome back. I hope unregistered or being in a situation where there is just 6 simply no help in capital formation. 6 everyone is not only satiated in terms of eating but that 7 7 MS. DAVIS: I think there was also -- didn't you are also awake. We are going to start this afternoon 8 8 Sara have a change in recommendation 4? by kind of marking the fact that this is the last meeting 9 9 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Oh. To make it clear what we of the Committee as currently constituted with some 10 10 mean by -- because that was clearly the intent, but yes. remarks that Chris wanted to make. And so I will turn it 11 We can clarify that, what the set of issues is. Okay. 11 over to Chris before we get to the balance of the 12 12 afternoon. 13 13 So, with those modifications, does someone want CO-CHAIR JACOBS: Thank you. 14 14 to move that we adopt the amended recommendation? Since we have wrapped up the formal agenda and 15 15 (No response.) our recommendations, I thought I would take just a moment 16 16 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Second? and to say that, as you have heard, this is our last 17 MEMBER: Second. 17 official meeting. And the Committee now has completed 18 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Any further discussion? 18 its tenure with today's session. As a Committee, we were 19 19 (No response.) charged with three tasks by the Commission. Number one 20 20 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: All of those in favor? was capital raising by emerging, privately held small 21 (Chorus of ayes.) 21 businesses and publicly traded companies with less than 22 22 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Opposed? 250 million in public market capitalization; second, 23 23 trading in securities of such companies; and, third, (No response.) 2.4 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Abstained? 24 public reporting and corporate governance requirements, 25 25 to which such business and companies are subject. (No response.) Page 55 Page 57 1 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: The recommendation is 1 To recap, the current iteration of this 2 2 Committee held its first meeting December 17th, 2014. In approved. 3 3 Well, thank you all for being extraordinarily less than one year's time, we have held five meetings: 4 efficient. I think, you know, what we could do is go 4 four of them in person, one telephonic. And we provided 5 5 ahead and, as Chris suggests, and begin the afternoon the Commission with five sets of recommendations. Those 6 6 recommendations encompass the codification 4(a)(1-1/2), 7 7 MR. GOMEZ: Steve, one suggestion. Since the accredited investor definition, the modernization of Rule 8 agenda had had the afternoon discussion specifically 8 147, finders issues, and public company disclosure 9 starting after lunch, I wonder if someone who might have 9 effectiveness. We listened to experts in the field for 10 10 been waiting to tune in in the afternoon may miss it. each of these recommendations, deliberated, discussed. 11 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. That is a valid point. 11 And at all times, we stayed consistent with the 12 MR. GOMEZ: So should we maybe consider us 12 Commission's three-pronged mission. 13 breaking at this point? That way we 13 My co-chair and I would like to thank you all CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: That is a valid point. Okay. 14 for your attendance, which has been incredibly stellar 14 15 Okay. Good idea, though. 15 given all of the logistics, your commitment to the 16 (Laughter.) 16 Committee's work and your time spent helping us reach 17 17 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So what we are going to do, consensus on these five timely and important topics. 18 To the SEC staff, we thank you again for your 18 then, is break early, but we want to first have a group 19 photo taken, as I understand it. Yes, yes. Your 19 tireless efforts on behalf in helping us with experts, 20 20 with meeting logistics, and with drafting these important intuition was right. 21 21 So logistics? recommendations. It has been an invaluable exercise. 2.2 MR. GOMEZ: So we will officially now and then 22 And thank you. 23 move to administrative session. 23 We would also like to thank Chair White, the 24 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. Okay. 24 commissioners, and Keith Higgins for their support and 25 MR. GOMEZ: Thank you. 25 their interest in this Committee's proceedings. Like

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 60

1 you, we feel that the open dialogue and the interaction 2 of groups such as ours provide insight. This Committee 3 is populated by business leaders who are in the trenches 4 every day. I am sure that I speak for all of us when I 5 say that we appreciate the Commission's wanting to hear 6 about these issues that impede capital formation for our 7 small and emerging companies. So thank you. We did a 8 great job. (Laughter.)

9 10 (Applause.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Chris. 12 ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION

ABOUT FREQUENCY OF ONGOING REPORTING 13

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: As we all know, disclosure effectiveness is a pretty hot topic. And there are subtopics within it that, well, you know, can come across as quite bold and worthy of more exploration. And one of these came more publicly into view last month when a named partner from Wachtell Lipton, a prominent law firm, as I think most of you know, suggested doing away with quarterly reports of public companies altogether. Eliminating the 10-Q is not a totally new idea. There has been an ongoing debate over the frequency of financial reporting. And many argue that too many executives make decisions based in short-term

the points of view of this Committee on this particular issue this afternoon. So, with that, I would like to throw it open for comment. D.J.?

MR. PAUL: Well, I certainly am cognizant and sympathetic, if not empathetic, to the concerns of companies that, particularly smaller companies and the ones that this Committee attempts to serve, the burdens of quarterly reporting. I am equally concerned about kind of the prevalence of short-term motivations when asked to report as a company on a quarterly basis. I mean, it is just natural that if you are going to be graded on a scorecard every three months or so, that you are going to perhaps -- and your stock price may reflect what it is that you put out there, that that could become the motivation, which is not as effective if one is trying to do something long-term.

I think that there is a distinction perhaps. And maybe we can split the baby a little bit here if we were going to make some sort of recommendation about it, which is not to get rid of quarterly reporting entirely but perhaps to abridge or eliminate the financial component of it, the financial forecasting, the thing that perhaps impacts both the motivation to run a business in more of a short-term stock price manner. But then maintain the thing that perhaps does need to be

Page 59

considerations, regardless of the impact in the long-run performance of their firms. And they say that the quarterly reporting exacerbates this problem.

The memo from Marty Lipton asserted that the SEC in pursuing its disclosure reform initiatives should consider the U.K.'s move toward discontinuing quarterly reporting altogether. Lipton noted that Legal and General Investment Management, a global investment firm with more than 700 billion pounds under management, contacted the boards of the 350 largest public companies on the London Stock Exchange, basically expressing their view that discontinuation of quarterly reporting was something that they would support.

Legal and General emphasized that short-term reporting is not necessarily conducive to building a sustainable business and adds little value for companies that are operating in long-term business cycles. Other commentators, of course, have been skeptical as to the benefits of eliminating quarterly reports. This whole subject clearly touches on some of the things that we have, you know, talked about over the years, you know, relating to figuring out a way, a sensible way, to reduce the disclosure burden incidence on smaller public companies. So we thought that it might be helpful to get

Page 61

maintained, which is the material disclosure or disclosure on a regular basis, quarterly basis, of the activities or perhaps the threats or whatever pitfalls, whatever it is that is going on in the business that an investor ought to be evaluating on a more present basis.

Certainly I personally don't advocate for doing this across the board, which is to say, you know, for all companies at all market capitalization levels. I think that it is really a burden more for smaller companies and lesser capitalized companies, but I would like to entertain some sort of modification perhaps that relieves the companies, both of the burden of doing the financial forecasting and all of them, you know, accounting work on a quarterly basis and also, perhaps in so doing, deemphasizes the short-term nature and the short-term perception that seems to accompany the quarterly reporting as it is now.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks. Sonia?

MS. LUNA: So, as it relates to the smaller and emerging companies, if we were to make a recommendation on reducing the frequency of the reporting, I have asked a few folks prior to the meeting just to kind of get some of their thoughts, CFOs and some board members, audit committee members in particular. And their question to me was, well, then how would investors really know what

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Page 64

1 is going on in the company?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And then I did my own kind of digging around and was curious, you know, if we, the Committee, needed more information, let's say, on what would happen if we had semiannual reporting versus quarterly. And then, just to play devil's advocate, I was thinking, well, part of the article that you provided, which was good information, again, to play devil's advocate, is, well, what is the value proposition we are gaining in long-term investments; right? So how do we know that long-term investments are better than short-term investments and just kind of clear the air that way? I don't know the data points on that.

In terms of the leg work for smaller reporting companies, the average size that we deal with have a financial reporting staff of, let's say, three, five. So this would give them bigger breathing room, not just from their day-to-day work, but it would allow them to actually give better, more meaningful reports, I think, to the Board. That was the feedback I got from them versus the check the box, I have got to do this for the quarter. They can actually think about strategic alliances, whether it is an M&A transaction or an acquisition or going into, you know, additional markets. So they would invest their time somewhere else, and that

reasonable minimum, although we do also invest in companies in the U.K. that are semiannual filers. But I do think quarterly filings, we have talked in the past about reducing the amount of disclosure that goes along with it and making it easier, but I like getting the financials every quarter.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Charles? MR. BALTIC: Well, I think it appropriate to sometimes visit basic principles in disclosure. I think this is one of those basic principles. I would note that it is not only market cap-specific but also industry-specific as to how important quarterly reporting is. I would note that a sector that I am involved with significantly in biotechnology, really emerging-growth companies, small cap companies, don't trade on their financial quarterly reporting. They trade on their fundamental clinical development events and regulatory events. Those follow their own cycle and aren't really captured on a quarterly basis. They are captured in 8-K's. So I do think there is an industry specificity around it.

I would also make note that we should be mindful that most capital-intensive companies probably trade most significantly on basic business developments like new products. That is also in technology as well as

Page 63

somewhere else is, you know, more financial strategic objectives that they want to achieve, but they feel that they are just in a constant grind trying to meet quarterly deadlines.

So I think in aggregate, the feedback that I have gotten from folks is that they would love to see some type of recommendation on reducing not only the volume but the frequency of, you know, reporting if there were some outlet. So an expanded 8-K might be needed in terms of significant or material items. That was an idea thrown out from some of the people that I have talked to.

I think the marketplace for small and emerging companies is very open to hearing recommendations of how to reduce the frequency and the quantity of filings.

15 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you.

MR. CHACE: I would agree that short-termism is a problem, but I think that the quarterly reporting cycle is an enabler of it. It is not the cause of it. I think you have companies. It is essentially a choice in terms of beyond the filings, it is a choice to provide guidance. It is a choice to even do a conference call. We do investing companies that don't even do calls. So I think the filing is kind of a fair requirement.

Quarterly information to me as an investor is a

Page 65

1 biotechnology, as opposed to incremental revenues or 2 earnings on a quarterly basis. So the sector that we are 3 most concerned about, which is small cap 4 capital-intensive companies, perhaps the most important 5 aspects of their disclosure is not quarterly financial 6 reports. I would just note that.

I would also say that we have a regime in place. And I think that it is important to have some kind of quantification if there were going to be serious consideration for recommendations to change a regime that is already in existence and very important to investors in industry alike. And I note that there is some reference to academic studies and data in the article that we were given. I think quantification of the cost of capital and how quarterly reporting might benefit the cost of capital and on the other side quantification to some extent about the burdens and maybe short-term versus long-term, as Sonia mentioned, would also be important to the Committee. So it is hard to judge without more understanding of what the real impacts might be.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you. David?

23 MR. BOCHNOWSKI: Just to follow up on Charles' 24 comment on industry-specific regulation, and I guess I 25 will be maybe touching upon the functional regulation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 68

issues that sometimes appear here in Washington. 1

2

7

- Speaking just about the banking industry, the quarterly
- 3 reports are very interesting that we have to file with
- 4 the SEC as a public company. And, yet, as a banking
- 5 company, there is a whole army of regulators because
- 6 banking is a privilege, not a right, that come into our
 - banks once a year. And we have to file something known
- 8 as a cull report, which we file every quarter. I sign
- 9 that under the penalties of perjury. It is a federal
- 10 crime for me to misrepresent anything that is there. And
- 11 everything that is on those quarterly reports is
- basically no different than anything that we file with 12
- 13 our Q except the Q has a narration factor to it.
- 14 There are outside organizations that keep an
- 15 eye. They do it for profit. They keep an eye on banks.
- 16 And they publish their own review and even rate banks
- 17 based upon those quarterly reports. Within, though, the
- 18 context of the industry itself, there are two things that
- 19 are really important. One is that banks fail for one of
- 20 two reasons. And I guess if we are worried about the
- 21 investors -- and we should be worried about the
- 22 investors, but we are also worried about the general
- 23 public -- they usually fail because bad loans have been
- 24 made or because we don't have liquidity. Everybody shows
 - up in one day and wants to take their money out of the

If I could just kind of continue on a couple of points? Commissioner Aguilar today talked about IT concerns. If Marty Gruenberg, who is the very capable chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, were here, he would say that the number one priority he has for all bankers, in addition to safety and soundness, is making sure that our systems are sound, our IT systems are sound.

And there is something that is known as an IT specialty exam. Examiners actually come in and look at whether or not our systems are up to the standards that the FDIC would like us to have. If you are not up to that standard, it can be very, very painful. So everybody tries to work to that end.

There is quite a bit of discussion in the public right now about stock repurchases and how those affect different companies and whether it is a good use of capital. I don't want to get into that, but I would point out that banking regulators have the authority to limit stock repurchases. They can just tell you not to do it. And they do that because they get your call report, and they see where you are positioned. And they sometimes wonder aloud as to whether or not it is a good idea to repurchase stock because if your capital goes down, it causes other issues.

Page 67

bank.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On all of these reports that, again, these outsiders do and, in fact, there are investment bankers who do the same thing just for informational purposes, not for profit, there is something called the Texas ratio. And everybody watches what is known as the Texas ratio. The Texas ratio is simply this. You can predict whether or not a bank is going to fail on its lending side because its nonperforming loans in dollar value exceed the amount of capital that it has. Whenever that approach is 100 percent, it is 99.9 percent accurate that that bank will fail.

And so all of these publications, whether they are done by the investment banking houses or by those who do it for profit, have what is known as a Texas ratio. You can find it for everybody. Also, when we file that quarterly report, within three days, it is up on the FDIC website. So that something that is really interesting for all banking companies is that, even though we have to file a 10-K if we are a public company at the end of the year, our audit work has to be completed by the 31st of January because on that day, we have to file the call report that covers the year. And, certainly, none of us wants to have a different report filed, between whether we file with the SEC and the FDIC.

Page 69

So I would just urge the Commission and this Committee to think about whether or not those call reports are a good substitute and are they redundant when it comes to the filing of a Q because anyone who needs to know our information sees it publicly.

In the case of my company -- I will just close with this -- we hit the trifecta. We are regulated by the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Reserve. Again, those are all publicly -- our results in terms of our quarterly information, I am prohibited by regulation from talking about exam reports, but the public reports that are on that call report are well-known.

And so I would hope that we would as we look at whether or not there are necessary forms that we need to file to keep investors aware of what we are doing. We should keep in mind that for a company our size -- and I am repeating myself, I know, here -- we spend between \$250,000 to \$300,000 a year complying with the SEC regulations.

I am not suggesting that we should not file the 10-K or the annual reports. I do think we don't need to file, as we do now, the extensive Q that we do. But where that comes out is this, is over 10 years, that

Page 72

could be \$2.5 million to \$3 million. If we are supposed
 to leverage our companies up, say, at a 10 percent rate,
 that is \$30 million of lending we can't do. That is \$30
 million potentially of job creation that we can't do.
 And I think when we look at that across the whole banking

industry, the question is whether or not the filing that we are required to do balances appropriately with the other things we could do with that capital.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, David.

MR. BOCHNOWSKI: Thank you all for your time and for listening. And so I will no longer be a whining banker.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: At least today.

14 (Laughter.)

2.4

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Others?

MR. WALSH: Could I make a comment there since I am a shareholder in David's bank? David is 100 percent correct. The banking industry is way overregulated. But that is another issue, not even for the SEC. There are state regulators, et cetera, that I think in the crisis, only one banking agency was eliminated. Right? It was the OCC. There was only one. OTS. Sorry. OTS. Sorry for the OCC.

But as a shareholder, I do like the quarterly reports. I don't like you have to spend \$200-\$300

little bit of merit. But you can just see a lot of scenarios where I think we are sort of overregulating the concept. The market comes back down again. You have 500 more companies now that are under 250. And then the markets go back up again.

I think just right now, the quarterly reports with the U.S. system, the transparency, the information disclosure on the whole is the best model for investors.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Tim?

MR. REESE: Yes. This is one in which I feel like my new role, being a state treasurer, gives me another perspective here. And that is, as my colleague Tim has just said, the transparency aspect of the reporting and the economic relationship between pensions — and we have a big pension issue in America. And a lot of pensions are mature pensions, CalPERS, Pennsylvania pension, PSERS, and SERS, and Illinois and New York. You could name them. They are vested in all kinds of cap: small cap, large cap, real estate, et cetera. And there is the issue of the actuarial trying to meet your mandate.

One of the key things when we get an adviser and you are trying to determine what to do in this very turbulent time, the stock market is not our friend right now to anyone with a pension.

Page 71

- thousand on it, but I do like the quarterly reports. You
- 2 know, when you hear people talk about, "We want to go to
- 3 the European model. You know, we do dividends once a
- 4 year. We do, you know, meetings once a year," instead of
- 5 quarterly reports, "I go back to the concept that the
- 7 I mean, there is no one even in the -- it is the Ferrari

8 relative to a lot of Pintos.

And as far as transparent, if it is not the most transparent, it is in the top 5 percent. So it goes back

I will just sort of close. The one way to think of it, if it is not broke, let's not try to fix it.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay.

MR. WALSH: And that is how I feel about it.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay.

17 MR. WALSH: It is not perfect, but it is pretty

18 darned close.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. When you say you like the quarterly reports, what do you have in mind? Is there room for scaling the disclosure without eliminating the report?

MR. WALSH: You know, I think some of the earlier comments were, you know, do you keep it under 250 or something along those lines, which I think has a

Page 73

And so information, quality of information, the ability to balance your portfolio, rebalance your portfolio, based on industry sector and getting insight and guidelines into what may be occurring in a company that is coming back up from our advisers is critical. And that information on a quarterly basis or whatever basis you may receive it will allow -- as a matter of fact, pension meetings are timed such that after reporting requirements happen so that the advisers could roll it back up and report it back to us so that we can also know where we are from a funded balance basis.

So the issue here is not just the company and the requirement of you to report. It is the fact that because of this process, other processes have been developed that rely on it and be able to meet their mandates and their portfolio-funded mandates based on that information.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Tim. Did I see Sara?

MS. HANKS: Just to build on that point of processes that have been built on the Q, quarterly system, there is a lot of companies who do not permit trading by insiders except during certain windows, which open upon filing of quarterly financials and then close maybe 10 days later. So one of the things that we should

Q's and we had only semiannual, you would be permitting

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reporting. It involves your board meetings. It involves

The other thing is we must not forget that it is smaller companies who are a little more volatile on a quarterly basis. And, therefore, the Q's do play a role in giving an early warning system to something that could

just bear in mind is to the extent we did away with the

during the year. There are solutions to that but just

those insiders only to trade during much narrow windows

be going on. Again, there may be other solutions, but

11 just we would need to bear those two things in mind. 12

something to bear in mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Sonia? MS. LUNA: Yes. I think our Committee needs to -- so I wouldn't want you to double disclose if you are already as a bank disclosing identical financial information that is in the Q. I think we need to look at industries trade in terms of what they are disclosing and if they are already duplicative.

The second is, you know, I heard a lot of rebalancing, you know, opportunity to trade on certain information. I think the Committee would need some data to prove that people are rebalancing their portfolio literally every quarter on small cap, our group, right? So if we had enough data to say, "You know what? These quarterly reports are so timely that a lot of folks that

1 2 meeting with the shareholders and updating them. I mean, 3 this started out at 20 percent and by the time I left was 4 at 60 percent of my time. You cannot argue with the 5 reasoning of this. 6

And then there is the expense, which for a small reporting company I think would be material if you are down to twice a year. And that would be the auditors and the lawyers who work with us to do these quarterly reports.

On the flip side, there is a part of me that says this will never have a chance of going anywhere because the PCAOB, the lawyers, and all of the people that benefit from our hardships are going to be lining up --

16 (Laughter.)

> CO-CHAIR JACOBS: -- to say, "No, no, no, no, no, no. Now, come on. We are here to help you. We are here to save you." But I think there is an awful lot lined up against this idea because there are whole industries set up to keep us on that quarterly."

Lastly is the issue of liquidity. This Committee has come forward, especially the prior Committee, talking about liquidity. We already know, us little, small public companies, do not have liquidity,

Page 75

do invest in this particular group are rebalancing their portfolio based on quarterly information." Then they think that is a stronger argument to say, "Yes. People are really acting on that information."

Some of the people I have talked to say that no one is really following them, that it is more of an annual process of looking at the entire portfolio of what they have. So I don't know how much of that is true because this is just, you know, people just verbally telling me from CFOs, but I think the Committee would need to get some type of data point on are people really rebalancing their portfolio investments in the smaller and emerging companies on a quarterly basis.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Chris?

