

1 Albert "Pete" Kyle
2 Charles E. Smith Professor of Finance
3 Robert H. Smith School of Business
4 University of Maryland
5
6 Owen Lamont
7 Professor of Finance
8 Yale School of Management
9 Portfolio Manager DKR Fusion
10
11 George Sofianos
12 Vice President, Equity Execution Strategies
13 Goldman Sachs & Co.
14
15 Bruce N. Lehmann
16 Professor of Economics and Finance
17 Graduate School of International Relations
18 and Pacific Studies
19 University of California at San Diego
20
21 Richard Lindsey
22 President, Bear Stearns Securities Corp.
23
24
25

C O N T E N T S

1		
2		PAGE
3	SESSION ONE	6
4	Opening Remarks: Chairman Christopher Cox	6
5		
6	Presentations:	
7	Charles Jones	13
8	Frank Hatheway	26
9	Ingrid Werner	34
10	Paul J. Irvine	49
11	Gordon J. Alexander	57
12	Adam Reed	72
13		
14	SESSION TWO	88
15	Opening Remarks: Bob Colby	88
16	Remarks: Chester Spatt	89
17		
18	Statements:	93
19	Larry Harris	97
20	Pete Kyle	101
21	Owen Lamont	105
22	Bruce Lehmann	109
23	Richard Linsey	113
24	George Sofianos	113
25		

1 The Commission seeks evidence of the impact of
2 short sale price tests on factors such as liquidity, market
3 volatility, price efficiency and manipulation. Now let's
4 turn it over to the economists who did the studies.

5 MS. EDWARDS: Okay. As Chairman Cox mentioned, our
6 first speaker will be Charles Jones. And I'd just like to
7 announce to our listeners on the web cast that you can
8 download the slides of the presentations today. I'm not sure
9 if they're available at this moment, but they should be
10 available sometime today.

11 PRESENTATION BY CHARLES JONES

12 MR. JONES: Thank you very much, Amy. I want to
13 start out by opening the discussion by helping us understand
14 the historical context by which we came to the current
15 regulatory environment.

16 So in particular we want to look at three discrete
17 events from the 1930s, the initial prohibition of short
18 sales on downticks, which happened in 1931; a 1932
19 requirement that brokers get written permission to lend a
20 investor's shares so that they can be shorted; and, finally,
21 the 1938 strict uptick rule that was introduced by the SEC.

22 So we want to look at various characteristics of
23 the markets before and after these regulations changed in an
24 effort to determine the effect of the regulations. I'll be
25 looking at returns, volatility and also liquidity.

1 To give you a little context we need to go back and
2 think about what shorting was like prior to the Great
3 Depression. Shorting in the 1920s was largely unencumbered.
4 It was very popular among professional traders in the U.S.

5 The various markets, the lending market was very
6 highly developed with very little regulatory oversight or
7 restrictions. For instance, there was no uptick rule in the
8 1920s. There was no formal requirement to locate shares to
9 deliver before short selling.

10 There were no minimum margins set by any sort of
11 central government authority. There were margins set by the
12 exchange or by the broker, but they were done by the
13 Self-Regulatory Organization, by the exchange, by the NYSE or
14 by the broker who was responsible for the account.

15 For instance, near the close each day NYSE members
16 would gather around what was known as the loan crowd or the
17 loan post in order to borrow and lend shares for delivery
18 into short sale positions, and this centralized market was
19 probably a great thing. It probably reduced search costs for
20 those people who were looking for shares to borrow in order
21 to short sell.

22 Well, of course, after the crash of 1929, things
23 changed dramatically and quite quickly. We saw dramatic
24 reductions in stock prices beginning in 1929 and extending
25 all the way through 1932.

1 So there was huge pressure to ban short selling
2 entirely. There were laws introduced in Congress. Short
3 sellers were blamed very much as portfolio insurers were
4 blamed for the 1987 crash. Short sellers were the scapegoat
5 in 1929 and 1930.

6 So for instance, in 1930, there was political
7 pressure to rein in or ban shorting. Holders were urged not
8 to lend their shares out to short sellers.