CO-CHAIR JACOBS: Yes. I have got a couple of things, a couple of statements, obviously, from having done this for a year. First of all, D.J., forecasting is not a policy or a rule. And a lot of the smaller companies opt not to do that. Okay? So on the face of it, I would think that the CEOs and folks would have absolutely no doubt that the reasoning is sound on this proposal because the reality is you spend so much time on reporting as a sitting CEO and/or chairman of the board, it is onerous how much time is spent on these quarterly reports because, remember, it is not just quarterly

Page 77

Page 76

1 but think this through. Go up to 30,000 square feet with me, all the traders. Look at all of the people that make

3 money on the liquidity associated with quarterly

4 reporting. I think this is a tough mountain to climb

5 that, even on its face, is incredibly valuable. But

6 there is a lot against this because there is a lot of 7 people making money in the stock markets: the traders,

8 the analysts, and all of these people. CNBC would be

9

going berserk. What would they have to report on? Other

10 quarterly earnings.

> However, we can go back to some of the Committee's premises, which are, rather than one size fits all, how about we go back to our roots, which say, "Wait a minute. Small and emerging companies, market capital less than \$250 million. Rather than, you know, sit and argue the pluses and the minuses, go back to what we have already said?"

Here are a couple of facts. Publicly traded companies with \$250 million in market cap, 48 percent of the companies on the exchanges today have a market cap of less than. It sounds like a big number. Guess what. It is 5 percent of the average trading volume, not much. Less than 10 percent of the public flow of all exchanges have the population of 250 million in market cap. So, rather than one size fits all, let's stay away from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 80 which goes against a lot of constituencies, including a

absolutes and maybe think this isn't a bad opportunity to give the little guys. We already know they don't have liquidity. So it is not like you are stripping anything away from them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So I am coming from a little bit different angle in that what is stacked up against this proposal -and it happens to be a whole lot of money and fees and groups that I think would line up to go against this.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Chris. John?

MR. BORER: Just to make a point here, I assume that, even if the rules were changed, requiring less frequent reporting, to the extent that investors are going to put their money with companies that they are able to follow, track, predict, and Wall Street would be doing the same thing because a lot of analysts aren't going to know what to do for 11 months out of the year if they are not going to be adjusting their model and updating for the quarterly reports, e cetera.

I would assume that there would be a class of companies that would say, "Well, we don't have to report quarterly, but we are going to anyway because Dan wants it and Fidelity wants it and Wellington wants it and all these other people."

And those portfolio managers -- forget the

very important one, which is the investment community, the people who put the money to work, and then creates two different classes of companies out there, voluntarily perhaps, that decide to set up their own regime because then, by virtue of the people who don't do it, they are going to be penalized. The people who opt into once-a-year or twice-a-year reporting I think would

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, John.

MR. PAUL: Yes. I have a question. Somebody mentioned this at lunch and knew more about the history of it. Quarterly reporting was only required as of the '70s. Is that correct?

MR. HIGGINS: Nineteen seventy.

MR. PAUL: Right. Nineteen seventy, which seems, you know, going back -- Christine, if I could cut your reporting costs by a quarter, just 25 percent, would that be worthwhile and the time it takes and all of the onerousness of it, meaning we report now on a quarterly basis since 1970. Twelve months out of the year breaks down into six-month units and also breaks down into four-month units. What if quarterly became, you know, trimesterly, which is to say that reporting was every

Page 79

Page 81

1 accountants and the lawyers and those people who make 2 fees by doing all of these things for the companies --3 say, "We are not going to invest unless we get more 4 frequent information." And you may then create a set of 5 sort of voluntary disclosures from companies that tracks 6 very similar to what has been taking place now for 7 decades.

> And I don't know what taking away the -- there seem to be two different things here. One is it is costly, it is time-consuming, et cetera; whereas, Marty Lipton was saying, "The management teams are focusing on the very short-term, instead of the very long-term."

They didn't seem to be saying that this is causing pain for small companies, especially when Legal and General, who run \$750 billion, is saying, "We think people should focus long-term." And maybe a way to do that is to make it so that they don't report as often." I forget who said it. Maybe it was D.J. The shortsightedness is perhaps more the issue than the reporting causing the shortsightedness I think. I don't know if there is anything we can do about that because it seems like management is incentivized to do certain things they have bonus programs, as do other people in the world.

I would hate to see some change in the system,

1 four months, instead of every three? Certainly I would 2 think that that would not interfere with investors' 3 ability to have access to information. And, yet, it 4 would cut this -- this is, you know, obviously just a 5 simple solution or a simple proposal.

> But if three times a year, rather than four, that alone would -- you know would address some of the issues that we have discussed. I don't think it necessarily addressed the short-termism that we were talking about because that is still pretty short-term. But in terms of the cost basis and in terms of, you know, the balance to be structured so that the investors don't feel like they are losing money, something like that, while sort of -- I don't know -- not unprecedented since the U.K. is semiannual and we have been on quarterly since 1970, still, it might be an improvement. So that would just be something that I would put out there just as almost as --

CO-CHAIR JACOBS: I don't know that the accounting and the attorney fees would be material going from three to four. I think the time being able to spend on long-term would be greater. I mean, that would be a bit of a break, you know. And, remember, with small companies, you are not only reporting quarterly, but there is a watershed effect. Then you have got your

Page 84

board coming in. And it is like "All right. What are we going to do there? Who is going to present here?"; whether you forecast or you don't. So I think it could be a start.

But, you know, when I met with other public company CEOs, to Marty Lipton's point, I think the investing public would be shocked at how sitting CEOs of public companies are forced to think quarterly in what they announce and what they do strategically because "What will it do to my EPS?" And that is just the way it is. That is why I said, on the face, his point is so valid. And we know in some instances, it leads to fraud.

MR. PAUL: Do we think that would lead to less

MR. PAUL: Do we think that would lead to less fraud? If it were not only required on a quarterly basis and only on an annual, it gives a dubious CEO in 12 months to hide something.

CO-CHAIR JACOBS: I can't. I wouldn't know, right, because I haven't lived on that.

Let me ask one question of those folks familiar with the London Exchanges. Are they more liquid? Are they more efficient? I don't have a comparison, but I would certainly like one to understand if we are going to entertain this idea. What are the differences? Are they more efficient? What is their volatility index?

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Well, you know, I think this

problem that we need to deal with, which is liquidity, but there is also some chicken and egg aspect to it as well.

As Charles said when he made his comments, talked about like a capital-intensive small company. Well, the market is going to want that data. Having the data every quarter I think will make it easier. If you are going to need to raise money, then you have it. Getting some quantification of the cost would really be important as well as what the expectations are and how many voluntary quarterly filers would be if we got rid of it. And we may get some experience with that under new reg A and crowdfunding, which I don't think, you know, we will have quarterly requirements. So if people do it because they are always raising money, so they always need to have financials or their investors or analysts want it, then we will see.

In particular, it would be very nice to investigate and if reconstituted Committee looks at this get some information from accountants about what costs would be less because I will say this for smaller companies, 10-Q's, we don't review them for most of our public companies. They don't send them to us. They call us if they have a question. If we bid on something for a smaller public company and they say, "Well, okay. How

Page 83

Page 85

might go back to -- I think Charles mentioned this and maybe some others as well and that is, you know, the need to quantify the cost, quantify the burden, and just kind of, you know, somehow measure the effect of quarterly reporting.

I also think it is important. And people can have a different view, but I think it is important for purposes of this Committee, notwithstanding the fact that, you know, I have represented a lot of public companies over the years. There is kind of this short-termism issue that you are always trying to guide management and boards away from and to have more focus in actually building the business long-term. That is an issue. I am not sure if it is the issue for this Committee. I think that the way this all relates to our workup, I think, is — I think in terms of, you know, what disclosure really is appropriate for smaller public companies and to what extent does this current system impose a burden and weighing that, you know, undertaking the appropriate cost-benefit analysis with that in mind.

Greg?
MR. YADLEY: Yes. I agree with just about everything that has been said, but when you put it all together, I don't think that there is a clear answer. I think, Chris, the statistics that you shared bring up the

much is it going to cost to review our proxy statement, our K and our Q's?"; you know, the Q's is a couple of hours max unless there is an issue. If there is an issue, they are going to be calling their lawyer anyway.

In terms of who needs it, I am glad Sara brought up the point about affiliate trading, not that we want to, you know, make that easier or harder, but it is pretty hard for a company with very little liquidity where an insider wants to trade now because there is very little market. And your windows, particularly, you know, between the end of the year and the first quarter are so short that they really have no time to trade.

Boards want information quarterly, I think. I know it is a pain to have the board in every quarter, but I think now there is pretty much a tradition of having quarterly board meetings. And companies are pretty active. Maybe this was the fault of lawyers, but lawyers certainly counsel their directors every day that the only way to be a bad director is not to pay attention. And you have a diverse independent board. Getting together semiannually for a company that is active, even if it is small, I think would be a bad idea.

In terms of what we do with 10-Q's, we definitely should look at this in that context. And one of the most important part of the Q's, of course, is

Page 88

- 1 MD&A. That is something where I think investors would
- 2 like and the SEC certainly promotes more meaningful MD&A.
- 3 It may not get shorter, as I think Keith said in his
- 4 paper, whenever it was, a year and a half ago, with -- I
- 5 can't remember whether it was the securities
- 6 simplification or whatever it was called, but the point
- 7 is we want to eliminate boilerplate disclosure,
- 8 duplicative disclosure, disclosure that is not helpful to
- 9 investors. Unfortunately, I think financial information
- is important to investors except in those cases that
- 11 maybe some of the sectors that Charles alluded to, you
- 12 know, where there is liquidity, it is not based on, you
- know, their profit and loss statement because they don't
- have any profits and they may not even have any revenues.

I guess I think it is worth looking at, but I am not sure that unless we find that it will really decrease costs, particularly auditor costs, that this would be my first priority of a change to make.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Greg. Charles?

MR. BALTIC: Just I wanted to follow up with maybe three points. And I think, Steve, you made the point that short-term versus long-term really cuts across all market caps and in that sense is broader that the specific focus of the Committee. So I think that is

quantification of the burdens of reporting, but if there is any way to get hands around what it does to the cost of capital, I think that is important as well.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Right. Milton?

MR. CHANG: I think, based on all that we have heard, the logical decision may, in fact, be to leave alone to be quarterly, but every other one does not require auditing to reduce costs. So you satisfy reducing costs. You satisfy investor information. But there are two other benefits of doing that. One is that I think the companies' investors are going to be really more likely to rely on analysts' analyses of the company as a result because then they are likely to take deeper into the company and write meaningful reports that you couldn't get any other way.

And then the other reason is that if we leave it alone, then in some sense, we can take the world economy out of the equation to compare our financial markets with that of the British, right, because both countries see the same world economy. And, yet, in terms of the liquidity, et cetera, all of the measures we are worrying about, we can see how they relatively work, relative to the British system.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks, Milton. Any other thoughts? Oh, yes, Keith?

Page 87

worth noting.

2.4

2.2

I do have some concerns about unintended consequences if we would go down in the direction of a different level of reporting for small cap companies in terms of the frequency because it could be an unintended consequence that with less frequent reporting for small caps, you might call into question the investors' confidence in those companies and create the perception or the reality of a two-tiered market.

I mentioned earlier that smaller caps tend to be probably more capital-intensive. So they actually need more access to the capital markets. And confidence might be more important to them. And, actually, confidence is more important in bad markets than good markets. So I just make the point that unintended consequences about a two-tiered reporting system based on the frequency of reports would be something we should be very mindful of.

And then on the data quantification point, just to reiterate, — I know we have all echoed that. You know, one of the notes in this article was that there is some academic literature that more frequent reporting actually reduces the cost of equity because it increases the confidence of investors and shareholders. And so, you know, I think not only let's look at the

Page 89

MR. HIGGINS: I just want to raise the question, should it be different whether you are raising capital or whether you are just reporting on a quarterly basis? Should there be different rules on, you know, if you have raised all the capital you need and you are simply a public company that has a public float? Should the rules be different than when you are out trying to raise money from investors? I raise that as a question.

MR. CHACE: I mean, I would argue not because you are an owner of the stock. In one case, you are deciding whether to own it. In the other, you own it. You still deserve that information on a regular basis in my opinion.

MR. BALTIC: Just along those lines, it might be interesting to think about companies have access to shelf registration statements goes along with capital need and capital intensity. And it could be that a two-tiered system might involve around the access/utility of shelf registration statements. For companies that don't feel like they need access to capital markets and don't make use of a shelf, perhaps they could have a different regime. It is an interesting —

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: So how would that -- I mean, that drive is kind of the disclosure triggers a bit.

That just kind of brings into play the system that

Page 92

already exists in that regard. You know, when do you have a disclosure obligation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HIGGINS: You always do when you are selling securities.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yes, you do. Right. So that pretty much answers that.

MR. YADLEY: Yes, but you don't always know when you are going to need to, which is one of -- back when we were working on easing the S-3 rules for smaller companies, I mean, part of that was, you know, to make it easier for incorporation by reference so that when we need capital, it will be quicker.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: And so there is nothing to incorporate by reference, then.

MR. YADLEY: And so, I mean, I guess I am agreeing with Dan that it is probably better to have the information there because investors are entitled, but it will also be there when you need it.

MR. HIGGINS: One question I have, Dan, for the companies in the U.K. that report every six months, what do you do differently and what do your folks do differently with those companies in sort of following the investment?

MR. CHACE: A lot of those companies do put out interim data, whether it be revenues on a quarterly basis

1 not to go forward."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, and you still have 8-K or 8-K-type disclosures for new reg A. You know, not that this is a great reason for having more frequent disclosure, but the flip side of that is that there are going to be more circumstances where you are going to have to think about what you have to do and what is an 8-K event now when you haven't said anything and what you said 6 months ago, you know, is starting to get stale.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. MR. REESE: Yes. I just wanted to respond, Keith, in your question because it is an open question. And what I kind of want to ask you is I wasn't sure if it was either/or or the amount of data, one amount of data, versus another. You know, yes, I don't. I just think that in recent -- my last deal we did, which was a small cap refinance deal, we went in. We did a PIPE. Then there were a lot of other -- they took out the PIPE. Then they added another line of credit. There is so much going on in small cap companies in terms of raising capital, recapping that has to be reported because it has huge effects on the shares, the dilution of the shares. You see a lot of volatility in the shares as a result of those along with hitting their -- you know, even if they don't give a projection. The other companies that I know

Page 91

Page 93

or even margins or EBITDA. It varies. I am not totally clear on what they are required to do versus what they choose to do, but you follow them with less information is essentially the answer. You know, it is what it is.

We haven't talked about it, but it does -- I am not clear in the U.K. how it complicates the quiet period and how you can have conversations with the companies because in the U.S. on a quarterly basis, typically most companies will not talk to investors for a given period prior to the earnings release. You could think of how that would complicate that. And I think the article mentions that, but you essentially just have less data.

MR. YADLEY: Another thing, I hate to quote Keith because I have already said I am nice, but in that same article, you noted that the process built around reporting that we have, internal controls, certifications, just the discipline of producing those painful reports does add a layer of protection to the information being valid.

You know, companies just putting out a press release saying, "Hey, our revenues" -- I have represented some real estate companies where they always wanted to put out a press release because they have signed a contract to do this or that. I said, "Well, okay. But then you have to do another press release when you decide didn't give guidance. And what the analyst kind of comes up with, what they expect the company to do based on that 10-Q or 8-Q filing, they don't hit that. And then, you know -- so there is just a lot.

I mean, I think what makes America great is the transparency inside of our financial markets so that you do have something to hold up against the CEO. I do understand from Chris' standpoint having watched that CEO and knowing the CEO that I know who has to -- he is focused on the long-term vision of the company, has a vision, which made the initial investors buy in. But relatively on a quarterly basis, he is trying to prove that he is hitting that vision. And this is sort of the balance of the argument I am hearing, is how much runway do you need to know that you are hitting your vision? Do you want to have no reporting for a year and then say, "Oh, you know what? I didn't quite hit it. And we are almost there. But give me another year, and I will give you more data" or is it on a quarterly basis, we can measure, how are you trying to produce your results against your stated vision or the vision which brought in the initial investors, like Dan, and giving us the ability to know how we are going to manage our portfolio? I don't think it is repositioning your stock, but it is more so positioning your investment theses because you

Page 94 Page 96 1 have a portfolio and you have certain things that have to 1 more orphan companies out there or can we come up with 2 2 be met. And understanding where you are in any given something there? 3 3 basis from an individual investment standpoint allows you I think that the presentations that we have 4 4 to roll that data back up into a bigger picture. gotten from the SEC staff, not just CorpFin but DERA and 5 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Any other thoughts on 5 the other presentations, have helped us a lot. As Sonia 6 this particular subject? 6 says, I mean, we all have good ideas and we all have 7 (No response.) 7 contacts that we talk to in preparation for a meeting, 8 WRAP-UP/ADJOURNMENT 8 but it is anecdotal. So having some real true 9 9 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you for that. I information -- and it is great when our members or 10 10 observers like you show up and let us take shots at you, thought that was a good discussion. We have got a few 11 more minutes before we let you go and adjourn. Since 11 which we did. 12 this is our last meeting, as currently constituted, I 12 But, beyond that, I think seeing how 13 13 just wanted to go around the room and take any last eliminating the prohibition on general solicitation will 14 14 comments that the folks might have in terms of maybe work is going to take another year or two. And so there 15 15 topics this Committee should pick up in its reconstituted will probably be issues that would be worthy of the 16 form or just anything else you might want to say. 16 Committee's review and suggestions for tweaking that new 17 So I will start with you, Mr. Pieciak. 17 regulation. 18 MR. PIECIAK: I was hoping you were going to go 18 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks, Greg. 19 19 to me last, not first. MR. WALSH: Well, this is actually my second 2.0 20 (Laughter.) MR. PIECIAK: Had to put the dollar in the jar 21 21 stint on the Committee. When I was asked three or four 22 or something. 22 years to join the Committee, I was actually the CEO of a 23 Well, you know, I mean, I don't necessarily --23 large East Coast state pension fund. And when I was 2.4 I was asked this at lunch, and I couldn't recall anything 24 asked by a group here in D.C. to be considered to join 25 the Committee, they said, "There is another large 25 that, you know, we hadn't hit I thought that were sort of Page 95 Page 97 1 important items for capital formation among small 1 Southern pension fund that is going to be on there with 2 businesses and I guess emerging-growth companies as well, 2 you." 3 3 you know, from my perspective, but in the work that I I said, "Okay. Well, that sounds good. I will 4 have been most engaged on, state-based crowdfunding, I 4 do my pro bono and help the institutional investors." 5 5 really applaud this Committee for taking the time to When I got here three or four years ago, the 6 6 listen to me present and then also to issue the other group didn't show up. So I was the only large 7 7 recommendation today that I thought was right on in terms institutional pension fund left. So I said, "I had 8 of how we need to progress. So I just wanted to say, you 8 better stick it out" because at the time, I wanted to 9 know, thank you and I appreciate the time. 9 make sure that the SEC and the Committee didn't do 10 10 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. anything crazy. Those were my terms at the time. 11 Greg? 11 And so three and a half, four years later, I do 12 MR. YADLEY: I was hoping to go second to last. 12 have to say that I have been very impressed by the SEC 13 13 staff. I thought they have been excellent to work with. MR. YADLEY: I, too, appreciate being part of 14 14 But I want to give most of my applause to the two 15 the Committee and having the wisdom of my colleagues. 15 co-chairs, Steve and Chris. I think they just did an

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 And thank you, Stephen and Chris, for your leadership. 17 The last discussion that we just had I think 18 points up an issue that we have been struggling with all 19 along, which is now that you are public, what do you get 20 from it, you know. And liquidity is what is the greatest 21 thing about owning a public stock. So our discussions 2.2 about secondary trading ventures and how all of that 23 works I think would be something for the Committee to 24 consider to consider, particularly when we will have new

reg A and crowdfunded securities. You know, will we have

25

exceptional job of being nonpolitical, staying on focus. And, Steve, especially, you run a great meeting, by the CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thanks. MR. WALSH: You should be in the Senate or something. (Laughter.) CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Tim? MR. REESE: Wow. I have to follow my three colleagues here? This is tough. I would want to say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 100

1 that I think that the issues that we dealt with over the 2 last year have been some of the most critical issues that 3 I have seen in my life, in my 20 years as an investor and 4 in understanding some of the issues that are facing small 5 business, small business formation, some of the obstacles 6 right now as we look at how do we as a country continue 7 excellence in the 21st Century for job creation, small 8 business development. And so that is one part. 9

The second part has been, as Tim said, working with the staff at the SEC. There are times I have made calls back in on things. And it was immediately answered by Julie and Sebastian. They got on the phone.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so, Keith, I just want you to know, and Michael, the organization is to be commended for their responsiveness to us, not just that we are names. We are not just names to fill out on an advisory board. So, even when I am going through my process to be confirmed with the governor, one of the things that I asked for is that I could remain on this Commission, south you guys' approval. And I was just happy. I am happy to be here because it gives me insight that I think that can help in the Commonwealth of PA.

My last two comments would be I echo. We had lunch, but I think Steve and Chris. There is no ego. I mean, it is amazing for, as accomplished as you two are, despite the fact we have done some great work, I really have liked a lot of the recommendations, I have learned a lot, there is more to do, you know. I won't go through a litany of it, but one that sticks out in my mind that caused me a lot of personal and perhaps philosophical concern is the role of FINRA in capital formation for smaller companies and what FINRA is doing to the smaller broker-dealers that are really the only ones who serve the small and emerging companies that we are here to serve. I think FINRA has perhaps overgrown its position. It seems to be in need of reform.

As an entity that has quasi-government powers with no government oversight or none of the equivalent oversights, to have that authority without having that level of responsibility is something that I think is dangerous. And I think it is something that is dangerous to the small and emerging companies that we are here to advocate on behalf of. So that would be the one thing.

I really just don't want to leave with a negative. I really have enjoyed my time here. And I have enjoyed, particularly, arguing with people because I get smarter when I argue with people who disagree with me. And I don't get any smarter if I am just talking to people who agree with me. So I have gotten a lot smarter, and I appreciate that.

Page 99

that you really just kind of nestle right in and go, "Let's go get it." And I think that has been commendable to allow all of our voices to be heard. I mean, this is a body. It is a body.

And I think my last comment would be as a group, that it has been absolutely great to meet all of you and to work with you and to give me different insights and perspectives in things that I personally had never had. So I just wanted to make those my final comments.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, Tim. D.J.?

MR. PAUL: I am still surprised that I am sitting here, you know. So I echo everybody's comments in terms of appreciating the environment that has been created by the chairs, the commissioner and the chairs, you know, for the desire to include me in the first place. And, of course, I appreciate being able to take shots at Michael and his graciousness with respect to

In terms of future topics that I would like to see this body address, I think you guys probably already know what they are since I sent an email out about what I would like on the agenda. And, without getting into all of them, I think that there remain a lot of good --

Page 101

1 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you. 2 MS. LUNA: And I echo what has been said so 3 far, especially in regards to the SEC staff. You guys 4 have been phenomenal. Sebastian and Julie, I think you 5 do a great job.

> In terms of this Committee, I really appreciate the opportunity to serve my country. That is how I view this, as a great way to kind of give back.

In relation to the question of topics, a couple of things come to my mind. One is -- well, the FINRA issue is something that I want to echo, but two other issues. Cyber security, I guess I am lacking the impact to the small and emerging companies. I know there is a disclosure requirement, but, you know, what is the impact? Should we revisit that? Should it be adjusted for this particular group of companies?

And then something that is closer to heart is kind of the PCAOB's -- I don't want to say the rulemaking process but just the PCAOB's impact on the smaller and emerging companies when it comes to the external audit. I am not sure quite if it is in alignment to this group. And maybe it is not. I think the marketplace is feeling pretty hammered when it comes to certain inspections. And the audit just keeps getting harder and harder and harder to achieve the goal of what the PCAOB deems to be

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 104

a very appropriate audit. So, again, I am not sure it is this Committee that needs to address it but something that some folks have told me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I want to thank you for leading such a great team here, Stephen and Chris. I really appreciate the opportunity of being here as part of this Committee. Thank you.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HEMPILL: Yes. I just want to echo everything that everyone else has said as well. I could tell you it has been a real honor to be on this Committee. I was kind of stunned when I first got the phone call from Sebastian. I said, "Why me?" I am still trying to figure that out, but it has been a real great learning experience for me. I have learned so much. And, you know, being 58, I didn't think I had that much more to learn, but every meeting here I just learned something new and something fascinating.

The people on the Commission's staff, you are just wonderful. You are great. And I really appreciate not just stuff that you have helped me to do and learn here, but just all of the information that you have given to us I think has really been great.

Stephen and Chris, I really think that you guys -- I forgot who said it, but you run a great

1 started practicing in the '80s, there were a whole slew

- of your D.H. Blairs, your Whale Securities. And, you
- 3 know, not all the companies they brought out were great,
- 4 but they certainly served a purpose. And they are gone.
- 5 Why is that? And how can we bring them back? And how
- 6 can we revitalize that, that marketplace? I think that
- 7 that is kind of the important feeder ground that is
- 8 perhaps missing in the IPO market right now.

And, again, thank you so much.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you, John.

MS. HANKS: Well, I would like to echo thanks to the staff, to the commissioners, to the co-chairs, and to all of the Committee members. I know I have learned a lot as well.

One thing that I would like to see this Committee do on a going-forward basis is maybe work a little closer with the other committees. There is a tendency for this Committee to be referred to as a counterbalance or a counterweight to the Investor Protection Committee as if it were antithetical to have investor protection and capital formation. They are absolutely linked together. You can't protect the investors unless you help the companies they are invested

24 in thrive. So we all have all of the same objectives. 25

And I would like to see those, the two committees, work

Page 103

meeting. You know, things are done really, really well.

2 We achieve stuff. We get recommendations out. I think

3 that is really important and also the fact that the

4 commissioners are here and that they are really, really

5 plugged in and they really listen to what we are saying.

I really appreciate everyone coming and spending time with us.

As far as topics to be addressed in the future, you know, I think the one thing that still puzzles me is why 506(c) has not been more utilized. I think that, really -- you know, I don't know if that is an immediate thing or we need more time to look at it, but I think it is important to look at it because it has not clearly succeeded as well as people have thought that it was going to.

Certainly when I saw the proposal out there, I said, "This is great." And I thought it was going to be widely used, and it hasn't been. So I think that that is something that should be looked at. And perhaps the rule needs to be tweaked some or perhaps there needs to be more clarity on what you need to do in order to verify that your investors are accredited.

The other thing I think that needs to be looked at is why we don't have any more of -- I won't call them bucket shops but the small broker-dealers. When I first Page 105

1 more closely together, maybe on just some topics but 2 would be great.

> MR. CHANG: It is my honor and privilege to serve on this Committee and echo the same about the staff and the commissioners and the leaders of this Committee and all of the members.

When a company needs some seed capital, I think the crowdfunding really addresses that and then the next level, which I think actually has a more significant economic impact of the company size that -- at a startup company at a stage where they need \$50 million to grow. And that part is all a peripheral address but not really

One of the things that I noted is that 20-30 years ago, a lot of the funding at that stage especially, the funding comes from public companies. But due to the rule change where they cannot own more than 20 percent and also they cannot really have pre-agreement in terms of when you acquire, that became a hindrance in terms of these public company-investing startup companies. So that could be a very important source of capital formation that we need to address, I feel.

Thank you.

MR. CHACE: Likewise, thanks to the co-chairs and the commissioners and the staff and everybody else.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 108

It has been fun to be on this both times, and I have really enjoyed participating.

You know, I kind of echo what John was saying in terms of next steps because if you look at the number of IPOs this year, they are actually down from last. You know, I think the work that we especially did in the first version of this was really helpful. But I think there is more to be done. And I think a broader look at the EUCO system -- you mentioned the smaller broker-dealers. They really did power a lot of that IPO formation in the '90s and prior. I think to the extent that that falls within the SEC purview, it is worth a broader look at that ecosystem, not just the broker-dealers but also the mutual fund structure, other investors, and just how that has contributed to a still kind of lackluster IPO market.

CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay.

MR. BORER: I appreciate everybody's comment. I think we have all done a lot of hard work. And having been to every one of these meetings since I was appointed, I guess, four years ago, it is the West Coast style and the Southern charm that make it work.

(Laughter.)

MR. BORER: Absolutely, that plays very, very well. Whoever put that first combination together got it CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: David?

MR. BOCHNOWSKI: You know, I didn't get to go first, but by the time you get towards the end, everything has already been said. So, again, my appreciation to the fellow members and ladies of the Committee. It has been great to have been on both iterations. I hope that the public, certainly I know I, appreciate -- and I think all of the members do. The fact that the commissioners actually come to these meetings is pretty significant. And certainly the staff support has been fantastic. I can't say enough about our chairs. You guys have done a great job.

As to the future, I think we have to remember that our task is small and emerging companies. On the legislative side, Legislative Branch of the government, I think there is a great deal of discussion now about scaling the regulation. And it probably to most folks doesn't make sense that the small cap and the micro cap companies have to follow the same rules as the most broadly held companies. That is what we really have to address.

There was a suggestion. Great discussion we had earlier. Obviously lots of different points of view, but I think Chris gave us pretty good insight in that we need to have some statistical base. We don't know how

Page 107

Page 109

1 right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A couple of things. One, obviously, the small broker-dealer community is what I am advocating for her. I was a little bit surprised when I was first appointed last session that I was the only broker-dealer represented here. I am very happy now to have Charles carrying the other half of this burden, but I think that is an area where the ecosystem has been destroyed for the small firms. And I was telling somebody earlier I wouldn't encourage anybody to go into this business. I discourage all of my children's friends from going into the business. So if we can do something, yes, that is

A couple of old topics for me. One is forward incorporation for small companies. Either through S-1 or expanding the use of S-3 I think would be very, very, very helpful. I know there was a presentation that I was scheduled to give at the last meeting of the last session or last term of this Committee, which, by the way, I still have. (Laughter.)

MR. BORER: It is in the record somewhere because it was distributed and put onto the web. I think that is an area that the Committee could spend some time on and be very helpful with recommendations.

many small cap companies don't ever get formed because they don't want to fight the fight. And we don't know how many leave the system because they don't want to fight the fight.

And so I think that somewhere we have to think about the balance that we need in the 21st Century so that the job formation and job creation that has happened at the smaller companies that have blossomed into larger companies is something that can be continued.

MR. BALTIC: Every time I think about the issues that we address as a Committee, I try to start from the premise that we have the deepest and most liquid and most transparent capital markets in the world. And I think that is a tribute to the Commission and the enforcement of securities regulations. So I think we start from a position of privilege when we address these issues as a body.

In terms of things that I think would be helpful to focus on going forward, I know it is a difficult topic perhaps, but, you know, I think industry-based disclosure and regulation is important to keep in mind because they are such vastly different frameworks for companies if they are in biotech or social media versus mining or banking. And I just think that is a fundamental lens to try and bring to some of the

Page 110 Page 112 1 So my recommendation is actually not a 1 problems we address. 2 And then I will echo things that John and Dan 2 recommendation. It is a set of questions that I think we 3 3 and John all said about the ecosystem for public company might have some insight on once we get to. 4 4 formation. We are still well below levels of public CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Okay. Well, thank you, 5 company formation that we had in the '80s. And I think 5 Chris. And I would just like to say thank you, all. As 6 we could ask whether that is a secular trend or that is a 6 far as I am concerned, it has been a pleasure and a 7 7 function of the costs of being a public company or maybe privilege to help facilitate, you know, what we do here. 8 internationalization of markets and international 8 And I think that you folks have proven to be a great 9 9 competition for companies. But I will note that we have team. And I have enjoyed my tenure. 10 10 had articles in the Wall Street Journal about unicorns in We said that we would get you out of here with 11 the technology industry, which are private companies that 11 enough time to make planes and trains, which is before 12 12 3:00. We have got four minutes. have achieved billion-dollar-plus valuations in 13 technology that don't seem to choose or have to choose to 13 MEMBER: Yet again. 14 go public anymore. So I think we need to think about 14 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Yet again. Okay. So I guess 15 15 we are adjourned. Thank you. that whole ecosystem and how to make it better and 16 richer. 16 (Whereupon, at 2:57 p.m., the meeting was 17 17 And then, finally, I would just say that I adjourned.) 18 would like to thank Chair White and the commissioners and 18 19 19 the staff and our chairs of the Committee for chartering 20 and enabling this excellent body and my colleagues. It 20 21 has been a pleasure and a privilege to be a part of it. 21 22 Thank you. 2.2 23 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: Thank you. 23 2.4 Chris, we heard your remarks earlier. 2.4 25 CO-CHAIR JACOBS: I am not repeating them. 25 Page 111 Page 113 1 (Laughter.) 1 PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE 2 CO-CHAIR GRAHAM: I know. I know. 2 3 In The Matter of: MEETING OF SEC ADVISORY COMMITTEE CO-CHAIR JACOBS: Thank you all for the kind 3 4 statements. I guess where I would be coming from on next 4 ON SMALL AND EMERGING COMPANIES 5 steps is asking the first question, did what we did here 5 File Number: OS-0923 6 matter? I think it did, but I want to continue to know 6 Wednesday, September 23, 2015 7 that what we have done in this iteration and the prior 7 Location: Washington, D.C. 8 iteration of the Committee matters. 8 9 Where I would start with next steps would be to 9 This is to certify that I, Donna S. Raya, 10 take things like tick size. Tick size meant a lot to 10 (the undersigned), do hereby swear and affirm that the 11 this Committee because of the liquidity associated with 11 attached proceedings before the U.S. Securities and 12 existing small companies and the disclosure, which, 12 Exchange Commission were held according to the record and 13 again, we have done a second recommendation on that, 13 that this is the original, complete, true and accurate 14 which I am thrilled about. And I don't think there is 14 transcript that has been compared to the reporting or 15 anything to report. We just finished the recommendation 15 recording accomplished at the hearing. 16 this morning. But I would go to the JOBS Act. 16 17 I have got a burning set of questions that 17 18 several of you brought up, like "Where are we? Did the 18 (Proofreader's Name) 19 JOBS Act help with capital formation? Where are we on 19 20 the IPOs?" In that report, we may find some pearls. 20 21 There are some stumbling blocks. There are some pitfalls 21 22 in the JOBS Act that we as a committee could meaningfully 22 23 put forward recommendations that would help tweak that 23 24 JOBS Act or tweak some implementation aspect of the JOBS 24 25 Act to see where we are. 25

	Page 114
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, Kevin Carr reporter, hereby certify that the
3	foregoing transcript of 112 pages is a complete, true and
4	accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on
5	September 23, 2015, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of:
6	MEETING OF SEC ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON SMALL AND EMERGING
7	COMPANIES.
8	
9	I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by
10	me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared
11	under my direction.
12	
13	
14	Date:
15	Official Reporter:
16	Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
17	Diversified Reporting Services, Inc.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	achieved 110:12	adjourn 94:11	agency 11:13	amount 40:8
a.m 1:15 56:1	acknowledge	adjourned	70:21	46:23 47:7
ABA 45:1	5:10	112:15,17	agenda 9:10,22	64:4 67:10
Abero 3:5 25:3	acknowledging	adjusted 101:15	12:16 13:18	92:14,14
ability 48:16,17	54:3	adjusting 78:18	15:11 20:18	amounts 40:7
49:1 73:2 81:3	acquire 105:19	administering	55:8 56:14	analyses 88:12
93:23	acquisition	19:10	99:24	analysis 83:20
able 5:7 21:18	62:24	Administration	aggregate 47:17	analyst 93:1
23:20 30:22	Act 4:10 10:4,8	23:21	63:5	analysts 77:8
73:15 78:15	18:23 19:7	administrative	ago 6:1 27:6	78:16 84:16
81:21 99:18	26:24 30:3,3	55:23 56:2	31:2 41:14	analysts' 88:12
abridge 60:21	31:2 48:7	administrator	45:2 86:4 92:8	and/or 28:22,24
absolutely 6:8	111:16,19,22	29:8	97:5 105:15	75:23
75:21 99:6	111:24,25	adopt 22:12	106:21	anecdotal 96:8
104:22 106:24	acting 75:4	25:23 29:18	agree 13:6 15:16	anemic 19:12
absolutes 78:1	action 42:16	54:14	40:24 42:12	angle 78:6
Abstained 54:24	actions 44:11	adopted 27:5	63:17 83:22	announce 9:14
Abstentions	active 85:17,21	28:14,16 31:2	100:24	82:9
26:13 36:6	actively 10:3	33:15,16 45:16	agreeing 90:16	announced 9:16
academic 65:13	19:18	adopting 28:11	agreement 7:4	annual 69:23
87:22	activities 44:7	adoption 25:25	Aguilar 2:5 8:11	75:7 82:15
academics 7:21	45:11,14 46:13	advance 11:6	11:20 12:1,9	answer 31:8
access 23:17	48:14 61:3	20:7	13:3,10,15	38:10 43:15
81:3 87:12	activity 41:8	advancing 18:22	15:6 68:2	47:19 53:14
89:15,20	42:1 44:14	20:24	ahead 35:10,12	83:24 91:4
access/utility	actuarial 72:20	advantage 5:15	55:5	answered 98:11
89:18	add 24:19 43:16	advice 9:3 15:21	air 62:12	answers 52:13
accommodati	44:20 51:8	adviser 72:22	alignment	90:6
25:20	91:18	advisers 13:25	101:21	anticipate 10:10
accompanied	added 92:19	73:5,9	alike 65:12	antithetical
13:7	addition 32:24	advisory 1:6 2:9	alliances 62:23	104:20
	68:6	5:5 12:2 13:20		anybody 24:17
accompany 61:16	additional 8:7	22:12 30:1	73:7 99:3	39:2 41:11
accomplish 8:4	12:13 62:24	38:12 52:13	allows 94:3	107:10
13:16	address 18:2	98:16 113:3	alluded 86:11	anymore 110:14
accomplished	29:6 42:9	114:6	aloud 68:23	anyway 78:22
98:25 113:15	45:19 49:20	advocate 61:6	altogether 58:21	85:4
accountants	53:19 81:7	62:6,8 100:18	59:7	apathy 14:24
79:1 84:20	99:22 102:2	advocating	amazing 98:25	appear 66:1
accounting	105:12,22	107:3	ambiguities	appears 15:2
61:13 81:20	108:21 109:11	affairs 27:7	52:21	21:3
accredited 57:7	109:16 110:1	affect 68:17	ambiguity 39:15	applaud 95:5
103:22	addressed 28:8	affiliate 85:6	39:22 44:24	applause 58:10
accurate 67:11	81:9 103:8	affirm 113:10	amended 12:23	97:14
113:13 114:4	addresses 27:8	afoul 7:13	35:16 54:14	appointed
accuse 52:10	105:8	afternoon 8:11	amending 33:16	106:21 107:4
accused 52:8	addressing 30:7	10:23 55:5,8	America 72:15	appreciate 5:7
achieve 63:2	30:22	55:10 56:7,12	93:5	32:6,14 58:5
101:25 103:2	adds 59:16	60:2	America's 12:14	95:9,14 99:18
101.23 103.2				
L	·	1	1	•