9 In September of 1931, there was a two-day ban on
10 short sales on the New York Stock Exchange when England went
11 off the gold standard. And then, in October of 1931, all
12 short sales were prohibited if they were below the last sale
13 price. So that was our very first tick rule.

14 Then there were some additional prohibitions after
15 that. In 1932, the U.S. Senate released a hall of shame
16 listing all of the largest short sellers in an effort to,
17 sort of, shame them into not taking those short positions.

18 And then finally, after 1938, after the market had
19 come back for a while but after another small decline, the
20 SEC imposed an uptick rule that was actually much more severe
21 than the rule that's in existence now.

22 Let me say a couple of words about the event in
23 1932 where the NYSE changed its rules to require that
24 investors give written permission to hypothecate their shares
25 or to lend their shares out to a short seller.

1 I put little asterisks by that, because I want to
2 come back to that and talk a little bit about what we may
3 mean by liquidity.

4 Okay. To get to my caveats, the starting point is
5 statistical power. How can we really tell that what we see
6 in the data was unusual? Market conditions at this time was
7 very, very volatile. It weakens the statistical power of the
8 test.

9 Charles showed you the chart with the biggest all
10 time increase in the Dow Jones Industrial Average, 14
11 percent, October 6, 1931. The day before was the fourth big
12 biggest decline in the history of the Dow, the first 10
13 percent decline since the events of October of 1929.

14 It was a then record low for the Dow Jones Railroad
15 Index, which became transports, and close to a record low for
16 the Dow itself.

17 Economists have a way to deal with these types of
18 clustered periods of high volatility. We call it auto
19 regressive conditional heteroskedasticity, and we're not
20 going to say any more about it today. Suffice it to say it
21 makes it hard to tell whether the events are abnormal or not.

22 The second caveat is whether these effects persist.
23 A benchmark for this might be decimalization. We went to
24 penny trading five years ago. Spreads are still pretty close
25 to a penny in most liquid stocks.

1 Motivation 2 was are these stocks subject to bear
2 raids? Overall returns aren't showing that they are. The
3 returns of the pilot stocks are exactly the same as the
4 returns of the control stocks.

5 According to this study there is no reason to think
6 that bear raids are more prominent in these SHO pilot stocks
7 where short selling is unrestricted in the sense of the price
8 tests.

9 What about volume? Short volume. There's no
10 difference in short volume on either exchange, Nasdaq or
11 NYSE, but if you dig into it a little bit deeper what you see
12 is that NYSE volume starts to take a different form.

13 This is something that was pointed out by Gordon,
14 that NYSE volume takes the form of more frequent, smaller
15 trades. So trades were bundled up more frequently than they
16 were -- more frequently in May than they were in April.

17 Volatility, no differences in this particular
18 study. Of course, that's in contrast with Diether, Lee &
19 Werner.

20 Overall, Motivation 1 of SEC's study was to say
21 short selling unfettered in advancing markets in particular?
22 The volume results here show that there's absolutely no
23 difference. In terms of volume of short selling there's no
24 difference between advancing markets and -- there's no
25 different between pilot stocks and control stocks.

1 MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. It's about time to
2 break for lunch. We'd like to thank our authors and
3 discussants again for taking the time to share their research
4 and opinions here today. We'll break for lunch now and
5 reconvene at 1 o'clock for the afternoon panel.

6 (Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., a luncheon recess was
7 taken.)

8 S E S S I O N T W O

9 MR. COLBY: Welcome again all the esteemed scholars
10 that have joined us today to discuss Reg SHO. The papers
11 presented this morning reflect thoughtful and careful
12 examination of the short sell price tests and the pilot data,
13 and we really thank the authors for their efforts.

14 We expect the pilot results will help assist the
15 Commission in determining whether further revisions of the
16 current short sale regulatory landscape are in order.

17 This afternoon we ask the panelists for their
18 opinions on how the Commission should use this empirical
19 evidence. For example, should the Commission eliminate
20 commission mandated price tests for all securities or for
21 some securities?

22 Are the concerns articulated by the Commission when
23 it adopted Rule 10a-1; namely, prohibiting short selling from
24 being used to drive down a market or accelerate a declining
25 market, are these still a concern today?