100:25 101:6	65:5	45:7 48:6 50:4	64:19 65:2	bit 50:3 60:18
102:5,20 103:6	asserted 59:4	56:5 71:5,11	73:6,7,11 74:8	68:15 72:1
106:18 108:8	assets 42:3	72:3,5 73:5,10	75:13 80:22	78:5 81:23
appreciates 12:7	assistance 9:9	73:10 77:11,13	81:11 82:14	89:24 107:4
appreciating	assisted 21:8	77:16 80:18	89:4,12 90:25	Black 23:15
99:15	associated 77:3	83:1 90:8 94:4	91:8 93:12,19	Blairs 104:2
appreciation	111:11	98:11 101:8	94:3 104:16	blissfully 41:10
11:2 12:5	assume 50:9	104:5	Bauguess 38:14	blocks 111:21
21:23 108:5	78:11,20	backing 14:24	beach 17:4	blossomed 109:8
approach 15:2	assuming 26:18	bad 17:16 46:17	bear 74:1,5,11	blurring 53:18
67:11	Atlanta 8:12	66:23 78:1	becoming 15:3	board 61:7,23
appropriate	attached 113:11	85:19,22 87:14	bed 11:23	62:20 75:23
64:8 83:17,20	attacks 13:22	balance 53:20	beginning 44:3	76:1 82:1
102:1	14:10 15:3	56:11 73:2,11	behalf 2:5 11:24	85:14,16,20
appropriately	attempts 60:7	81:12 93:14	57:19 100:18	98:16
70:7	attendance	109:6	behavior 48:9	boards 59:10
approval 98:20	57:14	balances 70:7	believe 7:20	83:12 85:13
approve 35:19	attention 15:9	ball 7:16 20:24	14:18 18:4,17	Bochnowski
37:2	85:19	43:23	beneficiary 9:20	2:13 65:23
approved 26:16	attorney 81:20	ballpark 47:1	benefit 11:7	70:10 108:2
26:20 55:2	audiovisual 6:2	Baltic 2:12 64:8	29:12 65:15	body 99:4,4,22
arbitrary 47:1	audit 61:23	86:21 89:14	76:14	109:17 110:20
archived 5:13	67:21 101:20	109:10	benefits 19:21	boilerplate 86:7
area 7:17 10:22	101:24 102:1	bank 67:1,8,12	59:19 88:10	bold 58:17
30:2 41:2	auditing 88:8	70:17 74:15	benefitted 10:21	bones 52:16
44:14 107:8,24	auditor 86:17	banker 70:12	berserk 77:9	bono 97:4
areas 27:8 40:4	auditors 76:8	bankers 67:3	best 13:11,16	bonus 79:23
arena 43:24	authority 34:7,9	68:6	16:5,7 17:7,14	books 42:4
argue 7:25 58:24	34:10,17 68:19	banking 66:2,4	18:2 72:8	Borer 2:14
76:4 77:16	100:14	66:6 67:14,19	better 7:5,17	42:12 44:5
89:9 100:22	Autumn 15:7	68:19 70:5,18	16:24 62:11,19	48:6 78:11
arguing 100:21	available 32:17	70:21 109:24	90:16 97:8	106:18,24
argument 75:3	average 62:15	banks 49:6 66:7	110:15	107:22
93:14	77:22	66:15,16,19	beyond 39:2	bounds 38:20
army 66:5	avoid 31:14 41:8	barely 16:14	40:4 63:21	box 17:3 62:21
array 32:6	awaiting 28:22	base 108:25	96:12	Branch 108:15
article 15:7 62:7	awake 56:7	based 36:23	bid 84:24	braving 17:13
65:13 87:21	aware 22:23	53:1 58:25	big 49:6 72:15	breaches 14:4
91:11,15	36:21 37:23	66:17 73:3,16	77:21	break 55:18
articles 110:10	38:19 41:7	75:2 86:12	bigger 62:17	81:23
aside 38:21	69:17	87:16 88:5	94:4	breaking 55:13
asked 11:23	awful 76:19	93:2	billion 59:9	breaks 80:22,23
60:10 61:21	ayes 26:10 36:3	basic 64:9,10,24	79:15	breathing 62:17
94:24 96:21,24	54:21	basically 35:16	billion-dollar	brief 8:23 11:2
98:18	B	50:9 59:11	110:12	briefly 13:17
asking 111:5	baby 60:18	66:12	bills 40:18	28:2
aspect 72:13	back 16:20 19:8	basis 23:4 33:7	biotech 109:23	bring 17:22
84:2 111:24	25:7 41:12	48:18 60:10	biotechnology	83:25 104:5
aspects 10:6	23.1 4 1.12	61:2,2,5,14	64:14 65:1	109:25
	l		l	l

	l .	l	I	1
brings 89:25	burdensome	92:17,20	Caucus 23:15	108:12 110:19
British 88:19,23	31:15	108:18,18	cause 63:19	challenges 5:8
broad 34:11	bureaucracy	109:1	caused 40:5 51:2	champion 11:13
broaden 25:18	49:10	cap-specific	100:5	22:15
broader 33:7	burner 29:2	64:11	causes 68:25	chance 23:22
34:16 86:24	burning 111:17	capability 41:19	causing 40:15	33:8 35:24
106:8,13	business 8:24	capable 68:3	79:14,20	76:12
broadly 20:3	10:18 12:11,14	capacities 7:9	Century 98:7	Chang 2:16 88:5
32:5 48:15	12:23 14:5,18	capital 7:3,10,17	109:6	105:3
108:20	16:4 18:1	13:4,7,12	CEO 75:23	change 54:2,8
broke 71:13	19:18,23 20:12	18:12 19:18	82:15 93:7,8,9	65:10 79:25
broker 36:18	20:19,21 21:10	22:5 23:14,17	96:22	86:18 105:17
53:2	21:25 23:21	36:14 39:15,17	CEOs 75:20	changed 78:12
broker-dealer	25:4 27:14	40:1,2,4,7	82:6,7	changes 26:5
7:14 34:25	30:23 31:13	41:12 51:7,11	certain 7:15	changing 49:13
41:25 42:14	42:15 52:23	51:12 52:20	47:7 48:8	charged 56:19
44:9 48:12,22	56:25 58:3	53:21 54:6	73:23 74:20	Charles 2:12
49:24 52:5,5,6	59:16,17 60:24	56:20 58:6	79:22 94:1	64:7 83:1 84:4
107:3,5	61:4 64:24	65:15,16 67:10	101:23	86:11,20 107:6
broker-dealers	83:13 98:5,5,8	68:18,24 70:8	certainly 9:18	Charles' 65:23
13:25 39:16,17	107:10,12	71:6 77:15	38:22 39:7	charm 106:22
39:23 40:14	businesses 11:14	87:12 88:3	50:2 60:4 61:6	charter 9:14
42:18 43:6	12:19 13:12,24	89:3,5,16,17	67:23 81:1	12:12 22:3
50:16,20 51:1	13:24 14:7,8	89:20 90:12	82:22 85:18	chartering
100:8 103:25	14:11,17 15:4	92:21 95:1	86:2 103:16	110:19
106:10,14	23:25 29:5	100:6 104:21	104:4 108:7,10	check 38:21
broker-type	56:21 95:2	105:7,21	CERTIFICATE	62:21
45:17	busy 11:4	109:13 111:19	113:1 114:1	cheering 17:9
brokers 40:3	buy 93:11	capital-intensi	certifications	18:18
41:14 45:9		64:23 65:4	91:17	chicken 84:2
48:17	C	84:5 87:11	certify 113:9	chief 25:3 41:21
brought 28:1	C 2:24 4:1 5:1	capital-raising	114:2,9	children's
85:6 93:21	call 4:3 5:2,4	38:13 53:2	cetera 48:11,11	107:11
104:3 111:18	6:21 32:10	capitalization	70:20 72:20	choice 63:20,21
bucket 103:25	46:14,14 48:20	56:22 61:8	78:19 79:10	63:22
bug 11:21	63:22 67:22	capitalized	88:21	choose 91:3
build 5:23 49:18	68:21 69:2,13	61:10	CFOs 61:23	110:13,13
73:20	84:23 87:7	caps 86:24 87:7	75:10	Chorus 26:10
building 59:15	102:13 103:24	87:10	Chace 2:15	36:3 54:21
83:13	called 42:7 45:2	captured 64:19	63:17 89:9	Chris 8:20 15:17
built 73:21	67:5 86:6	64:19	90:24 105:24	26:17 55:5
91:15	calling 85:4	card 17:1	chair 2:4 8:9,16	56:10,11 58:11
bullet 44:12	calls 48:24 63:23	Carr 114:2	8:19 11:17	75:14 78:9
burden 45:13	98:11	carried 48:15	17:5,18 23:6	83:25 95:16
59:23 61:9,12	CalPERS 72:16	carrying 107:7	28:5 31:17,23	97:15 98:24
83:3,19 107:7	cap 64:15 65:3	case 5:9 26:7	57:23 110:18	102:5,24
burdens 19:1	72:19,19,19	46:15 69:6	chairman 68:4	108:24 110:24
60:7 65:17	74:23 77:19,20	89:10	75:23	112:5
88:1	77:24 87:4	cases 40:5 86:10	chairs 99:16,16	Chris' 93:8
L				

	•	•	•	•
Christine 2:11	48:5 49:16	Colorado 28:19	24:18 30:5,7	27:16 28:9
2:19 21:19	50:1 51:4,14	colorblind 23:17	31:17,23,25	30:1 31:3
25:8 80:18	51:20,24 53:23	Columbia 28:13	34:9 42:24	33:23 34:13,15
circumstances	54:1,9,16,18	combination	52:18 56:19	36:9 38:12
92:6	54:20,22,24	106:25	57:5 69:1	52:14 56:1,9
clarification	55:1,11,14,17	come 39:1,19	98:19 109:14	56:17,18 57:2
49:23	55:24 56:5,13	40:17 42:22	113:12	58:2 60:1,7
clarified 42:13	57:13 58:11,14	58:16 66:6	Commission's	61:24 62:3
clarify 41:16	61:18 63:15	68:10 76:18,23	13:23 18:21	65:19 69:2
54:11	64:7 65:21	96:1 101:10	57:12 58:5	74:13,21 75:10
clarity 44:15	70:9,13,15	108:9	102:19	76:23,24 83:8
53:11 103:21	71:14,16,19	comes 41:9	commissioner	83:15 84:19
class 78:20	72:9 73:18	44:10 50:12	8:9,10,10,11	86:25 94:15
classes 45:17	74:12 75:14,15	53:1 69:4,25	11:10,20,25	95:5,15,23
80:4	76:17 78:9	72:3 93:1	12:9 13:3,10	96:21,22,25
clear 14:3 49:21	80:10 81:19	101:20,23	13:14,17 15:6	97:9 101:6
54:9 62:12	82:17,25 86:19	105:16	15:13,14 16:12	102:2,6,12
83:24 91:2,6	88:4,24 89:23	coming 42:4	16:19,21 17:3	104:13,16,18
clearly 14:5	90:5,13 92:10	43:19 45:3	17:6,12 21:13	104:20 105:4,5
42:18 54:10	94:5,9 95:10	52:13 73:5	21:15,16 22:9	107:19,24
59:20 103:13	96:18 97:19,23	78:5 82:1	22:21,24 23:3	108:6 109:11
climb 77:4	99:11 101:1	103:6 111:4	23:5,9,10,12	110:19 111:8
clinical 64:17	102:8 104:10	commendable	34:5,18,21	111:11,22
close 69:6 71:12	106:17 108:1	99:2	40:21,24 41:6	113:3 114:6
71:18 73:24	110:23,25	commended	68:2 99:16	Committee's
closely 20:4	111:2,3 112:4	98:14	commissioners	12:12,17 17:13
105:1	111:2,3 112.4	comment 22:8	2:3 16:17 28:6	19:13 20:22
closer 101:17	co-chairs 4:3 5:2	31:18 32:25	57:24 103:4	24:5 57:16,25
104:17	15:18 21:20	37:3 38:8 48:6	104:12 105:5	77:12 96:16
closures 8:22	97:15 104:12	60:3 65:24	105:25 108:9	committees
CNBC 77:8	105:24	70:16 99:5	110:18	15:20 104:17
co-chair 2:10,11	coalesce 6:22	106:18	commit 18:5	104:25
5:3 8:15 11:17	Coast 96:23	commentators	commitment	commonplace
15:12 21:13	106:21	59:18	11:6 17:17	14:4
24:9 25:9 26:1	codification	comments 26:4	18:11,14 30:23	Commonwealth
26:3,7,11,13	57:6	31:20 32:14	57:15	98:22
26:15,22,25	coextensive	35:13 37:14	committee 1:6	community
29:13,21,23	24:21	42:11 53:24	2:9 4:5,8,11	12:14 14:6
30:25 32:8,12	cognizant 60:4	71:24 84:4	5:6,19 6:9 9:1	49:25 80:2
33:1,20 34:3	Cohen 2:5 8:13	94:14 98:23	9:4,9,13,15,23	107:3
34:11,20,22	8:14 11:19	99:10,14	12:2,4,6,13	commute 17:15
35:2,6,8,13,15	colleague 11:10	Commission 1:1	13:10,20 15:8	companies 1:7
35:22,24 36:2	72:12	1:23 6:13 8:19	15:19,19 17:20	5:6 7:9,24
36:4,6,8,11	colleagues 16:15	9:4,14,17	17:21,25 18:6	10:17 11:8
37:4,8,10,13	16:22 17:8	10:10,20 11:6	18:14,20 20:4	12:2,21,25
37:25 39:9	18:17 24:18	11:11 12:6	20:17 21:7,8	13:3,5,21
40:20,23 41:5	38:3 95:15	17:5 18:3,5,8	21:17,20,21	14:13 17:23
42:10 43:13	97:25 110:20	21:2 22:2,7,10	22:3,4,10,13	18:25 19:2,20
45:23 46:20	collecting 41:10	22:12 23:6,11	24:1,11 26:23	22:6 25:19,20
			,	
	-	1	ī	Ī

	_	_	_	_
25:21 27:4	93:2,10 105:7	confidence 87:8	45:7	69:9
53:16,18,18,20	105:10,11	87:12,14,24	consumer 18:16	correct 50:12
56:21,23,25	110:3,5,7	confident 13:10	CONT 3:1	70:18 80:15
58:7,21 59:10	company-inve	confirm 38:2	contact 36:16,17	cost 65:14,16
59:16,24 60:6	105:20	confirmed 98:17	contacted 59:10	81:11 83:3
60:6 61:8,9,10	compare 88:18	conflict 5:17	contacts 96:7	84:9 85:1
61:12,20 62:15	compared	Congress 16:4	contemplate	87:23 88:2
63:13,20,23	113:14	19:24 21:4	46:12	cost-benefit
64:2,15,15,23	comparison	49:8 52:10	contemplating	83:20
65:4 67:19	82:21	Congressional	32:15	cost-effective
68:17 70:2	compensated	23:15	context 7:12	13:12
72:4 73:22	42:16	connector/fin	41:25 66:18	costly 79:10
74:7 75:13,19	compensation	47:3	85:24	costs 19:20
76:25 77:14,19	47:8 52:25	connectors	continue 9:18	80:19 84:20
77:20 78:14,21	compensation	46:14	20:17 22:15	86:17,17 88:8
79:2,5,14 80:4	18:22	consensus 57:17	68:1 98:6	88:9 110:7
81:24 82:8	competition	consequence	111:6	counsel 8:13
83:10,18 84:22	110:9	87:6	continued 12:15	25:5,5 85:18
84:23 85:16	complete 113:13	consequences	20:18 109:9	counsel's 41:21
87:4,8 89:15	114:3	30:10 53:6	continues 10:14	counterbalance
89:19 90:10,20	completed 56:17	87:3,16	continuing 9:10	104:19
90:22,24 91:7	67:21	consider 13:1,11	9:11,19 22:5	counterparts
91:9,20,22	compliance 19:1	34:17 35:15	contract 91:24	44:16
92:20,25 95:2	complicate	48:12 49:10	contributed	counterweight
96:1 100:7,9	91:11	55:12 59:6	106:15	104:19
100:17 101:13	complicated	95:24,24	contributions	countries 88:20
101:16,20	31:14	consideration	9:11,12 11:15	country 98:6
104:3,23	complicates 91:6	4:5,8,11 6:11	controls 91:16	101:7
105:16,20	complimenting	20:1 24:6,11	convalescing	couple 22:1
107:15 108:14	31:19	26:23 36:9	19:10	24:21 40:9
108:19,20	complying 69:20	65:10	conversation	47:8 68:1
109:1,8,9,23	component	considerations	38:3	75:15,16 77:18
110:9,11	60:22	59:1	conversations	85:2 101:9
111:12 113:4	concept 71:5	considered	91:7	107:2,14
114:7	72:3	13:19 49:2	cooperation	course 22:18
companies'	concern 14:5	96:24	30:21	23:21 30:3
10:19 88:11	31:3 40:6	considering 10:3	coordination	33:23 59:18
company 4:6	100:6	28:11,23	30:21 43:1	85:25 99:18
6:14 7:3,17 8:2	concerned 7:13	consistent 57:11	copies 29:16	court 40:6
10:16 24:12	60:8 65:3	constant 42:13	copy 25:12	cover 15:6
25:11,18 27:19	112:6	63:3	29:14	covers 51:20
36:13 57:8	concerns 60:5	constantly 14:3	CorpFin 9:8	67:23
60:10 62:1	68:3 87:2	constellation	16:2 96:4	crazy 97:10
66:4,5 67:20	conclude 11:1	15:20	corporate 56:24	create 19:12
69:6,18 73:4	conducive 59:15	constituencies	Corporation	79:4 87:8
73:12 76:7	conducting	80:1	10:2,13 12:10	created 43:5
82:6 84:5,25	44:11	constituted 31:3	20:2 21:9	99:16
85:8,21 88:12	conference 6:20	56:9 94:12	24:22 31:25	creates 42:20
88:14 89:6	63:22	constructively	43:21 68:4	50:21 53:21
00.1107.0	05.22	- Constituent Ciy	15.21 00.7	30.21 33.21
	1	1	l	l

00.2			10.0	
80:3	D	deadlines 63:4	19:9	director 24:22
creating 46:12	D 5:1 37:22	deal 62:15 84:1	described 44:11	85:19
creation 40:17	38:21 50:13	92:16,17	deserve 89:12	directors 85:18
70:4 98:7	51:25	108:16	deserves 22:10	disagree 100:22
109:7	D's 50:10	dealing 39:14,16	desire 99:17	disagreed 16:22
creativity 52:13	D.C 1:24 96:24	50:25	desired 34:16	disagreements
credit 92:19	113:7 114:5	deals 27:2 47:9	desperate 49:23	16:1
crime 14:9 66:10	D.H 104:2	47:10	despite 5:7	disappointed
crisis 70:20	D.J 32:10,12	dealt 40:13 98:1	14:16 19:1	30:12
critical 17:22	33:2 35:22	debate 58:23	48:13 49:7	discipline 91:17
18:1 20:20	37:13 38:7	decades 79:7	100:1	disclaimer 24:15
35:1 39:14	45:23 50:5	December 57:2	destroyed 107:8	disclose 74:14
40:11,25 73:5	52:15 60:3	decide 30:19	detail 15:6	disclosing 74:15
98:2	75:17 79:18	34:14 80:5	detailed 30:8,17	74:17
criticism 30:5	80:11 99:12	91:25	52:11	disclosure 4:7
crowdfunded	Dan 2:15 11:10	deciding 27:19	determine 72:23	6:14,17,19
95:25	11:12 22:14,16	89:11	detriment 8:1	10:16 12:18,22
crowdfunding	42:10 63:16	decision 88:6	devastating 15:5	12:24 19:14
6:25 7:6 10:5,8	78:22 90:16,19	decisions 58:25	developed 73:15	20:2,5,6,9,10
27:23,25 28:7	93:22 110:2	decrease 86:17	development	20:11,13,15
28:10,11,14	dangerous	deemphasizes	64:17 98:8	24:6,12 25:11
29:9 30:2,16	100:16,16	61:15	developments	57:8 58:14
31:4,20 52:10	dangers 50:22	deems 101:25	64:24	59:5,23 61:1,2
84:13 95:4	Daniel 2:6	deeper 88:13	devil's 62:6,8	64:4,9 65:5
105:8	darned 71:18	deepest 109:12	dialogue 9:19	71:21 72:8
cull 66:8	data 14:4,24	defenses 14:23	49:2 58:1	83:17 86:7,8,8
cumulative	62:13 65:13	defer 44:19	differences	89:24 90:2
19:21	74:21,24 75:11	deferring 47:21	82:23	92:4 101:14
curious 32:19	84:6,7 87:19	definitely 44:23	different 5:20	109:21 111:12
62:3	90:25 91:12	85:24	44:22 66:12	disclosures
current 12:4	92:14,14 93:19	definition 25:17	67:24 68:17	10:18 20:16
25:22 27:6	94:4	57:7	78:5 79:9 80:4	79:5 92:3
38:17 40:4	Date 113:6,18	degree 44:2	83:7 87:4 89:2	discomfort
57:1 83:18	114:14	deliberate 30:7	89:4,7,22 99:7	42:21
currently 56:9	David 2:13,21	deliberated 18:2	108:23 109:22	discontinuation
94:12	32:9 65:22	57:10	differently 90:21	59:12
customer 42:3	70:9,17 108:1	deliberateness	90:22	discontinuing
cut 80:18 81:4	David's 70:17	31:19 32:14	difficult 6:9 7:11	59:6
cuts 86:23	Davis 3:4 25:4	deliver 11:23	27:11 52:12	discourage
cyber 13:22,22	54:7	demanding	109:20	107:11
14:4,9,10,19	day 5:20 21:11	40:15	difficulty 14:22	discuss 13:17
14:21,23 15:3	40:18 58:4	demands 11:5	digging 62:2	discussed 52:14
15:7 101:12	66:25 67:22	Department	dilution 92:22	57:10 81:8
cybercriminals	85:18	69:8	directed 14:11	discussing 9:23
15:1	day-to-day	depending 43:10	direction 19:16	10:24 34:1
cycle 63:18	62:18	Deposit 68:4	43:6 87:3	43:20
64:18	days 18:13 45:8	69:9	114:11	discussion 4:13
cycles 59:17	67:17 73:25	DERA 96:4	directive 30:4	6:19 7:20 9:25
	de 50:8	deregulatory	directives 32:1	10:23 13:6,8

				1 490 121
15:10 21:12	draft 36:25	110:3,15	employed 33:7	EPS 82:10
23:16,18 26:4	drafted 29:5	ecstatic 32:16	employee 52:25	equally 60:8
29:23 35:25	30:8	edge 39:25	enable 13:11	equation 88:18
37:11 39:21	drafting 29:7	edition 15:7	enabler 63:19	equity 30:2
54:18 55:8	57:20	effect 81:25 83:4	enabling 110:20	87:23
58:12 68:15	draw 25:15	effective 28:19	enacted 27:24	equivalent
94:10 95:17	drive 89:24	31:15 60:15	28:9	100:13
108:16,22	dual 13:4	effectiveness 4:7	encompass 57:6	escaping 22:18
discussions 12:8	dubious 82:15	6:17 10:15	encompasses encompasses	especially 14:6
24:23,24 36:24	due 50:15	20:3,6,9 24:7	13:23	16:9,22 76:23
43:23 95:21	105:16	24:12 57:9	encourage 15:8	79:14 97:17
distinction	duplicative	58:15	48:14 49:3,14	101:3 105:15
60:17	74:18 86:8	effects 92:22	49:15 107:10	106:6
distributed	74.10 00.0	efficient 55:4	encouraging	essentially 63:20
107:23	$oldsymbol{f E}$	82:21,24	23:25	91:4,12
District 28:13	e 4:1 5:1,1 56:4	efforts 12:7,15	endorse 29:10	established 19:5
diverse 85:20	56:4 78:19	21:24 53:2	30:24 31:5	establishing
Diversified	earlier 50:5	57:19	32:20 39:7	31:11
114:16	71:24 87:10	egg 84:2	ends 20:8 34:19	estate 72:19
dividends 71:3	107:9 108:23	00		91:22
division 10:2,13	110:24	ego 98:24 either 52:4	energies 17:17 energy 23:14	et 48:10,11
1	early 22:23	107:15		70:20 72:19
12:10 20:2	55:18 74:9	either/or 92:14	enforcement 53:22 109:15	
21:9 24:22	early-stage			79:10 88:21
31:24 38:15	36:13	eliminate 60:21	engage 36:18,20	ethics 17:10
division's 25:1	earnings 7:25	86:7 eliminated	41:7	EUCO 106:9
divisions 16:1 Dodd-Frank	65:2 77:10	70:21	engaged 95:4	European 71:3
16:2 18:23	91:10		engaging 52:20	evaluating 61:5 event 92:7
	easier 14:13	eliminating 20:15 58:22	enhance 10:15 enhances 30:20	
doing 7:2,23	64:5 84:7 85:7			events 64:17,18
23:24 27:13,22 28:24 44:7	90:11	59:19 71:21	enhancing 48:17	ever-mounting 19:1
	easing 90:9	96:13	enjoyed 24:23	
47:15 48:17	East 96:23	email 99:23	100:20,21	everybody 66:24
52:10 53:21	easy 7:14 18:23	emerging 1:7	106:2 112:9	67:6,16 68:14
58:20 61:6,12	21:12	5:6 12:2 13:21	enlist 54:4	105:25
61:14 69:17	eating 56:6	17:23 22:6	entertain 61:11	everybody's
78:16 79:2	EBITDA 91:1	56:20 58:7	82:23	99:14 106:18
88:10 100:7	echo 32:13	61:20 63:12	enthusiastic	exacerbates 59:3
DOL 38:3	98:23 99:14	75:13 77:14	32:21	exactly 34:20,20
dollar 19:5	101:2,11 102:9	100:9,17	entire 14:5	exam 68:10
46:22 47:7	104:11 105:4	101:13,20	51:23 75:7	69:12
48:19 67:9	104.11 103.4	108:14 113:4	entirely 45:10	examine 42:4
94:21	echoed 87:20	114:6	60:20	examined 17:25
dollars 40:9 47:8 48:23	economic 19:12	emerging-gro 18:24 25:21	entitled 90:17	Examiners 68:10
	72:14 105:10		entity 46:1 47:6	
Donna 113:9	economy 19:12	64:14 95:2	100:12	example 33:13
double 74:14	88:18,20	empathetic 60:5	entrepreneurs	33:17 51:3
doubt 75:21	ecosystem	emphasize 9:3	23:17 environment	exceed 67:10
dough 47:15	106:13 107:8	emphasized 59:14		excellence 98:7
dovetails 27:21	100.13 107.0	39:14	13:2,4 99:15	excellent 20:13

20.14.07.12	arm magg 21,22	fogoimotimo	70.6 72.24	F 7.22 59.10
20:14 97:13 110:20	express 21:23 31:3	fascinating 102:18	70:6 73:24 93:3	firm 7:23 58:19 59:8
	expressed 30:1	fashion 42:17	filings 50:13	firms 14:20,22
exceptional 97:16	expressing 59:11	43:10	63:14,21 64:3	39:23 40:16
exchange 1:1,23	expressing 39.11 extend 12:5 40:3	fault 85:17	fill 98:16	59:2 107:9
20:23 59:11	extend 12.3 40.3 extensive 69:24	favor 26:8 36:2	final 10:9 12:3	first 10:20 25:10
113:12	extensive 09.24 extent 33:6	54:20	28:22 30:11	29:25 31:18
exchanges 21:3	43:18 65:17	FDIC 67:17,25	99:9	37:15,15 42:19
77:20,23 82:20	74:1 78:13	68:12	finalize 7:7	44:12 47:20
excited 21:6	83:18 106:11	features 16:2	finally 24:5	55:18 57:2
exclusive 13:9	external 101:20	February 38:14	110:17	75:17 85:11
executive 18:22	external 101.20 extra 5:23	federal 27:4	Finance 10:3,14	86:18 94:19
executive 18.22 executives 58:25		31:4 44:16,22	21:10 24:23	99:17 102:12
executives 38.23 exemplary 15:19	extraordinarily 55:3	66:9 68:4 69:9	31:25 43:21	103:25 106:7
15:22		69:9	Finance's 12:10	106:25 100.7
	extraordinary 11:12	federal-state	20:2	108:3 111:5
exempt 47:18 51:18			financial 10:18	
	eye 66:15,15	30:21		firsthand 6:15 fits 77:13,25
exemption 27:3 27:3	\mathbf{F}	feedback 62:20 63:5	58:24 60:21,22 61:12 62:16	five 57:3,5,17
· · ·	F 1:24 3:6 56:4	feeder 104:7		62:16
exemptions 37:20	face 8:7 75:19	feel 7:14 58:1	63:1 64:16 65:5 69:8	fix 71:13
	77:5 82:11	63:2 71:15	74:15 86:9	
exemptive 34:7 34:8,10,17	faced 6:4	72:10 81:13	88:18 93:6	flexibility 5:19 flip 76:11 92:5
exercise 57:21	facilitate 7:5,17	89:20 105:22		float 89:6
existence 65:11	18:12 21:3	feeling 101:22	financially 50:8 financials 64:6	floor 26:3
existing 111:12	112:7	feels 22:22	73:24 84:16	Florida 28:19
exists 27:18 90:1	facilitated 47:10	fees 40:15,16	financings 46:22	30:16
expand 50:2	facilitating	41:10 53:8	find 5:17 7:11	flow 77:23
51:8	19:18 41:12	78:7 79:2	7:20 22:5	focus 8:1 13:24
expanded 12:24	facing 29:5 98:4	81:20	29:16 43:2	20:19 25:16
63:9	fact 5:8,11 14:10	feet 77:1	67:16 86:16	34:18 35:16
expanding 12:17	27:9 49:8 53:8	fellow 23:19	111:20	43:11 79:16
25:17 107:16	56:8 67:3 73:8	108:5	finders 4:12 7:8	83:12 86:25
expansion 19:14	73:13 83:8	felt 31:4 40:10	24:7 36:10,12	97:16 109:19
expect 10:19	88:6 100:1	Ferrari 71:7	36:22 46:14	focused 10:17
93:2	103:3 108:9	fewer 14:15	50:20 52:22	12:20 45:21
expectations	factor 66:13	Fidelity 78:23	57:8	54:3 93:10
84:10	facts 77:18	field 57:9	fine 38:18	focusing 79:11
expense 76:6	fail 66:19,23	fight 40:6,16	finished 111:15	folks 39:16
expensive 31:15	67:8,12	109:2,2,4,4	FINRA 42:17	41:20 61:22
experience 6:15	Failing 19:17	figure 102:14	43:4,5,25 44:6	63:6 74:25
49:12 84:12	fair 63:24	figuring 59:22	44:10 45:6,7,9	75:20 82:19
102:15	falls 106:12	file 66:3,7,8,12	45:16,20,24	90:21 94:14
expertise 9:3	familiar 82:19	67:16,20,22,25	46:11,13,16	102:3 108:17
15:1	fantastic 6:8	69:17,22,24	48:7,16 49:2,7	112:8
experts 57:9,19	108:11	113:5	49:10,12 100:6	follow 34:5
expires 5:19 9:1	far 14:15 18:23	filed 50:11 67:24	100:7,10	46:18 64:18
exploration	71:6,9 101:3	filers 64:2 84:11	101:10	65:23 78:15
58:17	103:8 112:6	filing 63:24 69:4	FINRA's 48:13	86:21 91:3
50.17		03.2 T 07.7	TENENE D FUIL	00.21 71.3
	I	I	I	l

				_
97:24 108:19	found 14:20	21:8 28:16	46:8 85:5	34:8,13 38:2,6
following 75:6	founders 36:14	39:6 99:21	global 59:8	44:19 50:12
90:22	four 9:5 16:6,17	103:8 108:13	go 6:2 9:20 23:5	55:7,12,22,25
follows 56:3	17:15 28:21	103.0 100.13	23:9 34:14,24	good 5:3,4 8:20
forced 54:4 82:8	57:4 81:1,6,21	G	35:12 40:6,19	11:25 12:7,15
forecast 82:3	96:21 97:5,11	G 5:1	44:3 50:19,22	16:16 24:13
forecasting	106:21 112:12	gaining 62:9	51:3,6 53:9	33:20,22,24
60:22 61:13	four-month	Gallagher 2:6	55:4 71:2,5	35:9 36:8 38:6
75:17	80:24	8:10 11:10	72:5 77:1,11	38:6 40:17
foregoing 114:3	framework	15:13,14 16:12	77:13,16 78:8	41:4,11,11
114:10	31:12	17:3,6,12	83:1 87:3 92:1	42:15 44:17
forget 15:15	frameworks	21:14 22:9,24	94:11,13,18	45:3 55:15
74:6 78:25	109:23	23:3,10 34:5	95:12 99:1,2	62:7 68:17,23
79:18	Francisco 6:1	34:18,21 40:21	100:3 107:10	69:3 87:14
forgot 102:25	frankly 9:12	40:24 41:6	108:2 110:14	94:10 96:6
form 19:11	fraud 31:11	gaps 30:9	111:16	97:3 99:25
25:22 28:14	82:12,14	general 34:9	goal 13:9 32:3	108:24
50:10,13 94:16	62:12,14 frequency 4:13	59:8,14 66:22	101:25	gotten 30:5 63:6
formal 6:12 9:23	58:13,23 61:21	79:15 96:13	goals 13:4,16	96:4 100:24
56:14	63:8,14 87:5	generally 10:5	goes 11:24 50:14	
formally 9:16	87:17	13:14 19:15	64:4 68:24	governance 56:24
26:18		41:24 47:22	71:10 80:1	
formation 7:3	frequent 78:13 79:4 87:6,22	generating	89:16	government 100:13 108:15
7:18 18:12	92:4	27:14		
19:18 22:5	92.4 friend 11:10	getting 24:14	going 15:16,17 16:7,15,16,24	governor 28:22 98:18
39:15,18 41:12	36:16 72:24	40:15 53:8	17:9 35:2	
54:6 58:6 95:1	friends 107:11	64:5 73:3 84:9	39:10 43:3	graciousness 99:19
98:5 100:6	friendship 16:17	85:20 99:24	47:4 49:6 50:4	graded 60:12
104:21 105:22	16:18	101:24	53:9 55:17	Graham 2:10
104.21 103.22	front 10:11	Giles 2:5 8:13	56:7 60:11,13	5:3 8:15 11:17
110:4,5 111:19	28:18 29:2	11:18	60:19 61:4	15:12 21:13
formed 109:1	fruitful 16:5	give 6:7 15:9	62:1,24 65:9	24:9 25:9 26:1
forms 69:16	20:16 21:11	24:14 25:21	67:8 74:10	26:3,7,11,13
forthcoming	23:18	33:8 62:17,19	76:12,14 77:9	26:15,25 29:13
15:7	fulfilling 18:11	78:2 92:25	78:14,17,18,22	29:21,23 30:25
forum 23:13,23	fun 106:1	93:1,18,18	79:3 80:7,18	32:8,12 33:1
24:2	function 110:7	97:14 99:7	81:20 82:2,2	33:20 34:3,11
forward 6:18	functional 65:25	101:8 107:18	82:22 84:6,8	34:20,22 35:2
7:16 8:2 9:19	fund 96:23 97:1	given 14:25	85:1,4 88:11	35:6,8,13,15
9:21 10:6,22	97:7 106:14	33:12,18 53:6	90:8 92:5,6,20	35:22,24 36:2
12:8,15 13:15	fundamental	57:15 65:14	93:23 94:18	36:4,6,8,11
15:10 17:22	64:17 109:25	91:9 94:2	96:14 97:1	37:4,8,10,13
21:7 22:4 24:5	funded 73:11	102:22	98:17 103:15	37:25 39:9
24:24 30:15	funding 105:15	gives 42:8 72:11	103:17 103:13	40:20,23 41:5
32:24 43:23	105:16	82:15 98:21	109:19	42:10 43:13
45:3,22 53:9	funds 24:3	giving 53:11	going-forward	45:23 46:20
76:23 92:1	further 54:18	74:9 93:22	104:16	48:5 49:16
107:14 109:19	114:9	glad 18:14 28:4	Gomez 3:5 25:3	50:1 51:4,14
111:23	future 13:1,19	40:11 42:9	26:21 33:22	51:20,24 53:23
111.23	141416 13.1,17		20.21 33.22	J1.4U,4 T JJ.4J
	l			I

				l
54:1,9,16,18	ground 104:7	happy 30:6 39:4	33:11,25 50:4	I
54:20,22,24	group 12:25	52:17 98:20,20	50:14 102:9	i.e 50:20
55:1,11,14,17	25:6 55:18	107:6	hesitant 5:14	idea 42:15 55:15
55:24 56:5	74:23 75:1	harbor 6:24	Hey 47:4 91:21	58:22 63:10
58:11,14 61:18	96:24 97:6	10:4 47:2 53:3	hide 82:16	68:24 76:20
63:15 64:7	99:6 101:16,21	hard 9:10 10:9	Higgins 3:6	82:23 85:22
65:21 70:9,13	groups 58:2 78:8	10:14 15:14	24:10,13 34:1	ideas 19:17
70:15 71:14,16	grow 19:11	19:24 45:15	57:24 80:16	52:16 96:6
71:19 72:9	105:11	65:19 85:8	89:1 90:3,19	identical 74:15
73:18 74:12	grows 40:1	106:19	highly 29:10	Ignorance 20:8
75:14 78:9	growth 19:13	harder 45:5 85:7	hindrance	ignorant 41:10
80:10 82:25	40:17	101:24,24,25	105:19	ignored 17:24
86:19 88:4,24	Gruenberg 68:3	hardships 76:14	hire 36:16 52:21	III 2:14 32:16,20
89:23 90:5,13	guess 34:5 42:25	hate 79:25 91:13	history 80:13	32:24
92:10 94:5,9	65:24 66:20	head 105:13	hit 16:21 69:7	ill-advised 14:25
95:10 96:18	77:21 86:15	headlines 14:3	93:3,17 94:25	Illinois 28:19
97:19,23 99:11	90:15 95:2	health 8:2	hits 29:4	72:17
101:1 102:8	101:12 106:21	hear 58:5 71:2	hitting 92:24	immediate
104:10 106:17	111:4 112:14	heard 11:21	93:13,15	103:11
108:1 110:23	guidance 41:3	56:16 74:19	hold 39:20 93:7	immediately
111:2 112:4,14	53:12 63:22	88:6 99:3	holding 39:17	98:11
grateful 11:5	93:1	110:24	42:3	impact 15:4
great 6:19 21:21	guide 83:11	hearing 13:15	home 21:12	19:21 38:22
21:25 23:24	guidelines 73:4	63:13 93:14	honor 23:11	59:1 101:12,15
24:3 30:19	guys 16:7 17:16	113:15	102:11 105:3	101:19 105:10
39:4 41:18	42:9 47:5 78:2	heart 101:17	honored 8:8	impacts 60:23
48:4 58:8 92:4	99:22 101:3	heightened	hope 9:17 16:24	65:20
93:5 96:9	102:25 108:12	14:16	17:18 18:7	impede 58:6
97:17 99:6	guys' 98:19	held 56:20 57:2	19:25 20:17	impediments
100:1 101:5,8		57:3 108:20	32:22 39:2,5	29:4
102:4,14,20,23	<u>H</u>	113:12 114:4	56:5 69:15	impetus 42:8
102:25 103:17	half 86:4 97:11	help 7:12 19:12	108:7	implement 30:4
104:3 105:2	107:7	36:16 43:11	hoped 39:2	implementation
107:13 108:6	half-dozen 45:17	52:22,23 53:11	hopefully 5:14	111:24
108:12,16,22	hammered	54:6 76:18	17:15 25:12	implemented
112:8	101:23	97:4 98:21	hopes 8:10	19:15 43:7
greater 12:7	hand 30:14	104:23 111:19	13:18	implementing
81:22	45:13	111:23 112:7	hoping 94:18	14:23
greatest 95:20	handle 41:22 hands 88:2	helped 36:25	95:12	importance 6:3
greatly 25:6		96:5 102:21	horrible 41:13	9:13 18:1
Greg 29:24	Hanks 2:17 49:18 73:20	helpful 9:6	46:9	important 6:12
30:25 31:1		52:17 59:25	hot 58:15	12:14 13:16
36:22,24 52:1	104:11	86:8 106:7	hour 35:18	24:25,25 33:8
53:23 83:21	happen 29:6,7 33:9 62:4 73:9	107:17,25	hours 85:3	45:21 57:17,20
86:19 95:11		109:19	houses 67:14	64:12 65:4,8
96:18	happened 109:7	helping 11:6	huge 92:22	65:11,18 66:19
Greg's 31:18	happening 28:21	17:22 43:2,3	hundred 47:8	80:2 83:6,7
Gregory 2:24		53:20 57:16,19	hurriedly 30:8	84:10 85:25
grind 63:3	happens 78:7	Hempill 2:18		86:10 87:13,14
	l	l	l	l

				Fage 125
88:3 95:1	individuals 6:3	97:4,7	84:19	13:23 25:15
103:3,13 104:7	industries 74:17	Institutions 69:8	investigation	28:1 29:2
105:21 109:21	76:21	Insurance 68:4	28:24	34:25 36:10,22
importantly	industry 19:5	69:9	investing 63:23	36:23 39:13,14
13:13	64:20 65:12	intend 8:4,5	82:7	39:25 40:13,25
impose 83:19	66:2,18 70:6	intended 48:9	investment	42:13 48:7
impossible 6:10	70:18 73:3	51:11	13:25 49:24	60:2 70:19
impressed 97:12	110:11	intends 22:2	59:8,8 67:3,14	72:15,20 73:12
improve 10:3	industry-based	intensity 89:17	80:2 90:23	76:22 79:19
improvement	109:21	intent 50:2	93:25 94:3	83:11,14,14
81:16	industry-speci	54:10	investments	85:3,4 95:6,18
incentivized	64:12 65:24	interaction	23:25 62:10,11	101:11
79:22	influence 43:5	32:20 58:1	62:11 75:12	issued 18:3
incidence 59:23	48:16	interest 50:15,19	investor 22:12	issuer 27:16
include 12:21	informal 7:19	57:25	57:7 61:5	issuers 6:25 10:4
99:17	information	interested 5:10	63:25 88:9	12:18,23 13:7
included 32:2	20:12 50:10	9:25 28:3	98:3 104:19,21	19:15
includes 30:3	62:4,8 63:25	32:19 42:2,3	investors 10:16	issues 8:22 9:5
including 7:22	69:5,11 72:7	interesting 7:21	11:8 13:5,9,14	16:3,23 17:25
20:18,22 36:22	73:1,1,6,17	66:3 67:18	14:2 15:5	20:25 21:22
52:14 80:1	74:16,21 75:2	89:15,22	20:13 31:9	29:4 32:6 40:7
incorporate	75:4 79:4 81:3	interests 11:14	36:15,17 43:2	44:22 49:1,20
90:14	84:20 85:13	interfere 81:2	53:22 61:25	49:21 53:19,22
incorporated	86:9 88:9	interim 90:25	65:11 66:21,22	54:11 57:8
27:17,20	89:12 90:17	intermediaries	69:17 72:8	58:6 66:1
incorporation	91:3,19 96:9	50:9 51:15	78:13 81:12	68:25 81:8
90:11 107:15	102:22	intermediary	84:16 86:1,9	96:15 98:1,2,4
increases 87:23	informational	51:11,13	86:10 87:24	101:12 109:11
increasing 13:21	67:4	internal 91:16	88:11 89:8	109:17
14:25	initial 93:11,22	international	90:17 91:9	items 63:10 95:1
increasingly	initially 10:17	110:8	93:11,22 97:4	iteration 57:1
14:1	initiative 10:15	internationali	103:22 104:23	111:7,8
incredibly 9:6	10:21	110:8	106:15	iterations 108:7
57:14 77:5	initiatives 16:4	internet 27:12	investors' 81:2	Ivanov 38:14
incremental	59:5	intrastate 6:25	87:7	
7:15 65:1	input 10:6,22	7:5 10:5 24:7	involve 46:13	J
incrementally	20:23	27:5 28:7	48:1 89:18	J 2:14,21
49:20	inside 93:6	introduce 25:2	involved 40:14	Jacobs 2:11,19
incumbent 19:6	insider 85:9	introduction	46:16 64:13	26:22 56:13
independent	insiders 73:23	25:3	involves 76:1,1	75:15 76:17
85:20	74:3	Introductory	involving 54:4	81:19 82:17
index 82:24	insight 9:20 58:2	4:4 8:18	IO 42:8	110:25 111:3
Indiana 69:8	73:3 98:21	intuition 55:20	IPO 19:7,9,23	January 28:20
indicate 26:9	108:24 112:3	invaluable 57:21	104:8 106:10	67:22
indicated 26:17	insights 99:8	invariably 15:15	106:16	jar 94:21
27:21 114:4	inspections	invest 62:25	IPOs 106:5	Javier 23:19,22
individual 44:2	101:23	64:1 75:1 79:3	111:20	24:2
44:10 47:5	instances 82:12	invested 104:23	issuance 20:22	Jo 2:4 8:9
94:3	institutional	investigate	issue 4:12 7:8	Joanne 3:7
	•	-	-	-

44:19 53:14	Keith 3:6 9:8	71:2,3,4,23,24	96:23,25 97:6	59:14 79:14
job 6:8 40:17	15:25 24:10	73:11 74:19,20	larger 14:14	legion 52:21
58:8 70:4	25:9 33:21	74:24 75:8,9	40:1 109:8	legislation 27:24
97:16 98:7	57:24 86:3	76:24 77:15	largest 59:10	30:9 32:2
101:5 108:12	88:25 91:14	78:2,17 79:8	Lastly 76:22	48:14
109:7,7	92:12 98:13	79:21 80:18,24	Laughter 16:11	legislative 28:24
jobs 10:8 19:7	Kevin 114:2	81:4,7,11,14	17:2,11 22:20	108:15,15
19:12 30:3	key 25:17 72:22	81:19,23 82:5	23:2,8 31:22	legitimate 41:6
31:2 48:7	kick 25:8	82:12,17,25	35:11 39:12	lending 67:8
111:16,19,22	kind 7:16 27:18	83:2,4,9,16,19	46:7 55:16	70:3
111:24,24	28:2 33:4 47:1	84:13 85:2,7	58:9 70:14	lens 109:25
John 2:14,18	56:8 60:9	85:10,14 86:12	76:16 94:20	lesser 61:10
33:10,23 34:14	61:22 62:2,12	86:13 87:20,21	95:13 97:22	let's 33:8 35:15
34:22 42:11	63:24 65:9	87:25 89:4	106:23 107:21	46:13 51:7
43:17 45:5	68:1 83:3,10	90:1,7,10 91:4	111:1	62:4,16 71:13
48:5 49:16	89:24,25 92:13	91:20 92:2,3,9	law 7:23 39:22	77:25 87:25
50:3,12 52:12	93:1 99:1	92:15,24,25	53:7 58:19	99:2
78:10 80:10	101:8,18	93:4,9,15,17	laws 7:14 33:14	letter 41:15
104:10 106:3	102:12 104:7	93:23 94:23,25	lawyer 40:18	level 15:24 40:2
110:2,3	106:3,16 111:3	95:3,9,20,25	53:10 85:4	42:20 43:4
join 5:15 8:10	kinds 72:19	98:13 99:14,17	lawyers 76:9,13	87:4 100:15
8:12 21:18	Kippur 5:11,17	99:23 100:3	79:1 85:17,17	105:9
96:22,24	knew 80:13	101:13,14	layer 91:18	levels 61:8 110:4
jokes 46:17	know 6:24 7:10	102:16 103:1,9	lead 82:13	leverage 70:2
joking 23:10	7:11,12 9:1	103:11,11	lead-in 54:2	licensed 43:4
jolt 19:9	10:14 11:4,11	104:3,13 106:3	leaders 58:3	life 19:8 98:3
Journal 110:10	11:14 12:3,18	106:6 107:17	105:5	lift 19:12
judge 65:19	15:25 16:8,18	108:2,7,25	leadership 8:21	light 32:18
Julie 3:4 6:8 9:8	17:8 20:15	109:2,19,20	15:21 21:19,21	liked 100:2
15:24 21:24	22:16,21 24:24	111:2,2,6	30:20 95:16	Likewise 105:24
25:4 50:3	25:15 27:2,24	112:7	leading 32:15	limit 68:20
98:12 101:4	28:7 31:6,10	know-how 36:15	102:4	limited 5:21
July 6:20 36:24	31:11,12,25	knowing 93:9	leads 82:12	45:11,14,17
jumpstarted	32:3 35:2,16	knowledge	learn 102:17,21	46:15 47:6
19:7	36:12 37:19	48:11	learned 23:23	limits 17:10
June 6:20 7:1	38:6 39:13,18	known 66:7 67:6	100:2 102:15	48:19
10:2 28:7	41:13,16,21,22	67:15 68:9	102:17 104:13	line 53:17 78:8
36:24	42:2,4,5 43:16	knows 15:25	learning 102:15	92:19
jurisdiction 40:8	43:20,20,22,24	16:12	leave 6:6 18:8	lined 76:20
justify 19:21	43:25 44:2,13		19:19 21:1	lines 33:17 49:3
K	44:15,21 45:1	L 006 1.25	22:23 38:21	71:25 89:14
	45:8 46:5	L-006 1:25	46:16 49:14	lining 76:14
K 85:2	47:18 49:7,9	lack 50:15,19	88:6,16 100:19	linked 104:22
keenly 36:21	51:4 53:10	lacking 101:12	109:3	Lipton 58:19
keep 13:3 66:14	55:4 58:14,16	lackluster	leaving 11:11	59:4,7 79:11
66:15 69:17,18	58:20 59:21,21	106:16	22:14,17	Lipton's 82:6
71:24 76:21 109:22	61:7,13,25	ladies 108:5	left 76:3 97:7	liquid 20:20
	62:3,10,12,24	language 51:8	leg 62:14	71:6 82:20
keeps 101:24	63:1,8 69:5,19	large 72:19	legal 40:16 59:7	109:12
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

				rage 127
liquidity 20:23	68:10 69:15	maintained 61:1	63:10 76:7	102:17 103:1
66:24 76:22,24	70:5 74:16	major 28:18	81:20	107:18 112:16
76:25 77:3	77:2 85:24	38:19	matter 18:9 73:7	113:3 114:6
78:3 84:1 85:8	87:25 98:6	majority 14:10	111:6 113:3	meetings 5:24
86:12 88:21	103:12,13	28:16	114:5	25:14 36:24
95:20 111:11	106:4,8,13	making 6:12	matters 111:8	52:24 57:3
listen 95:6 103:5	looked 103:19	9:11 23:16	mature 72:16	71:4 73:8 76:1
listened 57:9	103:23	24:20 39:5	max 85:3	85:16 106:20
listening 70:11	looking 24:23	52:11,11 64:5	MD&A 86:1,2	108:10
litany 100:4	32:5,24 35:1	68:7 77:7	mean 28:18	member 24:16
literally 74:23	36:23 75:7	malicious 14:7	34:14 43:17,21	25:25 26:2
literature 87:22	86:15	manage 6:10,10	44:8 45:10	29:20,22 35:23
little 16:8 33:11	looks 12:8,15	93:23	50:18 54:10	37:7,9 44:10
50:3 59:16	13:15 28:17	management	60:11 71:7	46:4 54:17
60:18 72:1	31:8 84:19	59:8,9 79:11	76:2 81:22	112:13
74:7 76:25	lose 6:2	79:22 83:12	89:9,23 90:10	members 2:9
78:2,5 85:8,10	losing 11:22	managers 78:25	90:15 93:5	9:17 12:1
104:17 107:4	81:13	mandate 72:21	94:23 96:6	17:13,19 21:17
live 5:12	loss 86:13	mandated 16:3	98:25 99:3	36:21 61:23,24
lived 82:18	lot 16:20 42:1	mandates 73:16	meaning 37:21	96:9 104:13
loans 66:23 67:9	71:8 72:1,16	73:16	80:21	105:6 108:5,8
locate 7:10	73:22 74:19,25	manner 60:24	meaningful 21:2	memo 59:4
36:15	75:18 76:19	margins 91:1	62:19 86:2	mention 33:14
Location 113:7	77:6,6 78:7,16	market 13:4	88:14	mentioned 8:25
logical 88:6	80:1 83:9	19:8,9,23	meaningfully	10:1 30:16
logistical 5:8	90:24 92:18,23	56:22 61:8	111:22	31:1 34:14
logistics 55:21	93:4 96:5	64:11 72:3,24	means 34:19	65:18 80:13
57:15,20	99:25 100:2,3	77:14,19,20,24	35:17	83:1 87:10
London 59:11	100:5,24	84:6 85:10	meant 37:17	106:9
82:20	104:14 105:15	86:24 87:9	111:10	mentions 91:12
long 12:19 19:4	106:10,19	104:8 106:16	measure 83:4	merit 44:17 72:1
30:12 42:14	111:10	marketplace	93:20	met 82:5 94:2
47:23	lots 52:14,16	63:12 101:22	measures 88:21	Michael 2:7,25
long-run 59:1	108:23	104:6	meat 52:15	32:22 98:14
long-term 8:2	love 63:6	markets 13:14	media 27:11	99:19
59:17 60:16	low 47:11	20:19,20 41:20	109:24	micro 108:18
62:9,10 65:18	Luis 2:5 16:9,16	62:24 71:6	medicine 19:11	midsize 14:8
79:12,16 81:22	16:25	72:5 77:7	meet 17:6 63:3	midsized 14:11
83:13 86:23	Luna 2:20 61:19	87:12,14,15	72:21 73:15	midsized/emer
93:10	74:13 101:2	88:19 89:20	99:6	13:2
longer 18:16	lunch 7:7,19	93:6 109:13	meeting 1:6 4:3	Mike 7:1 24:9
70:11	55:9 80:13	110:8	5:2,4,5,20 6:1	27:25 28:2
look 8:2 9:9,19	94:24 98:24	marking 56:8	6:20 7:1 10:2	43:13
10:6,22 15:10		marks 23:1	12:3,3,11	million 40:9
19:3 21:7 22:4	M	Marty 59:4 68:3	13:19 16:14	46:24 47:11
23:20 24:5	M 2:6,10,11,19	79:10 82:6	38:12 56:8,17	48:20,23 56:22
33:3,4 39:13	M&A 41:14	Mary 2:4 8:9	57:2,20 61:22	70:1,1,3,4
41:23 42:19	62:23	massive 14:4	76:2 94:12	77:15,19,24
47:14 53:17	maintain 60:25	material 61:1	96:7 97:17	105:11
	1		1	

	Ī	l .	I	I
Milton 2:16 88:4	78:7,14 80:3	names 98:15,16	new 7:23 18:25	observer 2:25
88:24	81:13 84:8,15	narration 66:13	33:4,15 45:10	23:22
mind 13:3 69:18	89:8	narrow 74:3	58:22 64:25	observers 96:10
71:20 74:1,5	monitor 20:4	NASAA 28:1	72:11,18 84:12	obstacles 98:5
74:11 83:20	month 7:22	29:2,11 42:23	92:3 95:24	obvious 14:13
100:4 101:10	58:18	43:1 44:4	96:16 102:18	20:14
109:22	months 60:12	natural 41:15	news 14:3	obviously 32:1
mindful 64:23	78:17 80:22	60:11	nice 84:18 91:14	75:16 81:4
87:18	81:1 82:16	nature 27:5	Nineteen 80:16	107:2 108:23
minds 14:1	90:20 92:8	61:15	80:17	OCC 70:22,23
minimum 7:15	morning 5:4,23	near 10:12 17:4	Ninety-five	occurring 73:4
36:18 64:1	8:8,20 11:25	28:15 32:17	51:17	October 11:11
mining 109:24	24:13 111:16	nearly 45:16	no-action 41:15	offering 27:15
minority 23:16	motion 35:19	necessarily	nonperforming	27:17 48:2
minuses 77:16	37:1,7	24:17 59:15	67:9	offerings 10:5
minute 77:14	motivation	81:9 94:23	nonpolitical	24:7 27:3,4
minutes 94:11	60:15,23	necessary 46:15	97:16	37:18,22 38:20
112:12	motivations	69:16	nonregistered	47:22 48:3
misreading	60:9	necessity 38:23	40:3	50:8,17 51:17
37:21	mountain 77:4	need 25:2 29:1	noon 35:18	53:13,15
misrepresent	move 5:20 7:16	31:6,13 36:13	note 19:25 22:16	offers 27:10
66:10	25:23,25 29:18	47:18 49:23	29:15 64:10,13	office 12:10
missed 5:9	37:4 43:23	60:25 69:16,23	64:22 65:6,12	21:10,24 25:4
missing 50:17	54:14 55:23	74:11,16,21	110:9	25:6 41:21
104:8	59:6	75:11 83:2	noted 59:7 91:15	44:11
mission 11:7,13	moved 29:20	84:1,8,16	105:14	official 2:25
18:11 57:12	30:14 35:21	87:12 89:5,17	notes 87:21	56:17 114:15
misunderstood	37:10	89:20 90:8,12	noting 87:1	officially 55:22
38:18	movement 28:20	90:18 93:15	notion 47:2	Oh 38:10 54:9
model 71:3 72:8	movie 16:25	95:8 100:11	notwithstandi	88:25 93:17
78:18	22:17	103:12,21	8:6 83:8	okay 8:15 15:12
modernization	moving 6:17	105:11,22	number 12:20	25:9 26:3,7,25
57:7	36:11	108:25 109:6	14:22 21:22	29:23 33:1
modernize 7:5	multi-billion	110:14	27:23 28:9,10	34:4 35:4,4,7
modernized	19:5	needed 18:20	36:21,23 37:15	35:24 36:8,8
10:7	multi-year 43:8	62:3 63:9	46:19 47:9,11	37:6,8,13,25
modernizing	multiple 11:5	needs 13:5 39:18	47:11,16 50:6	40:12,20 47:12
27:1 28:3 29:1	Multipurpose	50:24 69:4	56:19 68:5	48:4 50:3 52:3
33:3	1:25	74:13 85:5	77:21 106:4	54:11,12 55:11
modification	mutual 106:14	102:2 103:20	113:5	55:14,15,24
34:4 61:11		103:20,23		56:5 64:7
modifications	<u>N</u>	105:7	0	71:14,16,19
54:13	N 4:1,1 5:1 56:4	negative 100:20	O 4:1 5:1 56:4,4	72:9 75:14,19
modified 35:19	56:4,4	negotiate 52:23	56:4	84:25 91:24
modify 48:9	N.E 1:24	Neither 13:9	Obama 17:10	92:10 94:5,9
moment 11:9	name 72:18	nestle 99:1	objectives 63:2	95:10 97:3
56:15	113:18	never 76:12 99:9	104:24	101:1 102:8
money 53:1	named 7:22	nevertheless	obligation 22:11	106:17 112:4
66:25 77:3,7	58:19	30:15	90:2	112:14
	-	-	-	-

				- 0.50>
old 45:7 107:14	38:20 66:14	particular 11:7	15:15 16:18,20	perspectives
once 47:22 66:7	outsiders 67:3	15:17,24 16:4	16:22 27:10	99:8
71:3,4 112:3	overemphasize	25:16 27:10	39:24 41:4,7	phenomenal
once-a-year	40:12	60:1 61:24	41:11 42:15,19	101:4
80:8	overgrown	75:1 84:18	44:6 45:11	philosophical
one-off 48:18,24	100:10	94:6 101:16	50:19,21 51:2	100:5
onerous 75:24	overload 20:6	particularly	51:6 53:8,9	phone 48:20
onerousness	overregulated	17:24 32:17	63:11 71:2	98:12 102:13
80:21	70:18	60:6 85:10	74:22 75:3,5,9	photo 55:19
ones 60:7 100:8	overregulating	86:17 95:24	75:11 76:13	pick 94:15
ongoing 4:14	72:2	100:21	77:2,7,8 78:24	picked 11:21
38:3 58:13,23	oversees 43:6	parties 5:10	79:1,16,23	picture 94:4
online 49:24	oversight 100:13	partner 7:22	80:3,6,7 83:6	pictures 22:25
open 16:13 24:2	oversights	58:19	84:14 100:21	pieces 30:9
26:3 29:6 37:5	100:14	party 52:20	100:22,24	Pieciak 2:25 7:1
56:3 58:1 60:3	owner 89:10	passage 39:14	102:19 103:14	27:25 28:4
63:13 73:24	owning 95:21	39:19	percent 14:21	43:15 44:8
92:12		passed 30:9	27:12 37:18,21	94:17,18,21
opening 23:13	P	patchwork	38:19 50:7	Pintos 71:8
operating 59:17	P 5:1	30:15	51:17,19,24	PIPE 92:17,18
opinion 89:13	p.m 56:2 112:16	path 20:16	67:11,11 70:2	pitfalls 61:3
opportunity	PA 98:22	patient 19:8	70:17 71:10	111:21
20:7 25:12	PAGE 4:2	Paul 2:21 32:10	76:3,4 77:19	Piwowar 2:7 8:9
30:19 39:6	pages 114:3	32:13 35:21	77:22,23 80:19	21:15,16 22:21
74:20 78:1	paid 40:18 53:8	37:3,6,12,14	105:17	23:5,9,12
101:7 102:6	pain 79:14 85:14	38:4,8,10,17	perception	place 19:2,19
opposed 26:11	painful 68:13	45:24 46:5,8	61:16 87:8	29:10 47:17
31:13 33:16,18	91:18	46:25 47:13,25	perfect 20:7	48:8 65:8 79:6
36:4 54:22	panelists 23:19	60:4 80:12,17	71:17	99:18
65:1	papal 17:14	82:13 99:13	perfectly 29:4	plan 6:11 7:7
opt 75:19 80:7	paper 38:11	pause 11:9	performance 8:1	52:24
optimize 13:6,8	41:1 86:4	pay 20:11 40:16	59:2	planes 8:6
order 4:3 5:2,4	paragraph 50:6	85:19	performing 44:7	112:11
20:12 103:21	51:9,10 54:3	PCAOB 76:13	performs 12:13	planned 8:11
organization	part 45:5,19	101:25	period 91:6,9	platform 49:25
49:11 98:14	50:15 62:6	PCAOB's	periodic 10:19	play 39:25 62:6
organizations	76:11 85:25	101:18,19	peripheral	62:8 74:8
14:14 66:14	90:10 95:14	peace 20:8	105:12	89:25
organized 33:13	98:8,9 102:6	pearls 111:20	perjury 66:9	plays 106:24
organizing	105:12 110:21	penalized 80:7	permit 73:22	pleased 9:13
12:11	PARTICIPA	penalties 66:9	permitted 36:19	22:2
original 113:13	2:1 3:1	Pennsylvania	permitting 74:2	pleasure 23:13
orphan 96:1	participate 47:3	72:17	person 38:1 57:4	110:21 112:6
OS-0923 113:5	participated	pension 72:15	personal 100:5	pledge 17:10
OTS 70:22,22	47:9	72:17,25 73:8	personally 40:13	plenty 53:19
ought 61:5	participating	96:23 97:1,7	61:6 99:8	plugged 103:5
outlet 63:9	23:13 106:2	pensions 72:15	perspective 32:7	pluses 77:16
outside 17:10	participation	72:16,16	41:23 72:12	point 31:13
18:19 27:10	15:21	people 6:6 7:11	95:3	32:10,19 33:20
•				

		I	I	I
33:22,24,25	power 46:10	principal 14:9	processes 73:14	91:18 104:20
34:1 38:4,6,7	106:10	48:12	73:21	104:21
38:19 42:25	powers 100:12	principles 64:9	produce 93:20	protects 31:9
44:12,21 49:19	practicing 104:1	64:10	producing 91:17	protocols 14:21
50:22,24 52:19	pre-agreement	prior 9:24 61:22	product 10:20	prove 74:22
53:5 55:11,13	105:18	76:23 91:10	productive 11:3	93:12
55:14 68:19	pre-JOBS 30:3	106:11 111:7	products 64:25	proven 112:8
73:20 75:11	pre-offering	priority 68:5	professionals	provide 12:23
78:11 82:6,11	49:4	86:18	7:22	15:20 22:11
85:6 86:6,23	preamble 50:6	prison 22:19	profit 66:15 67:5	25:19 41:2
87:15,19	51:9	private 19:4	67:15 86:13	58:2 63:21
points 25:17	predators 53:22	36:14 37:18,22	profits 86:14	provided 9:4
49:22 50:23	predict 67:7	38:20 46:22	programs 79:23	57:4 62:7
60:1 62:13	78:15	47:22 48:3	progress 21:4	providing 12:21
68:2 86:22	prediction 28:17	51:17 53:18	41:14 95:8	13:13 18:5
95:18 108:23	predominant	110:11	progressive 29:9	provisions 18:23
policy 12:11	14:12	privately 56:20	prohibited	48:8
16:23 21:10,25	premise 109:12	privilege 23:11	69:11	proxy 85:1
25:4 41:23	premises 77:12	66:6 105:3	prohibition	PSERS 72:17
42:6 75:18	preparation	109:16 110:21	96:13	public 4:6 6:14
polite 46:4,6	96:7	112:7	project 6:17	6:17 7:24
52:8	prepare 36:25	pro 97:4	20:5	10:16 12:7
pool 40:1	prepared 114:10	pro-regulatory	projection 92:25	24:12 25:11
Pope 5:9	prescriptive	20:8	prominent 7:23	48:2 51:3
populated 58:3	30:4	proactive 15:1	58:19	53:17,18 56:22
population	present 2:3,9 3:3	49:2,11	prominently	56:24 57:8
45:11 77:24	44:24 61:5	probably 36:12	16:2	58:21 59:10,23
portfolio 73:2,3	82:2 95:6	64:23 87:11	promote 7:3	66:4,23 67:20
74:22 75:2,7	presentation	90:16 96:15	31:10	68:16 69:13
75:12 78:25	107:17	99:22 108:17	promotes 86:2	76:25 77:23
93:23 94:1	presentations	problem 6:5	promoting	82:5,7,8 83:9
portfolio-fund	96:3,5	50:21 51:1,5	23:24	83:17 84:23,25
73:16	presented 5:8	51:15 59:3	promulgated	89:6,6 95:19
pose 14:13	38:12	63:18 84:1	12:20	95:21 105:16
position 6:21	press 91:20,23	problems 51:2	prone 6:6	105:20 108:7
29:11 100:10	91:25	110:1	prong 51:5	110:3,4,7,14
109:16	pretty 27:11	proceeding	prongs 51:6	publication
positioned 68:22	30:17 53:4	114:9	Proofreader's	48:10 49:4
positioning	58:15 71:17	proceedings	113:1,18	publications
93:25	81:10 85:8,15	11:23 25:8	proposal 75:22	67:13
possible 19:4	85:16 90:6	57:25 113:11	78:6 81:5	publicly 56:21
37:24	101:23 108:10	proceeds 27:15	103:16	58:18 69:5,10
post-Dodd-Fr	108:24	process 22:7	proposed 45:16	77:18
17:24	prevalence 60:9	28:25 41:8	46:25	publish 10:20
posters 22:25	prevalent 15:4	43:3,8,12 44:1	proposition 62:9	66:16
potential 36:15	price 20:11	44:4 47:15	prospect 32:16	pulling 41:12
39:24	60:13,24	73:14 75:7	protect 13:13	pure 40:18
potentially 70:4	primarily 27:9	91:15 98:17	14:14 104:22	purely 27:3
pounds 59:9	53:15,16	101:19	protection 13:8	purpose 41:24
_	,		 ^	^
		1	1	1

42:1,6 104:4	75:13,24,25	rate 66:16 70:2	recapping 92:21	recording
purposes 67:4	76:9,21 77:3	ratio 67:6,7,7,15	receive 73:7	113:15
83:8	77:10 78:19,22	Raya 113:9	rechartered	records 42:5
pursuant 33:17	80:14,21,24	reach 57:16	24:1	recovery 19:13
pursue 19:17	81:15,24 82:8	read 25:12 40:10	rechartering	Redemption
20:18	82:14 83:4	reading 50:6	22:7	22:18
pursuing 59:5	84:11,14 85:13	ready 43:22	recognize 21:18	redraft 35:17
purview 44:6	85:16 88:7	real 11:13 40:5	recognized	reduce 59:22
106:12	89:3 90:25	40:25 65:20	12:19	63:14 88:8
put 30:22 47:4	91:8 93:12,19	72:19 91:22	recommendati	reduces 87:23
48:7 52:23	quasi-govern	96:8 102:11,14	4:6,9,12 6:16	reducing 61:21
60:14 78:14	100:12	reality 75:22	6:22 7:8 12:17	63:7 64:4 88:9
80:3 81:17	question 33:12	87:9	22:9 25:10,16	redundant 69:3
83:23 90:24	42:7,22 43:17	really 9:2,4	25:22,24 26:8	Reese 2:22 34:24
91:23 94:21	44:25 45:2,6	11:12 23:22	26:15,19,23	35:4,7,9,12
106:25 107:23	46:19 53:14	25:18 34:19	27:1,8 28:15	39:11,13 48:3
111:23	61:24 70:6	39:16,20 44:17	29:3,10,14,19	51:10,16,23
putting 48:19	80:12 82:19	45:3,14 51:10	32:21 35:16	52:1,3 72:10
52:15 91:20	84:24 87:7	61:9,25 64:14	36:9,11,25	92:11 97:24
	89:2,8 90:19	64:18 66:19	37:2 41:18	reference 22:17
puzzles 103:9	92:12,12 101:9	67:18 75:4,6	42:25 43:2,11	65:13 90:11,14
Q	111:5	75:11 83:17	44:3,17 45:4	referred 104:18
Q's 74:2,8 85:2	questions 53:6	84:9 85:12	45:19 46:19	referring 37:17
85:2,25	111:17 112:2	86:16,23 88:11	47:13 52:9	refinance 92:17
quality 73:1	quick 5:22 32:25	95:5 99:1	54:8,14 55:1	reflect 24:17
quantification	quick 5.22 52.25 quicker 90:12		60:19 61:20	60:13
65:9,14,16	quiet 91:6	100:1,8,19,20 101:6 102:5,20	63:7 95:7	reflected 32:4
84:9 87:19	quite 49:3 58:17	101.0 102.3,20	111:13,15	reflects 24:16
88:1	68:15 93:17	102.23,24	111.13,13	reform 59:5
quantify 83:3,3	101:21	103:1,1,3,4,4,3	recommendati	100:11
quantity 63:14	quorum 26:18	105:0,11 105.8	6:13 9:24	reforms 20:21
quarter 62:22	26:19	106:2,7,10	10:10,24 13:1	reg 37:22 38:20
64:6 66:8	quote 91:13	108:20	18:4,7,17 20:1	51:25 84:13
74:23 80:19	quote 91.13	reason 5:13 14:7	24:6,11 29:16	92:3 95:25
84:7 85:11,14	R	14:12,18 45:10	30:24 32:18	regard 7:2 8:3
quarterly 7:24	R 5:1 56:4	88:16 92:4	34:12 38:23,23	90:1
7:25 58:21	raise 13:7,12	reasonable 18:4	39:1,7 49:19	regarding 5:17
59:3,6,12,19	33:25 40:4	64:1	56:15 57:5,6	7:8 12:17
60:8,10,20	47:16 48:20	reasoning 75:21	57:10,21 63:13	19:14 36:12
61:2,14,16	49:22 51:11,12	76:5	65:10 100:2	regardless 51:12
62:5 63:4,18	52:20 53:20	reasons 42:6	103:2 107:25	59:1
63:25 64:3,12	84:8 89:1,8,8	66:20	111:23	regards 101:3
64:16,19 65:2	raised 22:8 51:7	rebalance 73:2	reconstituted	regime 10:16
65:5,15 66:2	52:19 89:5	rebalancing	13:20 17:19	29:10 31:14
66:11,17 67:17	raises 40:7 47:16	74:20,22 75:1	84:19 94:15	46:12 65:7,10
69:11 70:24	raising 39:25	75:12	record 34:25	80:5 89:22
71:1,5,20 72:6	47:10 48:23	rebut 16:10	40:19 107:22	registered 36:17
73:6,21,24	56:20 84:15	recall 31:1 94:24	113:12	47:18 50:16
74:8,25 75:2	89:2 92:20	recap 28:2 57:1	recorded 114:9	52:4
, ,	25.252.20	16Cap 40.4 31.1	16CO1UCU 114.7	J4. T
	l			l

registration 41:8 Relevant 10:1 61:21 62:5,14 requirements revitalize 10:22 27:13 41:16,23 42:7 relied 6:25 62:16 63:8,18 12:22 27:13 reward 39:22 27:13)4:6
41:16,23 42:7 relied 6:25 62:16 63:8,18 12:22 27:13 reward 39:2	
44:13 89:16,19 relief 19:11 64:12,16 65:15 33:12,19 41:24 richer 110:1	16
regrets 21:1 relieves 61:11 72:14 73:9 56:24 73:9 rid 60:20 84	
regular 61:2 rely 10:5 37:18 75:23 76:1,7 84:14 ride 21:12 3	
89:12 37:22 51:2,17 77:4 78:13 requires 42:16 35:9	
regulate 44:23 51:24 73:15 79:20 80:8,14 requiring 78:12 right 23:12	
45:12 88:12 80:19,25 81:24 rescission 53:7 28:17 30:	13
regulated 43:3 remain 98:19 83:5 87:4,6,16 research 48:10 31:8 32:23	
50:22 69:7 99:25 87:22 88:1 49:4 34:19 35:0	
regulates 13:25 remarks 4:4 89:3 91:16 Reserve 69:10 37:6,16 4	,
regulating 44:5 8:18,24 11:24 93:16 113:14 resistance 48:13 51:15,16:	
regulation 17:25 16:14 56:10 114:16 resistant 48:18 52:7 55:20	
20:22 27:4 110:24 reports 7:24,25 resources 14:15 62:10 66:0	,
33:5 47:24 remember 5:25 10:19 58:21 36:15 68:16 70:2	21
50:8 65:24,25	1:23
69:12 96:17 86:5 108:13 65:6 66:3,11 18:9 27:22 80:17 82:	
108:17 109:21 remembered 66:17 67:2 31:4 39:3 88:4,19 90	,
regulations 8:15 69:3,12,13,23 48:10,16 99:19 95:7 98:6	
12:20,21 18:25 remind 5:18 70:25 71:1,5 respond 92:11 104:8 107	:1
19:2,19,22 rendered 11:12 71:20 72:6 response 22:10 Risk 38:15	
42:17 69:21 renew 22:3 74:25 75:25 22:11 26:6,12 road 8:22	
109:15 renewed 18:15 76:10 78:19 26:14 31:18 robust 13:8	
regulators 66:5 21:7 87:17 88:14 35:14 36:1,5,7 19:13 20:	18,20
68:19 70:20 renewing 9:14 91:18 53:25 54:15,19 role 43:21 7	2:11
regulatory 13:2 12:12 repositioning 54:23,25 94:7 74:8 100:0	5
13:23 19:11 rep 47:19 93:24 responses 18:6 roll 73:10 9	4:4
42:6 64:17 repeating 69:19 represent 39:23 responsibility room 1:25 5	3:19
reiterate 9:7 110:25 representation 100:15 62:17 71:2	21
87:20 report 45:1 40:15 responsible 94:13	
reiterating 11:2 60:10 66:8 representative 45:12 roots 77:13	
related 16:3 67:17,23,24 42:23 responsiveness Roundtable	9
19:25 68:22 69:13 represented 98:15 4:13 58:13	2
relates 30:11 71:22 73:10,13 83:9 91:21 restrictions 41:7 route 34:7	
61:19 83:15 77:9 78:21 107:6 result 7:25 88:13 routinely 14	
relating 20:1 79:17 80:21 represents 14:6 92:23 rudimentar	y
59:22 90:20 111:15 repurchase results 69:10 14:23	
relation 101:9 111:20 68:24 93:20 rule 4:10 6:	
relationship reported 92:21 repurchases return 6:23 7:19 7:5 10:4,7	
72:14 reporter 114:2 68:16,20 returned 56:2 26:24 27:	
relationships 114:15 require 44:9 revenues 27:14 27:9,12,2	
16:6 REPORTER'S 88:8 65:1 86:14 28:3 29:1	
relative 71:8 114:1 required 10:18 90:25 91:21 30:22 32::	
88:23 reporting 4:14 42:24 44:13 review 10:17 33:4,15,13	
relatively 43:9 12:22,24,25 70:7 80:14 15:8 20:3 57:7 75:13	
47:11 88:22 18:24 25:18,20 82:14 91:2 66:16 84:22 103:19 10	
93:12 56:24 58:13,24 requirement 85:1 96:16 rulemaking	
release 91:10,21	
91:23,25 60:8,20 61:17 73:13 101:14 101:15 rulemaking	S

				1 490 133
18:22	107:18	secular 110:6	37:15 50:7,14	65:17 79:12
rules 30:4,6,11	scheduling 8:22	secure 36:17	50:17,23	81:10 86:23
31:20 49:13	scope 18:25 47:6	securities 1:1,23	September 1:14	short-termism
78:12 89:4,7	scorecard 60:12	4:9 10:4 20:19	9:2 28:12	63:17 81:9
90:9 108:19	Scott 38:14	26:24 30:2	113:6 114:5	83:11
run 5:24 51:25	screen 16:9	56:23 86:5	serious 65:9	shorter 86:3
60:23 79:15	Sebastian 3:5	90:4 95:25	seriously 14:19	shortsightedn
97:17 102:25	6:7 9:8 15:24	104:2 109:15	31:23	79:19,20
running 7:13	21:24 25:3	113:11	SERS 72:17	shots 96:10
runway 93:14	26:17 33:20	security 13:22	serve 9:18 60:7	99:19
rush 17:25	34:6 43:16	14:5,19,21	100:8,10 101:7	shout-out 16:13
Rutkowski 3:7	98:12 101:4	15:8 20:21	105:4	show 30:20
44:21 46:18,21	102:13	101:12	served 41:24	42:15 96:10
47:12,20 48:1	SEC 1:6 2:3	see 10:11 20:1	42:1 104:4	97:6
48:4	5:22 6:16,24	22:25 25:17	service 11:3,12	showing 18:9
	12:18 30:4,20	28:15 30:17	12:6,14 15:18	shown 9:13
S	30:22 32:15	32:19 33:6,9,9	Services 114:16	shows 66:24
S 2:7,25 4:1 5:1	43:5 45:6,20	39:21 40:2,12	serving 24:20	sick 8:12 11:23
56:4,4,4 113:9	45:24 46:10,11	63:6 68:22	session 55:6,23	side 65:16 67:9
S-1 107:15	49:12 53:3	72:1 73:19	56:2,3,18	76:11 92:5
S-3 90:9 107:16	57:18 59:5	79:25 84:17	107:5,18	108:15
Saade 23:19	66:4 67:25	88:20,22 92:23	set 27:12 49:20	sides 43:18
sad 16:8	69:20 70:19	99:22 104:15	49:21 52:24	sight 6:3
safe 6:24 10:4	86:2 96:4 97:9	104:25 111:25	54:11 76:21	sign 18:10 66:8
47:2,17 53:3	97:12 98:10	seed 105:7	79:4 80:5	signature 28:22
safety 68:6	101:3 106:12	seeing 17:18	111:17 112:2	signed 91:23
San 6:1	113:3 114:6	21:7 96:12	sets 57:5	significance
Sara 2:17 49:17	SEC's 19:2	seen 7:21 18:21	seven 28:23	12:19 38:22
54:8 73:19	SEC-only 45:8	20:5 40:5 49:5	seventy 80:16,17	significant 48:22
85:5	sec.gov 29:17	98:3	share 32:7 52:17	63:10 105:9
satiated 56:6	second 26:1,2	sees 69:5	shared 83:25	108:10
satisfy 88:8,9	29:21,22 35:22	selecting 9:17	shareholder	significantly
save 19:24 76:19	35:23 37:4,9	self-regulatory	70:17,24	31:10 64:14,24
saw 41:20	37:12 38:8	45:13	shareholders	signs 18:13
103:16	47:21 50:7	selling 19:4 90:4	76:2 87:24	similar 14:22
saying 79:11,13	54:16,17 56:22	semiannual 62:5	shares 14:6	19:17,25 20:23
79:15 91:21	74:19 95:12	64:2 74:2	92:22,22,23	22:13 79:6
103:5 106:3	96:20 98:9	81:15	Shawshank 17:1	simple 14:8 81:5
says 24:16 25:16	111:13	semiannually	22:18	81:5
50:7,15 52:12	secondary 20:19	85:21	shelf 89:16,19	simplification
76:12 96:6	20:20 95:22	Senate 97:20	89:21	86:6
SBA 23:24	seconded 37:11	send 17:1,5	shocked 82:7	simplified 12:17
scaled 12:22	section 33:19	84:23	shocking 19:8	12:24 19:14
scaling 20:15	34:9,15	senior 25:5	shops 103:25	simply 18:24
71:21 108:17	sector 14:19	sense 86:24	short 85:12	32:13 37:23
scary 53:6	64:13 65:2	88:17 108:18	short-term 8:1	47:4 51:6,7
scenarios 72:2	73:3	sensible 59:22	58:25 59:14	54:6 67:7 89:6
schedule 11:4	sectors 23:14	sent 99:23	60:9,24 61:15	sincere 11:2
scheduled	86:11	sentence 22:22	61:15 62:11	sit 43:22 77:16
	•	•	1	1

	I	1	1	1
sitting 75:23	25:18,19,19	soundness 68:6	stale 92:9	statute 30:17
82:7 99:14	41:1 50:16	sounds 77:21	standard 24:15	statutory 18:11
situation 41:11	53:15 59:23	97:3	68:13	22:11 32:1
41:13 54:4,5	60:6 61:9,19	source 105:21	standards 68:11	33:18
situations 6:4	62:14 74:7	south 98:19	standpoint 93:8	stay 19:3 77:25
41:4	75:12,18 83:17	Southern 97:1	94:3	stayed 57:11
six 90:20	84:21,25 87:10	106:22	start 6:5,13	staying 97:16
six-month 80:23	90:9 100:7,7	space 24:4 41:17	11:18 12:1	Stein 8:10
size 62:15 69:18	101:19 106:9	42:7 44:24	39:4 56:7 82:4	stellar 57:14
77:12,25	109:8	speak 5:14 23:23	94:17 109:11	step 19:16 27:6
105:10 111:10	smarter 100:22	43:20,25 44:18	109:16 111:9	45:21
111:10	100:23,25	58:4	started 16:18,19	Stephen 2:10
skeptical 59:18	smile 46:2	Speaking 66:2	24:14 76:3	15:16 21:16,19
skepticism 30:1	smothering	special 6:7 16:13	104:1	24:13 25:8
slew 104:1	19:22	25:5,5	starting 55:9	28:5 40:21
small 1:7 5:6 7:3	so-called 7:8	specialty 68:10	92:9	95:16 102:5,24
7:10,17 11:7	12:25	specific 39:7	startup 105:10	steps 7:15 21:2
11:14 12:2,11	social 27:11	47:13 52:19	105:20	106:4 111:5,9
12:14,19,22	109:23	86:25	startups 19:3	Steve 8:19,25
13:2,21,24	solely 27:5	specifically 29:5	state 20:14 22:8	26:21 37:7
14:8,11,20	solicitation	48:10 55:8	27:7,10,17	38:2 51:10
15:4 16:4	96:13	specificity 39:3	29:8 30:18	55:7 86:22
17:22 18:1	solution 81:5	64:20	33:14 43:4	97:15,17 98:24
19:18,20,22	solutions 74:4	spectrum 53:12	44:5,13,22	Steve's 9:7
20:12,19,21	74:10	spend 69:19	47:23 70:20	stick 97:8
21:10,25 22:6	somebody 6:6	70:25 75:22	72:11 96:23	sticks 100:4
23:21,25 25:4	43:2 48:20,23	81:21 107:24	state-based	stimulate 22:5
29:5 30:23	48:23 52:22	spending 27:15	28:11,14 29:9	stimulating
31:13 38:4	80:12 107:9	103:6	44:9 95:4	21:11
40:7 45:11	somewhat 5:20	spent 25:14	stated 93:21	stint 96:21
56:20 58:7	Sonia 2:20 61:18	57:16 75:24	statement 85:1	stock 59:11
63:12 64:15	65:18 74:12	split 60:18	86:13	60:13,24 68:16
65:3 72:19	96:5	sponsored 23:15	statements	68:20,24 72:24
74:23 76:7,25	soon 8:5 10:21	square 77:1	75:16 89:16,19	77:7 89:10
77:14 79:14	sophistication	stacked 78:6	111:4	93:24 95:21
81:23 84:5	14:25	staff 3:3 5:22	states 7:2 27:22	straightforward
85:22 87:4,6	sorry 38:10	6:16 10:2,9,13	27:23 28:2,9	43:10
92:16,20 95:1	70:22,22	12:10 15:24	28:10,13,16,18	strange 41:3
98:4,5,7 100:9	sort 15:20 16:21	24:16,18 31:24	28:21,23 29:12	strategic 62:22
100:17 101:13	40:7 43:19	45:8 52:12	30:14 44:1,2	63:1
103:25 107:2,9	44:14 46:12	57:18 62:16	44:23 47:21	strategically
107:15 108:14	53:3 60:19	96:4 97:13	statistic 37:23	82:9
108:18 109:1	61:11 71:12	98:10 101:3	38:13	Strategies 38:15
111:12 113:4	72:2 79:5	102:19 104:12	statistical 50:10	Street 1:24 48:9
114:6	81:14 90:22	105:4,25	108:25	48:15 49:5
smaller 12:18,21	93:13 94:25	108:10 110:19	statistics 37:25	78:15 110:10
12:25 13:7	sorts 41:22	staff's 10:17	83:25	strength 20:9
14:7,13,17,18	sound 68:7,8	staffer 16:19	status 44:22,22	stride 16:21
18:24 19:15	75:21	stage 105:11,15	45:17 49:24	strike 27:16
	1	1	1	1

striking 53:20	supporting 6:9	59:21 63:11	101:6 105:18	78:16 91:13
stripping 78:3	supposed 70:1	64:3 68:2 75:5	105:19 106:4	95:21 100:18
strong 13:4	sure 5:13,23 8:5	84:5 91:5	109:18	103:9,12,23
19:10	23:16 28:4	talking 25:15	testimony 114:4	104:15
stronger 75:3	30:12 37:16	43:8 46:21	Texas 67:5,6,7	things 8:16 16:3
structure 106:14	38:5,15 43:15	50:5 51:14,19	67:15	33:9 41:22
structured 81:12	43:24 51:18	53:15,16 69:12	thank 8:19,20	45:25 47:1
structuring 47:4	58:4 68:7	76:24 81:10	8:21 11:9,16	48:19 49:9
struggling 95:18	83:14 86:16	100:23	11:17,19,25	52:4,7,14,22
studies 65:13	92:13 97:9	tangible 18:10	12:9 15:11,12	52:24 59:20
stuff 102:21	101:21 102:1	target 14:9	15:15,16,17,18	66:18 70:8
103:2	surprise 16:23	targeted 14:10	15:22,23 17:12	72:22 73:25
stumbling	39:2	targets 14:9,13	21:13,16,17,22	74:11 75:16
111:21	surprised 16:20	task 108:14	24:7,9 25:9	79:2,9,23 94:1
stunned 102:12	99:13 107:4	tasks 56:19	26:21,22 28:4	98:11,18 99:8
style 106:22	survey 14:20	team 102:5	28:5 29:13	101:10 103:1
sub 8:13	38:13	112:9	30:25 31:17,19	105:14 107:2
subject 10:8	sustainable	teams 79:11	32:8 33:1	109:18 110:2
14:17 15:5,9	59:16	tech 23:14	34:22 39:8,9	111:10
20:4 23:15	swear 113:10	technical 33:12	40:20 42:10	think 5:3,25
47:23 56:25	sweep 18:24	technology	48:4 53:23	6:21 8:25 9:15
59:20 94:6	sympathetic	64:25 110:11	55:3,25 56:13	25:11 28:8,21
subset 51:19,22	31:6 60:5	110:13	57:13,18,22,23	29:3,7,9,11
substance 27:18	system 31:9 72:7	teed 42:9	58:7,11 63:15	30:19,22 31:6
substitute 69:3	73:22 74:9	telephonic 57:4	65:21 70:9,10	32:4,11 33:3
subtopics 58:16	79:25 83:18	tell 44:12 46:10	73:18 78:9	33:23 34:11,25
succeeded	87:16 88:23	46:17 68:20	80:10 86:19	39:1,21 40:25
103:14	89:18,25 106:9	102:11	94:9 95:9,10	41:13,18,19,20
success 20:21	109:3	telling 75:10	95:16 99:11	41:22 42:8
successful 19:3	systems 68:7,7	107:9	101:1 102:4,7	43:18 44:15,16
suffer 41:4 80:9	68:11	tend 6:2 87:10	102:8 104:9,10	44:23 45:5,19
suffocating		tendency 104:18	105:23 110:18	45:24,25 46:10
19:23	T	tenfold 48:24	110:22,23	48:16 49:1
suggest 24:1	T 4:1,1 56:4	tenure 56:18	111:3 112:4,5	50:17,24 51:4
suggested 26:5	table 43:22	112:9	112:15	52:3,8 53:14
58:20	take 5:15 18:8	term 5:18 9:1,15	thanking 6:5	53:15 54:1,7
suggesting 7:23	20:7 21:4	10:12 11:3	24:19	55:4 58:20
69:22	43:13 56:15	12:4 24:22	thanks 5:22 6:7	60:17 61:8
suggestion 22:15	66:25 88:13,17	29:25 32:17	9:7 21:12	62:19,22 63:5
55:7 108:22	94:13 96:10,14	107:19	24:13 43:17	63:12,18,19,24
suggestions 9:20	99:18 111:10	terms 23:24 44:1	49:16 50:1,1	64:3,8,9,20
96:16	taken 7:16 19:22	52:15 56:6	61:18 88:24	65:8,14 69:2
suggests 55:5	21:2 30:12	62:14 63:10,20	96:18 97:19	69:23 70:5,20
sunnier 17:7	50:10 55:19	69:11 74:17	104:11 105:24	71:13,23,25
support 5:22	takes 80:20	81:11,11 83:16	theses 93:25	72:2,6 74:13
21:25 22:8	talent 21:9	85:5,23 87:5	thing 27:11 33:8	74:16,21 75:3
38:25 57:24	talk 24:2 50:3	88:20 92:20	44:8 45:3	75:10,20 76:7
59:13 108:11	71:2 91:9 96:7	94:14 95:7	48:25 60:22,25	76:19 77:1,4
supported 25:6	talked 49:5	97:10 99:15,21	67:4 74:6	78:1,8 79:15

	l	l	l	
79:20 80:8	14:7	40:24	tradition 85:15	tune 55:10
81:2,8,21 82:3	threats 14:15,16	tireless 57:19	traditionally	tuning 29:15
82:6,8,13,25	61:3	title 32:16,20,24	13:22	turbulent 72:24
83:1,6,7,15,16	three 6:12 43:19	today 5:11,15	traffic 8:7 17:14	turn 8:16 19:23
83:16,24,25	52:4 56:19	6:11,21 8:4,13	train 35:8,9	24:10 25:7
84:7,13 85:13	60:12 62:16	9:11,16,24	trains 8:6	56:10
85:15,22 86:1	67:17 81:1,6	10:25 11:21	112:11	tweak 111:23,24
86:3,9,15,22	81:21 86:22	21:18 23:20	transaction 53:7	tweaked 103:20
86:25 87:25	96:21 97:5,11	24:6,16,20,24	62:23	tweaking 96:16
88:3,5,11	97:24	27:22 28:12,12	transactions	Twelve 80:22
89:15 91:10,11	three-pronged	68:2 70:13	40:14	twice 76:8
92:6,15 93:5	57:12	77:20 95:7	transcript	twice-a-year
93:24 95:17,23	three-year 22:22	today's 5:5 9:10	113:14 114:3,4	80:8
96:3,12 97:15	thrilled 111:14	12:3,8,16	114:10	two 12:13 16:22
98:1,21,24	thrive 104:24	13:18 56:18	transferred	17:17 18:15
99:2,5,22,25	throw 60:3	told 102:3	49:10	22:3 25:14
100:10,15,16	thrown 63:11	tomorrow 5:19	transition 20:5	37:14 41:14
101:4,22	thrust 52:9	9:1	transparency	44:22 50:23
102:16,23,24	tick 111:10,10	tool 32:23	72:7,13 93:6	51:5 66:18,20
103:2,9,10,12	Tim 34:23 39:10	top 71:10	transparent	74:11 79:9
103:18,23	52:7,15,19	topic 6:14,23	42:17 71:9,10	80:4 88:10
104:6 105:7,9	72:9,13 73:18	7:20 13:18	109:13	96:14 97:14
106:6,7,8,11	96:19 97:23	58:15 109:20	treasurer 72:11	98:23,25
106:19 107:7	98:9 99:11	topics 6:12 10:1	tremendous	101:11 104:25
107:16,23	time 5:3,23 11:5	12:16 15:11	19:16 20:24	two-tiered 87:9
108:8,13,16,24	17:17 27:6,25	24:24 57:17	trenches 58:3	87:16 89:18
109:5,5,10,14	28:8 31:11,21	94:15 99:21	trend 110:6	two-year 9:15
109:15,18,20	31:21 34:2	101:9 103:8	triangles 43:19	type 41:25 44:11
109:24 110:5	36:14 39:11	105:1 107:14	tribute 109:14	44:14 48:24
110:14,14	48:21 57:3,16	totally 15:16	tried 5:25 16:13	63:7 75:11
111:6,14 112:2	62:25 70:10	58:22 91:1	19:24	typically 46:5
112:8	72:24 75:22,24	touch 39:3 49:7	tries 68:14	91:8
thinking 46:22	76:3,4 80:20	touches 59:20	trifecta 69:7	
47:22 48:3	81:21 85:12	touching 65:25	triggers 41:16	U
62:6	95:5,9 97:8,10	tough 77:4	89:24	U.K 64:2 81:15
third 42:25	100:20 103:6	97:25	trimesterly	90:20 91:6
52:20 56:23	103:12 107:24	town 5:9	80:25	U.K.'s 59:6
thorn 42:14	108:3 109:10	track 78:15	true 50:16 75:8	U.S 1:1,23 71:6
thought 17:14	112:11	tracks 79:5	96:8 113:13	72:7 91:8
56:15 59:25	time-consuming	trade 64:15,16	114:3	113:11
94:10,25 95:7	79:10	64:24 74:3,17	try 51:3 71:13	unable 5:15
97:13 103:14	timed 73:8	74:20 85:9,12	109:11,25	unaware 37:20
103:17	timely 6:16	traded 56:21	trying 7:10	undersigned
thoughts 13:15	40:11 57:17	77:18	25:15 40:3	113:10
19:13 61:23	74:25	traders 77:2,7	45:20 46:4,6	understand 9:22
88:25 94:5	times 32:3 57:11	trading 41:20	60:16 63:3	43:5 53:10
thousand 47:8	81:6 98:10	42:5 56:23	72:20,23 83:11	55:19 82:22
71:1	106:1	73:23 77:22	89:7 93:12,20	93:8
threat 13:21	Timothy 2:22,23	85:6 95:22	102:14	understanding

				1 490 137
51:21 65:20	107:16	vision 93:10,11	97:14,25 98:13	weigh 40:22
94:2 98:4	useful 20:12	93:13,15,21,21	100:19 101:11	weighing 83:19
understood 31:7	30:18 33:6	visit 17:14 64:9	101:18 102:4,9	welcome 5:5
undertaking	49:3	visat 17:14 04.5	109:2,3 111:6	25:7 56:5
83:19	usually 44:3	Vlad 38:14	wanted 32:25	welcoming 12:1
underway 22:7	66:23	voice 11:22 16:9	44:20 49:18	well-done 29:7
unfortunate	utilized 32:22	17:22,23 18:20	51:18 56:10	well-drafted
5:18	103:10	24:19 30:23	86:21 91:22	29:3
unfortunately	103.10	voices 99:3	92:11 94:13	well-known
18:12,16 41:3	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	voices 99.3 volatile 74:7	95:8 97:8 99:9	69:14
86:9	valid 26:20	volatility 82:24		
unheard 17:24	55:11,14 82:12	92:23	wanting 48:14 58:5	well-positioned 13:11
	91:19			
unhelpful 53:4	valuable 77:5	volume 63:8	wants 13:17	well-reasoned
unicorns 110:10	valuation 19:5	77:22	66:25 67:24	18:3
unintended	valuation 19.3	voluntarily 80:4	78:22,23,23	well-tailored
30:10 87:2,5	110:12	voluntary 79:5	85:9	19:20
87:15	value 59:16 62:9	84:11	War 20:8	Wellington
uniquely 16:15	67:9	vulnerability	warning 74:9	78:23
units 80:23,24	varies 91:1	14:17	warranted 15:2	went 56:1 92:17
universe 46:23	variety 9:5	\mathbf{W}	Washington	West 106:21
51:18,20	various 7:9 24:3	Wachtell 58:19	1:24 66:1	Whale 104:2
unlicensed	various 7.9 24.3 vastly 109:22	wait 33:6 35:7	113:7 114:5	whining 70:11
40:14	vastly 109.22 venture 20:23	77:14	wasn't 17:16	White 2:4 8:9,17
unmet 31:6	21:3	waiting 31:8	22:23 92:13	8:19 11:17
unprecedented	ventures 95:22	55:10	watched 93:8	17:5,18 23:6
81:14	ventures 93.22 venues 20:24	wall 17:4 48:9	watches 67:6	31:17,23 57:23
unrealistic 27:16	verbally 75:9	48:15 49:5	watching 11:22	110:18
unregistered	verify 103:21	78:15 110:10	watershed 81:25	wholeheartedly
39:23 50:20	Vermont 29:8	walls 22:25	way 13:12 27:18	42:12
52:5 53:13	44:9,13,18	Walsh 2:23	30:20 33:3,4	wholly 41:19
54:5	version 106:7	70:16 71:15,17	34:6,14 43:11	widely 103:18
unregulated	versus 62:5,21	71:23 96:20	52:17 53:21	willing 7:12
51:1	65:17 86:23	97:20	55:13 59:22,22	43:18,22
unsophisticated	91:2 92:15	want 5:10 6:7	62:12 70:18	windows 73:23
40:13	109:24	9:2,7 11:9,18	71:12 79:16	74:3 85:10
unsophisticati	vested 72:18	15:18 21:17,18	82:10 83:15	wisdom 95:15
40:2	vibrant 15:10	21:23 22:8	85:19 88:2,15	wish 16:7 17:7
unsure 36:19	videoconference	32:13 34:24	97:18 101:8	21:11
unwilling 45:9	8:12	36:16 38:4	107:19	wonder 19:3
update 7:2	view 31:13	43:13 46:17	ways 7:4 10:3	32:22 33:5
updating 76:2	58:18 59:12	49:21 53:5	13:6,13 22:5	38:17 55:9
78:19	60:1 83:7	54:13 55:18	web 107:23	68:23
urge 69:1	101:7 108:23	63:2 68:18	webcast 5:12	wonderful 21:9
urgent 49:23	viewpoints 8:3	71:2 74:14	8:23 29:16	23:18 102:20
urges 13:3	views 24:16,17	84:6,17 85:7	website 67:18	words 50:24
use 20:24 37:19	violation 53:7	85:13 86:7	Wednesday 1:14	work 7:9 9:10
44:15 51:12	virtue 80:6	89:1 92:13	113:6	10:14 12:12
52:4,5,5,12			week 23:12	15:23 17:21
68:17 89:21	visible 18:13	93:16 94:16	weekly 23:3	18:10,18 21:6

	l	ı	Ī	Ī
21:21 23:24	26:23 36:9,25	69:25 70:2	71:24 72:4	8 4:4
24:3 25:1 32:5	wrong 32:23	73:25 77:23	77:15,19,24	8-K 63:9 92:2,7
42:24 45:7	37:16	10-K 67:20	250,000 69:20	8-K's 64:20
47:6 57:16		69:23	26 4:10 28:13	8-K-type 92:2
61:13 62:14,18	X	10-Q 58:22 93:3	27 14:21	8-Q 93:3
67:21 68:14	X 47:15	10-Q's 84:22	28 34:9,15	80 27:12
76:9 80:3	T 7	85:23	2nd 11:11	80s 104:1 110:5
88:22 95:3	Y	10:38 56:1		
96:14 97:13	Yadley 2:24	100 1:24 48:20	3	9
99:7 100:1	29:25 36:22	67:11 70:17	3 49:22 70:1	9:30 1:15
104:16,25	38:9,11 45:5	11 78:17	3(a)(11) 33:13	90s 106:11
106:6,19,22	46:2 52:2,7	112 114:3	33:18 34:17	94 4:15
workable 31:12	83:22 90:7,15	12 82:15	3(b) 33:17 34:15	95 37:18 51:19
32:4	91:13 95:12,14	147 4:10 6:23	3:00 112:12	51:24
workarounds	year 14:11 41:14	7:5 10:4 26:24	30 70:3,3	99.9 67:11
42:19	44:4 47:7 66:7	27:2,2 28:3	30,000 77:1	
worked 36:13	67:21,23 69:20	29:1 30:22	300,000 69:20	
working 10:9	71:4,4 74:4	32:5 33:4,16	31st 67:21	
16:6 22:4 32:1	75:17 76:8	57:8	350 59:10	
90:9 98:9	78:17 80:22	15 50:7	36 4:12	
works 6:16	81:6 85:11	16th 28:13	3rd 28:8	
95:23	86:4 93:16,18	17th 57:2		
workup 83:16	96:14 98:2	1970 80:22	4	
world 79:24	106:5	81:16	4 49:19 54:8	
88:17,20	year's 57:3	1st 28:20	4(a)(1-1/2) 57:6	
109:13	years 6:1 9:5		400 14:20	
world's 71:6	12:13 16:1,6	2	48 77:19	
worried 66:20	16:17 17:15,18	2 37:15 46:19		
66:21,22	18:15 21:20	49:22 50:6	5	
worrying 88:22	22:1,4 24:21	51:9 54:3	5 4:3 37:21	
worth 86:15	31:2 36:23	2.5 70:1	38:19 47:9,11	
87:1 106:12	45:1 48:13	2:57 112:16	71:10 77:22	
worthwhile	59:21 69:25	20 76:3 98:3	50 105:11	
80:20	83:10 96:22	105:17	500 72:3	
worthy 58:17	97:5,11 98:3	20-30 105:14	504 37:20	
96:15	105:15 106:21	200-\$300 70:25	505 37:20	
wouldn't 74:14	yesterday 16:12	2002 38:14	506 37:19	
82:17 107:10	17:8	2007 12:23	506(c) 103:10	
Wow 97:24	Yom 5:11,17	2014 57:2	58 4:14 102:16	
wrap 8:5	York 7:23 72:18	2015 1:14 15:7	6	
Wrap-up/Adj	yous 15:15	113:6 114:5		
4:15 94:8		2016 28:20	692:8	
wrapped 56:14	Z	21st 98:7 109:6	60 76:4	
wrapping 6:11	0	23 1:14 113:6	7	
write 88:14		114:5	700 59:9	
writing 49:13	1	24 4:7	70s 80:15	
written 4:5,8,11	149:22	24th 9:2	750 79:15	
9:23 10:23	1:34 56:2	25 80:19		
18:3,6 24:11	10 45:1 47:9,11	250 46:23 56:22	8	
10.5,0 27.11	10 10.1 77.7,11			
	l	l	I	I